

LOCALLY CONFORMAL KÄHLER MANIFOLDS AND CONFORMAL SCALAR CURVATURE

JAEMAN KIM

ABSTRACT. We show that on a compact locally conformal Kähler manifold M^{2n} ($\dim M^{2n} = 2n \geq 4$), M^{2n} is Kähler if and only if its conformal scalar curvature k is not smaller than the scalar curvature s of M^{2n} everywhere. As a consequence, if a compact locally conformal Kähler manifold M^{2n} is both conformally flat and scalar flat, then M^{2n} is Kähler. In contrast with the compact case, we show that there exists a locally conformal Kähler manifold with k equal to s , which is not Kähler.

1. Introduction

A locally conformal Kähler (lck) manifold $M^{2n} = (M^{2n}, J, g)$ ($\dim M^{2n} = 2n \geq 4$) is a Hermitian manifold (i.e., the metric g of M^{2n} is compatible with complex structure J) whose metric g is locally conformal to a Kähler metric, which is equivalent to the existence of an 1-form θ (called Lee form) of M^{2n} such that $d\Omega = \theta \wedge \Omega$ and $d\theta = 0$ [10], [11], where Ω is the Kähler form of M^{2n} . From the viewpoint of conformal geometry, we can define a conformally well-behaved function (namely, conformal scalar curvature) k on M^{2n} associated with Weyl curvature tensor, which appeared in the literature of Hermitian geometry [1], [4], [5]. It is well known that the standard Hopf surface M^4 is a compact lck manifold with positive scalar curvature s and vanishing conformal scalar curvature k , which is not Kähler. In this note, we investigate a condition of the conformal scalar curvature k for a compact lck manifold M^{2n} to be Kähler and show that the sign of difference between k and s is a crucial condition for a compact lck manifold M^{2n} to be Kähler. More precisely, we prove the following:

Received July 10, 2009.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53A30, 53B35, 53C25, 53C55, 53C56.

Key words and phrases. compact locally conformal Kähler manifold, conformal scalar curvature, Kähler, conformally flat and scalar flat, a locally conformal Kähler manifold with k equal to s .

This study is supported by Kangwon National University.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $M^{2n} = (M^{2n}, J, g)$ be a compact lck manifold. Then M^{2n} is Kähler if and only if its conformal scalar curvature k is not smaller than the scalar curvature s of M^{2n} everywhere.*

As a consequence, we have:

Corollary 1.2. *If a compact lck manifold M^{2n} is conformally flat, and its scalar curvature vanishes, then M^{2n} is Kähler.*

Contrary to the compact case, we obtain the following:

Theorem 1.3. *Let $R_+^{2n} = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2n}) | x_{2n} > 0\}$ and J be the natural complex structure defined by $J\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2i-1}}\right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2i}}$, $J\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2i}}\right) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2i-1}}$. And a metric $g = (g_{ij})$ on R_+^{2n} is given by $g_{ij} = x_{2n}^{\frac{4}{2n-2}} \delta_{ij}$. Then $M = (R_+^{2n}, J, g)$ is a lck manifold with $k = s$, which is not Kähler.*

2. Preliminaries

We shall denote by $M^{2n} = (M^{2n}, J, g)$ ($\dim M^{2n} = 2n \geq 4$) a lck manifold; by g its metric; by $\{U_\alpha\}$ an open covering of M^{2n} endowed with smooth functions f_α on U_α such that $\widetilde{g}_\alpha = e^{-f_\alpha} g$ are Kähler metrics; by J the complex structure; by ∇ the Levi-Civita connection of g ; by θ the Lee form of M^{2n} , which satisfies $d\Omega = \theta \wedge \Omega$ and $d\theta = 0$, where Ω is the Kähler form of M^{2n} , i.e., $g(X, JY) = \Omega(X, Y)$. Note that M^{2n} is Kähler if and only if $\theta = 0$. The Riemannian curvature tensor R , the Ricci tensor Ric and the scalar curvature s are given by

$$R(X, Y)Z = [\nabla_X, \nabla_Y]Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]}Z,$$

$$Ric(X, Y) = \text{Trace}\{Z \rightarrow R(Z, X)Y\}, \quad s = \text{Trace}_g Ric.$$

Furthermore, the $*$ -Ricci tensor and $*$ -scalar curvature of (J, g) are given by

$$Ric^*(X, Y) = \text{Trace}\{Z \rightarrow -JR(Z, X)JY\}, \quad s^* = \text{Trace}_g Ric^*.$$

The Riemannian metric g induces a metric on the bundle \bigwedge^2 of 2-vectors on M^{2n} by

$$\langle X_k \wedge X_l, Y_p \wedge Y_q \rangle = \det(g(X_i, Y_j)).$$

Similarly, one can define a metric on the bundle \bigwedge^2 of 2-forms on M^{2n} by

$$\langle A \wedge B, C \wedge D \rangle = \langle A^\# \wedge B^\#, C^\# \wedge D^\# \rangle.$$

