

HOMOGENEOUS IDEAL $I(+)IM$ OF $R(+)M$

YONG HWAN CHO

ABSTRACT. In this short paper, we show that properties of an ideal I of a ring R are related to those of the homogeneous ideal $I(+)IM$ of a ring $R(+)M$.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, all rings are commutative rings with unity and all modules are unital. R -module M is called *multiplication module* if every submodule N of M has the form IM for some ideal I of R . Equivalently, $N = (N : M)M$. R -module M is said to be *divisible* if $M = rM$ whenever r is an element of R which is not a zero divisor.

R -module M is called *cancellation* if whenever $\mathcal{A}M = \mathcal{B}M$ for ideals \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} of R , then $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}$.

Let M be an R -module. Consider $R(M) = \{(r, m) | r \in R, m \in M\}$ and let (r, m) and (s, n) be two elements of $R(M)$. Define:

1. $(r, m) = (s, n)$ if $r = s$ and $m = n$
2. $(r, m) + (s, n) = (r + s, m + n)$
3. $(r, m)(s, n) = (rs, rn + sm)$

Received October 06, 2010. Accepted November 30, 2010.

2000 AMS Subject Classification: 13C13, 13C05, 13A15

Key words and phrases : *homogeneous ideal, presimplifiable, invertible sub-module, multiplication module, idealization*

Under these definition, $R(M)$ becomes a commutative ring with unity and $R(M)$ is called the *idealization* of a ring R and an R -module M . Sometimes $R(M)$ is also denoted by $R(+)M$. We can find some basic results about $R(M)$ ([8]). An ideal H of $R(+)M$ is called *homogeneous* if $H = I(+)N$ where I is an ideal of R and N a submodule of M . In this case, $I(+)N = (R(+)M)(I(+)N) = I(+)(IM + N)$ gives $IM \subseteq N$. If $IM \subseteq N$, then M/N is an R/I -module and $g : R(+)M \rightarrow R/I(+)M/N$ defined by $g(r, m) = (r + I, m + N)$ is a ring homomorphism and $\ker(g) = I(+)N$ and hence $I(+)N$ is an ideal of $R(+)M$. So we know that $I(+)N$ is an ideal of $R(+)M$ if and only if $IM \subseteq N$. Every ideal of $R(+)M$ of the form $I(+)N$ is homogeneous. However, ideals of $R(+)M$ need not have the form $I(+)N$, that is, need not be homogeneous. $Z(+)2Z(2, 2)$ is not a homogeneous ideal of $Z(+)2Z([2])$. In this paper, we show that properties of an ideal I of a ring R are related to those of the homogeneous ideal $I(+)IM$ of a ring $R(+)M$.

2 . Ideals I and $I(+)IM$.

Compare the following Theorem with Proposition 11 in [3]

THEOREM 2.1. *Let R be a ring and M an R -module and I an ideal of R . If $I(+)IM$ is a cancellation ideal of $R(+)M$ then I is a cancellation ideal of R . The converse is true if M is a divisible multiplication module over a domain R with $\text{ann}(M \otimes R/\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A}$ for every ideal \mathcal{A} of R .*

Proof. Suppose that $I(+)IM$ is a cancellation ideal of $R(+)M$ and let $\mathcal{A}I = \mathcal{B}I$ for ideals \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} of R . $(\mathcal{A}(+)M)(I(+)IM) = \mathcal{A}I(+) (\mathcal{A}IM + IM) = \mathcal{A}I(+)IM = \mathcal{B}I(+)IM = \mathcal{B}I(+) (\mathcal{B}IM + IM) = (\mathcal{B}(+)M)(I(+)IM)$. Since $I(+)IM$ is a cancellation ideal of $R(+)M$, $\mathcal{A}(+)M = \mathcal{B}(+)M$ and so $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}$. Now we prove the converse.

