

**VECTOR F -COMPLEMENTARITY PROBLEMS
WITH g -DEMI-PSEUDOMONOTONE MAPPINGS
IN BANACH SPACES**

BYUNG-SOO LEE, M. FIRDOSH KHAN, AND SALAHUDDIN

ABSTRACT. In this paper, a class of g -demi-pseudomonotone mappings is introduced and the solvability of a class of generalized vector F -complementarity problems with the mappings in Banach spaces is considered.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

In the past years, many important generalizations of monotonicity such as quasi monotonicity, pseudo-monotonicity, dense-pseudomonotonicity and semi-monotonicity have been introduced to study the various classes of variational inequalities and complementarity problems [7, 9, 11-14].

In particular, Chen [1] introduced a class of variational inequalities with semi-monotone single-valued mappings, which are continuous in the first variable and monotone in the second variable. In 2003, Fang and Huang [5] considered a class of variational-like inequalities with generalized semi-monotone single-valued mappings. For the cases of set-valued mappings, Kassay and Kolumban [10] considered variational inequalities with semi-pseudomonotonicity, and Kang et al. [8] considered variational-like inequalities with generalized semi-pseudomonotonicity.

On the other hand, Fang and Huang [4] also considered the vector F -complementarity problems with demi-pseudomonotone single-valued mappings, which are vector demicontinuous in the first variable and pseudomonotone in the second variable.

In this paper, we consider the generalized vector F -complementarity problems which generalizing the vector F -complementarity problems considered by Fang and Huang, by adding a continuous convex mapping g as finding $u \in K$

Received November 19, 2009; May 1, 2010.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 49J40, 90C33, 47H10.

Key words and phrases. generalized vector variational inequality, generalized vector F -complementarity problem, g -pseudomonotone, g -demi-pseudomonotone, hemicontinuous, vector demicontinuous, g -demi-pseudomonotone, KKM theorem.

such that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A(u, u), g(u) \rangle + F(g(u)) &\not\geq 0 \\ \langle A(u, u), g(v) \rangle + F(g(v)) &\not\leq 0, \text{ for } v \in K, \end{aligned}$$

where $A : K \times K \rightarrow L(X, Y)$, $F : K \rightarrow Y$ and $g : K \rightarrow K$ are mappings for a subset K of a reflexive Banach space X , an ordered Banach space (Y, \leq) and a collection $L(X, Y)$ of continuous linear mappings from X into Y .

Definition 1.1. Let (Y, C) be an ordered Banach space, where C is a pointed (i.e., $C \cap \{-C\} = \{0\}$) closed convex cone with a nonempty interior $\text{int } C$. With C we define the order relations \geq , $\not\geq$, $<$ and $\not\leq$ as follows;

$$\begin{aligned} x \geq y &\Leftrightarrow x - y \in C, \\ x \not\geq y &\Leftrightarrow x - y \notin C, \\ x < y &\Leftrightarrow y - x \in \text{int } C, \\ x \not\leq y &\Leftrightarrow y - x \notin \text{int } C \text{ for } x, y \in Y. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 1.2. A mapping $T : K \rightarrow L(X, Y)$ is said to be hemicontinuous if for any fixed $x, y \in K$, the mapping $t \rightarrow \langle T(x + t(y - x)), y - x \rangle$ is continuous at 0^+ .

Definition 1.3. Let $g : K \rightarrow K$ be a single-valued mapping, $T : K \rightarrow L(X, Y)$ and $F : K \rightarrow Y$ two nonlinear mappings. T is said to be g -pseudomonotone with respect to F if for $x, y \in K$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T(x), g(y) - g(x) \rangle + F(g(y)) - F(g(x)) &\not\leq 0 \\ \text{implies } \langle T(y), g(y) - g(x) \rangle + F(g(y)) - F(g(x)) &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 1.4. A mapping $G : K \subset X \rightarrow 2^X$ is said to be a KKM mapping if for any finite set $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \subset K$, $\text{Co}\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n G(x_i)$, where 2^X denotes the family of all nonempty subsets of X .

