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Abstract. We here investigate an existence and uniqueness of the nontrivial, nonnegative solution of a nonlinear ordinary differential equation:

$$
\left[\left|\left(w^{m}\right)^{\prime}\right|^{p-2}\left(w^{m}\right)^{\prime}\right]^{\prime}+\beta r w^{\prime}+\alpha w+\left(w^{q}\right)^{\prime}=0
$$

satisfying a specific decay rate: $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{\alpha / \beta} w(r)=0$ with $\alpha:=(p-$ 1) $/[p q-(m+1)(p-1)]$ and $\beta:=[q-m(p-1)] /[p q-(m+1)(p-1)]$. Here $m(p-1)>1$ and $m(p-1)<q<(m+1)(p-1)$. Such a solution arises naturally when we study a very singular solution for a doubly degenerate equation with nonlinear convection:

$$
u_{t}=\left[\left|\left(u^{m}\right)_{x}\right|^{p-2}\left(u^{m}\right)_{x}\right]_{x}+\left(u^{q}\right)_{x}
$$

defined on the half line.

## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider a one dimensional doubly degenerate equation with nonlinear convection term

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=\left[\left|\left(u^{m}\right)_{x}\right|^{p-2}\left(u^{m}\right)_{x}\right]_{x}+\left(u^{q}\right)_{x}, \quad(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{+} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with Neumann boundary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{x}(0, t)=0, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m(p-1)>1, q>m(p-1)$.
Equation (1.1) (sometimes called the non-Newtonian filtration equation) arises in the study a compressible fluid flows in a homogeneous isotropic rigid porous medium, flows of polytrophic gas and has various other applications, see, [23], [5]. From a mathematical point of view, we note that (1.1) is a quasilinear equation which is nonuniform parabolic and it is doubly degenerate on

[^0]the sets $\left\{u_{x}=0\right\}$ and $\{u=0\}$ (if $q=1$, equation (1.1) reduces to the standard doubly degenerate equation by an easy change of variables). The case $m(p-1)>1$ occurs in slow diffusion process and $0<m(p-1)<1$ in fast diffusion process (see [19] and [22] for examples).

We are mostly interested in nonnegative solutions of (1.1) having the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t)=t^{-\alpha} w\left(x t^{-\beta}\right):=t^{-\alpha} w(r) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha, \beta$ are positive numbers. We substitute (1.3) into (1.1) to find
(1.4) $\alpha:=(p-1) /[p q-(m+1)(p-1)], \quad \beta:=[q-m(p-1)] /[p q-(m+1)(p-1)]$
and $w$, as a function of $r=x t^{-\beta}$, solves an ordinary differential equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\left|\left(w^{m}\right)^{\prime}\right|^{p-2}\left(w^{m}\right)^{\prime}\right]^{\prime}+\beta r w^{\prime}+\alpha w+\left(w^{q}\right)^{\prime}=0 . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We observe that if $u(x, t)$ solves (1.1), then the rescaled functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\rho}(x, t)=\rho^{(p-1) /[q-m(p-1)]} u\left(\rho x, \rho^{[p q-(m+1)(p-1)] /[q-m(p-1)]} t\right), \quad \rho>0 \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

define a one parameter family of solutions to (1.1). A solution $u(x, t)$ is said to be self-similar when $u_{\rho}(x, t)=u(x, t)$ for every $\rho>0$. It can be easily verified that $u(x, t)$ is a self-similar solution to (1.1) if and only if $u$ has the form (1.3). We also remark that the self-similar solutions play an important role in the study of large time behaviors of general solutions (see [16, 18] and [24]).

Every nonnegative, bounded solution of (1.5) has exactly one critical point and since we here apply the shooting method, led to solve a more general initial value problem

$$
\left[\left|\left(w^{m}\right)^{\prime}\right|^{p-2}\left(w^{m}\right)^{\prime}\right]^{\prime}+\beta r w^{\prime}+\alpha w+\left(w^{q}\right)^{\prime}=0
$$

for $r \geq 0$ with initial conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{\prime}(0)=0, \quad w(0)=\mu, \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu$ may be any positive number.
Using the Shauder's fixed point theorem (or Banach contraction theorem), we find that initial value problem has an unique solution which we denote by $w(r ; \mu)$. In many cases, it turns out that the limit

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(\lambda)=\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{\alpha / \beta} w(r) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

exists and we distinguish between fast and slow orbits according to whether $L(\lambda)=0$ or not respectively. The fast orbit will bring out a very singular solution of (1.1). The very singular solution has a stronger singularity at the origin than the singular solution of that equation. By a singular solution we mean a nonnegative and nontrivial solution which satisfies the equation and vanishes outside any open neighborhood of the origin as $t \rightarrow 0$. A singular solution is called a very singular solution if the integral of $u(x, t)$ over any open neighborhood of the origin becomes unbounded as $t \rightarrow 0$, which is equivalent to, if $u$ is given by (1.3),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{\alpha / \beta} w(r)=0 \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, if $0<\beta<\alpha$ and a solution $f$ of (1.5) satisfies (1.9), then $u(x, t)$ given explicitly by (1.3) becomes a very singular self-similar solution of (1.1).

Our goal is to find the relation of values $m, p, q$ and initial data $\mu$ which insure that $w(\cdot, \mu)$ is a fast decaying solution and to give an exact asymptotic behavior of solutions at near infinity. More precisely, our main results include the followings;

- If $\alpha \leq \beta$ (i.e., $q \geq(m+1)(p-1))$, then there not exists any fast orbit (very singular solution) and indeed, only exists slow orbits for any $\mu>0$.
- If $\alpha>\beta$ (i.e., $m(p-1)<q<(m+1)(p-1)$ ), then there exists $\mu_{1}$ such that
(i) $w(r ; \mu)$ is changes sign with $w^{m}\left(R^{-} ; \mu\right)<0$ for $\mu \in\left(0, \mu_{1}\right)$.
(ii) $w(r ; \mu)$ is a slow orbit and having the behavior

$$
w(r ; \mu) \sim L(\mu) r^{-\alpha / \beta}
$$

at near of infinity for $\mu \in\left(\mu_{1},+\infty\right)$, with $L(\mu)>0$.
(iii) $w\left(r ; \mu_{1}\right)$ is the only fast orbit with $w^{m}\left(R^{-} ; \mu\right)=0$ and having the interface relation