If M is a divisible module over a domain R , then every ideal of $R(+)M$ is homogeneous ([4]-Theorem 3.3). So, for ideals H, H' of $R(+)M$ such that $H(I(+)IM) = H'(I(+)IM)$ we have $H = \mathcal{A}(+)N$ and $H' = \mathcal{B}(+)K$, where \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are ideals of R and N, K are submodules of M

$$\begin{aligned} H(I(+)IM) &= (\mathcal{A}(+)N)(I(+)IM) = \mathcal{A}I(+)(\mathcal{A}IM + IN) \\ &= \mathcal{A}I(+)\mathcal{A}IM + \mathcal{A}I(+)IN \text{ since } \mathcal{A}M \subseteq N. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Similarly } H'(I(+)IM) = (\mathcal{B}(+)K)(I(+)IM) = \mathcal{B}I(+)\mathcal{B}IK.$$

Hence $\mathcal{A}I = \mathcal{B}I$ and $\mathcal{A}IN = \mathcal{B}IK$. Since I is cancellation, $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}$ and from $N = (N : M)M$ and $K = (K : M)M$ we have $I(N : M)M = I(K : M)M$. On the other hand, $\text{ann}(M \otimes R/\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A}$ implies that $\text{ann}(M/\mathcal{A}M) = \mathcal{A}$ and hence $(\mathcal{A}M : M) = \mathcal{A}$ for any ideal \mathcal{A} of R . Now, let $\mathcal{I}M = \mathcal{J}M$ for ideals \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J} of R . Then $\mathcal{I} = (\mathcal{I}M : M) = (\mathcal{J}M : M) = \mathcal{J}$. So M is cancellation and $I(N : M) = I(K : M)$. Again, since I is cancellation $(N : M) = (K : M)$. Therefore $N = (N : M)M = (K : M)M = K$ and $\mathcal{A}(+)N = \mathcal{B}(+)K$. i.e, $H = H'$ \square

COROLLARY 2.2. *Let R be a ring and M an R -module. If every ideal of $R(+)M$ is cancellation then every ideal of R is cancellation.*

Proof. Suppose that every ideal of $R(+)M$ is cancellation and let \mathcal{A} be any ideal of R . $\mathcal{A}(+)\mathcal{A}M$ is an ideal of $R(+)M$ and so cancellation. By Theorem 2.1 \mathcal{A} is cancellation. \square

COROLLARY 2.3. *Let R be a ring and M a faithful multiplication R -module. If every faithful ideal of $R(+)M$ is cancellation then every faithful ideal of R is cancellation.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{A} be any faithful ideal of R . Since M is a faithful multiplication R -module, we know that $\text{ann}(\mathcal{A}(+)\mathcal{A}M) = \text{ann}\mathcal{A}M$

$(+)(\text{ann}\mathcal{A})M$ ([5]-Remark 1) and $\text{ann}(\mathcal{A}M) \subseteq \text{ann}(\mathcal{A}) = 0$. Therefore $\text{ann}(\mathcal{A}(+)\mathcal{A}M) = 0(+)0$. So $(\mathcal{A}(+)\mathcal{A}M)$ is a faithful ideal of $R(+)M$ and by our assumption $(\mathcal{A}(+)\mathcal{A}M)$ is cancellation. Therefore \mathcal{A} is cancellation by the above Theorem 2.1

PROPOSITION 2.4. *Let I be an ideal of R and M an R -module. Then I is idempotent in R if and only if $I(+)IM$ is idempotent in $R(+)M$.*

Proof. Let $I^2 = I$. Then $(I(+)IM)^2 = (I(+)IM)(I(+)IM) = I^2(+)I^2M + I^2M = (I^2(+)IM) = (I(+)IM)$. So $I(+)IM$ is idempotent. Conversely, if $I(+)IM$ is idempotent then $I^2(+)IM = I(+)IM$ and so $I^2 = I$. \square

Ali([1]) defined idempotent submodule as follows. A submodule N of an R -module M is called *idempotent* if $N = (N : M)N$. If we put $N = I$ for an ideal I of R and $M = R$ then we know that this is a generalized concept of idempotent ideal.

