# Chlorinated Hydroquinone Derivatives of Fruiting Body of *Russula subnigricans*\*1

Dong-Joo Kwon\*2 and Young-Soo Bae\*2†

#### **ABSTRACT**

The 95% aqueous EtOH extract was obtanied from the fruiting body of *Russula subnigricans*. Repeated silica gel column chromatography and preparative TLC afforded one fatty acid and three chlorinated hydroquinone derivatives. They were identified as nonadecanoic acid (1), 2,6-dichloro-4-methoxyphenol (2), russuphelin A (3), and russuphelin E (4) on the basis of several spectral data (MS, <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR, including HMBC).

Keywords: Russula subnigricans, peparative TLC, chlorinated hydroquinone, russuphelin A, russuphelin E

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Russula subnigricans is a basidiomycete mushroom which was found in Asia and was named by Japanese mycologist Tsuguo Hongo in 1955 and shares characteristics of the North American fungus R. eccentrica. Ingestion of the mushroom has in recent years led to a spate of mushroom poisonings in Japan and elsewhere. Initial symptoms include nausea and diarrhea, which can start within half an hour of eating the toxic mushrooms (Kim et al., 2009). R. subnigricans has ivory to brown cap, ivory stem and distant gills staining reddish brown gradually. Poisoning with this mushroom has been known to occur because of its similarity to R. nigricans, an edible mushroom (Imazeki and Hongo,

1989; Ohta *et al.*, 1995). The potential toxicity of these mushrooms has been known in Japan since 1954, and chemical constituents have been previously isolated and identified several amino acids ((2*S*,3*R*)-(-)-3-hydroxybaikiain, (*S*)-(-)-baikiain, (*S*)-(-)-pipecolic acid) and sterol derivatives (ergosterol, ergosteryl peroxide, cerevisterol) (Kusano *et al.*, 1987). Chlorinated hydroquinone derivatives, russuphelol, russuphelin A, B, C, D, E and F were identified as cytotoxic compounds (Takahashi *et al.*, 1992, 1993; Ohta *et al.*, 1995). Cycloprop-2-ene carboxylic acid is fairly well known to synthetic organic chemists but has never before been observed in a biological system (Kim *et al.*, 2009).

Poisonous mushrooms have attracted the attention of many scientists because of the unique

<sup>\*1</sup> Received on March 9, 2010; accepted on April 13, 2010

<sup>\*2</sup> Department of Forest Biomaterials Engineering, College of Forest and Environmental Sciences, Kangwon National University, Chuncheon 200-701, Korea

<sup>†</sup> Corresponding author : Young-Soo Bae (e-mail: bae@kangwon.ac.kr)

chemical structures and the remarkable biological properties of their toxic components. At the present, a variety of mushroom toxins have been characterized, and some of them have become useful for biomedical research. Poisonous mushrooms can serve for a resource of biomedical application. Therefore, this study was carried out to investigate the chemical constituents of fruiting body of *R. subnigricans*, which was separated by preparative TLC method. Their chemical structures were identified by spectroscopic methods including <sup>1</sup>H-, <sup>13</sup>C-NMR, HMBC, MALDI-TOF MS and EI-MS.

## 2. MATERIALS and METHODS

#### 2.1. General Experimental

<sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectra were measured with a Bruker DPX 400 (Germany) spectrometer in DMSO-d<sub>6</sub> and CDCl<sub>3</sub> operating at 400 MHz and 100 MHz, repectively. MALDI-TOF MS spectrum was measured with a Voyager-DE STR mass spectrometer. EI-MS was recorded on a Micromass Autospec M363 spectrometer. Medium pressure liquid chromatography (MPLC) was carried out by a Combiflash Retrieve (ISCO) apparatus with the columns containing Silica gel (230~400 mesh). Preparative TLC was preformed on Silica gel 60 F<sub>254</sub> glass plates (1 mm, 20 × 20 cm) (Merck), developed with dichloromethane (DCM)-MeOH (3:1, v/v) and benzene-acetone (8: 2, v/v), and visualized by UV light (254 and 365 nm).

#### 2.2. Material

The fruiting body of *Russula subnigricans* was collected from the Research Forest of Kangwon National University in July, 2008. The species was identified by Professor Jong-Kyu Lee of the Department of Forest Resources Protection.

