QUOTIENT SUBSTRUCTURES OF R-GROUPS

YONG UK CHO

ABSTRACT. Throughout this paper, we denote that R is a (right) near-ring and G an R-group. We will derive some properties of substructures and quotient substructures of R and G.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 16Y30.

Key words and phrases: R-groups, quotient substructures and annihilators.

1. Introduction

A (right) near-ring R is an algebraic system $(R, +, \cdot)$ with two binary operations + and \cdot such that (R, +) is a group (not necessarily abelian) with neutral element 0, (R, \cdot) is a semigroup and (a+b)c=ac+bc for all a, b, c in R. If R has a unity 1, then R is called unitary.

A (two sided) *ideal* of R is a subset I of R such that (i) (I, +) is a normal subgroup of (R, +), (ii) $a(I + b) - ab \subset I$ for all $a, b \in R$, (iii) $(I + a)b - ab \subset I$ for all $a, b \in R$, equivalently, $IR \subset I$. If I satisfies (i) and (ii) then it is called a *left ideal* of R. If I satisfies (i) and (iii) then it is called a *right ideal* of R.

We will use the following notations: Given a near-ring R, $R_0 = \{a \in R \mid a0 = 0\}$ which is called the zero symmetric part of R, $R_c = \{a \in R \mid a0 = a\}$ which is called the constant part of R.

Obviously, we see that R_0 and R_c are subnear-rings of R. Thus a near-ring R is called zero symmetric, in case $R=R_0$ also, in case $R=R_c$, R is called constant. From the Pierce decomposition theorem, we obtain that $R=R_0 \oplus R_c$ as additive groups. So every element $a \in R$ has a unique representation of the form a=b+c, where $b \in R_0$ and $c \in R_c$.

Let (G, +) be a group (not necessarily abelian) and denote the set

$$M(G) := \{ f \mid f : G \longrightarrow G \}$$

of all the self maps of G.

Received March 13, 2009. Accepted September 26, 2009.

^{© 2010} Korean SIGCAM and KSCAM

Let R and S be two near-rings. Then a mapping θ from R to S is called a *near-ring homomorphism* if (i) $\theta(a+b) = \theta a + \theta b$, (ii) $\theta(ab) = \theta a \theta b$. We can replace homomorphism by monomorphism, epimorphism, isomorphism, endomorphism and automorphism, if these terms have their usual meanings as in rings ([1]).

A group G is called an R-group if there exists a near-ring homomorphism

$$\theta: (R, +, \cdot) \longrightarrow (M(G), +, \cdot).$$

Such a homomorphism θ is called a representation of R on G, we write that rx (left scalar multiplication by R) instead of $(\theta r)x$ for all $r \in R$ and $x \in G$. If R is unitary and $\theta 1 = 1_G$, then R-group G is called unitary. That is, an R-group is an additive group G with a left scalar multiplication satisfying (i) (a+b)x = ax+bx, (ii) (ab)x = a(bx), for all $a, b \in R$ and $x \in G$ and (iii) 1x = x (if R has a unity 1).

A representation θ of R on G is called faithful if $Ker\theta = \{0\}$, that is, rx = o implies that r = 0. In this case, also we say that G is a faithful R-group or R acts faithfully on G.

For the remainder concepts and results on near-rings, we refer to [5] and [6].

2. Some properties of quotient substructures in R-groups

Let R be a near-ring and let G be an R-group. If there exists x in G such that G = Rx, that is,

$$G = \{ rx \mid r \in R \},\$$

then G is called a monogenic R-group and the element x is called a generator of G.

We say that a near-ring R has the insertion of factors property (briefly, IFP) provided that for all a, b, x in R with ab = 0 implies axb = 0.

For an R-group G, a non-empty subset X of G such that $RX \subset X$ is called an R-subset of G, a subgroup T of G such that $RT \subset T$ is called an R-subgroup of G, a normal subgroup N of G such that $RN \subset N$ is called a normal R-subgroup of G and an R-ideal of G is a normal subgroup N of G such that

$$a(N+x)-ax\subset N$$

for all $x \in G$, $a \in R$. Note that every R-ideal of R is a left ideal of R, here, R is considered as an R-group.

Now, we consider the following quotient substructures of R and G, also relations between them.

Let G be an R-group and X and Y be non-empty subsets of G. We can define the following.

$$(X:Y) := \{a \in R \mid aX \subset Y\}.$$

We abbreviate that for $x \in G$, $(\{x\} : Y) =: (x : Y)$. Similarly for (Y : x).

