

On Some Uniqueness Theorems of Meromorphic Functions Sharing Three Values

ARINDAM SARKAR* and PAULOMI CHATTOPADHYAY

Department of Mathematics, Sikkim Manipal Institute of Technology, East Sikkim-737136, India

e-mail: arindam_ku@rediffmail.com

and paulomi.chattopadhyay@rediffmail.com

ABSTRACT. We prove some uniqueness theorems of meromorphic functions sharing three values which improves some results of Banerjee.

1. Introduction, definitions and results

Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions defined in the open complex plane \mathbb{C} . If for some $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$, f and g have the same set of a -points with same multiplicities, we say that f and g share the value a CM (Counting Multiplicities) and if we do not consider the multiplicities, then f and g are said to share the value a IM (Ignoring Multiplicities). We do not explain the standard notations and definitions of the value distribution theory as these are available in [6]. When we let r towards ∞ we will always assume that r may avoid a set I of finite linear measure, not necessarily the same every time in its approach to ∞ .

Definition 1 ([2]). *Let p be a positive integer and $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$. We denote by $\bar{N}(r, a; f | = p)$ the counting function of those a -points of f whose multiplicities are exactly equal to p , where an a -point is counted only once.*

Definition 2 ([7], [8]). *Let p be a positive integer and $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$. We denote by $\bar{N}(r, a; f | \geq p)$ the counting function of those a -points of f whose multiplicities are greater than or equal to p , where an a -point is counted only once.*

Definition 3. *Let f and g share a value a IM. Let z be an a -point of f and g with multiplicities $m_f(z)$ and $m_g(z)$ respectively. Let p be a positive integer.*

We put $\gamma_{(p)}(z) = 1$ if both $m_f(z) > p$ and $m_g(z) > p$ and $\gamma_{(p)}(z) = 0$, otherwise.

Let

$$\bar{n}(r, a; f | > p, g | > p) = \sum_{|z| \leq r} \gamma_{(p)}(z).$$

* Corresponding Author.

Received January 5, 2010; accepted August 5, 2010.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30D35.

Key words and phrases: Meromorphic functions, Weighted sharing, Uniqueness.

Clearly $\bar{n}(r, a; f |> p, g |> p) = \bar{n}(r, a; g |> p, f |> p)$. We denote by $\bar{N}(r, a; f |> p, g |> p)$ the integrated counting function obtained by from $\bar{n}(r, a; f |> p, g |> p)$. Clearly $\bar{N}(r, a; f |> p, g |> p) = \bar{N}(r, a; g |> p, f |> p)$.

Definition 4([15]). Let f and g share the value 1 IM. Let z_0 be a 1-point of f and g with multiplicities p and q respectively. Let s be a positive integer. We denote by $\bar{N}_{f>s}(r, 1; g)$ the reduced counting function of those 1-points of f and g such that $p > q = s$. Similarly $\bar{N}_{f<s}(r, 1; g)$ will denote the reduced counting function of those 1-points of f and g where $p < q = s$.

Definition 5([7], [8]). Let k be a nonnegative integer or infinity. For $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ we denote by $E_k(a; f)$ the set of all a -points of f where an a -point of multiplicity m is counted m times if $m \leq k$ and $k + 1$ times if $m > k$. If $E_k(a; f) = E_k(a; g)$, we say that f and g share the value a with weight k .

The definition implies that if f, g share a value a with weight k , then z_0 is a zero of $f - a$ with multiplicity $m(\leq k)$ if and only if it is a zero of $g - a$ with multiplicity $m(\leq k)$ and z_0 is a zero of $f - a$ of multiplicity $m(> k)$ if and only if it is a zero of $g - a$ with multiplicity $n(> k)$ where m is not necessarily equal to n .

We write f, g share (a, k) to mean f, g share the value a with weight k . Clearly if f, g share (a, k) then f, g share (a, p) for all integers $p, 0 \leq p < k$. Also we note that f, g share a value a IM or CM if and only if f, g share $(a, 0)$ or (a, ∞) respectively.

Definition 6([2]). Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions such that f and g share (a, k) where $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$. Let z_0 be an a -point of f with multiplicity p , an a -point of g of multiplicity q . We denote by $\bar{N}_L(r, a; f)(\bar{N}_L(r, a; g))$ the counting function of those a -points of f and g where $p > q(q > p)$, by $\bar{N}_E^{(k+1)}(r, a; f)$ the counting functions of those a -points of f and g where $p = q \geq k + 1$ each point in these counting functions is counted only once. In the same way we can define $\bar{N}_E^{(k+1)}(r, a; g)$. Clearly $\bar{N}_E^{(k+1)}(r, a; f) = \bar{N}_E^{(k+1)}(r, a; g)$.

Definition 7([7], [8]). Let f, g share the value a IM. We denote by $\bar{N}_*(r, a; f, g)$ the reduced counting function of those a -points of f whose multiplicities differ from the corresponding a -points of g . Clearly $\bar{N}_*(r, a; f, g) = \bar{N}_*(r, a; g, f)$ and $\bar{N}_*(r, a; f, g) = \bar{N}_L(r, a; f) + \bar{N}_L(r, a; g)$.

In 1998, 2003 H. X. Yi[12, 14] improved some results of Ueda[11], G.Brosch[4] and Lahiri[7] in the following theorems:

Theorem A([12]). Let f, g share $0, 1, \infty$ CM. If

$$(1) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, 0; f | = 1) + N(r, \infty; f | = 1) - \frac{1}{2}m(r, 1; g)}{T(r, f)} < \frac{1}{2}$$

then either $f \equiv g$ or $fg \equiv 1$.

