

FUZZY PAIRWISE STRONG PRE-IRRESOLUTE CONTINUOUS MAPPINGS

HYO SAM LEE, JOO SUNG LEE[†] AND YOUNG BIN IM*

ABSTRACT. We define and characterize a fuzzy pairwise strong pre-irresolute continuous mapping and a fuzzy pairwise strong pre-irresolute open mapping on a fuzzy bitopological space.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification : 54A40

Key words and phrases : (τ_i, τ_j) -fuzzy strongly preinterior, fuzzy pairwise strong pre-irresolute continuous mapping and fuzzy pairwise strong pre-irresolute open mapping.

1. INTRODUCTION

Singal and Prakash [9] introduced a fuzzy preopen set and studied characteristic properties of a fuzzy precontinuous mapping on a fuzzy topological space. Later, Sampath Kumar [7] defined a (τ_i, τ_j) -fuzzy preopen set and characterized a fuzzy pairwise precontinuous mapping on a fuzzy bitopological space as a natural generalization of a fuzzy topological space. Also, Im [2] characterized a fuzzy pairwise pre-irresolute mapping on a fuzzy bitopological space.

Krsteska [3, 4] also defined a fuzzy strongly preopen set and studied a fuzzy strong precontinuous mapping (a fuzzy strong preopen mapping) on a fuzzy topological space. In particular, he defined and characterized a fuzzy strong pre-irresolute mapping and a fuzzy strong pre-irresolute open mapping on a fuzzy topological space.

Recently, Park, Lee and Im [8] characterized a fuzzy pairwise strong precontinuous mapping and a fuzzy pairwise strong preopen(preclosed) mapping on a fuzzy bitopological space. One purpose of this paper is to find more stronger mapping than we studied in [8].

Received February 9, 2010. Accepted May 27, 2010. *Corresponding author.

[†]The second author was supported by Dongguk University Research Plan 2010.

© 2010 Korean SIGCAM and KSCAM.

In this paper, we define a fuzzy pairwise strong pre-irresolute continuous mapping and a fuzzy pairwise pre-irresolute open mapping (fuzzy pairwise pre-irresolute closed mapping) on a fuzzy bitopological space and study their properties. We also give an example is a fuzzy pairwise strong precontinuous mapping but not a fuzzy pairwise strong pre-irresolute continuous mapping.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let X be a set and let τ_1 and τ_2 be fuzzy topologies on X . Then we call (X, τ_1, τ_2) a *fuzzy bitopological space* [*fbts*].

A mapping $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*)$ is *fuzzy pairwise continuous* [*fpc*] if the induced mapping $f : (X, \tau_k) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_k^*)$ is fuzzy continuous for $k = 1, 2$.

A mapping $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*)$ is *fuzzy pairwise open* [*fp open*] (*fuzzy pairwise closed* [*fp closed*]) if the induced mapping $f : (X, \tau_k) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_k^*)$ is fuzzy open (fuzzy closed) for $k = 1, 2$.

Notations. (1) Throughout this paper, we take an ordered pair (τ_i, τ_j) with $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ and $i \neq j$.

(2) For simplicity, we abbreviate a τ_i -fuzzy open set μ and a τ_j -fuzzy closed set μ with a τ_i -*fo* set μ and a τ_j -*fc* set μ respectively. Also, we denote the interior and the closure of μ for a fuzzy topology τ_i with τ_i -*Int* μ and τ_i -*Cl* μ respectively.

Definition 2.1. [7] Let μ be a fuzzy set on a *fbts* X . Then we call μ ;

(1) a (τ_i, τ_j) -*fuzzy preopen* [(τ_i, τ_j) -*fpo*] set on X if

$$\mu \leq \tau_i - \text{Int}(\tau_j - \text{Cl} \mu) \quad \text{and}$$

(2) a (τ_i, τ_j) -*fuzzy preclosed* [(τ_i, τ_j) -*fpc*] set on X if

$$\tau_i - \text{Cl}(\tau_j - \text{Int} \mu) \leq \mu.$$

Definition 2.2. [7] Let μ be a fuzzy set on a *fbts* X .