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow R^{-}}\left(w^{[m(p-1)-1] /(p-1)}\right)^{\prime}(r)=-[m(p-1)-1] /[m(p-1)] \beta^{1 /(p-1)} R^{1 /(p-1)}
$$

for some $0<R<\infty$.
There have been many works dealing with the existence, uniqueness and asymptotic behavior of self-similar solutions to a class of quasilinear parabolic equations with absorption (or source, convection) term. For instance, it is thoroughly treated on the P-Laplacian equation with absorption term;

$$
u_{t}=\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)-u^{q} \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}
$$

with $p>1, q>1$. For linear diffusion case $(p=2)$, see [3], [6], [13] for slow diffusion case $(p>2)$, see [22] and [1], [16] for fast diffusion case $(1<p<2)$.

Recently some authors studied (1.1) with $m(p-1) \geq 1, q>m(p-1)$. They derived some estimates, used a suitable scaling and convergence of re-scaled solutions to self-similar ones, and concluded that the asymptotic of general solutions is self-similar (see $[16,17,18,24]$ ). Similar arguments have been used in the case of the multidimensional convection-diffusion equation (see [7, 8], for examples). In addition, very singular self-similar solutions are found for the linear diffusion equation with convection on half line under the homogeneous Neumann boundary condition which motivated our investigation (see [2], [11], and [20]).

Let $f=w^{m}, \lambda=\mu^{m}$. Then the initial value problem (1.5), (1.7) is replaced by the following problem with respect to $f$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} f^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\beta r\left(f^{1 / m}\right)^{\prime}+\alpha f^{1 / m}+\left(f^{q / m}\right)^{\prime}=0 \quad \text { in } \quad r>0  \tag{1.10}\\
f(r)>0 \\
f^{\prime}(0)=0, \quad f(0)=\lambda>0
\end{array}\right.
$$

and the condition (1.9) is replaced by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{\alpha / \beta} f^{1 / m}(r ; \lambda)=0 \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, all throughout this paper we consider the above problem with respect to $f$ and always assume that $[0, R)$ is the maximal existence interval of nonnegative solution of $w$ or $f$.

The plan of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we study basic properties of $f$ which will be useful in the proof of the main results. In Section 3, we study the nonexistence of the very singular solution(fast orbit) when $q \geq(m+1)(p-$ 1). In Section 4, we study the existence changing sign solutions, fast(slow) decaying global solutions and finding the decay rates, the interface relation when $m(p-1)<q<(m+1)(p-1)$. In Section 5, we show that uniqueness of the very singular solution.

## 2. Preliminary results

In this section we shall derive some properties of $f$ which will be useful in the proof of the main results.

We first show that the sign of $f^{\prime}$ are depending on the sign of $\alpha$ and $f$ decreases as long as it is positive, and also give the behavior of $f, f^{\prime}$ at near of infinity.

Lemma 2.1. Assume that $\alpha>0, \beta>0$ and $\lambda>0$. Let $f$ be a solutions to (1.10) such that $f>0$ on $[0, R)$ with $R$ possibly infinity. Then
(i) $\lim _{r \rightarrow R^{-}} f(r)=0$.
(ii) $f^{\prime}(r)<0$ in $(0, R)$.
(iii) $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} f^{\prime}(r)=0$ when $R=\infty$.

Proof. We first to show that (ii).
By (1.10) we obtain $\left(\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} f^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}(0)=-\alpha \lambda^{1 / m}<0$. Thus, the function is strictly decreasing for small $r$. Suppose that there exists first zero of $f^{\prime}$ is $r_{1}$ such that $f(r)>0$ on $\left(0, r_{1}\right)$ and $f^{\prime}\left(r_{1}\right)=0$. From (1.10) one sees $\left(\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} f^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\left(r_{1}\right)<$ 0 , which is impossible.

Since $f$ is strictly decreasing and $f$ is bounded below by 0 , there exists

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow R^{-}} f(r)=l \in[0, \lambda) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define the energy function $E(r)=(p-1) / p\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{p}+m \alpha /(m+1) f^{(m+1) / m}$ and obtain

$$
\frac{d}{d r} E(r)=-\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2} / m\left(\beta r f^{(1-m) / m}+q f^{(q-m) / m}\right)<0
$$

for $r>0$. Thus, $E(r)$ decreases monotonically to a limit and there also exists the limit

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow R^{-}} f^{\prime}(r)=-l_{1}, \quad l_{1} \in[0, \infty) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular $l_{1}$ must be zero so that $f$ is positive for all positive $r$.
Next, we prove that $l=0$. Suppose to the contrary $l>0$. By (iii) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{r \longrightarrow \infty}\left|f^{\prime \prime}(r)\right|=0 . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, we easy to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \longrightarrow \infty} r\left(f^{1 / m}\right)^{\prime}(r)=-\alpha / \beta l^{1 / m}<0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, the function $r\left(f^{1 / m}\right)^{\prime}$ is either eventually oscillates or monotone. If monotone, clearly holds by (1.5), (2.3) and if oscillates, we choose the sequence $r_{j}$ realizing the minima(or maxima) of the function $r\left(f^{1 / m}\right)^{\prime}$, then remain holds above result (2.4).

By (2.4) yields there exists $r_{0}$ such that

$$
\left(f^{1 / m}\right)^{\prime}<-C / r \quad \text { for } r \geq r_{0}
$$

where $C>0$, which implies that $f(r) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $r \rightarrow+\infty$, which leads to a contradiction.

By Lemma 2.1 (ii), $f^{\prime}(r)<0$ in $(0, R)$ for any $\lambda>0$ and we find that if $R<\infty$, then $f(R)=0$ and $f^{\prime}(R) \leq 0$. We next show that if $f^{\prime}(R)=0$, then $f$ vanishes identically after $R$.

Lemma 2.2. Assume that $\alpha>0$ and $\lambda>0$. Let $f$ be any solution of (1.10) with $f(R)=f^{\prime}(R)=0$ for $R>0$. Then $f=0$ for all $r \geq R$.