## 2.3. Extraction and Isolation

The 42.6 g of fruiting body of R. subnigricans were cut into small piece and extracted 3 times with 95% aqueous EtOH (1  $\ell$ ) at room temperature. After filtration (Advantec No. 2), filtrates were combined and evaporated on a rotary evaporator under the reduced pressure at 40°C. The extracts of R. subnigricans (4 g) were chromatographed on a Silica gel column (40 g,  $3 \times 15$  cm) using a gradient solvent system of hexane-EtOAc (3 :  $1 \sim 1$  : 1, v/v) to give 3 fractions (RS1~RS3). RS1 fraction was applied to a preparative TLC with DCM-MeOH (3:1, v/v) to afford compounds 1 (19 mg), 2 (13 mg) and 3 (25 mg). RS2 and RS3 fractions were reapplied to a preparative TLC with benzene-acetone (8 : 2, v/v) to afford compounds 3 (20 mg) and 4 (15 mg).

#### 2.3.1. Nonadecanoic Acid (1)

EI-MS : Calculated for  $C_{19}H_{38}O_2$  298, Found m/z 298  $[M]^+$ .

<sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz, δ, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 0.88 (3H, t, J = 6.5 and 7.0 Hz, H-19), 1.25 ~ 1.30 (30H, br s, H-4 ~ H-18), 1.63 (2H, m, H-3), 2.35 (2H, t, J = 7.5 and 7.5 Hz, H-2).

<sup>13</sup>C-NMR (100 MHz, δ, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) : 14.13 (C-19), 22.71 (C-18), 24.69 (C-3), 29.08 (C-4), 29.38 (C-5), 29.45 (C-16), 29.61 (C-6), 29.71 (C-7  $\sim$  C-15), 31.95 (C-17), 34.05 (C-2), 180.05 (C-1).

#### 2.3.2. 2.6-dichloro-4-methoxyphenol (2)

EI-MS: Calculated for  $C_7H_6Cl_2O_2$  192, Found m/z 298 [M]<sup>+</sup>, 194 [M+2]<sup>+</sup>, and 196 [M+4]<sup>+</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz,  $\delta$ , DMSO- $d_6$ ) : 3.54 (3H, s, 4-OCH<sub>3</sub>), 6.63 (2H, s, H-3,5).

<sup>13</sup>C-NMR (100 MHz, δ, DMSO- $d_6$ ): 56.35 (4-OCH<sub>3</sub>), 114.27 (C-3,5), 122.06 (C-2,6), 141.12 (C-1), 151.09 (C-4).

Fig. 1. The chemical structures of compounds 1-4.

#### 2.3.3. Russuphelin A (3)

MALDI-TOF MS: Calculated for  $C_{20}H_{14}O_6Cl_4$ 490, Found m/z 490 [M]<sup>+</sup>, 492 [M+2]<sup>+</sup>, 494 [M+4]<sup>+</sup>, 496 [M+6]<sup>+</sup>, and 498 [M+8]<sup>+</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz, δ, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>): 3.42 (3H, *s*, 4-OCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.89 (3H, *s*, 1-OCH<sub>3</sub>), 5.57 (2H, *s*, H-3,5), 6.94 (4H, *s*, H-3',5',3",5").

<sup>13</sup>C-NMR (100 MHz, δ, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>): 55.63 (4-OCH<sub>3</sub>), 60.94 (1-OCH<sub>3</sub>), 93.56 (C-3,5), 116.76 (C-3′,5′,3″,5″), 128.60 (C-2′,6′,2″,6″), 130.91 (C-1), 136.61 (C-1′,1″), 151.97 (C-2,6), 155.60 (C-4′,4″), 158.30 (C-4).

#### 2.3.4. Russuphelin E (4)

EI-MS: Calculated for  $C_{14}H_{11}Cl_3O_4$  347, Found m/z 347  $[M]^+$ , 349  $[M+2]^+$ , and 351  $[M+4]^+$ .

<sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz, δ, DMSO- $d_6$ ): 3.64 (3H, s, 4'-OCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.85 (3H, s, 1-OCH<sub>3</sub>), 5.81 (1H, d, J = 2.8 Hz, H-5), 6.77 (1H, d, J = 2.8 Hz, H-3), 7.08 (2H, s, H-3',5').

<sup>13</sup>C-NMR (100 MHz, δ, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>): 55.70 (4'-OCH<sub>3</sub>), 60.50 (1-OCH<sub>3</sub>), 99.79 (C-3), 106.99 (C-5), 116.11 (C-3',5'), 128.26 (C-2',6'), 129.52 (C-6), 137.05 (C-1), 138.01 (C-1'), 151.08 (C-2), 155.43 (C-4'), 155.85 (C-4).