(1) The (τ_i, τ_j) -*preinterior* of μ , [(τ_i, τ_j) -*pInt* μ] is

$$\bigvee \{ \nu \mid \nu \leq \mu, \nu \text{ is a } (\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-fpo set} \}.$$

(2) The (τ_i, τ_j) -*preclosure* of μ , [(τ_i, τ_j) -*pCl* μ] is

$$\bigwedge \{ \nu \mid \nu \geq \mu, \nu \text{ is a } (\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-fpc set} \}.$$

Definition 2.3. [8] Let μ be a fuzzy set on a *fbts* X . Then we call μ ;

- (1) a (τ_i, τ_j) -fuzzy strongly preopen $[(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fspo]$ set on X if

$$\mu \leq \tau_i - \text{Int}((\tau_j, \tau_i) - pCl \mu) \text{ and}$$

- (2) a (τ_i, τ_j) -fuzzy strongly preclosed $[(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fspc]$ set on X if

$$\tau_i - Cl((\tau_j, \tau_i) - pInt \mu) \leq \mu.$$

It is clear that a $\tau_i - fo$ set is a $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fspo$ set and a $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fspo$ set is a $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fpo$ set on a *fbts* X . But the converses are not true in general [8].

Proposition 2.4. [8] (1) A union of $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fspo$ sets is a $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fspo$ set.

- (2) An intersection of $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fspc$ sets is a $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fspc$ set.

We remark an intersection of two $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fspo$ sets need not be a $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fspo$ set and a union of two $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fspc$ sets need not be a $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fspo$ set [8].

Definition 2.5. [8] Let μ be a fuzzy set on a *fbts* X .

- (1) The (τ_i, τ_j) -strongly preinterior of μ , $[(\tau_i, \tau_j) - spInt \mu]$ is

$$\bigvee \{ \nu \mid \nu \leq \mu, \nu \text{ is a } (\tau_i, \tau_j) - fspo \text{ set} \}.$$

- (2) The (τ_i, τ_j) -strongly preclosure of μ , $[(\tau_i, \tau_j) - spCl \mu]$ is

$$\bigwedge \{ \nu \mid \nu \geq \mu, \nu \text{ is a } (\tau_i, \tau_j) - fspc \text{ set} \}.$$

Obviously, $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - spCl \mu$ is the smallest $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fspc$ set which contains μ , and $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - spInt \mu$ is the largest $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fspo$ set which is contained in μ . Therefore, $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - spCl \mu = \mu$ for every $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fspc$ set μ and $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - spInt \mu = \mu$ for every $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fspo$ set μ .

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_i - \text{Int} \mu &\leq (\tau_i, \tau_j) - spInt \mu \leq (\tau_i, \tau_j) - pInt \mu \leq \mu, \\ \mu &\leq (\tau_i, \tau_j) - pCl \mu \leq (\tau_i, \tau_j) - spCl \mu \leq \tau_i - Cl \mu. \end{aligned}$$

We state the following lemma from the above definition, which will be used later.

Lemma 2.6. [8] Let μ be a fuzzy set on a *fbts* X . Then

$$(\tau_i, \tau_j) - spInt(\mu^c) = ((\tau_i, \tau_j) - spCl \mu)^c$$

and

$$(\tau_i, \tau_j) - spCl(\mu^c) = ((\tau_i, \tau_j) - spInt \mu)^c.$$

Definition 2.7. [8] Let $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*)$ be a mapping. Then f is called a fuzzy pairwise strong precontinuous $[fp spc]$ mapping if $f^{-1}(\nu)$ is a $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fspo$ set on X for each $\tau_i^* - fo$ set ν on Y .

