

## UNIT-REGULARITY AND STABLE RANGE ONE

HUANYIN CHEN

ABSTRACT. Let  $R$  be a ring, and let  $\Psi(R)$  be the ideal generated by the set  $\{x \in R \mid 1 + sxt \in R \text{ is unit-regular for all } s, t \in R\}$ . We show that  $\Psi(R)$  has “radical-like” property. It is proven that  $\Psi(R)$  has stable range one. Thus, diagonal reduction of matrices over such ideal is reduced.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $R$  be an associative ring with an identity. An element  $a \in R$  is regular in case there exists  $x \in R$  such that  $a = axa$ . If such  $x$  can be chosen a unit,  $a \in R$  is said to be unit-regular. A ring  $R$  is unit-regular in case every element in  $R$  is unit-regular. It is worth noting that a regular ring  $R$  is unit-regular if and only if for all finitely generated projective right  $R$ -modules  $A, B$  and  $C$ ,  $A \oplus B \cong A \oplus C$  implies that  $B \cong C$ . Many authors studied unit-regular rings, e.g. [5, 7]. As is well known, there exists a largest regular ideal  $M(R)$  of a ring  $R$ . A natural problem is how to construct a kind of ideal to deal with unit-regularity. The motivation of this article is to extend the known results on unit-regular rings to regular ideals. We always use  $ur(R)$  to denote the set of all unit-regular elements in  $R$ . Let  $\Psi(R)$  be the ideal generated by the set  $\{x \in R \mid 1 + sxt \in ur(R) \text{ for all } s, t \in R\}$ . We observe that  $\Psi(R)$  has “radical-like” property. That is,  $M_n(\Psi(R)) = \Psi(M_n(R))$ . An ideal  $I$  of a ring  $R$  has stable range one provided that  $aR + bR = R$  with  $a \in 1 + I, b \in R$  implies that  $a + by \in R$  is invertible. For general theory of stable range conditions, we refer the reader to [6]. Further, we prove that  $\Psi(R)$  has stable range one. As an application, the diagonal reduction of matrices over such ideal is studied.

Throughout, all rings are associative with identity.  $U(R)$  and  $GL_n(R)$  denote the set of all units of  $R$  and the  $n$ -dimensional general linear group over  $R$ , respectively. The symbol  $\mathbb{N}$  stands for the set of all natural number.

### 2. Unit-regularity

It is well known that for any  $x, y \in R$ ,  $1 + xy \in U(R)$  if and only if  $1 + yx \in U(R)$ . We extend this simple fact to unit-regularity.

---

Received January 21, 2009; Revised April 3, 2009.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16E50, 16U99.

*Key words and phrases.* unit-regularity, stable range one, diagonal reduction.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $x, y \in R$ . Then  $1 + xy$  is unit-regular if and only if so is  $1 + yx$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $1 + xy$  is unit-regular. Clearly, one checks that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 + yx \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ y & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 + xy & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -y & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 + yx \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(R)$  is unit-regular. Write

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 + yx \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 + yx \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{11} & c_{12} \\ c_{21} & c_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 + yx \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\begin{pmatrix} c_{11} & c_{12} \\ c_{21} & c_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(R)$ . Then we get  $1 + yx = (1 + yx)c_{22}(1 + yx)$ . Let  $E = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 + yx \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{11} & c_{12} \\ c_{21} & c_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ . Since

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 + yx \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & c_{22} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 - (1 + yx)c_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

we get

$$E \begin{pmatrix} c_{11} & c_{12} \\ c_{21} & c_{22} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & c_{22} \end{pmatrix} (I_2 - E) + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 - (1 + yx)c_{22} \end{pmatrix} (I_2 - E) = I_2 - E.$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & E + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 - (1 + yx)c_{22} \end{pmatrix} (I_2 - E) \\ &= I_2 - E \begin{pmatrix} c_{11} & c_{12} \\ c_{21} & c_{22} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & c_{22} \end{pmatrix} (I_2 - E) \in \text{GL}_2(R). \end{aligned}$$

