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Abstract. In this paper, we investigate uniqueness problems of meromorphic functions sharing a small function with their differential polynomials, and give some results which are related to a conjecture of R. Brück, and also improve several previous results.

## 1. Introduction

In what follows, a meromorphic (resp. entire) function always means a function which is meromorphic (resp. analytic) in the whole complex plane. We will use the standard notation in Nevanlinna's value distribution theory of meromorphic functions, see, e.g., $[10,12,18]$. As for the standard notation in the uniqueness theory of meromorphic functions, suppose that $f, g$ are meromorphic and $a \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}=\mathbb{C} \cup\{\infty\}$, resp. $a$ is a small meromorphic function in the usual Nevanlinna theory sense. Denoting by $E(a, f)$ the set of those points $z \in C$ where $f(z)=a$, resp. $f(z)=a(z)$, we say that $f, g$ share $a$ IM (ignoring multiplicities), if $E(a, f)=E(a, g)$. Provided that $E(a, f)=E(a, g)$ and the multiplicities of the zeros of $f(z)-a$ and $g(z)-a$ are the same at each $z \in C$, then $f, g$ share $a$ CM (counting multiplicities).

Meromorphic functions sharing values with their derivatives has become a subject of great interest in uniqueness theory recently. The paper [17] by Rubel and Yang is the starting point of this topic, along with the following.

Theorem A. Let $f$ be a nonconstant entire function. If $f$ and $f^{\prime}$ share two distinct finite values $C M$, then $f=f^{\prime}$.

Examples of investigations in this field might be Mues and Steinmetz [16], Frank and Schwick [4], Yang [19], Gundersen [6-8]. In additional, we recall the following two representative results: Let $k$ be a positive integer. If a meromorphic (resp. entire) function $f$ shares two distinct finite values CM (resp. IM) with $f^{(k)}$, then $f=f^{(k)}$. For the proof, see [5] and [13].
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The following counterexample from [20] shows that the number 2 of shared values in the above results is necessary. Let $k$ be a positive integer, and let $f=$ $e^{b z}+a-1$, where $a$ and $b$ are constants satisfying $b^{k} \neq 1$ and $a=b^{k}$. Clearly, $f$ and $f^{(k)}$ share $a$ CM, yet $f$ and $f^{(k)}$ are not the same.

In order to get uniqueness theorems when a meromorphic function shares one finite value with its $k$-th derivative, some additional condition might be needed.

In 2003, Yu [23] considered the uniqueness problems with deficiency condition and obtained the following result.

Theorem B. Let $f$ be a nonconstant entire function, $k$ be a positive integer, and let $a$ be a small meromorphic function with respect to $f$ such that $a(z) \not \equiv 0, \infty$. If $f-a$ and $f^{(k)}-a$ share the value $0 C M$ and $\delta(0, f)>\frac{3}{4}$, then $f=f^{(k)}$.

For the other papers on this topic, the reader is invited to see the recent papers Lahiri [11], Zhang [24], Liu and Gu [14]. Theorem C below due to Lü and Zhang [15] is a closely related result involving linear differential polynomials. For shortness, we denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(f)=f^{(k)}+a_{k-1} f^{(k-1)}+\cdots+a_{1} f^{\prime} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{j}(j=1, \ldots, k-1)$ are small meromorphic functions with respect to $f$.
Theorem C. Let $f$ be a nonconstant meromorphic function, $n, k$ be positive integers and $a(z)$ be a small meromorphic function with respect to $f$ such that $a(z) \not \equiv 0, \infty$. Let $L(f)$ be given by (1.1). Suppose that $f^{n}$ and $L(f)$ share a IM (resp. CM) and $6 \delta(0, f)+(2 k+6) \Theta(\infty, f)>2 k+11$ (resp. $3 \delta(0, f)+3 \Theta(\infty, f)>5$ ), then $f^{n}=L(f)$.

Recently, the present author and Yang [26] considered $f^{n}$ sharing a small function with its $k$-th derivatives and got the following result.