Theorem B([14]). *Let f, g share $(0, 1), (1, 5), (\infty, 0)$. If*

$$(2) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, 0; f | = 1) + 3\bar{N}(r, \infty; f) - \frac{1}{2}m(r, 1; g)}{T(r, f)} < \frac{1}{2}$$

then either $f \equiv g$ or $fg \equiv 1$.

Theorem C([14]). *Let f, g share $(0, 1), (1, 3), (\infty, 0)$. If*

$$(3) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, 0; f | = 1) + 4\bar{N}(r, \infty; f) - \frac{1}{2}m(r, 1; g)}{T(r, f)} < \frac{1}{2}$$

then either $f \equiv g$ or $fg \equiv 1$.

Theorem D([14]). *et f, g share $(0, 1), (1, 6), (\infty, 2)$. If*

$$(4) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, 0; f | = 1) + N(r, \infty; f | = 1) - \frac{1}{2}m(r, 1; g)}{T(r, f)} < \frac{1}{2}$$

then either $f \equiv g$ or $fg \equiv 1$.

In 2007 Banerjee[2] improved Theorem B and Theorem C by weakening the conditions (2) and (3) respectively as follows.

Theorem E. *Let f, g share $(0, 1), (1, 5), (\infty, 0)$. If*

$$(5) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, 0; f | = 1) + 3\bar{N}(r, \infty; f) - \frac{1}{2}m(r, 1; g) - \frac{1}{2}\bar{N}_L(r, 1; g)}{T(r, f)} < \frac{1}{2}$$

then either $f \equiv g$ or $fg \equiv 1$.

Theorem F. *Let f, g share $(0, 1), (1, 3), (\infty, 0)$. If*

$$(6) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, 0; f | = 1) + 4\bar{N}(r, \infty; f) - \frac{1}{2}m(r, 1; g) - \frac{1}{2}\bar{N}_L(r, 1; g)}{T(r, f)} < \frac{1}{2}$$

then either $f \equiv g$ or $fg \equiv 1$.

Chen, Shen and Lin proved the following results that improved Theorem B, Theorem C and Theorem D in a manner different from Banerjee's.

Theorem G([5]). *Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions sharing $(0, 1), (1, m), (\infty, 0)$ where $m(\geq 2)$ is an integer. If*

$$(7) \quad N(r, 0; f | = 1) + \frac{2(m+1)}{m-1}\bar{N}(r, \infty; f) - \frac{1}{2}m(r, 1; g) < (\frac{1}{2} + 0(1))T(r, f)$$

for $r \notin I$ then either $f \equiv g$ or $fg \equiv 1$.

Theorem H([5]). Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions sharing $(0, 1), (1, m), (\infty, k)$ where m and k are positive integers or infinity satisfying $(m - 1)(km - 1) > (1 + m)^2$. If

$$(8) \quad N(r, 0; f | = 1) + \overline{N}(r, \infty; f | = 1) - \frac{1}{2}m(r, 1; g) < \left(\frac{1}{2} + o(1)\right)T(r, f)$$

for $r \notin I$ then either $f \equiv g$ or $fg \equiv 1$.

Very recently Banerjee proved the following theorem improving all previous results.

Theorem I([3]). Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions sharing $(0, 1), (1, m), (\infty, k)$ where $m(\geq 2)$ is an integer. If

$$(9) \quad N(r, 0; f | = 1) + \overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + \frac{m+3}{m-1}\overline{N}(r, \infty; f | \geq k+1) \\ - \frac{1}{2}m(r, 1; g) - \frac{1}{2}\overline{N}_L(r, 1; g) < \left(\frac{1}{2} + o(1)\right)T(r, f)$$

for $r \notin I$ then either $f \equiv g$ or $fg \equiv 1$.

Improving a result of H. X. Yi[12], Lahiri[10] proved the following.

Theorem J. Let f, g share $(0, 0), (1, 2), (\infty, 0)$. If

$$(10) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{3\overline{N}(r, 0; f) + 3\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) - m(r, 1; g)}{T(r, f)} < 1$$

then either $f \equiv g$ or $fg \equiv 1$.

In 2006 Banerjee[1] improved the above result by weakening the condition (10) in the Theorem K and proved some supplementary results.

Theorem K. Let f, g share $(0, 0), (1, 2), (\infty, 0)$. If

$$(11) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{3\overline{N}(r, 0; f) + 3\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) - \overline{N}_E^{(3)}(r, 1; f) - \overline{N}_L(r, 1; g) - m(r, 1; g)}{T(r, f)} < 1$$

then either $f \equiv g$ or $fg \equiv 1$.

Theorem L. Let f, g share $(0, 0), (1, 1), (\infty, \infty)$. If

$$(12) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{3\overline{N}(r, 0; f) + 2\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + \overline{N}_{f>2}(r, 1; g) - m(r, 1; g)}{T(r, f)} < 1$$

then either $f \equiv g$ or $fg \equiv 1$.

Theorem M. *Let f, g share $(0, 0), (1, 1), (\infty, 0)$. If*

$$(13) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{3\bar{N}(r, 0; f) + 3\bar{N}(r, \infty; f) + \bar{N}_{f>2}(r, 1; g) - m(r, 1; g)}{T(r, f)} < 1$$

then either $f \equiv g$ or $fg \equiv 1$.

Theorem N. *Let f, g share $(0, 0), (1, 0), (\infty, 0)$. If*

$$(14) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{3\bar{N}(r, 0; f) + 3\bar{N}(r, \infty; f) + N_{\otimes}(r, 1; f, g) - m(r, 1; g)}{T(r, f)} < 1$$

then either $f \equiv g$ or $fg \equiv 1$, where $N_{\otimes}(r, 1; f, g) = \bar{N}_L(r, 1; f) + \bar{N}_{f>1}(r, 1; g) + \bar{N}_{g>1}(r, 1; f)$.