Set  $\begin{pmatrix} z_{11} & z_{12} \\ z_{21} & z_{22} \end{pmatrix} = (I_2 - E) \begin{pmatrix} c_{11} & c_{12} \\ c_{21} & c_{22} \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$ . Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 + yx \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 - (1 + yx)c_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z_{11} & z_{12} \\ z_{21} & z_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(R).$$

That is,  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ * & 1 + yx + (1 - (1 + yx)c_{22})z_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(R)$ . As a result,  $u := 1 + yx + (1 - (1 + yx)c_{22})z_{22} \in U(R)$ . Hence,  $1 + yx = eu$ , where  $e = (1 + yx)c_{22} \in R$  is an idempotent. Therefore  $1 + yx \in R$  is unit-regular. The converse is symmetric.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** *An element  $a \in R$  is unit-regular if and only if  $\text{diag}(a, 1, \dots, 1) \in M_n(R)$  is unit-regular.*

*Proof.* It is an immediate consequence of [7, Theorem 4].  $\square$

Let  $\Phi(R) = \{x \in R \mid 1 + sxt \in R \text{ is unit-regular for all } s, t \in R\}$ , and let  $\Psi(R)$  be the ideal generated by the set  $\Phi(R)$ . We list some examples of such ideal.  $\Psi(\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ ;  $\Psi(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) = 0 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , and that

$$\Psi \left( \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \\ 0 & \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \\ 0 & \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $R$  be a ring. Then  $\Psi(M_n(R)) = M_n(\Psi(R))$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

*Proof.* Given any  $A \in \Psi(M_n(R))$ , we have  $A = A_1 + \dots + A_m$  ( $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ) with each  $A_i \in \Phi(M_n(R))$ . Write  $A_1 = (a_{ij})$ . For any  $r \in R$ , we have

$$I_n + A_1 \begin{pmatrix} r & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + a_{11}r & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ a_{21}r & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1}r & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is unit-regular. Clearly, there exists  $V \in GL_n(R)$  such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 + a_{11}r & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ a_{21}r & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1}r & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + a_{11}r & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix} V.$$

Hence  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 + a_{11}r & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in M_n(R)$  is unit-regular, and then so is  $1 + a_{11}r \in R$  from Lemma 2.2. It follows by Lemma 2.1 that  $a_{11} \in \Phi(R)$ . Likewise, we prove that each  $a_{ij} \in \Phi(R)$ . Hence,  $A_1 \in M_n(\Psi(R))$ . Similarly,  $A_2, \dots, A_m \in M_n(\Psi(R))$ . Consequently,  $A = A_1 + \dots + A_m \in M_n(\Psi(R))$ . We infer that  $\Psi(M_n(R)) \subseteq M_n(\Psi(R))$ .

Given any  $(a_{ij}) \in M_n(\Psi(R))$ , then each  $a_{ij} \in \Psi(R)$ . Write  $a_{ij} = b_1 + \dots + b_k$  with each  $b_s \in \Phi(R)$  ( $1 \leq s \leq k$ ). For any  $(r_{ij}) \in M_n(R)$ , we have

$$I_n + \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} (r_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + b_1 r_{11} & b_1 r_{12} & \cdots & b_1 r_{1n} \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Clearly, there is  $U \in GL_n(R)$  such that

$$U(I_n + \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} (r_{ij})) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + b_1 r_{11} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

As  $1 + b_1 r_{11} \in R$  is unit-regular, by Lemma 2.2, we have

$$U(I_n + \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} (r_{ij})) \in M_n(R)$$

is unit-regular, and thus so is

$$I_n + \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} (r_{ij}).$$

Thus,  $\begin{pmatrix} b_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \Psi(M_n(R))$ . Likewise,  $\begin{pmatrix} b_i & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \Psi(M_n(R))$  for all

$i$ . We infer that  $\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \Psi(M_n(R))$ . Analogously,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{12} & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \in \Psi(M_n(R)).$$