(X:o) is called the *annihilator* of X, denoted it by Ann(X). We note that G is a faithful R-group if $(G:o) = \{0\}$, that is, $Ann(G) = \{0\}$.

Also, a subgroup H of G such that $xa \in H$ for all $x \in H, a \in R$, is an R-subgroup of G, and an R-ideal of G is a normal subgroup N of G such that

$$(x+g)a - ga \in N$$

for all $g \in G, x \in N$ and $a \in R$ ([5]).

In the above notation, note that if Y is a subgroup (normal subgroup, R-subgroup, ideal) of G, then so is (X:Y) in R as an R-group. Moreover, we have the following simple statements.

Theorem 2.1. Let G be an R-group and K_1 and K_2 non-empty subsets of G. Then we have the following conditions:

- (1) If K_2 is a normal R-subgroup of G, then $(K_1 : K_2)$ is a normal left R-subgroup of R.
- (2) If K_1 is a R-subset of G and K_2 is an R-subgroup of G, then $(K_1 : K_2)$ is a two-sided R-subgroup of R..
- (3) If K_1 is an R-subset of G and K_2 is an R-ideal of G, then $(K_1 : K_2)$ is a two-sided ideal of R.

Proof. (1) and (2) are easily proved by simple calculation.

Now, we prove only (3): Using the condition (1), $(K_1 : K_2)$ is a normal subgroup of R. Let $a \in (K_1 : K_2)$ and $r \in R$. Then

$$(ar)K_1 = a(rK_1) \subset aK_1 \subset K_2,$$

because K_1 is an R-subset of G, so that $ar \in (K_1 : K_2)$. Whence $(K_1 : K_2)$ is a right ideal of R.

Next, let $r_1, r_2 \in R$ and $a \in (K_1 : K_2)$. Then

$$\{r_1(a+r_2)-r_1r_2\}k = r_1(ak+r_2k)r_2-r_1r_2k \in K_2$$

for all $k \in K_1$, since $aK_1 \subset K_2$ and K_2 is an ideal of G. Thus $(K_1 : K_2)$ is a left ideal of R. Therefore $(K_1 : K_2)$ is a two-sided ideal of R.

Corollary 2.2. Let R be a near-ring and G an R-group.

- (1) ([5]) For any $x \in G$, Ann(x) is a left ideal of R.
- (2) ([5]) For any R-subgroup K of G, Ann(K) is a two-sided ideal of R.
- (3) For any R-subset K of G, Ann(K) is a two-sided ideal of R.
- (4) For any non-empty subset K of G, $Ann(K) = \bigcap_{x \in K} Ann(x)$.

Lemma 2.3. [6]

- (1) Let I be a two-sided ideal of a near-ring R. Then the canonical map $\pi: R \to R/I$ defined by $a \leadsto a + I$ is a near-ring epimorphism. So R/I is a homomorphic image of R, and $ker\pi = I$.
- (2) Let the map $\phi: R \to S$ be a near-ring epimorphism. Then $\ker \phi$ is a two-sided ideal of R and $R/\ker \phi \cong S$.

Theorem 2.4. Let R be a near-ring and G an R-group. Then we have the following conditions:

- (1) Ann(G) is a two-sided ideal of R. Moreover G is a faithful R/Ann(G)-group.
- (2) For any $x \in G$, we get $Rx \cong R/Ann(x)$ as R-groups.

Proof. (1) By Corollary 2.2 and Theorem 2.1, Ann(G) is a two-sided ideal of R. We now make G an R/Ann(G)-group by defining, for all $r \in R$ and $r + Ann(G) \in R/Ann(G)$, the action (r + Ann(G))x = rx. If r + Ann(G) = r' + Ann(G), then $-r' + r \in Ann(G)$ hence (-r' + r)x = o for all x in G, that is to say, rx = r'x. This tells us that

$$(r + Ann(G))x = rx = r'x = (r' + Ann(G))x;$$

thus the action of R/Ann(G) on G has been shown to be well defined.

The verification of the structure of an R/Ann(G)-group is a routine triviality. Finally, to see that G is a faithful R/Ann(G)-group, we note that if (r + Ann(G))x = 0 for all $x \in G$, then by the definition of R/Ann(G)-group structure, we have rx = 0. Hence $r \in Ann(G)$. This says that only the zero element of R/Ann(G) annihilates all of G. Thus G is a faithful R/Ann(G)-group.