Theorem O. *Let f, g share $(0, 0), (1, 0), (\infty, \infty)$. If*

$$(15) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{3\bar{N}(r, 0; f) + 2\bar{N}(r, \infty; f) + N_{\otimes}(r, 1; f, g) - m(r, 1; g)}{T(r, f)} < 1$$

then either $f \equiv g$ or $fg \equiv 1$, where $N_{\otimes}(r, 1; f, g) = \bar{N}_L(r, 1; f) + \bar{N}_{f>1}(r, 1; g) + \bar{N}_{g>1}(r, 1; f)$.

The theorems stated so far evoke the following questions in our mind:

1. *Is it possible to reduce the nature of sharing of the value 1 in Theorem I, in particular what happens if f, g share the value $(1, 1)$?*
2. *Is it possible to weaken the conditions (11), (12), (13), (14), (15) in Theorems K, L, M, N, O, respectively?*

Motivated by these questions we state our main results as follows.

Theorem 1. *Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions sharing $(0, 1), (1, 1), (\infty, k)$. If*

$$(16) \quad N(r, 0; f | = 1) + \bar{N}(r, \infty; f) + 5\bar{N}(r, \infty; f | \geq k + 1) + 2[\bar{N}_{g>2}(r, 1; f) + \bar{N}_{f>2}(r, 1; g)] - \frac{1}{2}m(r, 1; g) - \frac{1}{2}\bar{N}_L(r, 1; g) - \frac{1}{2}\bar{N}_E^{(3)}(r, 1; f) < (\frac{1}{2} + 0(1))T(r, f)$$

for $r \notin I$ then either $f \equiv g$ or $fg \equiv 1$.

Theorem 2. *Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions sharing $(0, 1), (1, 2), (\infty, k)$. If*

$$(17) \quad N(r, 0; f | = 1) + \bar{N}(r, \infty; f) + 5\bar{N}(r, \infty; f | \geq k + 1) + \frac{1}{2}m(r, 1; g) - \frac{1}{2}\bar{N}_L(r, 1; g) - \frac{1}{2}\bar{N}_E^{(3)}(r, 1; f) < (\frac{1}{2} + 0(1))T(r, f)$$

for $r \notin I$ then either $f \equiv g$ or $fg \equiv 1$.

Theorem 3. *Let f, g share $(0, 0)$, $(1, 2)$, $(\infty, 0)$. If*

$$(18) \quad 3\overline{N}(r, 0; f) + 3\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) - \overline{N}_L(r, 1; g) - m(r, 1; g) - \overline{N}_E^{(1)}(r, 0; f) \\ - \overline{N}_E^{(1)}(r, \infty; f) - \overline{N}_E^{(3)}(r, 1; f) < (1 + o(1))T(r, f)$$

for $r \notin I$ then either $f \equiv g$ or $fg \equiv 1$.

Theorem 4. *Let f, g share $(0, 0)$, $(1, 1)$, (∞, ∞) . If*

$$(19) \quad 3\overline{N}(r, 0; f) + 2\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + \overline{N}_{f>2}(r, 1; g) - m(r, 1; g) - \overline{N}_E^{(1)}(r, 0; f) \\ - \overline{N}_E^{(3)}(r, 1; f) < (1 + o(1))T(r, f)$$

for $r \notin I$ then either $f \equiv g$ or $fg \equiv 1$.

Theorem 5. *Let f, g share $(0, 0)$, $(1, 1)$, $(\infty, 0)$. If*

$$(20) \quad 3\overline{N}(r, 0; f) + 3\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + \overline{N}_{f>2}(r, 1; g) - m(r, 1; g) - \overline{N}_E^{(1)}(r, 0; f) \\ - \overline{N}_E^{(1)}(r, \infty; f) - \overline{N}_E^{(3)}(r, 1; f) < (1 + o(1))T(r, f)$$

for $r \notin I$ then either $f \equiv g$ or $fg \equiv 1$.

Theorem 6. *Let f, g share $(0, 0)$, $(1, 0)$, $(\infty, 0)$. If*

$$(21) \quad 3\overline{N}(r, 0; f) + 3\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + N_{\otimes}(r, 1; f, g) - m(r, 1; g) - \overline{N}_E^{(1)}(r, 0; f) \\ - \overline{N}_E^{(1)}(r, \infty; f) - \overline{N}_E^{(3)}(r, 1; f) < (1 + o(1))T(r, f)$$

for $r \notin I$ then either $f \equiv g$ or $fg \equiv 1$, where $N_{\otimes}(r, 1; f, g) = \overline{N}_L(r, 1; f) + \overline{N}_{f>1}(r, 1; g) + \overline{N}_{g>1}(r, 1; f)$.

Theorem 7. *Let f, g share $(0, 0)$, $(1, 0)$, (∞, ∞) . If*

$$(22) \quad 3\overline{N}(r, 0; f) + 2\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + N_{\otimes}(r, 1; f, g) - m(r, 1; g) - \overline{N}_E^{(1)}(r, 0; f) \\ - \overline{N}_E^{(3)}(r, 1; f) < (1 + o(1))T(r, f)$$

for $r \notin I$ then either $f \equiv g$ or $fg \equiv 1$, where $N_{\otimes}(r, 1; f, g) = \overline{N}_L(r, 1; f) + \overline{N}_{f>1}(r, 1; g) + \overline{N}_{g>1}(r, 1; f)$.

Remark. (i) Theorem 1 answers the question 1 raised above.

(ii) Theorem 2 improves Theorem I when $m = 2$.

(iii) Theorems 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 improve Theorems K, L, M, N, O respectively under weaker conditions as is asked in question 2 above.