It is clear that every *fpc* mapping is a *fpspc* mapping and every *fpspc* mapping is a *fppc* mapping on *fbts*. But the converses are not true in general [8].

Definition 2.8. [8] Let $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*)$ be a mapping. Then f is called;

- (1) a *fuzzy pairwise strong preopen [fpsp open] mapping* if $f(\mu)$ is a (τ_i^*, τ_j^*) -*fspo* set on Y for each τ_i -*f*o set μ on X and
- (2) a *fuzzy pairwise strong preclosed [fpsp closed] mapping* if $f(\mu)$ is a (τ_i^*, τ_j^*) -*fspc* set on Y for each τ_i -*f*c set μ on X .

It is clear that every *fp open(fp closed)* mapping is a *fpsp open(fpsp closed)* mapping and every *fpsp open(fpsp closed)* mapping is a *fpp open(fpp closed)* mapping on *fbts*. But the converses are not true in general [8].

3. FUZZY PAIRWISE STRONG PRE-IRRESOLUTE CONTINUOUS MAPPINGS

In this section, we introduce a fuzzy pairwise strong pre-irresolute continuous mapping and a fuzzy pairwise strong pre-irresolute open mapping which are stronger than a fuzzy pairwise strong precontinuous mapping and a fuzzy pairwise strong preopen mapping respectively. And we characterize a fuzzy pairwise strong pre-irresolute continuous mapping and a fuzzy pairwise strong pre-irresolute open mapping.

Definition 3.1. Let $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*)$ be a mapping. Then f is called a *fuzzy pairwise strong pre-irresolute continuous [fpsp-irresolute continuous] mapping* if $f^{-1}(\nu)$ is a (τ_i, τ_j) -*fspo* set on X for each (τ_i^*, τ_j^*) -*fspo* set ν on Y .

It is clear that every *fpsp-irresolute continuous* mapping is *fpspc* from the above definitions. But the converse is not true in general as the following example shows.

Example 3.2. Let $\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4, \mu_5$ and μ_6 be fuzzy sets on $X = \{a, b, c\}$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1(a) &= 0.9, \mu_1(b) = 0.5, \mu_1(c) = 0.9, \\ \mu_2(a) &= 0.5, \mu_2(b) = 0.7, \mu_2(c) = 0.5, \\ \mu_3(a) &= 0.8, \mu_3(b) = 0.5, \mu_3(c) = 0.8, \\ \mu_4(a) &= 0.8, \mu_4(b) = 0.5, \mu_4(c) = 0.7, \\ \mu_5(a) &= 0.5, \mu_5(b) = 0.5, \mu_5(c) = 0.5 \text{ and} \\ \mu_6(a) &= 0.3, \mu_6(b) = 0.4, \mu_6(c) = 0.3. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_1 &= \{0_X, \mu_4, \mu_6, 1_X\}, \tau_2 = \{0_X, \mu_3, \mu_6, 1_X\} \text{ and} \\ \tau_1^* &= \{0_X, \mu_1, 1_X\}, \tau_2^* = \{0_X, \mu_2, 1_X\}. \end{aligned}$$

be fuzzy topologies on X .

Then we can show that the identity mapping $i_X : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (X, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*)$ is *fpspc* but not *fpsp*-irresolute continuous and μ_5 is a $(\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - fspo$ set but not a $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fspo$ set. \square

Theorem 3.3. *Let $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*)$ be a mapping. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *f is *fpsp*-irresolute continuous.*
- (2) *The inverse image of each $(\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - fspc$ set on Y is a $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fspc$ set on X .*
- (3) *$f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - spCl\mu) \leq (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - spCl(f(\mu))$ for each fuzzy set μ on X .*
- (4) *$(\tau_i, \tau_j) - spCl(f^{-1}(\nu)) \leq f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - spCl\nu)$ for each fuzzy set ν on Y .*
- (5) *$f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - spInt\nu) \leq (\tau_i, \tau_j) - spInt(f^{-1}(\nu))$ for each fuzzy set ν on Y .*

Proof. (1) implies (2): Let ν be a $(\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - fspc$ set on Y . Then ν^c is a $(\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - fspo$ set on Y . Since f is *fpsp*-irresolute continuous, $f^{-1}(\nu^c) = (f^{-1}(\nu))^c$ is a $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fspo$ set on X . Hence $f^{-1}(\nu)$ is a $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fspc$ set on X .