## 3. RESULTS and DISCUSSION

Compound 1 was obtained as a white amorphous powder, and R<sub>f</sub> value was 0.55 (DCM-MeOH (3 : 1, v/v)). EI-MS spectrum gave a molecular ion of m/z 298 [M]<sup>+</sup> and suggesting a possible molecular formula of C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>38</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. The <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum of 1 indicated the presence of saturated fatty acid signals as several aliphatic methylene protons at  $\delta$  1.25 ~ 1.30 (H-4  $\sim$  H-13),  $\delta$  1.63 (H-3) and 2.35 (H-1), and one methyl protons at  $\delta$  0.88 (H-19). The <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectrum of 1 indicated the presence of one carbonyl carbon at  $\delta$  180.05 (C-1), one methyl carbon at δ 14.13 (C-19) and several methyl carbons. The structure of 1 was identified as nonadecanoic acid based on the above consideration and a comparison with reported data (Al Dulayymi et al., 2005; Budimir et al., 2007). Compound 2 was obtained as a brownish amorphous powder, and R<sub>f</sub> value was 0.70 (DCM-MeOH (3:1, v/v)). The molecular formula was deduced to be C7H6Cl2O2 on the basis of the peak at m/z 192 [M]<sup>+</sup>, 194 [M+2]<sup>+</sup>, and 196 [M+4]<sup>+</sup> in the EI-MS. When chlorine is present, the M+2 peak becomes very significant. If a compound contains two chlorine atoms, a quite distinct M+4 peak, as well as an intense M+2 peak (Pavia *et al.*, 2001). The  $^{1}$ H-NMR spectrum of **2** showed a singlet signal at  $\delta$  6.63 due to a pair of aromatic protons, and one methoxyl protons at  $\delta$  3.54. The  $^{13}$ C-NMR spectrum of **2** exhibited a methoxyl carbon at  $\delta$  56.35, two pairs of symmetric aromatic carbons at  $\delta$  114.27 (C-3,5) and  $\delta$  122.06 (C-2,6), and two oxygenated aromatic carbons at  $\delta$  141.12 (C-1) and  $\delta$  151.09 (C-4). According to the above data, compound **2** was identified as 2,6-dichloro-4-methoxyphenol (Takahashi *et al.*, 1993; Haggblom *et al.*, 1988; Knuutinen *et al.*, 1988).

Compound 3 was obtained as a brownish amorphous powder, and  $R_f$  value was 0.60 (DCM-MeOH (3:1, v/v)) and 0.52 (benzeneacetone (8 : 2, v/v)). The molecular formula C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>14</sub>O<sub>6</sub>Cl<sub>4</sub> was deduced from MALDI-TOF MS. The presence of four chlorine atoms was indicated by the molecular ion cluster at m/z490 [M]<sup>+</sup>, 492 [M+2]<sup>+</sup>, 494 [M+4]<sup>+</sup>, 496  $[M+6]^{+}$ , and 498  $[M+8]^{+}$ . (Takahashi *et al.*, 1992). The <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum of 3 displayed only four singlet signals assignable to two methoxyl protons ( $\delta$  3.42,  $\delta$  3.89) and six aromatic protons ( $\delta$  6.94 (4H, H-3',5',3",5"),  $\delta$ 5.57 (2H, H-3,5)). The <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectrum of **3** revealed only ten carbon signals due to two methoxyl and eight aromatic carbons, accounting for half of the all carbons. In support of the above assignments, long-range H-C couplings (HMBC) were observed 1,4-OCH<sub>3</sub> and C-1,4, H-3,5 and C-1',1", and H-3',5',3",5" and C-1',1" which confirmed the proper structure linkage as shown in Fig. 1. According to the combination of spectroscopic data and literature (Takahashi et al., 1992, 1993; Ohta et al., 1995), compound 3 was elucidated as russuphelin A (2,6-bis(2,6dichloro-4-hydroxyphenyloxy)-1,4-dimethoxybenzene). Compound 4 was obtained as a brownish amorphous powder, and R<sub>f</sub> value was 0.65 (benzene-acetone (8 : 2, v/v)). The  ${}^{1}H$ - and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectrum is similar to those for compound **3**, except for the absence of a presence of a chlorinated phenyl moiety. The <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum of **4** showed two doublet signals at δ 5.81 (2.8 Hz, H-5),  $\delta$  6.77 (2.8 Hz, H-3) due to a *meta-coupling* of non-symmetric structure. The molecular formula C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>11</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> was supported by molecular ion peak at m/z 347 [M]<sup>+</sup>, 349 [M+2]<sup>+</sup>, and 351 [M+4]<sup>+</sup> from EI-MS. According to the above data, compound **4** was identified as russuphelin E (Takahashi *et al.*, 1993).