2. Lemmas

In this section we present some lemmas which will be required to establish our theorems. In the lemmas several times we use the function H defined by $H = \frac{f''}{f'} - \frac{2f'}{f-1} - \frac{g''}{g'} + \frac{2g'}{g-1}$.

Lemma 1 ([7]). *If f and g share $(0, 0), (1, 0), (\infty, 0)$ then*

- (i) $T(r, f) \leq 3T(r, g) + S(r, f)$
- (ii) $T(r, g) \leq 3T(r, f) + S(r, g)$.

Lemma 1 shows that $S(r, f) = S(r, g)$ and we denote their common value by $S(r)$.

Lemma 2. *If f and g share $(1, 1)$ then*

$$\begin{aligned} N(r, 1; g) - \bar{N}(r, 1; g) &\geq 2\bar{N}_L(r, 1; g) + \bar{N}_L(r, 1; f) + \bar{N}_E^{(2)}(r, 1; f) + \bar{N}_E^{(3)}(r, 1; f) + [\bar{N}_{f<4}(r, 1; g) \\ &+ 2\bar{N}_{f<5}(r, 1; g) + 3\bar{N}_{f<6}(r, 1; g) + \dots] + [\bar{N}_{f>3}(r, 1; g) + 2\bar{N}_{f>4}(r, 1; g) \\ &+ 3\bar{N}_{f>5}(r, 1; g) + \dots]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let z_0 be a 1-point of f and g of respective multiplicities p and q . We denote by $N_1(r), N_2(r)$ and $N_3(r)$ the counting functions of those 1-points of f and g when $2 \leq q < p, 2 \leq q = p$ and $2 \leq p < q$ respectively where each point in these counting functions is counted $q - 2$ times. Since f, g share $(1, 1)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} N(r, 1; g) - \bar{N}(r, 1; g) &= \bar{N}_E^{(2)}(r, 1; f) + N_2(r) + \bar{N}_L(r, 1; g) + N_3(r) + \bar{N}_L(r, 1; f) + N_1(r). \end{aligned}$$

Now $N_3(r) > \bar{N}_L(r, 1; g) + [\bar{N}_{f<4}(r, 1; g) + 2\bar{N}_{f<5}(r, 1; g) + 3\bar{N}_{f<6}(r, 1; g) + \dots],$
 $N_2(r) > \bar{N}_E^{(3)}(r, 1; f)$ and $N_1(r) > [\bar{N}_{f>3}(r, 1; g) + 2\bar{N}_{f>4}(r, 1; g) + \dots]$ the lemma follows from above. □

Lemma 3. *If f and g share $(1, 0)$ then*

$$\begin{aligned} N(r, 1; g) - \bar{N}(r, 1; g) &\geq 2\bar{N}_L(r, 1; g) + \bar{N}_L(r, 1; f) + \bar{N}_E^{(2)}(r, 1; f) + \bar{N}_E^{(3)}(r, 1; f) - \bar{N}_{f>1}(r, 1; g) \\ &- \bar{N}_{g>1}(r, 1; f). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let z_0 be a 1-point of f and g of respective multiplicities p and q . We denote by $N_1(r), N_2(r)$ and $N_3(r)$ the counting functions of those 1-points of f and g when $1 \leq q < p, 2 \leq q = p$ and $1 \leq p < q$ respectively where in the first counting function each point is counted $q - 1$ times and in the remaining two $q - 2$ times. Then observing that $N_2(r) \geq \bar{N}_E^{(3)}(r, 1; f)$ the proof follows in the line of proof of Lemma 2.4[1]. □

Lemma 4([8]). *If f and g share $(1, 1)$ and $H \neq 0$ then*

$$N(r, 1; f | = 1) = N(r, 1; g | = 1) \leq N(r, H) + S(r, f) + S(r, g).$$

Lemma 5([13], [15]). *If f and g share $(1, 0)$ and $H \neq 0$ then*

$$N_E^{(1)}(r, 1; f) \leq N(r, H) + S(r, f) + S(r, g),$$

where $N_E^{(1)}(r, 1; f)$ denotes the counting function of simple 1-points of f and g .

Lemma 6([10]). *If f and g share $(0, 0)$, $(1, 0)$, $(\infty, 0)$ and $H \neq 0$ then*

$$N(r, H) \leq \bar{N}_*(r, 0; f, g) + \bar{N}_*(r, 1; f, g) + \bar{N}_*(r, \infty; f, g) + \bar{N}_0(r, 0; f') + \bar{N}_0(r, 0; g'),$$

where $\bar{N}_0(r, 0; f')$ is the reduced counting function of those zeros of f' which are not the zeros of $f(f - 1)$ and $\bar{N}_0(r, 0; g')$ is similarly defined.

Lemma 7. *If f and g share $(0, 0)$, $(1, 1)$, (∞, k) and $H \neq 0$ then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \bar{N}(r, 1; f) + \bar{N}(r, 1; g) \\ & \leq \bar{N}_*(r, 0; f, g) + \bar{N}_*(r, \infty; f, g) + \bar{N}_0(r, 0; f') + \bar{N}_0(r, 0; g') \\ & \quad + T(r, g) - m(r, 1; g) - \bar{N}_L(r, 1; g) - \bar{N}_E^{(3)}(r, 1; f) + \bar{N}_{g>2}(r, 1; f) + \bar{N}_{f>2}(r, 1; g), \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{N}_0(r, 0; f')$ and $\bar{N}_0(r, 0; g')$ are same as Lemma [6].