Theorem D. Let $f$ be a nonconstant meromorphic function, $n, k$ be positive integers and $a(z)$ be a small meromorphic function with respect to $f$ such that $a(z) \not \equiv 0, \infty$. If $f^{n}-a$ and $\left(f^{n}\right)^{(k)}-a$ share the value 0 IM and

$$
n>2 k+3+\sqrt{(2 k+3)(k+3)},
$$

then $f^{n}=\left(f^{n}\right)^{(k)}$, and $f$ assumes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=c e^{\frac{\lambda}{n} z} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ is a nonzero constant and $\lambda^{k}=1$.
It is natural to ask whether $n$ can be reduced in Theorem D . We give a result improving Theorem D in Section 2. In Section 3, we improve Theorem C by relaxing the deficiency condition. We offer some concluding remarks in the final Section 4.

## 2. Improvement of Theorem D

In order to get a general result, we consider $f^{n}$ sharing a small meromorphic function with its differential polynomial $L\left(f^{n}\right)$, and obtain the following result.

Theorem 2.1. Suppose that $f$ is a meromorphic function, $n$ and $k$ are positive integers satisfying $n>2 k+2$. Let $L(f)$ be given by (1.1) and $a(z)$ be a small meromorphic function with respect to $f$ such that $a(z) \not \equiv 0, \infty$. If $f^{n}$ and $L\left(f^{n}\right)$ sharing $a(z) I M$, then $f^{n}=L\left(f^{n}\right)$.

The following corollary that improves Theorem D comes from Theorem 2.1 immediately.

Corollary 2.2. Let $f$ be a nonconstant meromorphic function, $n, k$ be positive integers and $a(z)$ be a small meromorphic function with respect to $f$ such that $a(z) \not \equiv$ $0, \infty$. If $f^{n}$ and $\left(f^{n}\right)^{(k)}$ share the value a IM and $n>2 k+2$, then $f^{n}=\left(f^{n}\right)^{(k)}$, and $f$ assumes the form (1.2).

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Denote

$$
F=\frac{f^{n}}{a}, \quad G=\frac{L\left(f^{n}\right)}{a}
$$

Since $f^{n}$ and $L\left(f^{n}\right)$ share $a(z)$ IM, then $F$ and $G$ share 1 IM except the zeros and poles of $a(z)$. Thus

$$
\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)=\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right)+S(r, f)
$$

Suppose that $F \neq G$. Noting the above equation and using logarithmic derivative theorem, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) & \leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G / F-1}\right)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq T(r, G / F)+S(r, f) \\
& =N\left(r, L\left(f^{n}\right) / f^{n}\right)+m\left(r, L\left(f^{n}\right) / f^{n}\right)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq k \bar{N}(r, f)+N_{k}\left(r, 1 / f^{n}\right)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq k \bar{N}(r, f)+k \bar{N}(r, 1 / f)+S(r, f) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting this into the second main theorem, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
T\left(r, f^{n}\right) & =T(r, F)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq \bar{N}(r, F)+\bar{N}(r, 1 / F)+\bar{N}(r, 1 /(F-1))+S(r, F) \\
& \leq(k+1) \bar{N}(r, f)+(k+1) \bar{N}(r, 1 / f)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq(2 k+2) T(r, f)+S(r, f),
\end{aligned}
$$

which means $n \leq 2 k+2$, a contradiction. Then $F=G$. The assertion follows.

## 3. Improvement of Theorem C

In this section, we consider the case that $f^{n}$ shares a small function with its differential polynomial $L(f)$, and get the following result.

Theorem 3.1. Let $k(\geq 1)$, $n(\geq 2)$ be integers and $f$ be a nonconstant meromorphic function, and let a be a small meromorphic function with respect to $f$ such that $a(z) \not \equiv 0, \infty$. Let $L(f)$ be given by (1.1). Suppose that $f^{n}$ and $L(f)$ share a IM and

$$
\begin{equation*}
6 \delta(0, f)+(2 k+6) \Theta(\infty, f)>2 k+12-n, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

or $f^{n}$ and $L(f)$ share a $C M$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 \delta(0, f)+(3+k) \Theta(\infty, f)>k+6-n \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f^{n}=L(f)$.
Remark 1. The deficiency condition (3.1) is weaker than $6 \delta(0, f)+(2 k+$ $6) \Theta(\infty, f)>2 k+11$ when $n \geq 2$, and (3.2) is weaker than $3 \delta(0, f)+3 \Theta(\infty, f)>5$ when $n \geq 1+\frac{k}{3}$. Therefore, Theorem 3.1 improves Theorem C when $f^{n}$ and $L(f)$ share $a$ IM. If $n \geq 1+\frac{k}{3}$, Theorem 3.1 improves Theorem C when $f^{n}$ and $L(f)$ share $a \mathrm{CM}$.