(2) implies (3): Let μ is a fuzzy set on X . Then $f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - spCl(f(\mu)))$ is a $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fspc$ set on X . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (\tau_i, \tau_j) - spCl\mu &\leq (\tau_i, \tau_j) - spCl(f^{-1}(f(\mu))) \\ &\leq (\tau_i, \tau_j) - spCl(f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - spCl(f(\mu)))) \\ &= f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - spCl(f(\mu))). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - spCl\mu) &\leq f(f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - spCl(f(\mu)))) \\ &\leq (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - spCl(f(\mu)). \end{aligned}$$

(3) implies (4): Let ν be a fuzzy set on Y . Then

$$f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - spCl(f^{-1}(\nu))) \leq (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - spCl(f(f^{-1}(\nu))) \leq (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - spCl\nu.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (\tau_i, \tau_j) - spCl(f^{-1}(\nu)) &\leq f^{-1}(f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - spCl(f^{-1}(\nu)))) \\ &\leq f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - spCl\nu). \end{aligned}$$

(4) implies (5): Let ν be a fuzzy set on Y . Then

$$(\tau_i, \tau_j) - spCl(f^{-1}(\nu^c)) \leq f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - spCl(\nu^c)).$$

Hence, by Lemma 2.6,

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{spInt } \nu) &= f^{-1}(((\tau_i^* \tau_j^*) - \text{spCl}(\nu^c))^c) \\ &\leq ((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{spCl}(f^{-1}(\nu^c)))^c \\ &= (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{spInt}(f^{-1}(\nu)). \end{aligned}$$

(5) implies (1): Let ν be a $(\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - fspo$ set on Y . Then

$$f^{-1}(\nu) = f^{-1}((\tau_i^* \tau_j^*) - \text{spInt } \nu) \leq (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{spInt}(f^{-1}(\nu)).$$

Hence $f^{-1}(\nu)$ is a $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fspo$ set on X and therefore, f is $fpsp$ -irresolute continuous. \square

Theorem 3.4. Let $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*)$ be a bijection. f is $fpsp$ -irresolute continuous if and only if for each fuzzy set μ on X ,

$$(\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{spInt}(f(\mu)) \leq f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{spInt } \mu).$$

Proof. Let μ be a fuzzy set on X . Then, by Theorem 3.3,

$$f^{-1}((\tau_i^* \tau_j^*) - \text{spInt}(f(\mu))) \leq (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{spInt}(f^{-1}(f(\mu))).$$

Since f is a bijection,

$$(\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{spInt}(f(\mu)) = f(f^{-1}((\tau_i^* \tau_j^*) - \text{spInt}(f(\mu)))) \leq f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{spInt } \mu).$$

Conversely, let ν be a fuzzy set on Y . Then

$$(\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{spInt}(f(f^{-1}(\nu))) \leq f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{spInt}(f^{-1}(\nu))).$$

Recall that f is a bijection. Hence

$$(\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{spInt } \nu = (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{spInt}(f(f^{-1}(\nu))) \leq f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{spInt}(f^{-1}(\nu))).$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{spInt } \nu) &\leq f^{-1}(f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{spInt}(f^{-1}(\nu)))) \\ &= (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{spInt}(f^{-1}(\nu)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Theorem 3.3, f is $fpsp$ -irresolute continuous. \square

Definition 3.5. Let $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*)$ be a mapping. Then f is called

(1) a fuzzy pairwise strong pre-irresolute open [$fpsp$ -irresolute open] mapping if $f(\mu)$ is a $(\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - fspo$ set on Y for each $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fspo$ set μ on X and

(2) a fuzzy pairwise strong pre-irresolute closed [$fpsp$ -irresolute closed] mapping if $f(\mu)$ is a $(\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - fspc$ set on Y for each $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fspc$ set μ on X .