Proof. We have by Lemmas 4, 6 and 2,

$$\begin{aligned} & \bar{N}(r, 1; f) + \bar{N}(r, 1; g) \\ & \leq N(r, H) + \bar{N}(r, 1; g) + \bar{N}(r, 1; f | \geq 2) \\ & \leq \bar{N}_*(r, 0; f, g) + \bar{N}_*(r, 1; f, g) + \bar{N}_*(r, \infty; f, g) + \bar{N}_0(r, 0; f') + \bar{N}_0(r, 0; g') \\ & \quad + \bar{N}(r, 1; g) + \bar{N}(r, 1; f | \geq 2) \\ & \leq \bar{N}_*(r, 0; f, g) + \bar{N}_*(r, 1; f, g) + \bar{N}_*(r, \infty; f, g) + \bar{N}_0(r, 0; f') + \bar{N}_0(r, 0; g') \\ & \quad + N(r, 1; g) - 2\bar{N}_L(r, 1; g) - \bar{N}_L(r, 1; f) - \bar{N}_E^{(2)}(r, 1; f) - \bar{N}_E^{(3)}(r, 1; f) \\ & \quad - [\bar{N}_{f<4}(r, 1; g) + 2\bar{N}_{f<5}(r, 1; g) + 3\bar{N}_{f<6}(r, 1; g) + \dots] \\ & \quad - [\bar{N}_{f>3}(r, 1; g) + 2\bar{N}_{f>4}(r, 1; g) + \dots] + \bar{N}(r, 1; f | \geq 2). \end{aligned}$$

Now we observe that $\bar{N}(r, 1; f | \geq 2) = \bar{N}_L(r, 1; g) + \bar{N}_L(r, 1; f) + \bar{N}_E^{(2)}(r, 1; f)$, and $\bar{N}_L(r, 1; g) - [\bar{N}_{f<4}(r, 1; g) + 2\bar{N}_{f<5}(r, 1; g) + 3\bar{N}_{f<6}(r, 1; g) + \dots] \leq \bar{N}_{g>2}(r, 1; f)$ and $\bar{N}_L(r, 1; f) - [\bar{N}_{f>3}(r, 1; g) + 2\bar{N}_{f>4}(r, 1; g) + \dots] \leq \bar{N}_{f>2}(r, 1; g)$.

Thus from above we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \bar{N}(r, 1; f) + \bar{N}(r, 1; g) \\ & \leq \bar{N}_*(r, 0; f, g) + \bar{N}_*(r, \infty; f, g) - \bar{N}_L(r, 1; g) + T(r, g) - m(r, 1; g) \\ & \quad + \bar{N}_0(r, 0; g') + \bar{N}_0(r, 0; f') - \bar{N}_E^{(3)}(r, 1; f) + \bar{N}_{g>2}(r, 1; f) + \bar{N}_{f>2}(r, 1; g). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Lemma 8. *If f and g share $(0, 1), (1, 1), (\infty, k)$, then*

- (i) $\overline{N}_*(r, 0; f, g) \leq \overline{N}(r, 0; f | \geq 2) \leq \overline{N}_*(r, 1; f, g) + \overline{N}(r, \infty; f | \geq k + 1)$,
- (ii) $\overline{N}(r, 1, f | > 2, g | > 2) \leq 2\overline{N}(r, \infty; f | \geq k + 1)$.

Proof. Let $\phi_1 = \frac{f'}{f-1} - \frac{g'}{g-1}$, $\phi_2 = \frac{f'}{f} - \frac{g'}{g}$ and $\phi_3 = \phi_1 - \phi_2$. Since $H \neq 0$, we have $f \neq g$ and hence it follows that $\phi_i \neq 0$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{N}_*(r, 0; f, g) &\leq \overline{N}(r, 0; f | \geq 2) \\ &\leq N(r, 0; \phi_1) \\ &\leq T(r, f) + O(1) = N(r, \infty; \phi_1) + S(r) \\ &\leq \overline{N}_*(r, 1; f, g) + \overline{N}(r, \infty; f | \geq k + 1) + S(r) \end{aligned}$$

which is (i).
Again

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{N}(r, 1; f | \geq 2) + \overline{N}(r, 1; f | > 2, g | > 2) &\leq N(r, 0; \phi_2) \leq T(r, \phi_2) + S(r) \\ &= N(r, \infty; \phi_2) + S(r) \\ &= \overline{N}(r, 0; f | \geq 2) + \overline{N}(r, \infty; f | \geq k + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence from above we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\overline{N}(r, 1; f | \geq 2) + \overline{N}(r, 1; f | > 2, g | > 2) \\ &\leq \overline{N}_*(r, 1; f, g) + \overline{N}(r, \infty; f | \geq k + 1) + \overline{N}(r, \infty; f | \geq k + 1) \\ &\leq \overline{N}(r, 1; f | \geq 2) + 2\overline{N}(r, \infty; f | \geq k + 1), \end{aligned}$$

which yields (ii). □

Lemma 9. *If f and g share $(0, 1), (1, 1), (\infty, k)$ and $H \neq 0$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, f) &\leq 2\overline{N}(r, 0; f | = 1) + 2\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + 10\overline{N}(r, \infty; f | \geq k + 1) \\ &+ 4[\overline{N}_{g>2}(r, 1; f) + \overline{N}_{f>2}(r, 1; g)] - m(r, 1; g) - \overline{N}_L(r, 1; g) - \overline{N}_E^{(3)}(r, 1; f) + S(r). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We denote by $N_0(r, 0; f')$ the counting function of those zeros of f' which are not the zeros of $f(f - 1)$. Similarly we define $N_0(r, 0; g')$. Then by the Second