(2) For any $x \in G$, clearly Rx is an R-subgroup of G. The map $\phi: R \longrightarrow Rx$ defined by $\phi(r) = rx$ is an R-epimorphism, so that from the homomorphism theorems Lemma 2.3, since the kernel of ϕ is Ann(x), we deduce that $Rx \cong R/Ann(x)$ as R-groups.

Corollary 2.5. Let G be a monogenic R-group with x as a generator. Then we have the isomorphic relation as $G \cong R/Ann(x)$.

Theorem 2.6. If R is a near-ring and G an R-group, then R/Ann(G) is embedded in a near-ring M(G).

Proof. Let $a \in R$. We define $\tau_a : G \longrightarrow G$ by $\tau_a x = ax$ for each $x \in G$. Then τ_a is in M(G). Consider the mapping $\phi : R \longrightarrow M(G)$ defined by $\phi(a) = \tau_a$. Then obviously, we see that

$$\phi(a+b) = \phi(a) + \phi(b) \text{ and } \phi(ab) = \phi(a)\phi(b),$$

that is, ϕ is a near-ring homomorphism from R to M(G).

Next, we must show that $Ker\phi = Ann(G)$: Indeed, if $a \in Ker\phi$, then $\tau_a = O$ (zero map), which implies that $aG = G\tau_a = o$, that is, $a \in Ann(G)$. On the other hand, if $a \in Ann(G)$, then by the definition of Ann(G), aG = o hence $O = \tau_a = \phi(a)$, this implies that $a \in Ker\phi$. Therefore from the homomorphism theorems Lemma 2.3 on R- groups, the image of R is a near-ring isomorphic to R/Ann(G). Consequently, R/Ann(G) is isomorphic to a subnear-ring of M(G).

From Theorem 2.1, and Corollary 2.2, we have the following important conditions.

Theorem 2.7. Let R be a near-ring. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) R has the IFP.
- (2) For any $a \in R$, Ann(a) is an ideal of R.
- (3) For any non-empty subset S of R, Ann(S) is an ideal of R.
- *Proof.* (1) \Rightarrow (2). Let $x \in Ann(a)$. Then xa = 0, by definition. Since R has the IFP, xra = 0 for each $r \in R$, that is, $xr \in Ann(a)$. Hence Ann(a) is a right ideal of R. On the other hand, by Corollary 2.2 (1), Ann(a) is a left ideal of R. Consequently, Ann(a) is an ideal of R.
- $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$. Assume the condition (2). Then because of Ann(a) is an ideal of R, for any $a \in R$, and by Corollary 2.2 (4), $Ann(S) = \bigcap_{a \in S} Ann(a)$, obviously, Ann(S) is an ideal of R.
- $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$. Suppose that the condition (3) is true, and ab = 0 for a, b in R. Then we see that $a \in Ann(b)$. From the condition (3), Ann(b) is an ideal of R, so that $ax \in Ann(b)$, for any $x \in R$. That is axb = 0, for any $x \in R$. Therefore R has the IFP.

REFERENCES

- F.W. Anderson and K.R. Fuller, Rings and categories of modules, Springer-Verlag, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin (1974).
- 2. G. Betsch, Primitive near-rings, Math. Z. 130 (1973) 351-361.
- C.G. Lyons and J.D.P. Meldrum, Characterizing series for faithful d.g. near-rings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 72 (1978) 221-227.
- J.D.P. Meldrum , Upper faithful d.g. near-rings, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. 26 (1983) 361–370.
- J.D.P, Meldrum, Near-rings and their links with groups, Pitman Advanced Publishing Program, Boston, London, Melbourne (1985).
- G. Pilz, Near-rings, North Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam, New York, Oxford (1983).
 - Y. U. Cho received his BS from Kyung Pook National University and Ph.D also at Kyung Pook National University under the direction of Prof. Young Soo Park in 1987. He has five times of visiting professor, one time at the U. of Louisiana in Lafayette (one year 1996.9-1997.8), second time, at Ohio University in Athens (one year 2002.3-2003.3), other times, at Okayama University in Japan (1999 one month, 2004 one month), fifth time, at FAU in Florida (one year 2008.8-2009.7). Now he is members of the editorial board of JP-Journal of Algebra (India), East Asian Math Journal from 1999 and JAMI from 2008 until now. His research interests focus on the theory of near-rings, in addition to application of ring and module theory.

Department of Mathematics Education, College of Education, Silla University, Pusan 617-736, Korea

E-mail: yucho@silla.ac.kr