Therefore  $(a_{ij}) \in \Psi(M_n(R))$ , and so  $M_n(\Psi(R)) \subseteq \Psi(M_n(R))$ , as required.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $x, y \in R$ . Then  $x + y + xy \in ur(R)$  if and only if  $x + y + yx \in ur(R)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $x + y + xy \in ur(R)$ . Then  $(x + 1)(y + 1) - 1 = x + y + xy \in ur(R)$ , and then  $1 + (x + 1)(-y - 1) \in ur(R)$ . In view of Lemma 2.1,  $1 + (-y - 1)(x + 1) \in ur(R)$ , whence  $(y + 1)(x + 1) - 1 \in ur(R)$ . That is,  $x + y + yx \in ur(R)$ . The converse is analogous.  $\square$

Let  $e \in R$  be an idempotent and  $a \in R$ . In [7, Theorem 4], Lam and Murray proved that  $eae \in ur(eRe)$  if and only if  $eae + 1 - e \in ur(R)$ . We extend this result as follows.

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let  $e \in R$  be an idempotent of a ring  $R$  and  $a \in R$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $eae \in ur(eRe)$ .
- (2)  $ae + 1 - e \in ur(R)$ .
- (3)  $ea + 1 - e \in ur(R)$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Since  $eae \in ur(eRe)$ , we can find some  $u \in U(eRe)$  such that  $eae = (eae)(eue)(eae)$ . Hence  $eae + 1 - e = (eae + 1 - e)(eue + 1 - e)(eae + 1 - e)$ . Clearly,  $eue + 1 - e \in U(R)$ . This means that  $1 + e(ae - e) \in ur(R)$ . It follows from Lemma 2.1 that  $1 + (ae - e)e \in ur(R)$ . That is,  $ae + 1 - e \in ur(R)$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) As  $ae + 1 - e = 1 + (ae - e)e \in ur(R)$ , by Lemma 2.1, we have  $eae + 1 - e = 1 + e(ae - e) \in ur(R)$ . It follows from [7, Theorem 4] that  $eae \in ur(eRe)$ .

(1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (3) is proved in the same manner.  $\square$

**3. Stable range one**

**Lemma 3.1.** *Suppose that  $ax + b = 1$  in  $R$ . If  $a \in ur(R)$ , then there exists  $z \in R$  such that  $x + zb \in U(R)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $a \in ur(R)$ , there exist  $e = e^2 \in R$  and  $u \in U(R)$  such that  $a = eu$ . Hence  $eux(1 - e) + b(1 - e) = 1 - e$ , and then

$$a + b(1 - e)u = (1 - eux(1 - e))u = (1 + eux(1 - e))^{-1}u \in U(R).$$

By [4, Lemma 3.1], we can find  $z \in R$  such that  $x + zb \in U(R)$ . □

**Theorem 3.2.**  *$\Psi(R)$  has stable range one.*

*Proof.* Given  $ax + b = 1$  with  $a \in 1 + \Psi(R)$  and  $x, b \in R$ , we can find  $c_1, \dots, c_m \in R$  such that  $a = 1 + c_1 + \dots + c_m$  and each  $c_i \in \Phi(R)$ . Hence  $(1 + c_1)x + (c_2 + \dots + c_m)x + b = 1$ . As  $1 + c_1 \in ur(R)$ , by Lemma 3.1, we can find some  $z_1 \in R$  such that

$$x + z_1(c_2 + \dots + c_m)x + z_1b = u_1 \in U(R).$$

Hence

$$(1 + z_1c_2)xu_1^{-1} + z_1(c_3 + \dots + c_m)xu_1^{-1} + z_1bu_1^{-1} = 1.$$

As  $1 + z_1c_2 \in ur(R)$ , by Lemma 3.1 again, we have  $z_2 \in R$  such that

$$xu_1^{-1} + z_2z_1(c_3 + \dots + c_m)xu_1^{-1} + z_2z_1bu_1^{-1} \in U(R),$$

and then  $x + z_2z_1(c_3 + \dots + c_m)x + z_2z_1b \in U(R)$ . By iteration of this process, we have  $z \in R$  such that  $x + zb \in U(R)$ . Therefore  $\Psi(R)$  has stable range one. □