Fundamental Theorem and Lemma 7 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& T(r, f) + T(r, g) \\
& \leq \bar{N}(r, 0; f) + \bar{N}(r, \infty; f) + \bar{N}(r, 1; f) + \bar{N}(r, 0; g) + \bar{N}(r, \infty; g) + \bar{N}(r, 1; g) \\
& \quad - N_0(r, 0; g') - N_0(r, 0; f') + S(r) \\
& \leq 2\bar{N}(r, 0; f \mid = 1) + 2\bar{N}(r, 0; f \mid \geq 2) + 2\bar{N}(r, \infty; f) + [\bar{N}_*(r, 0; f, g) \\
& \quad + \bar{N}_*(r, \infty; f, g) - \bar{N}_L(r, 1; g) - \bar{N}_E^{(3)}(r, 1; f) + \bar{N}_0(r, 0; g') + \bar{N}_0(r, 0; f') + T(r, g) \\
& \quad - m(r, 1; g) + \bar{N}_{g>2}(r, 1; f) + \bar{N}_{f>2}(r, 1; g)] - N_0(r, 0; g') - N_0(r, 0; f') + S(r) \\
& \leq 2\bar{N}(r, 0; f \mid = 1) + 2\bar{N}(r, \infty; f) + 2\bar{N}(r, 0; f \mid \geq 2) + \bar{N}_*(r, 0; f, g) \\
& \quad + \bar{N}(r, \infty; f \mid \geq k+1) - \bar{N}_L(r, 1; g) - \bar{N}_E^{(3)}(r, 1; f) + T(r, g) - m(r, 1; g) \\
& \quad + \bar{N}_{g>2}(r, 1; f) + \bar{N}_{f>2}(r, 1; g) + S(r) \\
& \leq 2\bar{N}(r, 0; f \mid = 1) + 2\bar{N}(r, \infty; f) + 3\bar{N}(r, 0; f \mid \geq 2) + \bar{N}(r, \infty; f \mid \geq k+1) \\
& \quad - \bar{N}_L(r, 1; g) - \bar{N}_E^{(3)}(r, 1; f) + T(r, g) - m(r, 1; g) + \bar{N}_{g>2}(r, 1; f) \\
& \quad + \bar{N}_{f>2}(r, 1; g) + S(r).
\end{aligned}$$

Now using (i) of Lemma 8 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& T(r, f) + T(r, g) \\
& \leq 2\bar{N}(r, 0; f \mid = 1) + 2\bar{N}(r, \infty; f) + 3[\bar{N}_*(r, 1; f, g) + \bar{N}(r, \infty; f \mid \geq k+1)] \\
& \quad + \bar{N}(r, \infty; f \mid \geq k+1) - \bar{N}_L(r, 1; g) - \bar{N}_E^{(3)}(r, 1; f) + T(r, g) - m(r, 1; g) \\
& \quad + \bar{N}_{g>2}(r, 1; f) + \bar{N}_{f>2}(r, 1; g) + S(r).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain from above using (ii) of Lemma 8

$$\begin{aligned}
& T(r, f) \\
& \leq 2\bar{N}(r, 0; f \mid = 1) + 2\bar{N}(r, \infty; f) + 4\bar{N}(r, \infty; f \mid \geq k+1) + \bar{N}_{g>2}(r, 1; f) \\
& \quad + \bar{N}_{f>2}(r, 1; g) + 3[\bar{N}_{g>2}(r, 1; f) + \bar{N}_{f>2}(r, 1; g) + \bar{N}(r, 1; f \mid > 2, g \mid > 2)] \\
& \quad - m(r, 1; g) - \bar{N}_L(r, 1; g) - \bar{N}_E^{(3)}(r, 1; f) + S(r) \\
& \leq 2\bar{N}(r, 0; f \mid = 1) + 2\bar{N}(r, \infty; f) + 4\bar{N}(r, \infty; f \mid \geq k+1) + 6\bar{N}(r, \infty; f \mid \geq k+1) \\
& \quad + 4\bar{N}_{g>2}(r, 1; f) + 4\bar{N}_{f>2}(r, 1; g) - m(r, 1; g) - \bar{N}_L(r, 1; g) - \bar{N}_E^{(3)}(r, 1; f) + S(r) \\
& = 2\bar{N}(r, 0; f \mid = 1) + 2\bar{N}(r, \infty; f) + 10\bar{N}(r, \infty; f \mid \geq k+1) + 4\bar{N}_{g>2}(r, 1; f) \\
& \quad + 4\bar{N}_{f>2}(r, 1; g) - m(r, 1; g) - \bar{N}_L(r, 1; g) - \bar{N}_E^{(3)}(r, 1; f) + S(r).
\end{aligned}$$

This proves the lemma. \square

Lemma 10. *If f and g share $(0, 0)$, $(1, 0)$, $(\infty, 0)$ and $H \neq 0$, then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 &T(r, f) \\
 &\leq 3\bar{N}(r, 0; f) + 3\bar{N}(r, \infty; f) + [\bar{N}_{g>1}(r, 1; f) + \bar{N}_{f>1}(r, 1; g)] + \bar{N}_L(r, 1; f) \\
 &\quad - \bar{N}_E^{(1)}(r, 1; f) - \bar{N}_E^{(1)}(r, \infty; f) - m(r, 1; g) - \bar{N}_E^{(3)}(r, 1; f) + S(r).
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By the Second Fundamental Theorem we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &T(r, f) + T(r, g) \\
 &\leq \bar{N}(r, 0; f) + \bar{N}(r, \infty; f) + \bar{N}(r, 1; f) + \bar{N}(r, 0; g) + \bar{N}(r, \infty; g) + \bar{N}(r, 1; g) - N_0(r, 0; g') \\
 &\quad - N_0(r, \infty; g') + S(r) \text{ [where } N_0(r, 0; g'), N_0(r, \infty; g') \text{ are same as Lemma 9]} \\
 &= 2\bar{N}(r, 0; f) + 2\bar{N}(r, \infty; f) + \bar{N}(r, 1; f) + \bar{N}(r, 1; g) - N_0(r, 0; g') - N_0(r, \infty; g') + S(r).
 \end{aligned}$$

