

## PERIODIC SOLUTIONS OF VOLTERRA EQUATIONS

SUNG KYU CHOI\*, NAMJIP KOO\*\*, YUN HEI YEO\*\*\*,  
AND CHANMI YUN\*\*\*\*

ABSTRACT. We study the existence of periodic solutions of Volterra equations by using the limiting equations and contraction mappings.

### 1. Introduction

Miller [7] studied forced oscillations in a nonlinear system of Volterra integral equations of the form

$$\begin{aligned}x_1(t) &= f_1(t) - \int_0^t a_1(t-s)g_1(s, x_1(s), x_2(s))ds \\ &\quad - \int_0^t a_2(t-s)g_2(s, x_1(s), x_2(s))ds, \\ x_2(t) &= f_2(t) - \int_0^t a_2(t-s)g_1(s, x_1(s), x_2(s))ds \\ &\quad - \int_0^t a_1(t-s)g_2(s, x_1(s), x_2(s))ds.\end{aligned}\tag{1.1}$$

where the functions  $f_i(t)$  and  $g_i(t, x_1, x_2)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , are asymptotically almost periodic in  $t$ . (1.1) arises in a natural way from the initial boundary

---

Received May 17, 2010; Accepted May 27, 2010.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 45D05, 45M15.

Key words and phrases: Volterra equation, periodic solution, asymptotically periodic solution, global attractivity.

Correspondence should be addressed to Namjip Koo, njkoo@math.cnu.ac.kr.

This study was financially supported by research fund of Chungnam National University in 2009.

value problem:

$$\begin{aligned} u_t &= u_{xx}, & t > 0, 0 < x < \pi, \\ u(0, x) &= F(x), & 0 < x < \pi, \\ u_x(t, 0) &= g_1(t, u(t, 0), u(t, \pi)), & t > 0, \\ u_x(t, \pi) &= -g_2(t, u(t, 0), u(t, \pi)), & t > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

The boundary conditions in this diffusion problem (1.2) are motivated by the theory of superfluidity of liquid helium [7]. Also, see [6].

Burton and Furumochi [1] studied the existence of periodic solutions of

$$x(t) = a(t) - \int_0^t D(t, s, x(s)) ds, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^+ = [0, \infty), \quad (1.3)$$

and its limiting equation

$$x(t) = p(t) - \int_{-\infty}^t P(t, s, x(s)) ds, \quad t \in \mathbb{R} = (-\infty, \infty), \quad (1.4)$$

by using techniques on limiting equations, Liapunov functions, the theory of minimal solutions, and contraction mappings. Also, they investigated the existence of almost periodic solutions of (1.3) and (1.4) in [3].

Furumochi [5] obtained discrete analogues of the results in [1], that is, he obtained the existence of periodic solution of the Volterra difference equations

$$x(n+1) = a(n) - \sum_{k=0}^n D(n, k, x(k)), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \quad (1.5)$$

and

$$x(n+1) = p(n) - \sum_{-\infty}^n P(n, k, x(k)), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (1.6)$$

For the asymptotic property of linear Volterra difference equations, see [4].

In this paper, we investigate the existence of bounded periodic solutions of (1.3) and (1.4). This study complements [1].

**2. Main Results**

We are concerned with systems of Volterra equations

$$x(t) = a(t) - \int_0^t D(t, s, x(s))ds, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^+ = [0, \infty), \tag{2.1}$$

$$x(t) = a(t) - \int_{-\infty}^t D(t, s, x(s))ds, \quad t \in \mathbb{R} = (-\infty, \infty), \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$x(t) = p(t) - \int_{-\infty}^t P(t, s, x(s))ds, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{2.3}$$

where  $a : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $p : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $D : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $P : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  are continuous, and

$$p(t+T) = p(t), \quad q(t) := a(t) - p(t) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty, \tag{2.4}$$

where  $T > 0$  is a constant,

$$P(t+T, s+T, x) = P(t, s, x), \quad Q(t, s, x) := D(t, s, x) - P(t, s, x), \tag{2.5}$$

and for any  $J > 0$  there are continuous functions  $P_J : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $Q_J : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} P_J(t+T, s+T) &= P_J(t, s) \text{ if } t, s \in \mathbb{R}, \\ |P(t, s, x)| &\leq P_J(t, s) \text{ if } t, s \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } |x| \leq J, \end{aligned}$$