The present study reported the isolation of one fatty acid (1) and three chlorinated hydroquinones (2,3,4) from the fruiting body of R. subnigricans. Nonadecanoic acid (1) has been known to be isolated from several sources such as fungi, marine sponges, plants and larvae (Yoo et al., 2002), and it was characterized for the first time from R. subnigricans. 2,6-dichloro-4-methoxyphenol (2) has been isolated from Rhodococcus chlorophenolicus (Haggblom et al., 1988), Phanerochaete chrysosporium (Reddy et al., 1998) and R. subnigricans (Takahashi et al., 1993). Russuphelin A (3) and russuphelin E (4) have only been found in R. subnigricans (Takahashi et al., 1993). 2,6-dichloro-4-methoxyphenol (2), russuphelin A (3) and russuphelin E (4), based on chlorinated hydroquinone unit, can be important chemotaxonomic markers of R. subnigricans, suggesting that they could be potential toxins.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

This study was carried out with the support of Forest Science & Technology Projects (Project No. S120709L070000) provided by Korea Forest Service. We are also grateful to Dr. Ji-Sook Ryu, Central Laboratory of Kangwon National University, for measuring the NMR spectra.

# **REFERENCES**

- Al Dulayymi, J. R., M. S. Baird, and E. Roberts.
  2005. The synthesis of a single enantiomer of a major α-mycolic acid of M. tuberculosis.
  Tetrahedron 61(50): 11939~11951.
- Budimir, N., J. C. Blais, F. Fournier, and J. C. Tabet. 2007. Desorption/ionization on porous silicon mass spectrometry (DIOS) of model cationized fatty acids. Journal of Mass Spectrometry 42(1): 42~48.
- Haggblom, M. M., J. H. A. Apajalahti, and M. S. Salkinoja-Salonen. 1988. O-methylation of chlorinated *para*-hydroquinones by *Rhodococcus chlorophenolicus*. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 54(7): 1818~1824.
- Imazeki, R. and T. Hongo. 1989. Colored illustration of mushroom of Japan Vol. II. hoikusha Publishing Co., Ltd., Osaka. pp. 47.
- Kim, T., B. David, S. Agnes, P. Ron, L. Brian, M. Marian, B. Patrice, and J. T. Mary. 2009. Spore Prints Newsletter. Bulletin of the Puget Sound Mycological Society 454: 1~8.
- Knuutinen, J., P. Autio, P. Klein, S. Kivela, L. Virkki, and M. Lahtipera. 1988. Synthesis and structure verification of chlorinated 4-methox-yphenols, models of metabolites of chlorophenolic compounds. Chemosphere 17(9): 1821~1829.
- Kusano, G., H. Ogawa, A. Takahashi, S. Nozoe, and K. Yokoyama. 1987. A new amino acid, (2S,3R)-(-)-3-hydroxybaikiain from Russula subnigricans Hongo. Chemical and Pharmaceutical

- Bulletin. 35(8): 3482~3486.
- Ohta, T., A. Takahashi, M. Matsuda, S. Kamo, T. Agatsuma, T. Endo, and S. Nozoe. 1995. Russuphelol, a novel optically active chlorohydroquinone tetramer from the mushroom *Russula* subnigricans. Tetrahedron Letters 36(29): 5223 ~ 5226.
- Pavia, D. L., G. M. Lampman, and G. S. Kriz. 2001. Introduction to spectroscopy. Thomson Learing. Washington. pp. 402.
- Reddy, G. V. B., M. D. S. Gelpke, and M. H. Gold. 1998. Degradation of 2,4,6-trichlorophenol by *Phanerochaete chrysosporium*: Involvement of reductive dechlorination. Journal of Bacteriology 180(19): 5159~5164.
- Takahashi, A., T. Agatsuma, M. Matsuda, T. Ohta, T. Nunozawa, T. Endo, and S. Nozoe. 1992. Russuphelin A, a new cytotoxic substance from the mushroom *Russula subnigricans* Hongo. Chemical and Pharmaceutical Bulletin 40(12): 3185~3188.
- Takahashi, A., T. Agatsuma, T. Ohta, T. Nunozawa, T. Endo, and S. Nozoe. 1993. Russuphelins B, C, D, E and F, new cytotoxic substances from the mushroom *Russula subnigricans* Hongo. Chemical and Pharmaceutical Bulletin 41(10): 1726~1729.
- 13. Yoo, J. C., J. M. Han, S. K. Nam, K. S. Baik, J. S. Jo, and C. N. Seong. 2002. Characterization of a Streptomycete isolated producing the potent cytotoxic substance, nonadecanoic acid. The Journal of Microbiology 40(2): 178~181.