Proof. By convention, (1.10) is rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} f^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\beta r\left(f^{1 / m}\right)^{\prime}+\alpha f^{1 / m}+\left(|f|^{(q-m) / m} f\right)^{\prime}=0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, without loss of generality, we may assume that $f(r)>0$ and $f^{\prime}(r)>0$ for $r$ near $R$ with $r>R$. For such $r$, we find easily from (2.5) that $\left(\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} f^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}(r)<$ 0 . Integrating over $(R, r)$, we see that $\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} f^{\prime}(r)<0$, which contradict to the assumption.

## 3. The case $\beta \geq \alpha(q \geq 2(p-1))$

In this section, we show that there does not exist any fast orbit for the problem (1.10) and thus no very singular solution for (1.1) when $0<\alpha \leq \beta$.

Theorem 3.1. Assume $\beta \geq \alpha(q \geq(m+1)(p-1))$. For each $\lambda>0$, let $f(r ; \lambda)$ be the solution of (1.10). Then $R=\infty$ and $\liminf _{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{\alpha / \beta} f^{1 / m}(r ; \lambda)>0$.

Proof. We assume $R<\infty$ to the contrary and integrate (1.10) over $(0, R)$ to get

$$
\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} f^{\prime}(R)+(\alpha-\beta) \int_{0}^{R} f^{1 / m}(r) d r-\lambda^{q / m}=0
$$

which is impossible. Thus $f$ is positive for all $r \geq 0$ and $R=\infty$.
Moreover, we have, for $r>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{r^{\alpha / \beta-1}\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} f^{\prime}+\beta r^{\alpha / \beta} f^{1 / m}\right\}^{\prime} \\
= & r^{\alpha / \beta-1}\left\{\left(\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} f^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{\alpha / \beta-1}{r}\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} f^{\prime}+\alpha f^{1 / m}+\beta r\left(f^{1 / m}\right)^{\prime}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By (1.5), we get

$$
\left\{r^{\alpha / \beta-1}\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} f^{\prime}+\beta r^{\alpha / \beta} f^{1 / m}\right\}^{\prime}=r^{\alpha / \beta-1}\left\{\frac{\alpha / \beta-1}{r}\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} f^{\prime}-\left(f^{q / m}\right)^{\prime}\right\}>0
$$

by the condition $\beta \geq \alpha$ and $f^{\prime}<0$. If we define the function

$$
F(r):=r^{\alpha / \beta-1}\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} f^{\prime}+\beta r^{\alpha / \beta} f^{1 / m}
$$

then we see that $F(0)=0$ and $F(r)$ is strictly increasing for all $r>0$. Since $f$ is a decreasing function, one must have $\liminf _{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{\alpha / \beta} f^{1 / m}(r ; \lambda)>0$.

We will see later that the limit $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{\alpha / \beta} f^{1 / m}(r ; \lambda)$ exists for each $\lambda>0$. Thus we may conclude together with Theorem 3.1 that there exist slow orbits only.

## 4. The case $\alpha>\beta(m(p-1)<q<(m+1)(p-1))$

In this section, we first show that the solution changes sign for small $\lambda$ and we next show that the solution becomes a slow orbit for suitably large $\lambda$. We then find a fast orbit in-between. The slow orbits will be shown to be ordered and the minimal one becomes the fast orbit as we have seen in many cases, see [10], [13], [1], [19], [20] for examples.

Define the following three sets for any initial value $\lambda>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{S}_{1}=\left\{\lambda>0 ; R<\infty, f^{\prime}\left(R^{-}, \lambda\right)<0\right\} \\
& \mathcal{S}_{2}=\left\{\lambda>0 ; R<\infty, f^{\prime}\left(R^{-}, \lambda\right)=0\right\} \\
& \mathcal{S}_{3}=\{\lambda>0 ; R=\infty, f(r, \lambda)>0\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Obviously, there sets are disjoint and $\mathcal{S}_{1} \cup \mathcal{S}_{2} \cup \mathcal{S}_{3}=(0, \infty)$.
We first show that the problem (1.10) has changes sign for "small" $\lambda>0$.
Theorem 4.1. The set $\mathcal{S}_{1} \neq \emptyset$ and open.
Proof. By integrating (1.10), one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} f^{\prime}+\beta r f^{1 / m}=\phi(r):=-(\alpha-\beta) \int_{0}^{r} f^{1 / m} d r-f^{q / m}+\lambda^{q / m} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

One finds easily that $\phi(0)=0, \phi^{\prime}(r)=-(\alpha-\beta) f^{1 / m}-q / m f^{(q-m) / m} f^{\prime}$, and $\phi^{\prime}(0)=-(\alpha-\beta) \lambda<0$.

Suppose that $\phi(r)<0$ and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} f^{\prime}+\beta r f^{1 / m}<0, \quad 0<r<r_{0} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $r_{0}$ to be determined later. An integration of (4.2) yields

$$
f^{\frac{m(p-1)-1}{m(p-1)}}(r)<\lambda^{\frac{m(p-1)-1}{m(p-1)}}-\frac{m(p-1)^{2} \beta^{1 /(p-1)}}{p[m(p-1)-1]} r^{p /(p-1)}
$$

Thus if $r_{0}>R_{0}:=\left(\frac{p[m(p-1)-1]}{m(p-1)^{2} \beta^{1 /(p-1)}} \lambda^{\frac{m(p-1)-1}{m(p-1)}}\right)^{(p-1) / p}$, then $f$ must change sign and we are done. Otherwise, we may assume that $\phi\left(r_{0}\right)=0$ for some $r_{0} \leq R_{0}$. From definition, we obtain $f^{\prime}\left(r_{0}\right)=-\beta^{1 /(p-1)} r_{0}^{1 /(p-1)} f^{1 /[m(p-1)]}\left(r_{0}\right)$ and

$$
\phi^{\prime}\left(r_{0}\right)=-(\alpha-\beta) f^{1 / m}\left(r_{0}\right)-q / m f^{q / m-1} f^{\prime}\left(r_{0}\right) \geq 0
$$

Combining these, we have

$$
0<\alpha-\beta \leq q / m \beta^{1 /(p-1)} r_{0}^{1 /(p-1)} f^{q / m-[(m+1)(p-1)-1] /[m(p-1)]}
$$

Since $f$ is a decreasing solution, we also have $f\left(r_{0}\right) \leq \lambda$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha-\beta \leq & q / m \beta^{1 /(p-1)}\left(\frac{p[m(p-1)-1]}{m(p-1)^{2} \beta^{1 /(p-1)}}\right)^{1 / p}  \tag{4.3}\\
& \cdot \lambda^{\frac{m(p-1)-1}{m p}+q / m-[(m+1)(p-1)-1] /[m(p-1)]}
\end{align*}
$$

The inequality (4.3) does not hold for all sufficiently small $\lambda$, which proves the first part of theorem. The continuous dependence of solutions on the initial values implies that $\mathcal{S}_{1}$ is an open set.