PROPOSITION 2.5. *Let I be an ideal of R and M an R -module. If $I(+)IM$ is an idempotent ideal of $R(+)M$ then IM is an idempotent submodule of M .*

Proof. Since $I(+)IM$ is idempotent, $(I(+)IM)^2 = I^2(+)I^2M = I(+)IM$. Then $I^2M = IM$ and $I^2M = I(IM) \subseteq (IM : M)IM \subseteq IM$. Hence $IM = (IM : M)IM$ and IM is idempotent. \square

PROPOSITION 2.6. *Let I be an ideal of R and M a finitely generated faithful multiplication R -module. Then I is an idempotent ideal of R if and only if IM is an idempotent submodule of M .*

Proof. If I is an idempotent ideal of R then $I(+)IM$ is an idempotent ideal of $R(+)M$. Hence IM is an idempotent submodule

of M (Proposition 2.5). Conversely, if IM is an idempotent submodule of M then $IM = (IM : M)IM$ and by our assumption $IM = I(IM : M)M = I^2M$. Since M is cancellation module ([9]-Theorem 6.1) $I = I^2$ \square

Ali([1]) introduced the concept of nilpotent submodule which is a generalized concept of nilpotent ideal. A submodule N of M is called a *nilpotent submodule* if $(N : M)^k N = 0$

PROPOSITION 2.7. *Let I be an ideal of R and M an R - module. Then I is nilpotent in R if and only if $I(+)$ IM is nilpotent in $R(+)$ M.*

Proof. Let $I^n = 0$ for some positive integer n . Then $(I(+))IM)^n = (I^n(+))I^nM = 0(+)$ 0. Hence $I(+)$ IM is nilpotent. Conversely, if $I(+)$ IM is nilpotent then there exists a positive integer k such that $(I(+))IM)^k = 0(+)$ 0 and hence $I^k = 0$. \square

PROPOSITION 2.8. *Let I be an ideal of R and M a finitely generated faithful multiplication R - module. If $I(+)$ IM is a nilpotent ideal of $R(+)$ M then IM is a nilpotent submodule of M .*

Prof. By our assumption there exists a positive integer k such that $(I(+))IM)^k = 0(+)$ 0. So $I^k M = 0$. Since M is cancellation module ([9]-Theorem 6.1) and faithful, $I = (IM : M)$ ([9]-Proposition 1.4) and hence $(IM : M)^k IM = I^k IM = I(I^k M) = 0$. Therefore IM is nilpotent. \square

PROPOSITION 2.9. *Let I be an ideal of R and M a faithful multiplication R - module. Then I is a nilpotent ideal of R if and only if IM is a nilpotent submodule of M .*

Proof. Suppose that IM is nilpotent. Then $(IM : M)^k IM = 0$ for some positive integer k . So $(IM : M)^{k-1}(IM : M)IM = 0$. Since M is a multiplication module, $(IM : M)^{k-1}I^2M = 0$.

$(IM : M)^{k-2}(IM : M)I^2M = 0$ and hence $(IM : M)^{k-2}I^3M = 0$. Continue this way. Then we arrive at $(IM : M)I^kM = I^k(IM : M)M = I^{k+1}M = 0$. Since M is faithful, $I^{k+1} = 0$. \square

An ideal \mathcal{A} of a ring R is said to be *regular* if it contains a nonzero divisor element.

THEOREM 2.10. *Let I be an ideal of a ring R and M an R -module. If $I(+)IM$ is a regular ideal of $R(+)M$ then I is a regular ideal of R . The converse is true if M is torsion free.*

Proof. Suppose that $I(+)IM$ is regular and let $(i, m) \in I(+)IM$ be a regular element in $R(+)M$. To show that $i \in I$ is a regular element in R , let $ij = 0$ for $j \in R$. Then $(0, jm)(i, m) = (0, ijm) = (0, 0)$. Since (i, m) is regular, $jm = 0$ and $(j, 0)(i, m) = (0, 0)$. Thus $j = 0$. i.e, $i \in I$ is regular and I is regular. Conversely, suppose that M is torsion free and $i \in I$ is regular. Consider an element $(i, m') \in I(+)IM$ and let $(j, n)(i, m') = (0, 0)$ for an element $(j, n) \in R(+)M$. Then $ji = 0, jm' + in = 0$. Since i is regular, $j = 0$ and hence $0 = jm' + in = in$. Thus $n = 0$ because M is torsion free. So (i, m') is regular in $R(+)M$ and $I(+)IM$ is regular. \square