In order to prove Theorem 2.1, we need the following lemmas. Firstly, we will give some notions.

Let $p$ be a positive integer and $a \in \mathbb{C} \bigcup\{\infty\}$. We denote by $N_{p)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)$ the counting function of the zeros of $f-a$ with the multiplicities less than or equal to $p$, and by $N_{(p+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)$ the counting function of the zeros of $f-a$ with the multiplicities larger than $p$; each point in these counting functions is counted only once. However, $N_{p}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)$ denotes the counting function of the zeros of $f-a$ where $m$-fold zeros are counted $m$ times if $m \leq p$ and $p$ times if $m>p$. Obviously, $\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)=N_{1}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)$.

Let $F$ and $G$ be two nonconstant meromorphic functions such that $F$ and $G$ share the value 1 IM . Let $z_{0}$ be a 1-point of $F$ of order $p$, a 1-point of $G$ of order $q$. We denote by $N_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)$ the counting function of those 1-points of $F$ where $p>q$; by $N_{E}^{1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)$ the counting function of those 1-points of $F$ where $p=q=1$; by $N_{E}^{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)$ the counting function of those 1-points of $F$ where $p=q \geq 2$; each point in these counting functions is counted only once. In the same way, we can define $N_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right), N_{E}^{1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right)$, and $N_{E}^{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right)$ (see [22]). Particularly, if $F$ and $G$ share 1 CM , then

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)=N_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right)=0 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

With these notations, if $F$ and $G$ share 1 IM , it is easy to see that

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) & =N_{E}^{1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+N_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)  \tag{3.4}\\
& +N_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right)+N_{E}^{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right)=\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 3.2( [21], Lemma 3). Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\left(\frac{F^{\prime \prime}}{F^{\prime}}-\frac{2 F^{\prime}}{F-1}\right)-\left(\frac{G^{\prime \prime}}{G^{\prime}}-\frac{2 G^{\prime}}{G-1}\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F$ and $G$ are two nonconstant meromorphic functions. If $H \neq 0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{E}^{1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) \leq N(r, H)+S(r, F)+S(r, G) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.3. Suppose that two nonconstant meromorphic functions $F$ and $G$ share 1 and $\infty$ IM. Let $H$ be given by (3.5). If $H \neq 0$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
T(r, F)+T(r, G) & \leq 3 \bar{N}(r, F)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+N_{E}^{1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)  \tag{3.7}\\
& +2 N_{E}^{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+3 N_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+3 N_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) \\
& +S(r, F)+S(r, G) .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Since $F$ and $G$ share $\infty$ IM, we deduce from (3.5) that

$$
\begin{align*}
N(r, H) & \leq \bar{N}(r, F)+N_{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+N_{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+N_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)  \tag{3.8}\\
& +N_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right)+N_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{F^{\prime}}\right)+N_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{G^{\prime}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $N_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{F^{\prime}}\right)$ denotes the counting function corresponding to the zeros of $F^{\prime}$ which are not the zeros of $F$ and $F-1, N_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{G^{\prime}}\right)$ denotes the counting function corresponding to the zeros of $G^{\prime}$ which are not the zeros of $G$ and $G-1$. The second main theorem yields

$$
\begin{align*}
T(r, F) & \leq \bar{N}(r, F)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)-N_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{F^{\prime}}\right)+S(r, F)  \tag{3.9}\\
T(r, G) & \leq \bar{N}(r, G)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right)-N_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{G^{\prime}}\right)+S(r, G) \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Noting that $F$ and $G$ share 1 IM , it is easy to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) & =2 N_{E}^{1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+2 N_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) \\
& +2 N_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right)+2 N_{E}^{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Lemma 3.2 and substituting (3.8) into above equation, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) & +\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) \leq \bar{N}(r, F)+N_{E}^{1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+3 N_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)  \tag{3.11}\\
& +3 N_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right)+2 N_{E}^{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right)+N_{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) \\
& +N_{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+N_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{F^{\prime}}\right)+N_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{G^{\prime}}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