A right  $R$ -module  $A$  is said to have the finite exchange property if for every right  $R$ -module  $M$  and any two decompositions  $M = A' \oplus N = \bigoplus_{i \in I} A_i$ , where  $A'_R \cong A_R$  and the index set  $I$  is finite, then there exist submodules  $A'_i \subseteq A_i$  such that  $M = A' \oplus (\bigoplus_{i \in I} A'_i)$ . A ring  $R$  is said to be an exchange ring provided that  $R$  has the finite exchange property as a right  $R$ -module. As is well known, a ring  $R$  is an exchange ring if and only if for any  $x \in R$ , there exists an idempotent  $e \in R$  such that  $e \in xR$  and  $1 - e \in (1 - x)R$  (cf. [8, Proposition 28.6]).

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $R$  be an exchange ring, and let  $A \in M_n(\Psi(R))$  be regular. Then  $A$  admits a diagonal reduction.*

*Proof.* By virtue of Theorem 3.2,  $\Psi(R)$  has stable range one, and then so has  $M_n(\Psi(R))$  from [7, Corollary 5.4]. Since  $A \in M_n(\Psi(R))$  is regular, there exists  $E = E^2 \in M_n(R)$  such that  $A(nR) = E(nR)$ . Now we have a split exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ker}E \rightarrow nR \xrightarrow{E} E(nR) \rightarrow 0$$

of right  $R$ -modules. Thus,  $E(nR) \oplus \text{Ker}E \cong nR$ , and so  $E(nR)$  is a finitely generated projective right  $R$ -module. As  $A \in M_n(\Psi(R))$ , we see that  $E \in$

$M_n(\Psi(R))$ ; hence,  $E(nR) = E(nR)\Psi(R)$ . As in the proof of [8, Exercise 29.9], we can find idempotents  $e_1, \dots, e_n \in \Psi(R)$  such that

$$E(nR) \cong e_1R \oplus \dots \oplus e_nR \cong \text{diag}(e_1, \dots, e_n)(nR)$$

as right  $R$ -modules. This implies that

$$E(nR) \otimes_R R^n \cong \text{diag}(e_1, \dots, e_n)(nR) \otimes_R R^n,$$

where  ${}^nR = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} r_1 \\ \vdots \\ r_n \end{pmatrix} \mid r_1, \dots, r_n \in R \right\}$  and  $R^n = \{(r_1, \dots, r_n) \mid r_1, \dots, r_n \in R\}$ . Obviously,  ${}^nR \otimes_R R^n \cong M_n(R)$ . Thus, we infer that  $\varphi : AM_n(R) \cong \text{diag}(e_1, \dots, e_n)M_n(R)$ . One easily checks that

$$M_n(R)A = M_n(R)\varphi(A) \text{ and } \varphi(A)M_n(R) = \text{diag}(e_1, \dots, e_n)M_n(R).$$

Write  $A = X\varphi(A)$  and  $\varphi(A) = YA$ . Without loss of the generality, we may assume that  $X, Y \in M_n(\Psi(R))$ . Since

$$(X + (I_n - XY))Y + (I_n - XY)(I_n - Y) = I_n \text{ and } X + (I_n - XY) \in M_n(\Psi(R))$$

we have  $Z \in M_n(R)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} U &:= X + (I_n - XY)(I_n + (I_n - Y)Z) \\ &= X + (I_n - XY) + (I_n - XY)(I_n - Y)Z \in \text{GL}_n(R). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, it follows by [4, Lemma 3.1] that there exists  $W \in M_n(R)$  such that  $V := Y + W(I_n - XY) \in \text{GL}_n(R)$ . This implies that  $VA = YA = \varphi(A)$ . Likewise, we have  $V' \in \text{GL}_n(R)$  such that  $\varphi(A)V' = \text{diag}(e_1, \dots, e_n)$ . Therefore  $VAV' = \text{diag}(e_1, \dots, e_n)$ , as desired.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $R$  be an exchange ring, and let  $(a_{ij}) \in M_n(R)$  be regular. If each  $1 + a_{ij}r \in R$  is unit-regular for all  $r \in R$ , then  $(a_{ij})$  admits a diagonal reduction.*