It is clear that every *fpsp*-irresolute open mapping and every *fpsp*-irresolute closed mapping are *fpsp open* and *fpsp closed* respectively. But the converses are not true in general.

In fact, in Example 3.2, the identity mapping $i_X : (X, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*) \rightarrow (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ is *fpsp open*(*fpsp closed*) but not *fpsp*-irresolute open(*fpsp*-irresolute closed).

Theorem 3.6. *Let $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*)$ be a mapping. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *f is *fpsp*-irresolute open.*
- (2) *$f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{spInt } \mu) \leq (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{spInt}(f(\mu))$ for each fuzzy set μ on X .*
- (3) *$(\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{spInt}(f^{-1}(\nu)) \leq f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{spInt } \nu)$ for each fuzzy set ν on Y .*

Proof. (1) implies (2): Let μ be a fuzzy set on X . Then $f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{spInt } \mu)$ is a $(\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{fspo}$ set on Y and $f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{spInt } \mu) \leq f(\mu)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{spInt } \mu) &= (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{spInt}(f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{spInt } \mu)) \\ &\leq (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{spInt}(f(\mu)). \end{aligned}$$

(2) implies (3): Let ν be a fuzzy set on Y . Then

$$\begin{aligned} f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{spInt}(f^{-1}(\nu))) &\leq (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{spInt}(f(f^{-1}(\nu))) \\ &\leq (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{spInt } \nu. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{spInt}(f^{-1}(\nu)) &\leq f^{-1}(f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{spInt}(f^{-1}(\nu)))) \\ &\leq f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{spInt } \nu). \end{aligned}$$

(3) implies (1): Let μ be a $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{fspo}$ set on X . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &= (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{spInt } \mu \leq (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{spInt}(f^{-1}(f(\mu))) \\ &\leq f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{spInt}(f(\mu))). \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$f(\mu) \leq f(f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{spInt}(f(\mu)))) \leq (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{spInt}(f(\mu)).$$

Hence $f(\mu) = (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{spInt}(f(\mu))$. Consequently, $f(\mu)$ is a $(\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{fspo}$ set on Y and therefore, f is *fpsp*-irresolute open. □

Theorem 3.7. *A mapping $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*)$ is *fpsp*-irresolute closed if and only if $(\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{spCl}(f(\mu)) \leq f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{spCl } \mu)$ for each fuzzy set μ on X .*

Proof. Let μ be a fuzzy set on X . Then $f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{spCl } \mu)$ is a $(\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - f\text{sp}$ set on Y and $f(\mu) \leq f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{spCl } \mu)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{spCl}(f(\mu)) &\leq (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{spCl}(f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{spCl } \mu)) \\ &= f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{spCl } \mu). \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, let μ be a $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - f\text{sp}$ set on X . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{spCl}(f(\mu)) &\leq f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{spCl } \mu) \\ &= f(\mu). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $f(\mu)$ is a $(\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - f\text{sp}$ set on Y and therefore f is a $f\text{sp}$ -irresolute closed mapping. \square

Theorem 3.8. Let $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*)$ be a bijection. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) f is $f\text{sp}$ -irresolute closed.
- (2) $f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{spCl } \nu) \leq (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{spCl}(f^{-1}(\nu))$ for each fuzzy set ν on Y .
- (3) f is $f\text{sp}$ -irresolute open.
- (4) f^{-1} is $f\text{sp}$ -irresolute continuous.