Here the symbol $\#$ is the natural isomorphism from 1-forms to vector fields. We also regard the curvature tensor as a $(0, 4)$ -tensor or an endomorphism of the 2-form bundle as follows:

$$R(X, Y, Z, V) = -g(R(X, Y)Z, V), \quad \langle R(A \wedge B), C \wedge D \rangle = R(A^\#, B^\#, C^\#, D^\#).$$

The Riemannian curvature tensor R has the following well known $SO(2n)$ -decomposition [2], [6], [7];

$$(2.1) \quad R = \frac{s}{4n(2n-1)} g \star g + \frac{1}{2n-2} Ric_o \star g + W,$$

where Ric_o is the traceless Ricci tensor and W is the Weyl curvature tensor. Here the symbol \star is the Nomizu-Kulkarni product of symmetric $(0,2)$ -tensors generating a curvature type tensor. Note that $Ric_o = 0$ if and only if M^{2n} is Einstein [2], [7], [8]; $W = 0$ if and only if M^{2n} is conformally flat [2], [6]. Now we define the conformal scalar curvature k of M^{2n} by

$$k = \frac{2n-1}{n-1} \langle W(\Omega), \Omega \rangle.$$

In particular, if $2n = 4$, then $k = 3 \langle W^+(\Omega), \Omega \rangle$, which appeared in the literature of Hermitian geometry [1], [4]. Therefore, if M^{2n} is conformally flat, then the conformal scalar curvature k of M^{2n} is zero. Note that k has conformal weight -2 , that is, if we replace g by f^2g for some non-vanishing function f , then k is replaced by $f^{-2}k$.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let $M^{2n} = (M^{2n}, J, g)$ be a compact lck manifold. From the relation (2.1) and $\langle (Ric_o \star g)(\Omega), \Omega \rangle = 0$, we obtain

$$\langle R(\Omega), \Omega \rangle = \frac{s}{4n(2n-1)} 2 \langle \Omega, \Omega \rangle + \langle W(\Omega), \Omega \rangle.$$

Therefore, from $\langle \Omega, \Omega \rangle = n$ and the definitions of s^* and k , the above identity yields

$$\frac{s^*}{2} = \frac{s}{2(2n-1)} + \frac{n-1}{2n-1} k,$$

which implies

$$(3.2) \quad s - k = \frac{2n-1}{2n-2} (s - s^*).$$

Now suppose that M^{2n} is Kähler and hence s and s^* coincide; this is a consequence of the Kähler identity [2] $R(X, Y)(JZ) = J(R(X, Y)Z)$, which itself follows from the fact that $\nabla J = 0$. Therefore, the equation (3.2) implies $k = s$. Conversely, let a compact lck manifold M^{2n} satisfy $k \geq s$. And assume that $\tilde{g} = e^{-f}g$ is the lck metric of g . Then one gets the well-known formula [3], [9]

$$\begin{aligned} e^f \tilde{g}(\tilde{R}(X, Y)Z, W) &= g(R(X, Y)Z, W) - \frac{1}{2} \{L(X, Z)g(Y, W) - L(Y, Z)g(X, W) \\ &\quad + L(Y, W)g(X, Z) - L(X, W)g(Y, Z)\} \\ &\quad - \frac{\|\theta\|^2}{4} \{g(Y, Z)g(X, W) - g(X, Z)g(Y, W)\}, \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned} &g(R(X, Y)Z, W) - g(R(X, Y)JZ, JW) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \{L(X, Z)g(Y, W) - L(Y, Z)g(X, W) + L(Y, W)g(X, Z) - L(X, W)g(Y, Z)\} \\ &\quad + \frac{\|\theta\|^2}{4} \{g(Y, Z)g(X, W) - g(X, Z)g(Y, W)\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{2}\{L(X, JZ)g(Y, JW) - L(Y, JZ)g(X, JW) \\
& \quad + L(Y, JW)g(X, JZ) - L(X, JW)g(Y, JZ)\} \\
& - \frac{\|\theta\|^2}{4}\{g(Y, JZ)g(X, JW) - g(X, JZ)g(Y, JW)\},
\end{aligned}$$

where $L(X, Y) = (\nabla_X \theta)Y + \frac{1}{2}\theta(X)\theta(Y)$ and so $L(X, Y) = L(Y, X)$ since θ is closed. Now if we take $X = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, Y = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}, Z = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}, W = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_l}$, where x_i ($i = 1, \dots, 2n$) are real coordinates on M^{2n} , and contract with $g^{il}g^{jk}$, and then use the identity (3.2), we get the following [3], [5], [9]:

$$(3.3) \quad s - k = \frac{2n-1}{2n-2}(2(n-1)\delta\theta + (n-1)^2\|\theta\|^2),$$

where $\delta\theta = -\text{div}\theta$.

By integrating (3.3) over M^{2n} , we conclude that the condition of $k \geq s$ yields $\theta = 0$. Summing up the above arguments, we conclude that on a compact lck manifold, the Kähler condition is equivalent to that of $k \geq s$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1 and hence it is obvious that Corollary 1.2 holds because of $k = s$.