*Proof.* In view of Lemma 2.1,  $\Phi(R) = \{x \in R \mid 1 + xt \in R \text{ is unit-regular for all } t \in R\}$ . If each  $1 + a_{ij}r \in R$  is unit-regular for all  $r \in R$ , then  $a_{ij} \in \Phi(R)$ . Thus,  $(a_{ij}) \in M_n(\Psi(R))$ . According to Corollary 3.3, we complete the proof.  $\square$

As is well known, a regular ring  $R$  has stable range one if and only if every element in  $R$  is unit-regular. The following result gives an analogue for ideals of a regular ring having stable range one.

**Theorem 3.5.** *An ideal  $I$  of a regular ring  $R$  has stable range one if and only if for any  $x \in I, r \in R, 1 + xr$  is unit-regular.*

*Proof.* Let  $I$  be an ideal of a regular ring  $R$ . Suppose that  $I$  has stable range one. For any  $x \in I, r \in R, 1 + xr \in 1 + I$ . Write  $1 + xr = (1 + xr)y(1 + xr)$  for

$y \in R$ . It follows from  $(1 + xr)y + (1 - (1 + xr)y) = 1$  that there exists  $z \in R$  such that

$$u := 1 + xr + (1 - (1 + xr)y)z \in U(R).$$

Hence,  $1 + xr = (1 + xr)yu$ . Therefore  $1 + xr = (1 + xr)u^{-1}(1 + xr)$ , and so  $1 + xr \in R$  is unit-regular.

Conversely, assume that  $1 + xr$  is unit-regular for all  $x \in I, r \in R$ . Obviously,  $\Phi(R) = \{x \in R \mid 1 + xr \in ur(R) \text{ for all } r \in R\}$ . Let  $x, y \in \Phi(R), s, t \in R$ . Then  $sxt \in \Phi(R)$ . Write  $1 + (x + y)t = (1 + (x + y)t)c(1 + (x + y)t)$ . Then

$$(1 + (x + y)t)c + (1 - (1 + (x + y)t)c) = 1.$$

Clearly,  $x + y \in \Psi(R)$ . According to Theorem 3.2,  $\Psi(R)$  has stable range one. As in the proof of [6, Theorem 1.8], we see that  $v := c + z(1 - (1 + (x + y)t)c) \in U(R)$  for  $z \in R$ . Therefore

$$1 + (x + y)t = (1 + (x + y)t)v(1 + (x + y)t).$$

That is,  $1 + (x + y)t \in ur(R)$ . Thus  $x + y \in \Phi(R)$ , and so  $\Phi(R)$  is an ideal of  $R$ . We infer that  $\Phi(R) = \Psi(R)$ . Hence,  $\Phi(R)$  has stable range one by Theorem 3.2. In fact, one easily checks that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(R) &= \sum_{I \triangleleft R} \{I \mid I \text{ has stable range one}\} \\ &= \{x \in R \mid RxR \text{ has stable range one}\}. \end{aligned}$$

By hypothesis,  $I \subseteq \Phi(R)$ , and therefore  $I$  has stable range one, as asserted.  $\square$

Analogously, we deduce that an ideal  $I$  of a regular ring  $R$  has stable range one if and only if for any  $x \in I, r \in R, 1 + rx$  is unit-regular. Let  $R$  be a regular ring, and let  $(a_{ij}) \in M_n(R)$ . If  $1 + a_{ij}r \in R$  is unit-regular for all  $r \in R$ , then  $(a_{ij})$  is the product (sum) of an idempotent matrix and an invertible matrix over  $R$ . Set  $I = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} Ra_{ij}R$ . Then each  $a_{ij} \in \Phi(R)$ , and so  $Ra_{ij}R \subseteq \Psi(R)$ . This implies that  $I$  has stable range one by Theorem 3.5, and we are done.