Proof. (1) implies (2): Let ν be a fuzzy set on Y . Then, by Theorem 3.7,

$$(\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{spCl}(f(f^{-1}(\nu))) \leq f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{spCl}(f^{-1}(\nu))).$$

Hence

$$f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{spCl}(f(f^{-1}(\nu)))) \leq f^{-1}(f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{spCl}(f^{-1}(\nu)))).$$

Since f is a bijection,

$$f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{spCl } \nu) \leq (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{spCl}(f^{-1}(\nu)).$$

(2) implies (1): Let μ be a fuzzy set on X . Then

$$f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{spCl}(f(\mu))) \leq (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{spCl}(f^{-1}(f(\mu))).$$

Hence

$$f(f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{spCl}(f(\mu)))) \leq f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{spCl}(f^{-1}(f(\mu)))).$$

Since f is a bijection,

$$(\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{spCl}(f(\mu)) \leq f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{spCl } \mu).$$

Therefore, by Theorem 3.7, f is $f\text{sp}$ -irresolute closed.

(2) implies (3): Let ν be a fuzzy set on Y . Then

$$f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{spCl}(\nu^c)) \leq (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{spCl}(f^{-1}(\nu^c)).$$

By Lemma 2.6,

$$\begin{aligned} (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{spInt}(f^{-1}(\nu)) &= ((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{spCl}(f^{-1}(\nu^c)))^c \\ &\leq f^{-1}(((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{spCl}(\nu^c))^c) \\ &= f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{spInt } \nu). \end{aligned}$$

Hence f is $f\text{psp}$ -irresolute open from Theorem 3.6.

(3) implies (4): Let ν be a fuzzy set on Y . Then

$$(\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{spInt}(f^{-1}(\nu)) \leq f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{spInt } \nu).$$

Since f is a bijection, by Theorem 3.4, f^{-1} is $f\text{psp}$ -irresolute continuous.

(4) implies (2): It is clear from Theorem 3.3.

□

We have the following corollaries from Theorem 3.3, Theorem 3.7 and Theorem 3.6.

Corollary 3.9. *Let $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*)$ be a mapping. Then, f is a $f\text{psp}$ -irresolute closed and $f\text{psp}$ -irresolute continuous if and only if $f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{spCl } \mu) = (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{spCl}(f(\mu))$ for each fuzzy set μ on X .*

Corollary 3.10. *Let $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*)$ be a mapping. Then, f is $f\text{psp}$ -irresolute open and $f\text{psp}$ -irresolute continuous if and only if $f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{spCl } \nu) = (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{spCl}(f^{-1}(\nu))$ for each fuzzy set ν on Y .*

A bijection $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*)$ is called a *fuzzy pairwise strong pre-irresolute homeomorphism* if f and f^{-1} are $f\text{psp}$ -irresolute continuous mappings.

Theorem 3.11. *Let $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*)$ be a bijection. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) f is a fuzzy pairwise strong pre-irresolute homeomorphism.
- (2) f^{-1} is a fuzzy pairwise strong pre-irresolute homeomorphism.
- (3) f and f^{-1} are $f\text{psp}$ -irresolute open ($f\text{psp}$ -irresolute closed).
- (4) f is $f\text{psp}$ -irresolute continuous and $f\text{psp}$ -irresolute open ($f\text{psp}$ -irresolute closed).
- (5) $f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{spCl } \mu) = (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{spCl}(f(\mu))$ for each fuzzy set μ on X .
- (6) $f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{spInt } \mu) = (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{spInt}(f(\mu))$ for each fuzzy set μ on X .
- (7) $f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{spInt } \nu) = (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{spInt}(f^{-1}(\nu))$ for each fuzzy set ν on Y .
- (8) $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{spCl}(f^{-1}(\nu)) = f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{spCl } \nu)$ for each fuzzy set ν on Y .

Proof. (1) implies (2): It follows immediately from the definition of a fuzzy pairwise strong pre-irresolute homeomorphism.