Definition 1.5. A mapping $f : K \rightarrow Y$ is said to be convex if $f(tx + (1-t)y) \leq tf(x) + (1-t)f(y)$ for $x, y \in K$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.

F-KKM Theorem ([3]). Let M be a nonempty subset of a Hausdorff topological vector space E and $G : M \rightarrow 2^E$ be a KKM mapping. If $G(x)$ is closed in E for every $x \in M$ and compact for some $x \in M$ then

$$\bigcap_{x \in M} G(x) \neq \emptyset.$$

Lemma 1.1. ([1]) Let (Y, \leq) be an ordered Banach space induced by a pointed closed convex cone C with nonempty $\text{int } C$. For $a, b, c \in Y$, the following unifications hold:

$$\begin{aligned} c \not\leq a \text{ and } a \geq b &\text{ implies } b \not\leq c, \\ c \not\geq a \text{ and } a \leq b &\text{ implies } b \not\geq c. \end{aligned}$$

2. Main results

First we consider the equivalence of Stampacchia-type of g -pseudomonotone vector variational inequalities and Minty-type of g -pseudomonotone vector variational inequalities, and then the existences of solutions to them mentioned.

Next we consider the existences of solutions to the more generalized vector F -complementarity problems with g -demi-pseudomonotone mappings.

In this paper, K is a bounded closed and convex subset of a real reflexive Banach space, (Y, \leq) an ordered Banach space induced by a pointed closed convex cone C with $\text{int}C \neq \emptyset$ and $L(X, Y)$ the space of all the continuous linear mappings from X into Y .

Theorem 2.1. *Let $T : K \rightarrow L(X, Y)$ be a hemicontinuous mapping, $g : K \rightarrow K$ and $F : K \rightarrow Y$ two convex mappings. Suppose that T is g -pseudomonotone with respect to F . Then for any given point $x_0 \in K$, the following are equivalent*

- (i) $\langle T(x_0), g(x) - g(x_0) \rangle + F(g(x)) - F(g(x_0)) \not\leq 0$ for $x \in K$;
- (ii) $\langle T(x), g(x) - g(x_0) \rangle + F(g(x)) - F(g(x_0)) \geq 0$ for $x \in K$.

Proof. We only prove that (ii) implies (i), the converse is obvious by Definition 1.3.

Suppose that (ii) holds. For any given $x \in K$ and $t \in (0, 1)$, let $x_t = x_0 + t(x - x_0)$ then it follows from the convexities of g and F that

$$\begin{aligned} & t\langle T(x_0 + t(x - x_0)), g(x) - g(x_0) \rangle + t(F(g(x)) - F(g(x_0))) \\ & \geq \langle T(x_0 + t(x - x_0)), t(g(x) - g(x_0)) \rangle + F(g(tx + (1 - t)x_0)) - F(g(x_0)) \\ & \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\langle T(x_0 + t(x - x_0)), g(x) - g(x_0) \rangle + F(g(x)) - F(g(x_0)) \geq 0.$$

Since T is hemicontinuous and C is closed, letting $t \rightarrow 0^+$ in the above inequality, we have

$$\langle T(x_0), g(x) - g(x_0) \rangle + F(g(x)) - F(g(x_0)) \geq 0.$$

Hence

$$\langle T(x_0), g(x) - g(x_0) \rangle + F(g(x)) - F(g(x_0)) \not\leq 0 \text{ for } x \in K. \quad \square$$

Theorem 2.2. *Let $g : K \rightarrow K$, $F : K \rightarrow Y$ be continuous convex mappings and $T : K \rightarrow L(X, Y)$ a hemicontinuous mapping.*

If T is g -pseudomonotone with respect to F , then there exists $x \in K$ such that

$$\langle T(x), g(y) - g(x) \rangle + F(g(y)) - F(g(x)) \not\leq 0 \text{ for } y \in K.$$

Proof. Define two set-valued mappings $G_1, G_2 : K \rightarrow 2^K$ as follows:

$$G_1(z) = \{x \in K : \langle T(x), g(z) - g(x) \rangle + F(g(z)) - F(g(x)) \not\leq 0\}$$

and

$$G_2(z) = \{x \in K : \langle T(z), g(z) - g(x) \rangle + F(g(z)) - F(g(x)) \geq 0\}.$$