THEOREM 2.11. *Let I be a nonzero ideal of a ring R and M an R - module. If $I(+)IM$ is an invertible ideal of $R(+)M$ then I is an invertible ideal of R . The converse is true if M is faithful and multiplication.*

Proof. In a ring the concepts of invertible ideal and regular multiplication ideal coincide ([7]-Theorem 7.2). Therefore, if $I(+)IM$ is invertible, $I(+)IM$ is both regular and multiplication ideal. So, I is a multiplication ideal([2]-Theorem 7). Further I is regular by Theorem 2.10. Therefore I is invertible. Conversely, If I is invertible then I is regular and multiplication and so, $I(+)IM$

is a multiplication ideal ([2]-Theorem 7). Since M is faithful multiplication, M is torsion free ([6]-Lemma 4.1) and since I is regular, $I(+)\text{IM}$ is regular by Theorem 2.10. Therefore $I(+)\text{IM}$ invertible.

□

A ring R is *presimplifiable* if for $x, y \in R$, $xy = x$ implies $x = 0$ or y is a unit. R -module M is *R -presimplifiable* if for $r \in R$ and $m \in M$, $rm = m$ implies r is a unit or $m = 0$. This generalizes the previous definition of R being presimplifiable.

THEOREM 2.12. *Let I be an ideal of a ring R and M an R -module. Then, I and IM are R -presimplifiable if and only if $I(+)\text{IM}$ is $R(+)\text{M}$ -presimplifiable.*

Proof. Suppose that I and IM are R -simplifiable. Let $(r, m) \in U(R(+)\text{M}) = U(R)(+)\text{M}$ ([4]-Theorem 3.7) and hence if $r \in U(R)$ then $(r, m) \in U(R(+)\text{M})$ and if $i = 0$ then $rm' = m'$. Since IM is R -presimplifiable $m' = 0$ and $(i, m') = (0, 0)$ or $(r, m) \in U(R(+)\text{M}) = U(R)(+)\text{M}$. In any case we have $(r, m) \in U(R(+)\text{M})$ or $(i, m') = (0, 0)$. Conversely, Assume that $I(+)\text{IM}$ is $R(+)\text{M}$ -presimplifiable. Let $ri = i$ for $r \in R$ and $i \in I$. Then $(r, 0)(i, 0) = (ri, 0) = (i, 0)$ and $(r, 0) \in U(R(+)\text{M})$ or $(i, 0) = (0, 0)$. Therefore $r \in U(R)$ or $i = 0$.

□

REFERENCES

1. M.M.Ali, *Idempotent and nilpotent submodules of multiplication modules*, Comm.in Algebra **vol.36** (2008), 4620-4642.
2. _____, *Idealization and theorem of D.D.Anderson*, Comm. in Algebra **vol.35** (2006), 4479-4501.

3. ———, *Half Cancellation Modules and Homogeneous Idealization*, Comm. in Algebra **vol.35** (2007), 3524-3543.
4. D.D.Anderson and M.Winders, *Idealization of a module*, J.of Commutative Algebra **vol.1 no.1** (2009), 3-56.
5. Y.H.Cho, *Homogeneous and Primary Ideals of $R(+)M$* , Honam Math J. **vol 31 no.3** (2009), 429-436.
6. Z.A.El-Bast and P.F.Smith, *Multiplication Modules*, Comm.in Algebra **vol. 16(4)** (1988), 766-779.
7. R.Gilmer, *Multiplicative Ideal Theory*, New York;Marcel Dekker (1972).
8. J.A.Huckaba, *Commutative rings with zero divisors*, New York;Marcel Dekker. (1988).
9. A.G.Naoum and A.S.Mijbass, *Weak cancellation modules*, Kyungpook Math.J. **vol.37** (1997), 73-82.

Department of Mathematics Education
and Institute of Pure
and Applied Mathematics,
Chonbuk National University,561-756,
Chonju,Korea