The assertion follows by combining (3.9), (3.10) and (3.12).
Lemma 3.4( [25], Lemma 2.4). Suppose that $f$ is a nonconstant meromorphic function and $k, p$ are positive integers. Let $L(f)$ be given by (1.1). Then

$$
N_{p}(r, 1 / L(f)) \leq k \bar{N}(r, f)+N_{p+k}(r, 1 / f)+S(r, f)
$$

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=\frac{f^{n}}{a}, \quad G=\frac{L(f)}{a} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $H$ be given by (3.5). Suppose that $H \neq 0$. We discuss the following two cases.
Case 1. Suppose that $f^{n}$ and $L(f)$ share $a$ IM. Then $F$ and $G$ share $1, \infty$ IM except the zeros and poles of $a$. From Lemma 3.3, we have (3.7). Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{E}^{1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+2 N_{E}^{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) & +N_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+2 N_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) \\
& \leq N\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) \leq T(r, G)+O(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

we get from (3.7) and (3.12) that

$$
\begin{align*}
T(r, F) \leq & 3 \bar{N}(r, F)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+2 N_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)  \tag{3.13}\\
& +N_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right)+S(r, F)+S(r, G) \\
\leq & 3 \bar{N}(r, f)+2 \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{L(f)}\right)+2 N_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) \\
& +N_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right)+S(r, f) .
\end{align*}
$$

By Lemma 3.4 and (3.12), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{L(f)}\right) & \leq k \bar{N}(r, f)+N_{2+k}(r, 1 / f)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq k \bar{N}(r, f)+N(r, 1 / f)+S(r, f), \\
N_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) & \leq N\left(r, \frac{F}{F^{\prime}}\right) \leq N\left(r, \frac{F^{\prime}}{F}\right)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq \bar{N}(r, F)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq \bar{N}(r, f)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+S(r, f), \\
N_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) & \leq N\left(r, \frac{G}{G^{\prime}}\right) \leq N\left(r, \frac{G^{\prime}}{G}\right)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq \bar{N}(r, G)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq(k+1) \bar{N}(r, f)+N_{k+1}(r, 1 / f)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq(k+1) \bar{N}(r, f)+N(r, 1 / f)+S(r, f)
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting the above three inequalities into (3.13) yields

$$
T(r, F) \leq(2 k+6) \bar{N}(r, f)+6 N(r, 1 / f)+S(r, f)
$$

Noting that $T(r, F)=n T(r, f)+S(r, f)$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
n T(r, f) \leq(2 k+6) \bar{N}(r, f)+6 N(r, 1 / f)+S(r, f) \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

which contradicts with (3.1).
Case 2. Suppose that $f^{n}$ and $L(f)$ share $a$ CM. Then $F$ and $G$ share 1 CM, $\infty$ IM except the zeros and poles of $a$. By the same reasoning discussed in Case 1, we obtain (3.13). Since now (3.3) holds, we have

$$
T(r, F) \leq 3 \bar{N}(r, f)+2 \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{L(f)}\right)+S(r, f)
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
n T(r, f) & \leq 3 \bar{N}(r, f)+2 \bar{N}(r, 1 / f)+k \bar{N}(r, f)+N_{2+k}(r, 1 / f)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq(k+3) \bar{N}(r, f)+3 N(r, 1 / f)+S(r, f),
\end{aligned}
$$

which contradicts with (3.2). Therefore, $H=0$. By integration, we get from (3.5) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{F-1}=\frac{A}{G-1}+B \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A(\neq 0)$ and B are constants. From (3.15) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=\frac{(B-A) F+(A-B-1)}{B F-(B+1)} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

We discuss the following three cases.
Case I. Suppose that $B \neq 0,-1$. From (3.16) we have $\bar{N}\left(r, 1 /\left(F-\frac{B+1}{B}\right)\right)=$ $\bar{N}(r, G)$. From the second fundamental theorem, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
n T(r, f) & \leq T(r, F)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq \bar{N}(r, F)+\bar{N}(r, 1 / F)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-\frac{B+1}{B}}\right)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq \bar{N}(r, 1 / f)+\bar{N}(r, F)+\bar{N}(r, G)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq \bar{N}(r, 1 / f)+2 \bar{N}(r, f)+S(r, f)
\end{aligned}
$$