4. A lck manifold with k equal to s which is not Kähler

Let $R_+^{2n} = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2n}) | x_{2n} > 0\}$ and J be the natural complex structure defined by $J\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2i-1}}\right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2i}}, J\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2i}}\right) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2i-1}}$. We define a Riemannian metric $g = (g_{ij})$ on R_+^{2n} by $g_{ij} = x_{2n}^{\frac{4}{2n-2}}\delta_{ij}$. It is obvious that the metric g is compatible with complex structure J . With respect to $\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2n}}\}$, we set $\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} = \sum_{k=1, \dots, 2n} \Gamma_{ij}^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq 2n$. Then the Christoffel symbol Γ_{ij}^k of metric g is obtained as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{1(2n)}^1 &= \Gamma_{(2n)1}^1 = \Gamma_{2(2n)}^2 = \Gamma_{(2n)2}^2 = \dots \\
&= \Gamma_{(2n-1)2n}^{2n-1} = \Gamma_{2n(2n-1)}^{2n-1} = \frac{2}{2n-2} \left(\frac{1}{x_{2n}} \right), \\
\Gamma_{2n(2n)}^{2n} &= \frac{2}{2n-2} \left(\frac{1}{x_{2n}} \right), \Gamma_{11}^{2n} = \Gamma_{22}^{2n} = \dots \\
&= \Gamma_{(2n-1)(2n-1)}^{2n} = -\frac{2}{2n-2} \left(\frac{1}{x_{2n}} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

and are otherwise zero. And so we have

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{121}^2 &= R_{131}^3 = \dots = R_{1(2n-1)1}^{2n-1} = R_{212}^1 = R_{232}^3 = \dots = R_{2(2n-1)2}^{2n-1} \\
&= \dots = R_{(2n-2)1(2n-2)}^1 = R_{(2n-2)2(2n-2)}^2 = \dots \\
&= R_{(2n-2)(2n-1)(2n-2)}^{2n-1} = R_{(2n-1)1(2n-1)}^1 = R_{(2n-1)2(2n-1)}^2 \\
&= \dots = R_{(2n-1)(2n-2)(2n-1)}^{2n-2} = -\frac{4}{(2n-2)^2} \left(\frac{1}{x_{2n}^2} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_{(2n)1(2n)}^1 &= R_{1(2n)1}^{2n} = R_{(2n)2(2n)}^2 = R_{2(2n)2}^{2n} = R_{(2n)3(2n)}^3 \\ &= R_{3(2n)3}^{2n} = \cdots = R_{(2n-1)(2n)(2n-1)}^{2n} = \frac{2}{2n-2} \left(\frac{1}{x_{2n}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and are otherwise zero. Here $R_{jlk}^p = g^{pi} R_{ijkl}$ and $R_{ijkl} = g(R(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}), \frac{\partial}{\partial x_l})$. Hence we get

$$s = s^* = 0,$$

which yields from the equation (3.2)

$$s = k.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$d\Omega = \frac{4}{2n-2} \left(\frac{1}{x_{2n}} \right) dx_{2n} \wedge \Omega,$$

which implies that the Lee form $\theta = \frac{4}{2n-2} \left(\frac{1}{x_{2n}} \right) dx_{2n}$ of M^{2n} is closed. Summing up the above arguments, we obtain that R_+^{2n} allows a lck structure (J, g) with $k = s$, which is not Kähler. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3.

References

- [1] V. Apostolov and P. Gauduchon, *The Riemannian Goldberg-Sachs theorem*, Internat. J. Math. **8** (1997), no. 4, 421–439.
- [2] A. L. Besse, *Einstein Manifolds*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987.
- [3] S. Dragomir and L. Ornea, *Locally Conformal Kähler Geometry*, Progress in Mathematics, 155. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1998.
- [4] P. Gauduchon and S. Ivanov, *Einstein-Hermitian surfaces and Hermitian Einstein-Weyl structures in dimension 4*, Math. Z. **226** (1997), no. 2, 317–326.
- [5] P. Gauduchon, *La 1-forme de torsion d'une variété hermitienne compacte*, Math. Ann. **267** (1984), no. 4, 495–518.
- [6] Z. Hu, H. Li, and U. Simon, *Schouten curvature functions on locally conformally flat Riemannian manifolds*, J. Geom. **88** (2008), no. 1-2, 75–100.
- [7] J. Kim, *Rigidity theorems for Einstein-Thorpe metrics*, Geom. Dedicata **80** (2000), no. 1-3, 281–287.
- [8] ———, *On Einstein Hermitian manifolds*, Monatsh. Math. **152** (2007), no. 3, 251–254.
- [9] I. Vaisman, *Some curvature properties of complex surfaces*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **132** (1982), 1–18.
- [10] ———, *Some curvature properties of locally conformal Kähler manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **259** (1980), no. 2, 439–447.
- [11] ———, *A theorem on compact locally conformal Kähler manifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **75** (1979), no. 2, 279–283.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION
KANGWON NATIONAL UNIVERSITY
CHUNCHON 200-701, KOREA
E-mail address: jaeman64@kangwon.ac.kr