Then G_1 is a KKM mapping. In fact, if it is not, then there exist $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subset K$, $x = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i x_i$ with $t_i > 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n t_i = 1$ such that $x \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^n G_1(x_i)$. It follows that

$$\langle T(x), g(x_i) - g(x) \rangle + F(g(x_i)) - F(g(x)) < 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

By the convexities of F and g , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle T(x), g(x) - g(x) \rangle + F(g(x)) - F(g(x)) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n t_i \langle T(x), g(x_i) - g(x) \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^n t_i F(g(x_i)) - F(g(x)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n t_i \left[\langle T(x), g(x_i) - g(x) \rangle + F(g(x_i)) - F(g(x)) \right] \\ &< 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $0 \in \text{int } C$, which derives a contradiction. Thus G_1 is a KKM mapping. On the other hand, since T is g -pseudomonotone with respect to F , $G_1(z) \subset G_2(z)$ for $z \in K$ and so G_2 is also a KKM mapping. Also since K is bounded closed and convex, K is weakly compact. Furthermore, it is easy to check that $G_2(z) \subset K$ is closed and convex because F and g are continuous and convex. Hence $G_2(z)$ is weakly compact for each $z \in K$. It follows from F-KKM Theorem and Theorem 2.1 that

$$\bigcap_{z \in K} G_1(z) = \bigcap_{z \in K} G_2(z) \neq \emptyset.$$

Thus there exists $x \in K$ such that

$$\langle T(x), g(y) - g(x) \rangle + F(g(y)) - F(g(x)) \not\leq 0 \text{ for } y \in K.$$

□

Definition 2.1. Let $g : K \rightarrow K$ be a single-valued mapping, $A : K \times K \rightarrow L(X, Y)$ and $F : K \rightarrow Y$ two nonlinear mappings. A is said to be g -demi-pseudomonotone with respect to F if the following two conditions hold;

- (a) for each fixed $u \in K$, $A(u, \cdot)$ is g -pseudomonotone with respect to F .

That is,

$$\langle A(u, x), g(y) - g(x) \rangle + F(g(y)) - F(g(x)) \not\leq 0$$

implies

$$\langle A(u, y), g(y) - g(x) \rangle + F(g(y)) - F(g(x)) \geq 0 \text{ for } x, y \in K.$$

- (b) for each fixed $v \in K$, $A(v, \cdot)$ is vector demicontinuous, that is, for any net $\{u_\alpha\} \subset K$ and $w \in X$, $\{u_\alpha\}$ converges to u_0 in the weak topology of X implies that $\langle A(u_\alpha, v), w \rangle$ converges to $\langle A(u_0, v), w \rangle$ in the norm topology of Y .

Definition 2.2. A mapping $F : K \rightarrow Y$ is said to be completely continuous if for any net $\{u_\alpha\} \subset K$, $\{u_\alpha\}$ converges to u_0 in the weak topology implies that $F(u_\alpha)$ converges to $F(u_0)$ in the norm topology.

Theorem 2.3. Let $K \subset X$ be a nonempty bounded closed and convex set, $F : K \rightarrow Y$ a completely continuous and convex mapping and $g : K \rightarrow K$ a continuous and convex mapping. Suppose that

- (i) A is g -demi-pseudomonotone with respect to F ;
- (ii) for each $x \in K$, $A(x, \cdot) : K \rightarrow L(X, Y)$ is finite dimensional continuous, i.e., for any finite dimensional subspace $D \subset X$, $A(x, \cdot) : K \cap D \rightarrow L(X, Y)$ is continuous. Then there exists $u \in K$ such that

$$\langle A(u, u), g(v) - g(u) \rangle + F(g(v)) - F(g(u)) \not\leq 0 \text{ for } v \in K.$$

Proof. Let $D \subset X$ be a finite-dimensional subspace with $K_D = D \cap K \neq \emptyset$. For each $w \in K$, consider the following problem:

Find $u_0 \in K_D$ such that

$$\langle A(w, u_0), g(v) - g(u_0) \rangle + F(g(v)) - F(g(u_0)) \not\leq 0 \text{ for } v \in K_D. \tag{2.1}$$

Since $K_D \subset D$ is bounded closed and convex, $A(w, \cdot)$ is continuous on K_D and g -pseudomonotone with respect to F for each fixed $w \in K$, from Theorem 2.2, we know that problem (2.1) has a solution $u_0 \in K_D$.