Now by Lemma 3, 5, and 6 we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\bar{N}(r, 1; f) + \bar{N}(r, 1; g) \\
 &= \bar{N}_L(r, 1; f) + \bar{N}_L(r, 1; g) + \bar{N}_E^{(1)}(r, 1; f) + \bar{N}_E^{(2)}(r, 1; f) + \bar{N}(r, 1; g) \\
 &\leq \bar{N}_E^{(1)}(r, 1; f) + \bar{N}_L(r, 1; f) + \bar{N}_L(r, 1; g) + \bar{N}_E^{(2)}(r, 1; f) + N(r, 1; g) - \bar{N}_E^{(2)}(r, 1; f) \\
 &\quad - \bar{N}_E^{(3)}(r, 1; f) - \bar{N}_L(r, 1; f) - 2\bar{N}_L(r, 1; g) + [\bar{N}_{g>1}(r, 1; f) + \bar{N}_{f>1}(r, 1; g)] \\
 &\leq N(r, H) + T(r, g) - m(r, 1; g) - \bar{N}_L(r, 1; g) - \bar{N}_E^{(3)}(r, 1; f) \\
 &\quad + [\bar{N}_{g>1}(r, 1; f) + \bar{N}_{f>1}(r, 1; g)] \\
 &\leq \bar{N}_*(r, 0; f, g) + \bar{N}_*(r, \infty; f, g) + \bar{N}_*(r, 1; f, g) + \bar{N}_0(r, 0; f') + \bar{N}_0(r, 0; g') \\
 &\quad + T(r, g) - m(r, 1; g) - \bar{N}_L(r, 1; g) - \bar{N}_E^{(3)}(r, 1; f) + [\bar{N}_{g>1}(r, 1; f) + \bar{N}_{f>1}(r, 1; g)] \\
 &= \bar{N}(r, 0; f) - \bar{N}_E^{(1)}(r, 0; f) + \bar{N}(r, \infty; f) - \bar{N}_E^{(1)}(r, \infty; f) + \bar{N}_L(r, 1; f) + T(r, g) \\
 &\quad + \bar{N}_0(r, 0; f') + \bar{N}_0(r, 0; g') - m(r, 1; g) - \bar{N}_E^{(3)}(r, 1; f) \\
 &\quad + [\bar{N}_{g>1}(r, 1; f) + \bar{N}_{f>1}(r, 1; g)].
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore from above we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 &T(r, f) + T(r, g) \\
 &\leq 3\bar{N}(r, 0; f) + 3\bar{N}(r, \infty; f) + \bar{N}_L(r, 1; f) + T(r, g) - m(r, 1; g) - \bar{N}_E^{(1)}(r, 0; f) \\
 &\quad - \bar{N}_E^{(1)}(r, \infty; f) - \bar{N}_E^{(3)}(r, 1; f) + [\bar{N}_{g>1}(r, 1; f) + \bar{N}_{f>1}(r, 1; g)]
 \end{aligned}$$

and hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &T(r, f) \\
 &\leq 3\bar{N}(r, 0; f) + 3\bar{N}(r, \infty; f) + \bar{N}_L(r, 1; f) - m(r, 1; g) - \bar{N}_E^{(1)}(r, 0; f) - \bar{N}_E^{(1)}(r, \infty; f) \\
 &\quad - \bar{N}_E^{(3)}(r, 1; f) + [\bar{N}_{g>1}(r, 1; f) + \bar{N}_{f>1}(r, 1; g)].
 \end{aligned}$$

This proves the lemma. □

Lemma 11([12]). *If f and g share $(0, 0), (1, 0), (\infty, 0)$ and $H \equiv 0$, then f and g share $(0, \infty), (1, \infty), (\infty, \infty)$.*

Lemma 12([9]). *If f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions sharing $(0, \infty), (1, \infty), (\infty, \infty)$ and $f \equiv g$, then*

$$N(r, 0; f | \geq 2) + N(r, 1; f | \geq 2) + N(r, \infty; f | \geq 2) = S(r).$$

Lemma 13([10], [14]). *Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions sharing $0, 1, \infty$, CM.*

If

$$(23) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2\bar{N}(r, 0; f) + 2\bar{N}(r, \infty; f) - m(r, 1; g)}{T(r, f)} < 1$$

then either $f \equiv g$ or $fg \equiv 1$.

3. Proofs of the Theorems

Proof of Theorem 1: Suppose that $H \not\equiv 0$. Then by Lemma 9 we obtain a contradiction to (16). Hence $H \equiv 0$. Therefore by Lemma 11, f and g share $(0, \infty), (1, \infty), (\infty, \infty)$. Therefore by Lemma 12

$$\bar{N}_{g>2}(r, 1; f) + \bar{N}_{f>2}(r, 1; g) + \bar{N}(r, \infty; f | \geq 2) + \bar{N}_L(r, 1; g) + \bar{N}_E^{(2)}(r, 1; f) = S(r).$$

Now by Theorem A our theorem follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 2: Since f and g share $(1, 2)$ it follows that

$$\bar{N}_{g>2}(r, 1; f) + \bar{N}_{f>2}(r, 1; g) = S(r).$$

Again since f, g share $(1, 2)$, f, g share $(1, 1)$ and Theorem 2 follows from Theorem 1. \square

