

EAKIN-NAGATA THEOREM FOR COMMUTATIVE RINGS WHOSE REGULAR IDEALS ARE FINITELY GENERATED

GYU WHAN CHANG

ABSTRACT. Let R be a commutative ring with identity, $T(R)$ be the total quotient ring of R , and D be a ring such that $R \subseteq D \subseteq T(R)$ and D is a finite R -module. In this paper, we show that each regular ideal of R is finitely generated if and only if each regular ideal of D is finitely generated. This is a generalization of the Eakin-Nagata theorem that R is Noetherian if and only if D is Noetherian.

1. Introduction

Let R be a commutative ring with identity, $T(R)$ be the total quotient ring of R , and D be a ring between R and $T(R)$. A regular element is an element which is not a zero divisor, while a regular ideal is an ideal containing a regular element. We say that R is an *r-Noetherian ring* if each regular ideal of R is finitely generated. Clearly, Noetherian rings are r-Noetherian, but r-Noetherian rings need not be Noetherian (see Example 1).

It is well known that if R is Noetherian, then $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, where $x_1, \dots, x_n \in T(R)$, is also Noetherian. Moreover, if $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is integral over R , then $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is a finite R -module [6, Theorem 17], and thus R is Noetherian if and only if $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is Noetherian by the Eakin-Nagata theorem ([4, Theorem 2] or [7]) that if D is a finite R -module, then R is Noetherian if and only if D is Noetherian. However, if $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is not integral over R , then $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ being Noetherian does not imply that R is Noetherian. For example, let \mathbb{Q} (resp., \mathbb{C}) be the field of rational (resp., complex) numbers, X be an indeterminate over \mathbb{C} , $\mathbb{C}[[X]]$ be the power series ring over \mathbb{C} , and $R = \mathbb{Q} + X\mathbb{C}[[X]]$ be

Received August 4, 2010. Revised August 17, 2010. Accepted August 20, 2010.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 13E05.

Key words and phrases: r-Noetherian ring, finite R -module.

a subring of $\mathbb{C}[[X]]$. Then $R[\frac{1}{X}] = T(R)$, and hence $R[\frac{1}{X}]$ is a Noetherian domain, but R is not a Noetherian domain [1, Theorem 2.1]. Let t be an indeterminate over R and $R[t]$ be the polynomial ring over R . Then t is a regular element of $R[t]$ and $R[t]/tR[t] \cong R$. So if $R[t]$ is an r-Noetherian ring, then R is Noetherian. In [3, Lemma 4], Chang and Kang showed that if R is an r-Noetherian ring, then $R[x]$ is also r-Noetherian for each $x \in T(R)$, and hence $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is r-Noetherian for any $x_1, \dots, x_n \in T(R)$ (see Proposition 2).

Let D be a finite R -module. In [2, Lemma 3], Chang proved that if R is an r-Noetherian ring, then D is also an r-Noetherian ring. In this paper, we show that if D is an r-Noetherian ring, then R is an r-Noetherian ring, which is an r-Noetherian ring analog of the Eakin-Nagata theorem ([4, Theorem 2] or [7]). The proofs of our results in this paper heavily depend on those of the Eakin's results in [4]. For any undefined terminology and notation, see [6].

2. Main result

Throughout this paper, R denotes a commutative ring with identity, $T(R)$ is the total quotient ring of R , and D is a ring between R and $T(R)$. For any ideal A of R (resp., D), we mean by A^e (resp., A^c) the ideal AD of D (resp., $A \cap R$ of R). Also, $A^{ec} = AD \cap R$.

We begin this section with an example of r-Noetherian rings that are not Noetherian.

EXAMPLE 1. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be indeterminates over \mathbb{Q} , $\mathbb{Q}[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n]$ be the polynomial ring over \mathbb{Q} , and M be the maximal ideal of $\mathbb{Q}[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n]$ generated by X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n . Put $A = \mathbb{Q}[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n]_M$ and $R = A/X_1^3A$.

- (1) \bar{R} , the integral closure of R , is not a Noetherian ring.
- (2) If $n = 2$, then each overring of R is an r-Noetherian ring.
- (3) If $n = 3$, then \bar{R} is an r-Noetherian ring.

Proof. We first note that $A = \mathbb{Q}[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n]_M$ is a local Noetherian domain of $\dim(A) = n$, where $\dim(A)$ is the Krull dimension of A . Hence R is a local Noetherian ring of $\dim(R) = n - 1$.