#### 4. $\Psi$ -regularity

We say that  $a \in R$  is  $\Psi$ -regular in case there exists some  $u \in \Psi(R)$  such that  $a = aua$ . Let  $I$  be an ideal of a ring  $R$ . We say that  $I$  is  $\Psi$ -regular in case every element in  $I$  is  $\Psi$ -regular. A ring  $R$  is  $\Psi$ -regular provided that it is  $\Psi$ -regular as an ideal of itself.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $a \in R$ . Then  $a \in R$  is  $\Psi$ -regular if and only if there exists some  $x \in \Psi(R)$  such that  $a - axa$  is  $\Psi$ -regular.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $a - axa$  is  $\Psi$ -regular and  $x \in \Psi(R)$ . Then we have  $u \in \Psi(R)$  such that  $a - axa = (a - axa)u(a - axa)$ . Hence  $a = a(x + (1 - xa)u(1 - ax))a$ . As  $x, u \in \Psi(R)$ , we deduce that  $x + (1 - xa)u(1 - ax) \in \Psi(R)$ . So  $a \in R$  is  $\Psi$ -regular. The converse is obvious.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $I$  be an ideal of a ring  $R$ , and let  $e \in R$  be an idempotent. If  $I$  is  $\Psi$ -regular, then so is  $eIe$ .*

*Proof.* Given any  $a \in I$ , we have  $ae \in I$ . Let  $I$  be  $\Psi$ -regular. Then we can find some  $x \in \Psi(R)$  such that  $ae = (ae)x(ae)$ . In addition, we have  $x_1, \dots, x_m \in \Phi(R)$  such that  $x = x_1 + \dots + x_m$ . For any  $s, t \in R$ , we have  $1 + (re)(ex_i e)(ese) \in ur(R)$ . In view of Proposition 2.5, we get  $e + (ere)(ex_i e)(ese) \in ur(eRe)$ . This implies that each  $ex_i e \in \Psi(eRe)$ , and so  $exe = ex_1 e + \dots + ex_m e \in \Psi(eRe)$ . As  $ae = (ae)(exe)(ae)$ , we prove that  $ae \in eIe$  is  $\Psi$ -regular, as required.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $I$  be an ideal of a ring  $R$ . If  $I$  is  $\Psi$ -regular, then so is  $M_n(I)$ .*

*Proof.* Given any  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(I)$ , we have  $b' \in \Psi(R)$  such that  $b = bb'b$ . Write  $b' = h_1 + \dots + h_m$  ( $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ), where each  $h_i \in \Phi(R)$ . Then  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ b' & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{i=1}^m \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ h_i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . For any  $\begin{pmatrix} r_{11} & r_{12} \\ r_{21} & r_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(R)$ , we have

$$I_2 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ h_i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} r_{11} & r_{12} \\ r_{21} & r_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ h_i r_{11} & 1 + h_i r_{12} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Clearly, we can find  $U \in GL_2(R)$  such that  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ h_i r_{11} & 1 + h_i r_{12} \end{pmatrix} = U \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 + h_i r_{12} \end{pmatrix}$ . So we see that  $I_2 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ h_i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} r_{11} & r_{12} \\ r_{21} & r_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in ur(M_2(R))$ . As a result,  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ b' & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \Psi(M_2(R))$ . By virtue of Lemma 4.1, it suffices to prove that