We next prove that the problem (1.10) has a global positive decaying solution for all suitably large $\lambda$.

Lemma 4.2. Let $\alpha>\beta$. Then for any $R_{0}$ there exists $\lambda_{0}$ such that $f(r)=$ $f(r, \lambda)>0$ for $0<r<R_{0}$ and $f\left(R_{0}\right)+\left|f^{\prime}\left(R_{0}\right)\right|^{p-2} f^{\prime}\left(R_{0}\right)>0$ for all $\lambda \geq \lambda_{0}$.
Proof. We define $f_{\lambda}(t)=\frac{1}{\lambda} f(r, \lambda), t=r \lambda^{\delta}$ with $\delta=\frac{[q-m(p+1)]}{m(p-1)}$. Then $f_{\lambda}$ satisfies $f_{\lambda}^{\prime}(0)=0, f_{\lambda}(0)=1$ and the following equation

$$
\left(\left|f_{\lambda}^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} f_{\lambda}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\lambda^{-\frac{q p-(m+1)(p-1)}{m(p-1)}}\left[\beta t\left(f_{\lambda}^{1 / m}\right)^{\prime}+\alpha f_{\lambda}^{1 / m}\right]+\left(f_{\lambda}^{q / m}\right)^{\prime}=0
$$

By integrating over $(0, t)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|f_{\lambda}^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} f_{\lambda}^{\prime}+\lambda^{-\frac{q p-(m+1)(p-1)}{m(p-1)}}(\alpha-\beta) \\
& \cdot \int_{0}^{t} f_{\lambda}^{1 / m} d \tau+\lambda^{-\frac{q p-(m+1)(p-1)}{m(p-1)}} \beta t f_{\lambda}^{1 / m}+\left(f_{\lambda}^{q / m}-1\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $f_{\lambda}$ is bounded by 1 , for any $\epsilon>0$ there is $\lambda_{0}$ such that whenever $\lambda \geq \lambda_{0}$,

$$
1-\epsilon<\left|f_{\lambda}^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} f_{\lambda}^{\prime}+f_{\lambda}^{q / m}<1+\epsilon
$$

for $t \in\left[0, \frac{q p-(m+1)(p-1)}{m(p-1)}-\epsilon\right]$, which implies lemma.

We also prove the next key-observation:
Proposition 4.3. Assume that $\alpha>0, \beta>0$ and $\lambda>0$. Let $f$ be any solution to (1.10). Consider the function $E_{c}(r):=c f+r f^{\prime}$ for $c>0$. Then
(i) If $c>m \alpha / \beta$, then $E_{c}(r)$ is eventually positive.
(ii) If $c<m \alpha / \beta$, then $E_{c}(r)$ is eventually negative.

Proof. By direct calculations and (1.10), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& (p-1)\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} E_{c}^{\prime}(r) \\
= & (c+1)(p-1)\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} f^{\prime}-\beta r^{2}\left(f^{1 / m}\right)^{\prime}-\alpha r f^{1 / m}-q / m r f^{(q-m) / m} f^{\prime} \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

and at any $r=r_{0}$ for which $E_{c}\left(r_{0}\right)=0$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& (p-1)\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} E_{c}^{\prime}\left(r_{0}\right) \\
= & -(c+1)(p-1) c^{p-1}\left(f / r_{0}\right)^{p-1}+(\beta / m c-\alpha) r_{0} f^{1 / m}+q c / m f^{q / m} \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Since the middle term on the right hand side of (4.5) dominates the others for all sufficiently large $r_{0}$, the sign of $E_{c}^{\prime}\left(r_{0}\right)$ is only decided by the sign of $\beta / m c-\alpha$ and thus $E_{c}(r)$ becomes of the same sign eventually.

In order prove (i), we suppose that there exists $r_{1}$ such that $E_{c}(r)<0$ for all $r \geq r_{1}$. From equation (1.10) and Lemma 2.1 (ii) we deduce that

$$
\left(\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} f^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}-(\beta / m c-\alpha) f^{1 / m}=-\beta / m f^{1 / m-1} E_{c}(r)-\left(f^{q / m}\right)^{\prime}>0
$$

for $r \geq r_{1}$. Multiplying the previous inequality by $f^{\prime}$ and integrating from $r$ to $\tau$ with $r_{1} \leq r \leq \tau$, we have

$$
(p-1) / p\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{p}(\tau)-c_{1} f^{(m+1) / m}(\tau) \leq(p-1) / p\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{p}(r)-c_{1} f^{(m+1) / m}(r)
$$

where $c_{1}:=(\beta c-m \alpha) /(m+1)$. Letting $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ and using Lemma 2.1(ii), (iii), we get the following inequality