(1) Since $\bar{X}_1 := X_1 + X_1^3A$ is a nonzero nilpotent element of R , \bar{R} is not a Noetherian ring [5, Lemma 11.1]. (2) If $n = 2$, then $\dim(R) = 1$, and thus each overring of R is an r-Noetherian ring [5, Theorem 12.6].

(3) If $n = 3$, then $\dim(R) = 2$. Thus \bar{R} is an r -Noetherian ring [5, Theorem 11.6]. \square

The regular height of a regular prime ideal P of R , denoted by $\text{reg-ht}P$, is defined to be the supremum of the length of chains consisting of regular prime ideals contained in P plus 1. The regular dimension of R , denoted by $\text{reg-dim}(R)$, is $\sup\{\text{reg-ht}P \mid P \text{ is a regular prime ideal of } R\}$. Clearly, if R is an integral domain, then $\text{reg-dim}(R)$ is just the Krull dimension of R .

For more examples of r -Noetherian rings that are not Noetherian, recall that a ring R is called a Marot ring if each regular ideal of R is generated by a set of regular elements. Examples of Marot rings include Noetherian rings and overrings of a Marot ring [5, Theorem 7.2 and Corollary 7.3]. It is known that if R is an r -Noetherian ring of $\text{reg-dim}(R) \leq 1$, then each overring of R is an r -Noetherian ring [2, Theorem 2]. Also, if R is a Marot r -Noetherian ring of $\text{reg-dim}(R) = 2$, then the integral closure of R is an r -Noetherian ring [2, Theorem 7].

The next result is an extension of the Chang's result [2, Lemma 3] that if D is a finite R -module and R is an r -Noetherian ring, then D is an r -Noetherian ring.

PROPOSITION 2. *If R is an r -Noetherian ring, then $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is also an r -Noetherian ring for any $x_1, \dots, x_n \in T(R)$.*

Proof. It is known that $R[x_1]$ is an r -Noetherian ring [3, Lemma 4]. Also, note that $R[x_1, \dots, x_i] = R[x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}][x_i]$ for $i = 2, \dots, n$. Thus, by induction on n , $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is an r -Noetherian ring. \square

LEMMA 3. (cf. [4, Lemma 2]) *Let D be an r -Noetherian ring, and assume that D is a finite R -module. If A is a proper regular ideal of R with $AD \cap R = A$, then there exist regular prime ideals P_1, \dots, P_n , not necessarily distinct, of R such that $(P_1 \cdots P_n)^{ec} \subseteq A$.*

Proof. Note that A^e is a regular ideal of D ; so D/A^e is Noetherian. Hence there exist regular prime ideals Q_1, \dots, Q_n , not necessarily distinct, of D such that $Q_1 \cdots Q_n \subseteq A^e$. Let $P_i = Q_i \cap R$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then each P_i is a regular prime ideal of R and $(P_1 \cdots P_n)^e \subseteq Q_1 \cdots Q_n \subseteq A^e$. Thus $P_1 \cdots P_n \subseteq (P_1 \cdots P_n)^{ec} = (P_1 \cdots P_n)^e \cap R \subseteq A^{ec} = AD \cap R = A$. \square

LEMMA 4. (cf. [4, Lemma 3]) *Let D be an r -Noetherian ring, and assume that D is a finite R -module. Then R/P is a Noetherian ring*

for each regular prime ideal P of R if and only if $R/(P_1 \cdots P_n)^{ec}$ is a Noetherian ring for regular prime ideals P_1, \dots, P_n , not necessarily distinct, of R .

Proof. (\Leftarrow) Clear.

(\Rightarrow) We prove the lemma by induction on n .

Step 1. $n = 1$. Note that D is integral over R [6, Theorem 17], so there is a prime ideal Q of D such that $Q \cap R = P_1$, and hence $P_1^{ec} = P_1$. Thus R/P_1^{ec} is Noetherian by assumption.

Step 2. Suppose that the result holds for $n - 1 \geq 1$. Let P be a prime ideal of R such that $(P_1 \cdots P_n)^{ec} \subseteq P$. By Cohen's theorem [6, Theorem 8], we have only to show that $P/(P_1 \cdots P_n)^{ec}$ is finitely generated. Note that since $P_1 \cdots P_n \subseteq P$, at least one of the P_i , say, P_n , is contained in P . If $P_n/(P_1 \cdots P_n)^{ec}$ is finitely generated, then, since R/P_n is Noetherian, P/P_n is finitely generated, and thus $P/(P_1 \cdots P_n)^{ec}$ is finitely generated. So it suffices to show that $P_n/(P_1 \cdots P_n)^{ec}$ is finitely generated.