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ b' & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(I)$$

is  $\Psi$ -regular. That is, it suffices to prove that  $\begin{pmatrix} a' & 0 \\ c' & d' \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(I)$  is  $\Psi$ -regular. Clearly, we have  $a'', d'' \in \Psi(R)$  such that  $a' = a'a''a'$  and  $d' = d'd''d'$ . According Theorem 2.3, we see that  $\begin{pmatrix} a'' & 0 \\ 0 & d'' \end{pmatrix} \in \Psi(M_2(I))$ , and so it suffices to prove that

$$\begin{pmatrix} a' & 0 \\ c' & d' \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} a' & 0 \\ c' & d' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a'' & 0 \\ 0 & d'' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a' & 0 \\ c' & d' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ c'' & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(I)$$

is  $\Psi$ -regular. Obviously, we have  $u \in \Psi(R)$  such that  $c'' = c''uc''$ . Hence

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ c'' & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ c'' & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ c'' & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

As  $u \in \Psi(R)$ , it follows from Theorem 2.3 that  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & u \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \Psi(M_2(R))$ . We infer that  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ c'' & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  is  $\Psi$ -regular. Consequently,  $M_2(I)$  is  $\Psi$ -regular. By induction,  $M_{2^n}(I)$  is  $\Psi$ -regular. Choose  $E = \text{diag}(I_n, 0, \dots, 0)_{2^n \times 2^n}$ . Therefore  $M_n(I) \cong EM_{2^n}(I)E$  is  $\Psi$ -regular from Lemma 4.2.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.4.** *A ring  $R$  is  $\Psi$ -regular if and only it is unit-regular.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $R$  is unit-regular. Given any  $a \in R$ , there exists  $x \in R$  such that  $a = axa$ . Clearly,  $1 + rxs \in ur(R)$  for all  $r, s \in R$ . Hence  $x \in \Psi(R)$ . This infers that  $R$  is  $\Psi$ -regular.



Conversely, assume that  $R$  is  $\Psi$ -regular. Given any  $a \in R$ , there exists  $x \in \Psi(R)$  such that  $a = axa$ . Obviously,  $(x + (1 - xa))a + (1 - xa)(1 - a) = 1$ . Since  $x + (1 - xa) \in 1 + \Psi(R)$ , by Theorem 3.2, there exists  $y \in R$  such that  $x + (1 - xa) + (1 - xa)(1 - a)y \in U(R)$ . That is,  $u := x + (1 - xa)(1 + (1 - a)y) \in U(R)$ . Therefore  $a = axa = aua$ , as desired.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.5.** *If  $R$  is unit-regular, then so is  $M_n(R)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

*Proof.* According to Proposition 4.4 and Theorem 4.3, we complete the proof.  $\square$

We note that Corollary 4.5 is a well-known result in the theory of unit-regular rings. However, the only known proof of it depends on cancellation of modules (cf. [5, Theorem 4.5]). Our treatment above provided the first element-wise proof of this result.

**Acknowledgements.** The author would like to thank the referee for his/her corrections, which lead to the new version of this paper.

### References

- [1] P. Ara, K. R. Goodearl, K. C. O'Meara, and E. Pardo, *Diagonalization of matrices over regular rings*, Linear Algebra Appl. **265** (1997), 147–163.
- [2] ———, *Separative cancellation for projective modules over exchange rings*, Israel J. Math. **105** (1998), 105–137.
- [3] B. Brown and N. H. McCoy, *The maximal regular ideal of a ring*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **1** (1950), 165–171.
- [4] K. R. Goodearl, *Cancellation of low-rank vector bundles*, Pacific J. Math. **113** (1984), no. 2, 289–302.
- [5] ———, *von Neumann Regular Rings*, Second edition. Robert E. Krieger Publishing Co., Inc., Malabar, FL, 1991.
- [6] T. Y. Lam, *A crash course on stable range, cancellation, substitution and exchange*, J. Algebra Appl. **3** (2004), no. 3, 301–343.
- [7] T. Y. Lam and W. Murray, *Unit regular elements in corner rings*, Bull. Hong Kong Math. Soc. **1** (1997), no. 1, 61–65.
- [8] A. A. Tuganbaev, *Rings Close to Regular*, Mathematics and its Applications, 545. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2002.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
 HANGZHOU NORMAL UNIVERSITY  
 HANGZHOU 310036, P. R. CHINA  
*E-mail address:* huanyinchen@yahoo.cn