$$
-f^{\prime} f^{-\frac{m+1}{m p}} \geq c_{2}>0, \quad r \geq r_{1}
$$

Integrating the previous inequality from $r_{1}$ to $r \geq r_{1}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m p /[m(p-1)-1] f^{[m(p-1)-1] /(m p)}\left(r_{1}\right) \\
& \quad-m p /[m(p-1)-1] f^{[m(p-1)-1] /(m p)}(r) \geq c_{2}\left(r-r_{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $r \rightarrow \infty$ we get a contradiction.
We prove (ii) similarly. Suppose that there exists $r_{2}$ such that $E_{c}(r)>0$ for all $r \geq r_{2}$. From (1.10) and assumption,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} f^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\alpha f^{1 / m} & =-\beta r\left(f^{1 / m}\right)^{\prime}+\alpha f^{1 / m}-q / m f^{q / m-1} f^{\prime} \\
& \leq \beta / m c f^{1 / m}+q / m c / r f^{q / m}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $f$ decrease, we may rewrite this as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} f^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \leq-c_{2} f<c_{2} \frac{r f^{\prime}}{c} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we define $c_{2}=\alpha \lambda^{1 / m-1}-c \beta / m \lambda^{1 / m-1}+\frac{c q}{m r_{2}} \lambda^{q / m-1}$ and assume to be positive by retaking $r_{2}$. The inequality (4.6) is rewritten as $(p-1) /(p-$ $2)\left(\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} f^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \leq-c_{3} r$ for some positive constant $c_{3}$ and an integration from $r=r_{2}$ to $r=\infty$ yields a contradiction, which completes the proof.

We rewrite the problem (1.10) as the following system;

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f^{\prime}=|h|^{-(p-2) /(p-1)} h  \tag{4.7}\\
h^{\prime}=-\beta / m r f^{1 / m-1}|h|^{-(p-2) /(p-1)} h \\
\quad-\alpha f^{1 / m}-q / m f^{(q-m) / m}|h|^{-(p-2) /(p-1)} h .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Given any $\delta>0$, we denote

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\delta}:=\{(f, h): 0<f \leq 1,0>h>-\delta f\}
$$

then we obtain the following lemma.
Lemma 4.4. For given $\delta>0$ there exists a $r_{\delta}:=m\left[\delta+\alpha \delta^{-1 /(p-1)}\right] / \beta$ such that $\mathcal{L}_{\delta}$ is positively invariant for $r>t_{\delta}$. That is $\left(f\left(r_{\delta}\right), h\left(r_{\delta}\right)\right) \in \mathcal{L}_{\delta}$ then the orbit $(f(r), h(r))$ of (4.7) remains in region $\mathcal{L}_{\delta}$ for all $r \geq r_{\delta}$.

Proof. We shall show that given $\delta>0$ there exists a $r_{\delta}>0$ such that if $r>r_{\delta}$, then the vector field determined by (4.7) points into $\mathcal{L}_{\delta}$, except at the critical point (0.0). It is easy to see this fact on the top $h=0$ and the line $f=1$ and it is enough to verify this only on the line $h=-\delta f$. By the system (4.7), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{h^{\prime}}{f^{\prime}} \\
&= \frac{-\beta / m r f^{1 / m-1}|h|^{-(p-2) /(p-1)} h-\alpha f^{1 / m}-q / m f^{(q-m) / m}|h|^{-(p-2) /(p-1)} h}{|h|^{-(p-2) /(p-1)} h} \\
&=-\beta / m r f^{1 / m-1}+\alpha \delta^{-1 /(p-1)} f^{[(p-1)-m] /[m(p-1)]}-q / m f^{(q-m) / m} \\
&<-\beta / m r f^{1 / m-1}+\alpha \delta^{-1 /(p-1)} f^{[(p-1)-m] /[m(p-1)]} \leq-\delta \\
& \text { if } r \geq r_{\delta}:=m\left[\delta+\alpha \delta^{-1 /(p-1)}\right] / \beta .
\end{aligned}
$$

As a consequence, we can prove the existence of globally positive solutions.
Theorem 4.5. The set $\mathcal{S}_{3}$ is nonempty and open.
Proof. From Lemma 4.2, we can find $r_{0}$ such that $f>0$ for $0 \leq r \leq r_{0}$ and $f\left(r_{0}\right)+\left|f^{\prime}\left(r_{0}\right)\right|^{p-2} f^{\prime}\left(r_{0}\right)>0$ for all sufficiently large $\lambda$. Thus $\left(f\left(r_{0}\right)\right.$, $\left.\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} f^{\prime}\left(r_{0}\right)\right) \in \mathcal{L}_{1}$ and by Lemma 4.4, $f$ is positive for all $r>0$, which proves the first part of theorem.

We next prove $\mathcal{S}_{3}$ is an open set. Set $\lambda_{0} \in \mathcal{S}_{3}$ and then by Proposition 4.3, $E_{1}(r)=f+r f^{\prime}$ becomes positive for all large $r$. Thus there exist sufficiently large $r_{0}$ such that $\left(f\left(r_{0}\right),\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} f^{\prime}\left(r_{0}\right)\right) \in \mathcal{L}_{1}$. Then by continuous dependence of solutions on the initial value there is a neighborhood $N$ of $\lambda_{0}$ such that $f(r ; \lambda)>0$ and $\left(f\left(r_{0} ; \lambda\right),\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} f^{\prime}\left(r_{0} ; \lambda\right)\right) \in \mathcal{L}_{1}$ for any $(r, \lambda) \in\left[0, r_{0}\right] \times N$. By

Lemma 4.4, we deduce that the orbits remains in $\mathcal{L}_{1}$ for any $r>r_{0}$, which implies in particular that $f(r, \lambda)>0$ for any $r>r_{0}$ and $\lambda \in N$. Therefore, $f(r ; \lambda)>0$ for any $r>0$ and $\lambda \in N$ and $\mathcal{S}_{3}$ is open.

We are now going to find exact decay-rates for globally positive solutions.
Theorem 4.6. For any given $\lambda>0$, let $f$ be any solution to (1.10) such that $f>0$ for any $r>0$. Then $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{\alpha / \beta} f^{1 / m}(r ; \lambda)=\mathrm{L}(\lambda)>0$ exists.