which contradicts with (3.1) and (3.2).
Case II. Suppose that $B=0$. From (3.16) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=A F-(A-1) . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $A \neq 1$, from (3.17) we obtain $\bar{N}\left(r, 1 /\left(F-\frac{A-1}{A}\right)\right)=\bar{N}(r, 1 / G)$. By Lemma 3.4 and the second fundamental theorem, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
n T(r, f) & \leq T(r, F)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq \bar{N}(r, F)+\bar{N}(r, 1 / F)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-\frac{A-1}{A}}\right)+S(r, f) \\
& =\bar{N}(r, f)+\bar{N}(r, 1 / f)+N_{1}(r, 1 / G)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq(k+1) \bar{N}(r, f)+2 N(r, 1 / f)+S(r, f),
\end{aligned}
$$

which contradicts with (3.1) and (3.2). Thus $A=1$. From (3.17) we have $F=G$. Then $f^{n}=L(f)$.

Case III. Suppose that $B=-1$. From (3.16) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=\frac{(A+1) F-A}{F} . \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $A \neq-1$, we obtain from (3.18) that $\bar{N}\left(r, 1 /\left(F-\frac{A}{A+1}\right)\right)=\bar{N}(r, 1 / G)$. By the same reasoning discussed in Case II, we obtain a contradiction. Hence $A=-1$. From (3.18), we get $F \cdot G=1$, that is

$$
f^{n} \cdot L(f)=a^{2},
$$

and

$$
N(r, f)=S(r, f), \quad N(r, 1 / f)=S(r, f)
$$

From the last three equations, we have

$$
T\left(r, \frac{f^{n+1}}{a^{2}}\right)=T\left(r, \frac{a^{2}}{f^{n+1}}\right)+O(1)=T\left(r, \frac{L(f)}{f}\right)+O(1)=S(r, f)
$$

So $T(r, f)=S(r, f)$, which is impossible. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.5. Let $k$, $n$ be positive integers and $f$ be a nonconstant meromorphic function, and let $L(f)$ be given by (1.1). If $n>2 k+12$ (resp. $n>k+6$ ), then there does not exist a small function $a(z)(\not \equiv 0, \infty)$ with respect to $f$ such that $f^{n}$ and $L(f)$ share a IM (resp. CM).
Proof. Suppose that there exists a small function $a(z)$ satisfying the condition of the Theorem 3.5. Then we obtain $f^{n}=L(f)$ by Theorem 3.1.

Suppose that $z_{0}$ is a pole of $f$ with the multiplicity $p$. Then $z_{0}$ is a pole of $f^{n}$ and $L(f)$ with the multiplicity $n p$ and $k+p$ respectively. Thus $n p=k+p$ and $k=(n-1) p \geq(n-1)$, which is a contradiction. So, $f$ is an entire function. Then

$$
(n-1) T(r, f)=T\left(r, f^{n-1}\right)=m\left(r, f^{n-1}\right)=m\left(r, \frac{L(f)}{f}\right)=S(r, f)
$$

which is impossible since $n>1$.
Remark 2. From the proof of Theorem 3.5. We know that Theorem 3.1 is valid when $n \leq k+1$.

## 4. Concluding remarks

As for an entire function sharing a finite value with its derivative, the following conjecture proposed by Brück [2] is widely studied:

Conjecture. Let $f$ be a nonconstant entire function. Suppose that the hyper-order of $f$,

$$
\rho_{2}(f):=\limsup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log T(r, f)}{\log r},
$$

is not a positive integer or infinite. If $f$ and $f^{\prime}$ share one finite value a $C M$, then

$$
\frac{f^{\prime}-a}{f-a}=c
$$

for some non-zero constant $c$.
The conjecture has been verified in special cases only: (1) $\rho_{2}(f)<\frac{1}{2}$, see [3]; (2) $a=0$, see $[2]$; (3) $N\left(r, 1 / f^{\prime}\right)=S(r, f)$, see [2]. However, the corresponding
conjecture for meromorphic functions fails in general, as shown by Gundersen and Yang [9], while it remains true in the case of $N\left(r, 1 / f^{\prime}\right)=S(r, f)$, see Al-Khaladi [1].

Theorem 2.1 shows that the conjecture holds if a meromorphic function $f^{n}$ shares 1 IM with $\left(f^{n}\right)^{\prime}$, where $n>4$ is an integer. A natural question is:

Question 4.1. Can $n$ in Theorem 2.1 be reduced?
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