Note that $P_n^{ec} = P_n$, and so $P_n/(P_1 \cdots P_n)^{ec} \subseteq P_n^e/(P_1 \cdots P_n)^e$. Since D is r -Noetherian and $(P_1 \cdots P_n)^e$ is regular, $P_n^e/(P_1 \cdots P_n)^e$ is a finitely generated D -module, and hence $P_n^e/(P_1 \cdots P_n)^e$ is a finite $D/(P_1 \cdots P_{n-1})^e$ -module because $(P_1 \cdots P_{n-1})^e P_n^e = (P_1 \cdots P_n)^e$. Clearly, $D/(P_1 \cdots P_{n-1})^e$ is a finite $R/(P_1 \cdots P_{n-1})^{ec}$ -module, and thus $P_n^e/(P_1 \cdots P_n)^e$ is a finite $R/(P_1 \cdots P_{n-1})^{ec}$ -module. Note that $R/(P_1 \cdots P_{n-1})^{ec}$ is Noetherian by induction hypothesis, and $P_n/(P_1 \cdots P_n)^{ec}$ is a $R/(P_1 \cdots P_{n-1})^{ec}$ -submodule of $P_n^e/(P_1 \cdots P_n)^e$. Thus $P_n/(P_1 \cdots P_n)^{ec}$ is finitely generated over $R/(P_1 \cdots P_{n-1})^{ec}$ [8, Theorem 18, p.158]. Thus P_n is a finitely generated R -module modulo $(P_1 \cdots P_n)^{ec}$, and it follows that $P_n/(P_1 \cdots P_n)^{ec}$ is a finitely generated ideal of $R/(P_1 \cdots P_n)^{ec}$. \square

We are now ready to prove the main result.

THEOREM 5. *If D is a finite R -module, then R is an r -Noetherian ring if and only if D is an r -Noetherian ring.*

Proof. (\Rightarrow) This follows from Proposition 2.

(\Leftarrow) Let P be a regular prime ideal of R . Note that D is integral over R [6, Theorem 17]; so there is a prime ideal Q of D such that $Q \cap R = P$. Since P is regular, Q is also regular, and hence D/Q is Noetherian. Note also that $R/P \hookrightarrow D/Q$ and D/Q is a finite R/P -module, and hence R/P is Noetherian [4, Theorem 2].

Let I be a regular ideal of R . Choose a regular element $a \in I$, and put $A = aR$. If R/A Noetherian, then I/A is finitely generated, and thus I is

finitely generated. So it suffices to show that R/A is Noetherian. Since D is a finite R -module, there is a regular element $d \in R$ such that $dD \subseteq R$. So AdD is a common ideal of R and D such that $AdD \subseteq A$ and AdD is regular. By Lemma 3, there are some regular prime ideals P_1, \dots, P_n of R such that $(P_1 \cdots P_n)^{ec} \subseteq AdD \subseteq A$. Since $R/(P_1 \cdots P_n)^{ec}$ is Noetherian by Lemma 4,

$$R/A = (R/(P_1 \cdots P_n)^{ec})/(A/(P_1 \cdots P_n)^{ec})$$

is Noetherian. □

It is clear that an r-Noetherian integral domain is a Noetherian domain. Thus, by Theorem 5, we have

COROLLARY 6. *If D is a finite R -module, then R is a Noetherian domain if and only if D is a Noetherian domain.*

Acknowledgement The author would like to thank the referee for his/her useful comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] E. Bastida and R. Gilmer, *Overrings and divisorial ideals of rings of the form $D + M$* , Michigan Math. J. 20 (1973), 79–94.
- [2] G. W. Chang, *Integral closure of a Marot ring whose regular ideals are finitely generated*, Comm. Algebra 27(1999), 1783–1795.
- [3] G. W. Chang and B.G. Kang, *On Krull overrings of a Marot ring whose regular ideals are finitely generated*, Comm. Algebra 28(2000), 2533–2542.
- [4] P. M. Eakin, *The converse to a well known theorem on Noetherian rings*, Math. Anna. 177 (1968), 278–282.
- [5] J. A. Huckaba, *Commutative Rings with Zero Divisors*, Dekker, New York, 1988.
- [6] I. Kaplansky, *Commutative Rings*, The Univ. Chicago Press, Chicago, 1974.
- [7] M. Nagata, *A type of subrings of a Noetherian ring*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 8(1968), 465–467.
- [8] O. Zariski and P. Samuel, *Commutative Algebra*, Vol. 1, Princeton, 1958.

Department of Mathematics
 University of Incheon
 Incheon 406-772, Korea
E-mail: whan@incheon.ac.kr