Proof. Step 1: By Lemma 2.1 we know that $f^{\prime}(r)<0$ for $r>0$ and $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} f(r)=0, \lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} f^{\prime}(r)=0$. Moreover we have seen that if $c<m \alpha / \beta$, then $E_{c}(r)=c f+r f^{\prime}<0$ for all sufficiently large $r$, say, $r>r_{0}$. We easily find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(r) \leq f\left(r_{0}\right) r^{-c}, \quad r>r_{0} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also recall that if $d>m \alpha / \beta$, then $E_{d}(r)=d f+r f^{\prime}>0$ and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
-f^{\prime}(r)<d f(r) / r, \quad r>r_{1} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $r_{1}>0$.
Step 2: From (1.10), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{r^{\alpha / \beta-1}\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} f^{\prime}+\beta r^{\alpha / \beta} f^{1 / m}\right\}^{\prime} \\
= & r^{\alpha / \beta-1}\left\{\frac{\alpha / \beta-1}{r}\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} f^{\prime}-\left(f^{q / m}\right)^{\prime}\right\}, \tag{4.10}
\end{align*}
$$

and integrating over $(0, r)$, we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
& r^{\alpha / \beta-1}\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} f^{\prime}+\beta r^{\alpha / \beta} f^{1 / m} \\
= & (\alpha / \beta-1) \int_{0}^{r}\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} f^{\prime} s^{\alpha / \beta-2} d s+q / m \int_{0}^{r} f^{(q-m) / m}\left|f^{\prime}\right| s^{\alpha / \beta-1} d s \tag{4.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (4.8) and (4.9), we find that two integrals of the right hand side of (4.11) converge and $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{\alpha / \beta-1}\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} f^{\prime}=0$. Therefore, the limit $\mathrm{L}(\lambda)=$ $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{\alpha / \beta} f^{1 / m}(r ; \lambda)$ exists and finite.

Step 3: We now show that $\mathrm{L}(\lambda)>0$. Assume that $\mathrm{L}(\lambda)=0$. Integrating (4.10) over $(r, \infty)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r^{\alpha / \beta-1}\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} f^{\prime}+\beta r^{\alpha / \beta} f^{1 / m} \\
= & (1-\alpha / \beta) \int_{r}^{\infty}\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} f^{\prime} s^{\alpha / \beta-2} d s-q / m \int_{r}^{\infty} f^{(q-m) / m}\left|f^{\prime}\right| s^{\alpha / \beta-1} d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

Again using (4.9), we see that $\mathrm{L}(\lambda)=\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{\alpha / \beta} f^{1 / m}(r ; \lambda)$ exists and finite. On the other hand, by (4.9),

$$
f(r) \geq f\left(r_{1}\right) r^{-d}, \quad r>r_{1} .
$$

These conflictions implies that $\mathrm{L}(\lambda)>0$.

Remark 4.7. Obviously, the limit value $\mathrm{L}(\lambda)=0$ is achieved only when $f$ has the compact support and Proposition 4.3 and Theorem 4.6 remain true for the case $\alpha \leq \beta$.

We finally show that there exists a fast orbit.
Theorem 4.8. The set $\mathcal{S}_{2} \neq \emptyset$ and closed. Moreover, the interface relation holds
$\lim _{r \rightarrow R^{-}}\left(f^{[m(p-1)-1] /[m(p-1)]}\right)^{\prime}(r)=-[m(p-1)-1] /[m(p-1)] \beta^{1 /(p-1)} R^{1 /(p-1)}$
for any $\lambda \in \mathcal{S}_{2}$.
Proof. By Theorems 4.1 and 4.5 , we immediately see that $\mathcal{S}_{2}$ is nonempty and closed set. From Lemma 2.2, any solution $f=f(r, \lambda)$ with $\lambda \in \mathcal{S}_{2}$ has a compact support, say, $[0, R]$ and $f$ satisfies condition $f(R)=0, f^{\prime}(R)=0$. Integrating the equation (1.10) from $r$ to $R$ we get

$$
\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} f^{\prime}(r)+\beta r f^{1 / m}(r)=(\alpha-\beta) \int_{r}^{R} f^{1 / m}(s) d s-f^{q / m}(r)
$$

Dividing by $f$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.f^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} f^{\prime}(r) / f^{1 / m}(r)+\beta r \\
= & (\alpha-\beta) \int_{r}^{R} f^{1 / m}(s) d s / f^{1 / m}(r)-f^{(q-1) / m}(r) . \tag{4.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $f$ is strictly decreasing, we find that

$$
0 \leq \int_{r}^{R} f^{1 / m}(s) d s \leq f^{1 / m}(r)(R-r)
$$

Hence

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow R^{-}} \int_{r}^{R} f^{1 / m}(s) d s / f^{1 / m}(r)=0
$$

Letting $r \rightarrow R^{-}$in (4.12) then we obtain

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow R^{-}}\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} f^{\prime}(r) / f^{1 / m}(r)=-\beta R
$$

and which is equivalent to the second result of theorem.
In addition, we show the monotonicity of the solutions of the problem (1.10) with respect to $\lambda$ in the sense that two positive orbits do not intersect each other.

Theorem 4.9. Assume that $\alpha>0, \beta>0$ and $f_{i}$ are solutions of problem (1.10) on $\left[0, R_{i}\right)$ with initial data $f_{i}(0)=\lambda_{i}>0, i=1,2$, where $\left[0, R_{i}\right)$ denotes the maximal existence interval of $f_{i}$ and the $R_{i}$ are possibly infinity. Then

$$
\lambda_{2}>\lambda_{1} \Rightarrow f_{2}(r)>f_{1}(r) \quad \text { for all } 0 \leq r \leq R:=\min \left\{R_{1}, R_{2}\right\}
$$

Proof. Suppose contrarily that there exists $R_{0} \in[0, R]$ such that $f_{1}(r)<f_{2}(r)$ for $r \in\left[0, R_{0}\right)$ and $f_{1}\left(R_{0}\right)=f_{2}\left(R_{0}\right)$. We define

$$
g_{k}(r):=k^{-m p /[m(p-1)-1]} f_{1}(k r), \quad r \in\left[0, R_{1} / k\right)
$$

for $k>0$ and then $g_{k}(r)$ solves

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\left|g_{k}^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} g_{k}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\beta r\left(g_{k}^{1 / m}\right)^{\prime} \\
& \quad+\alpha g_{k}^{1 / m}+k^{[p q-(m+1)(p-1)] /[m(p-1)-1]}\left(g_{k}^{q / m}\right)^{\prime}=0 \tag{4.13}
\end{align*}
$$

By Lemma 2,1 we know that $f_{1}$ is strictly decreasing on $\left[0, R_{1}\right)$ and so $g_{k}$ is strictly decreasing with respect to $k$. In particular, $\lim _{k \rightarrow 0} g_{k}(r)=+\infty$ for any $r \in[0, R]$. Thus there exists a small $k_{0}>0$ such that

$$
g_{k}(r)>f_{2}(r) \quad \text { for } r \in[0, R] \text { and } k \in\left[0, k_{0}\right]
$$

and the set

$$
I:=\left\{k \in\left(0, k_{0}\right) ; g_{k}(r)>f_{2}(r) \quad \text { for } r \in\left[0, R_{0}\right]\right\}
$$

is nonempty and open. Setting $l:=\sup I$, we see that $l<1, l \notin I$ and there exists $r_{0} \in\left[0, R_{0}\right]$ such that $g_{l}\left(r_{0}\right)=f_{2}\left(r_{0}\right)$.