Now we define a set-valued mapping $T : K_D \rightarrow 2^{K_D}$ as follows:

$$T(w) = \{u \in K_D : \langle A(w, u), g(v) - g(u) \rangle + F(g(v)) - F(g(u)) \not\leq 0 \text{ for } v \in K_D\},$$

for $w \in K_D$.

By Theorem 2.1, for each fixed $w \in K_D$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \{u \in K_D : \langle A(w, v), g(v) - g(u) \rangle + F(g(v)) - F(g(u)) \not\leq 0 \text{ for } v \in K_D\} \\ & = \{u \in K_D : \langle A(w, v), g(v) - g(u) \rangle + F(g(v)) - F(g(u)) \geq 0 \text{ for } v \in K_D\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since F is completely continuous and convex, it follows that $T : K_D \rightarrow 2^{K_D}$ has nonempty bounded closed and convex values. We also know that T is upper semicontinuous by the vector demicontinuity of $A(\cdot, u)$. By using the Glicksberg fixed point theorem [6], T has a fixed point $w_0 \in K_D$, i.e.,

$$\langle A(w_0, w_0), g(v) - g(w_0) \rangle + F(g(v)) - F(g(w_0)) \not\leq 0 \text{ for } v \in K_D. \tag{2.2}$$

Let $\mathcal{D} = \{D \subset X : D \text{ is a finite-dimensional subspace with } D \cap K \neq \emptyset\}$ and

$$W_D = \{u \in K : \langle A(u, v), g(v) - g(u) \rangle + F(g(v)) - F(g(u)) \geq 0 \text{ for } v \in K_D\}$$

for $D \in \mathcal{D}$.

By (2.2) and Theorem 2.1, we know that W_D is nonempty and bounded. Then the weak closure $cl(W_D)$ of W_D is weakly compact in D .

For any $D_i \in \mathcal{D}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, we know that $W_{\bigcup_i D_i} \subset \bigcap W_{D_i}$. So $\{cl(W_D) : D \in \mathcal{D}\}$ has the finite intersection property. It follows that

$$\bigcap_{D \in \mathcal{D}} cl(W_D) \neq \emptyset.$$

Let $u \in \bigcap_{D \in \mathcal{D}} cl(W_D)$. We claim that

$$\langle A(u, u), g(v) - g(u) \rangle + F(g(v)) - F(g(u)) \not\leq 0 \text{ for } v \in K.$$

Indeed, for each $v \in K$, let $D \in \mathcal{D}$ be such that $v \in K_D$ and $u \in K_D$. Since W_D is weakly closed there exists a net $\{u_\alpha\} \subset W_D$ such that $\{u_\alpha\}$ converges to u with respect to the weak topology of X . It follows that

$$\langle A(u_\alpha, v), g(v) - g(u_\alpha) \rangle + F(g(v)) - F(g(u_\alpha)) \geq 0.$$

It follows that

$$\langle A(u, v), g(v) - g(u) \rangle + F(g(v)) - F(g(u)) \geq 0 \text{ for } v \in K,$$

by the vector demicontinuity of $A(\cdot, v)$ and the continuities of F and g . By Theorem 2.1, we know

$$\langle A(u, u), g(v) - g(u) \rangle + F(g(v)) - F(g(u)) \not\leq 0 \text{ for } v \in K. \quad \square$$