Proof of Theorem 6: Suppose that $H \not\equiv 0$. Then by Lemma 10 we obtain a contradiction of (21). Therefore $H \equiv 0$ and hence by Lemma 11, f and g share $(0, \infty), (1, \infty), (\infty, \infty)$. Therefore, $\bar{N}_E^{(1)}(r, \infty; f) = \bar{N}(r, \infty; f)$ and $\bar{N}_E^{(1)}(r, 0; f) = \bar{N}(r, 0; f)$. Then by Lemma 12 we obtain $N_{\otimes}(r, 1; f, g) + \bar{N}_E^{(3)}(r, 1; f) = S(r)$. Thus by (21) and Lemma 13 the proof follows. This completes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 7: Since f, g share (∞, ∞) , we see that $\bar{N}_E^{(1)}(r, \infty; f) = \bar{N}(r, \infty; f)$ and therefore the theorem follows easily from Theorem 6, remembering that sharing (∞, ∞) implies sharing $(\infty, 0)$. This proves the theorem. \square

Proof of Theorem 5: Suppose that $H \neq 0$. Then by the Second Fundamental Theorem and by Lemma 7 with $k = 0$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & T(r, f) + T(r, g) \\ & \leq 2\bar{N}(r, 0; f) + 2\bar{N}(r, \infty; f) + \bar{N}_*(r, 0; f, g) + \bar{N}_*(r, \infty; f, g) + \bar{N}_0(r, 0; f') \\ & \quad + \bar{N}_0(r, 0; g') + T(r, g) - m(r, 1; g) - \bar{N}_L(r, 1; g) - \bar{N}_E^{(3)}(r, 1; f) \\ & \quad + \bar{N}_{g>2}(r, 1; f) + \bar{N}_{f>2}(r, 1; g) - N_0(r, 0; g') - N_0(r, \infty; f') \\ & \quad + S(r), \text{ [where } N_0(r, 0; g'), N_0(r, \infty; f') \text{ are same as Lemma 9]} \\ & \leq 3\bar{N}(r, 0; f) + 3\bar{N}(r, \infty; f) + T(r, g) - m(r, 1; g) - \bar{N}_E^{(1)}(r, 0; f) - \bar{N}_E^{(1)}(r, \infty; f) \\ & \quad - \bar{N}_E^{(3)}(r, 1; f) + \bar{N}_{f>2}(r, 1; g) + S(r). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & T(r, f) \\ & \leq 3\bar{N}(r, 0; f) + 3\bar{N}(r, \infty; f) - m(r, 1; g) - \bar{N}_E^{(1)}(r, 0; f) - \bar{N}_E^{(1)}(r, \infty; f) - \bar{N}_E^{(3)}(r, 1; f) \\ & \quad + \bar{N}_{f>2}(r, 1; g) + S(r), \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts (20). Hence $H \equiv 0$ and the theorem follows from Lemmas 11, 12 and 13. This completes the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 4: Since f, g share (∞, ∞) , $\bar{N}_E^{(1)}(r, \infty; f) = \bar{N}(r, \infty; f)$ and our theorem follows easily from Theorem 5. This proves the theorem. □

Proof of Theorem 3: Suppose that $H \neq 0$. Since f, g share $(1, 2)$,

$$\bar{N}_{f>2}(r, 1; g) + \bar{N}_{g>2}(r, 1; f) = S(r).$$

Therefore proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 5, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & T(r, f) \\ & \leq 3\bar{N}(r, 0; f) + 3\bar{N}(r, \infty; f) - m(r, 1; g) - \bar{N}_E^{(1)}(r, 0; f) - \bar{N}_E^{(1)}(r, \infty; f) - \bar{N}_E^{(3)}(r, 1; f) \\ & \quad - \bar{N}_L(r, 1; g) + S(r), \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts (18). Hence $H \equiv 0$ and the theorem follows from Lemmas 11, 12 and 13. This completes the proof. □

References

[1] A. Banerjee, *Uniqueness of meromorphic functions that share three values*, The Australian J. Math. Annal. Appl. (<http://ajmaa.org>)3.2(1)(2006), 1-10.

- [2] A. Banerjee, *Meromorphic functions that share three values with finite weight*, Ann. Polon. Math., **91**(1)(2007), 57-69.
- [3] A. Banerjee, *Some further results on Lahiri*, Filomat., **23**(2009), 37-49.
- [4] G. Brosch, *Eindeutigkeitssätze für meromorphe Funktionen*, Thesis, Technical University of Aachen, 1989.
- [5] J. Chen, S. Shen and W. C. Lin, *Meromorphic functions sharing three values with weight*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math., **8**(1)(2007), Ary.19, [<http://jipam.vu.edu.au/>].
- [6] W. K. Hayman, *Meromorphic Functions*, Clarendon Press, Oxford(1964).
- [7] I. Lahiri, *Weighted sharing and uniqueness of meromorphic functions*, Nagoya Math. J., **161**(2001), 193-206.
- [8] I. Lahiri, *Weighted value sharing and uniqueness of meromorphic functions*, Complex Var., **46**(2001), 241-253.
- [9] I. Lahiri, *Weighted sharing of three values and uniqueness of meromorphic functions*, Kodai Math. J., **24**(2001), 421-435.
- [10] I. Lahiri, *Meromorphic functions sharing three values*, Southeast Asian Bull. Math., **26**(2003), 961-966.
- [11] H. Ueda, *Unicity theorems for meromorphic or entire functions II*, Kodai Math. J., **6**(1983), 26-36.
- [12] H. X. Yi, *Meromorphic functions that share three values*, Bull. Hong Kong Math. Soc., **2**(1998), 679-688.
- [13] H. X. Yi, *Meromorphic functions that share two or three values II*, Kodai Math. J., **22**(1999), 264-272.
- [14] H. X. Yi, *Meromorphic functions that share three values*, Bull. Hong Kong Math. Soc., **2**(1998), 679-688.
- [15] H. X. Yi and Thamir C Alzahari, *Weighted value sharing and a question of I. Lahiri*, Complex Var Theory Appl., **49**(15)(2004), 1063-1078.