If $r_{0}=R_{0}$, then $g_{l}\left(R_{0}\right)=l^{-m p /[m(p-1)-1]} f_{1}\left(l R_{0}\right)=f_{2}\left(R_{0}\right)$. Since $f_{1}\left(R_{0}\right)=$ $f_{2}\left(R_{0}\right)$ and $g_{l}$ is strictly decreasing with respect to $l$, we conclude that $l=1$ and which contradicts to the hypothesis. If $r_{0} \in\left(0, R_{0}\right)$, then $g_{l}$ much touch $f_{2}$ at $r=r_{0}$ from the above. But in this case we deduce from (1.10) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\left|g_{l}^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} g_{l}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}\left(r_{0}\right)-\left(\left|f_{2}^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} f_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}\left(r_{0}\right) \\
= & \left(1-l^{[p q-(m+1)(p-1)] /[m(p-1)-1]}\right)\left(f_{2}^{q / m}\right)^{\prime}\left(r_{0}\right)<0,
\end{aligned}
$$

which obviously violates the strong maximum principle. Thus $g_{l}$ much touch $f_{2}$ at $r=0$ from the above. But also from (1.10), we find $\left(\left|f_{2}^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} f_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}(0)=$ $-\alpha \lambda_{2}^{1 / m}$ and $\left(\left|f_{2}^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} f_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}(0)=-\left(f_{2}^{q / m}\right)^{\prime \prime}(0)=-q / m \lambda_{2}^{q / m-1} f_{2}^{\prime \prime}(0)$. Similarly for $g_{l}$ and we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\left|g_{l}^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} g_{l}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}(0)-\left(\left|f_{2}^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} f_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}(0) \\
= & \left(l^{[p q-(m+1)(p-1)] /[m(p-1)-1]}-1\right) q / m \lambda_{2}^{q / m-1} f_{2}^{\prime \prime}(0)<0,
\end{aligned}
$$

which leads to another contradiction and completes all the proofs.

## 5. Uniqueness

In this section, we show that there exists only one fast decaying solution for the problem (1.10).

Recall that such a solution has compact support $[0, R]$ and has an interface relation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{r \rightarrow R^{-}}\left(f^{[m(p-1)-1] /[m(p-1)]}\right)^{\prime}(r)  \tag{5.1}\\
= & -[m(p-1)-1] /[m(p-1)] \beta^{1 /(p-1)} R^{1 /(p-1)}
\end{align*}
$$

by Theorem 4.8.

Theorem 5.1. The set $\mathcal{S}_{2}$ consists only one element.
Proof. Let $F$ and $f$ be any two fast orbits with compact supports $\left[0, R_{i}\right]$ for $i=1,2$ respectively and satisfy $F(0)>f(0)$. We define

$$
f_{k}(r)=k f\left(k^{-\gamma} r\right), \quad \gamma=[m(p-1)-1] /(m p)
$$

and then $f_{k}$ will be larger than $F$ on $\left[0, R_{2}\right]$ for sufficiently large $k$. We now define

$$
\tau=\min \left\{k \geq 1 ; f_{k}(r) \geq F(r), 0 \leq r \leq R_{2}\right\}
$$

The uniqueness proof is now reduced to showing that $\tau$ is not greater than 1 . Suppose that $\tau>1$, to the contrary. We will show that there exists $\epsilon>0$ such that $f_{\tau-\epsilon}(r) \geq F(r)$ for every $r \in\left[0, R_{2}\right]$. Indeed, we are going to show that $f_{\tau}(r)$ does not touch $F(r)$ in compact support $\left[0, R_{2}\right]$ by dividing into three cases;
(i) in the interior of the support,
(ii) at the origin,
(iii) at $R_{2}$.

In fact, $f_{\tau}(r)$ solves

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\left|f_{\tau}^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} f_{\tau}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\beta r\left(f_{\tau}^{1 / m}\right)^{\prime}+\alpha f_{\tau}^{1 / m}+\left(f_{\tau}^{q / m}\right)^{\prime}  \tag{5.2}\\
= & -\tau\left(1-\tau^{q / m-\gamma-1}\right)\left(f^{q / m}\right)^{\prime} .
\end{align*}
$$

(i) If $f_{\tau}$ touches $F$ at $r_{0} \in\left(0, R_{2}\right)$, then $f_{\tau}\left(r_{0}\right)=F\left(r_{0}\right), f_{\tau}^{\prime}\left(r_{0}\right)=F^{\prime}\left(r_{0}\right)<0$ and

$$
\left(\left|f_{\tau}^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} f_{\tau}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\left(r_{0}\right)<\left(\left|F^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} F^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\left(r_{0}\right)
$$

But $f_{\tau}(r) \geq F(r)$ near $r=r_{0}$, which obviously violates the strong maximum principle.
(ii) If $f_{\tau}$ touches $F$ at $r_{0}=0$, then $f_{\tau}(0)=F(0)>0, f_{\tau}^{\prime}(0)=F^{\prime}(0)=0$ and $\left(\left|f_{\tau}^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} f_{\tau}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=-\alpha f_{\tau}^{1 / m}(0)=\left(\left|F^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} F^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}(0)<0$. Differentiating the equation (1.10) and (5.2), we reduce that

$$
\left(\left|f_{\tau}^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} f_{\tau}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}(0)-\left(\left|F^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} F^{\prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}(0)=-\tau\left(1-\tau^{q / m-\gamma-1}\right)\left(f^{q / m}\right)^{\prime \prime}(0)<0
$$