Theorem 2.4. *Suppose that K is a nonempty closed convex cone and all the conditions of Theorem 2.3 hold. Furthermore, if $g(0) = 0$ and $F(0) = 0$, then there exists $u \in K$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A(u, u), g(u) \rangle + F(g(u)) &\not\leq 0 \text{ and} \\ \langle A(u, u), g(v) \rangle + F(g(v)) &\not\leq 0 \text{ for } v \in K. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.3, there exists $u \in K$ such that

$$\langle A(u, u), g(v) - g(u) \rangle + F(g(v)) - F(g(u)) \not\leq 0, \text{ for } v \in K. \quad (2.3)$$

Since $g(0) = 0$ and $F(0) = 0$, we have

$$\langle A(u, u), g(u) \rangle + F(g(u)) \not\leq 0.$$

On the other hand, any $w \in K$, substituting $v = u + w$ into (2.3), we have

$$\langle A(u, u), g(u + w) - g(u) \rangle + F(g(u + w)) - F(g(u)) \not\leq 0.$$

Since g and F are convex,

$$g(u + w) \leq g(u) + g(w)$$

and

$$F(g(u + w)) \leq F(g(u) + g(w)) \leq F(g(u)) + F(g(w))$$

It follows Lemma 1.1, that

$$\langle A(u, u), g(w) \rangle + F(g(w)) \not\leq 0 \text{ for } w \in K. \quad \square$$

Remark 2.1. By putting $g = I$, the identity in Theorems 2.1, 2.2, 2.3 and 2.4, we obtain the corresponding results in Fang and Huang [4].

References

- [1] Y. Q. Chen, *On the semi-monotone operator theory and applications*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **231** (1999), 177–192.
- [2] G. Y. Chen and X. Q. Yang, *The vector complementarity problem and its equivalences with the weak minimal element in ordered spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **153** (1990), 136–158.
- [3] K. Fan, *Some properties of convex sets related to fixed point theorems*, Math. Ann. **266** (1984), 519–537.
- [4] Y. P. Fang and N. J. Huang, *The vector F -complementarity problems with demipseu-domonotone mappings in Banach spaces*, Appl. Math. Lett. **16** (2003), 1019–1024.
- [5] Y. P. Fang and N. J. Huang, *Variational-like inequalities with generalized monotone mappings in Banach spaces*, J. Optim. Th. Appl. **118** (2003), 327–338.
- [6] I. Glicksberg, *A further generalization of Kakutani fixed point theorem with applications to Nash equilibrium points*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **36** (1952), 170–174.
- [7] N. Hadjesavvas and S. Schaible, *A quasimonotone variational inequalities in Banach spaces*, J. Optim. Theo. Appl. **90** (1996), 95–111.
- [8] M. K. Kang, N. J. Huang and B. S. Lee, *Generalized pseudomonotone set-valued variational-like inequalities*, Indian J. Math. **45** (2003), 251–264.
- [9] S. Karamardian, *Complementarity over cones with monotone and pseudomonotone maps*, J. Optim. Theo. Appl. **18** (1976), 445–454.
- [10] G. Kassay and J. Kolumban, *Variational inequalities given by semi-pseudomonotone mappings*, Nonlinear Analysis Forum **5** (2000), 35–50.
- [11] D. T. Luc, *Existence results for densely pseudomonotone variational inequalities*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **254** (2001), 291–308.
- [12] R. U. Verma, *Nonlinear variational inequalities on convex subsets of Banach spaces*, Appl. Math. Lett. **10**(4) (1997), 25–27.
- [13] H. Y. Yin, C.X. Hu and Z.X. Zhang, *The F -complementarity problems and its equivalence with the least element problem*, Acta Math. Sinica **44**(4) (2001), 679–686.
- [14] G. X. Z. Yuan, *KKM Theorem and Applications in Nonlinear Analysis*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1999.

BYUNG-SOO LEE
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 KYUNGSUNG UNIVERSITY
 BUSAN 608-736, KOREA
E-mail address: bslee@ks.ac.kr

M. FIRDOSH KHAN
 S.S. SCHOOL (BOYS) ALIGARH MUSLIM UNIVERSITY
 ALIGARH-202002, INDIA
E-mail address: khan_mfk@yahoo.com

SALAHUDDIN
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 ALIGARH MUSLIM UNIVERSITY
 ALIGARH-202002, INDIA
E-mail address: salahuddin12@mailcity.com