(2) implies (3) and (3) implies (4): It follows from Theorem 3.8.

(4) implies (5): It follows from Theorem 3.8 and Corollary 3.9.

(5) implies (6): Let μ be a fuzzy set on X . Then, by Lemma 2.6,

$$\begin{aligned} f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{spInt } \mu) &= (f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{spCl}(\mu^c)))^c \\ &= ((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{spCl}(f(\mu^c)))^c \\ &= (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{spInt } f(\mu). \end{aligned}$$

(6) implies (7): Let ν be a fuzzy set on Y . Then

$$\begin{aligned} f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{spInt}(f^{-1}(\nu))) &= (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{spInt}(f(f^{-1}(\nu))) \\ &= (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{spInt } \nu. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$f^{-1}(f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{spInt}(f^{-1}(\nu)))) = f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{spInt } \nu).$$

Therefore,

$$(\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{spInt}(f^{-1}(\nu)) = f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{spInt } \nu).$$

(7) implies (8): Let ν be a fuzzy set on Y . Then, by Lemma 2.6,

$$\begin{aligned} (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{spCl}(f^{-1}(\nu)) &= (f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{spInt}(\nu^c)))^c \\ &= ((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{spInt}(f^{-1}(\nu^c)))^c \\ &= f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{spCl } \nu). \end{aligned}$$

(8) implies (1): It follows from Theorem 3.8 and Corollary 3.10. □

REFERENCES

1. Y. B. Im, *Fuzzy pairwise γ -irresoluteness*, International J. fuzzy and Intelligent Systems, **7** (2007), 188-192.
2. Y. B. Im, *Fuzzy pairwise pre-irresolute mappings*, Far East J. Math. Sci. **13** (2004), 109-118.
3. B. Krsteska, *Fuzzy strongly preopen sets and fuzzy strong precontinuity*, Mat. Vesnik, **50** (1998), 111-123.
4. B. Krsteska, *Some fuzzy sp-topological properties*, Mat. Vesnik, **51** (1999), 39-51.
5. H. S. Lee, J. S. Lee and Y. B. Im, *Fuzzy pairwise γ -irresolute homeomorphisms*, J. Appl. Math. & Informatics, **26** (2008), 757-766.
6. Y. B. Im, E. P. Lee and S. W. Park, *Fuzzy pairwise γ -continuous mappings* J. Fuzzy Math. **10** (2002), 695-709.
7. S. Sampath Kumar, *On fuzzy pairwise α -continuity and fuzzy pairwise pre-continuity*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **62** (1994), 231-238.
8. K. D. Park, J. S. Lee and Y. B. Im *Fuzzy pairwise strong precontinuous mappings*, J. Appl. Math. & Informatics, **27** (2009), 725-736.

9. M, K. Singal and N. Prakash, *Fuzzy preopen sets and fuzzy preseparation axioms*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **44** (1991), 345-351.

Hyo Sam Lee received his B.S. and Ph.D. at Dongguk University under the direction of Professor D. H. Choi. Since 1980 he has been a professor at Daegu University. His research interests are group representation theory and fuzzy theory.

Dept. of Mathematics, Daegu University, Daegu 712-714, Korea
e-mail : leehs@daegu.ac.kr

Joo Sung Lee received his B.S. from Dongguk University and Ph.D. at University of Florida under the direction of Professor B. Brechner. Since 1995 he has been a professor at Dongguk University. His research interests are topological dynamics and fuzzy theory.

Dept. of Mathematics, Dongguk University, Seoul 100-715, Korea
e-mail : jsl@dongguk.edu

Young Bin Im received his B.S. and Ph.D. at Dongguk University under the direction of Professor K. D. Park. Since 2009 he has been a professor at Dongguk University. His research interests are fuzzy topological space and fuzzy matrix.

Faculty of General Education, Dongguk University, Seoul 100-715, Korea
e-mail : philpen@dongguk.edu