Thus, we have

$$
\left(\left|f_{\tau}^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} f_{\tau}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}(r)-\left(\left|F^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} F^{\prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}(r) \leq 0
$$

near $r_{0}=0$, which leads to a contradiction.
(iii) For the final case, we define the functions $u, U_{\tau}$ corresponding to $F$ and $f_{\tau}$ by

$$
\begin{gathered}
u(x, t)=: t^{-\alpha} F^{1 / m}(r), \\
U_{\tau}(x, t)=: t^{-\alpha} f_{\tau}^{1 / m}(r)=: \tau^{1 / m} t^{-\alpha} f^{1 / m}\left(\tau^{-\gamma} r\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\gamma=(p-2) / p, r=r t^{-\beta}$ as defined before. Then $u(x, t)$ is a solution of (1.1) and $U_{\tau}$ is a supersolution. Indeed, a straightforward computation shows that
$U_{t}-\left(\left|\left(U^{m}\right)_{x}\right|^{p-2}\left(U^{m}\right)_{x}\right)_{x}-\left(U^{q}\right)_{x}=\tau^{1 / m}\left(\tau^{q / m-\gamma-1}-1\right)\left|\left(f^{q / m}\right)^{\prime}\right| \geq 0$ for $\tau>1$.

Following directly the proof of Lemma 10 in [12], we can show that for fixed $t>0$ and all sufficiently small $\delta^{\prime}>0$, there exists $\theta=\theta\left(\delta^{\prime}\right) \in(0,1)$ such that $U_{\tau}(x, t) \leq U_{\tau}\left(x, t+\delta^{\prime}\right)$ if $x$ satisfies $\theta R_{2} \leq x t^{-\beta} \tau^{-\gamma} \leq R_{2}$ and $\lim _{\delta^{\prime} \downarrow 0} \theta\left(\delta^{\prime}\right)=\theta_{0} \in(0,1)$. In the proof, we use the interface relation (5.1) crucially (see [12] for details). In particular, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{\tau}(x, 1) \leq U_{\tau}\left(x, 1+\delta^{\prime}\right) \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\theta R_{2} \tau^{\gamma} \leq x<R_{2} \tau^{\gamma}\left(1+\delta^{\prime}\right)^{\beta}$. In other words, we found a separation near the right end $r=R_{2}$.

On the other hand, as previously proved, $f_{\tau}$ can not touches $F$ at $r_{0} \in$ $\left[0, R_{2}\right)$, which implies for any $\epsilon_{1}>0$, there exists $\kappa=\kappa\left(\epsilon_{1}\right) \in(0,1)$ such that $F^{1 / m}(x) \leq \kappa f_{\tau}^{1 / m}(x)$, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, 1) \leq \kappa U_{\tau}(x, 1) \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We choose $\epsilon_{1}>0$ so that $0<\epsilon_{1}<1-\theta_{0}$ and find $\delta_{0}=\delta_{0}\left(\epsilon_{1}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-\epsilon_{1}>\theta\left(\delta^{\prime}\right) \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\delta^{\prime} \in\left(0, \delta_{0}\right)$. By continuity of $U_{\tau}$, there exists $\delta_{1}=\delta_{1}\left(\epsilon_{1}\right) \in\left(0, \delta_{0}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa U_{\tau}(x, 1) \leq U_{\tau}\left(x, 1+\delta^{\prime}\right) \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\delta^{\prime} \in\left(0, \delta_{1}\right)$ and $0 \leq x<\left(1-\epsilon_{1}\right) R_{2} \tau^{\gamma}$. Combining (5.3), (5.4), and (5.6) and using again the continuity of $U_{\tau}$, we deduce that for $\delta \in\left(\delta^{\prime}, \delta_{1}\right), \delta-\delta^{\prime}$ small enough, we have

$$
F^{1 / m}(r)<U_{\tau}(x, 1+\delta)=\tau^{1 / m}(1+\delta)^{-\alpha} f^{1 / m}\left(x(1+\delta)^{-\beta} \tau^{-\gamma}\right)
$$

for any $x \geq 0$. Furthermore, from the continuity with respect to $\tau$, there exists $\tau_{1} \in(0, \tau)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
u(x, 1) & =F^{1 / m}(r) \leq \tau_{1}^{1 / m}(1+\delta)^{-\alpha} f^{1 / m}\left(x(1+\delta)^{-\beta} \tau_{1}^{-\gamma}\right)  \tag{5.7}\\
& =U_{\tau_{1}}(x, 1+\delta)
\end{align*}
$$

for any $x \geq 0$. By parabolic maximum principle, we have $u(x, t) \leq U_{\tau_{1}}(x, t+\delta)$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{-\alpha} F^{1 / m}\left(x t^{-\beta}\right) \leq \tau_{1}^{1 / m}(t+\delta)^{-\alpha} f^{1 / m}\left(x(t+\delta)^{-\beta} \tau_{1}^{-\gamma}\right) \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $t \geq 1$ and $x \geq 0$. Rewriting (5.8) of the form;

$$
F^{1 / m}(r) \leq \tau_{1}^{1 / m}[t /(t+\delta)]^{-\alpha} f^{1 / m}\left(r[t /(t+\delta)]^{-\beta} \tau_{1}^{-\gamma}\right)
$$

and letting $t \longrightarrow \infty$, we find that

$$
F^{1 / m}(r) \leq \tau_{1}^{1 / m} f^{1 / m}\left(r \tau_{1}^{-\gamma}\right)
$$

which contradicts the fact that $\tau$ is the smallest constant with that property. Thus $f_{\tau}$ does not meet at $r_{0}=R_{2}$.

Hence we may find $\epsilon>0$ so that

$$
f_{\tau-\epsilon}(r) \geq F(r) \quad \text { for every } r \in\left[0, R_{2}\right]
$$

which means that we can slightly reduce the factor $\tau$. Hence we may conclude that $\tau=1$ but it is obviously impossible.
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