where  $|\cdot|$  denotes the Euclidean norm of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $|Q(t, s, x)| \leq Q_J(t, s)$  if  $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $|x| \leq J$ ,

$$\int_{-\infty}^t P_J(t + \tau, s)ds \rightarrow 0 \text{ uniformly for } t \in \mathbb{R} \text{ as } \tau \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.6}$$

$$\int_0^t P_J(t, s)ds \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.7}$$

or

$$\int_{-\infty}^t Q_J(t, s)ds \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.8}$$

and

$$\int_{-\infty}^t Q_J(t + \tau, s)ds \rightarrow 0 \text{ uniformly for } t \in \mathbb{R} \text{ as } \tau \rightarrow \infty.$$

First we obtain a relation between solution of (2.2) and

$$x(t) = p(t + \sigma) - \int_{-\infty}^t P(t + \sigma, s + \sigma, x(s))ds, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{2.9}$$

where  $0 \leq \sigma < T$ .

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Under the assumptions (2.4), (2.5), (2.6) and (2.8), we suppose that (2.2) has an  $\mathbb{R}$ -bounded solution  $x(t)$  with an initial time in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $(s_k)$  be a sequence in  $\mathbb{R}$  with  $s_k \rightarrow \infty$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Then the sequence  $(x_k(t))$  converges to an  $\mathbb{R}$ -bounded solution  $y(t)$  of (2.9) uniformly on any compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $x_k(t) := x(t + s_k)$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $x(t)$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -bounded, the set  $\{x_k(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$  is uniformly bounded on  $\mathbb{R}$ . From (2.4), (2.5), and (2.8) we deduce that  $x(t)$  is uniformly continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Since  $x_k(t)$  is obtained by an  $s_k$ -translation to the left of  $x(t)$ , the set  $\{x_k(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$  is equicontinuous. By the Ascoli's theorem, the sequence  $(x_k(t))$  converges to some  $\mathbb{R}$ -bounded continuous function  $y(t)$  uniformly on any compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

Now, we show that  $y(t)$  satisfies (2.9) on  $\mathbb{R}$ . For any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\nu_k$  be an integer with  $\nu_k T \leq s_k < \nu_{k+1} T$ . Let  $\sigma_k = s_k - \nu_k T$ . By taking a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that  $(\sigma_k)$  converges to some  $\sigma$  with  $0 \leq \sigma < T$ . From (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_k(t) &= x(t + s_k) \\ &= a(t + s_k) - \int_{-\infty}^{t+s_k} D(t + s_k, s, x(s)) ds \\ &= p(t + \sigma_k) + q(t + s_k) - \int_{-\infty}^{t+s_k} P(t + \sigma_k, s + \sigma_k, x(s + s_k)) ds \\ &\quad - \int_{-\infty}^{t+s_k} Q(t + s_k, s, x(s)) ds. \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

Note that  $p(t + \sigma_k) \rightarrow p(t + \sigma)$  and  $q(t + s_k) \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $J > 0$  be a number with  $|x| \leq J$ . From (2.6), we obtain that for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\tau > 0$  such that

$$\int_{-\infty}^t P_J(t + \tau, s) ds < \epsilon, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{2.11}$$

In view of (2.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{-\infty}^{t+s_k} Q(t + s_k, s, x(s)) ds \right| \\ &\leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{t+s_k} |Q(t + s_k, s, x(s))| ds \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{-\infty}^{t+s_k} P(t + \sigma_k, s + \sigma_k, x(s + s_k)) ds \right. \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. - \int_{-\infty}^t P(t + \sigma, s + \sigma, y(s)) ds \right| \\
 & \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_t^{t+s_k} [P(t + \sigma_k, s + \sigma_k, x_k(s)) \right. \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. - P(t + \sigma, s + \sigma, y(s))] ds \right| \\
 & \quad + \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^t P_J(t + \sigma_k, s + \sigma_k) ds + \int_{-\infty}^t P_J(t + \sigma, s + \sigma) ds \\
 & < \epsilon + \epsilon = 2\epsilon,
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

by (2.6) and (2.11). Hence it follows from (2.12) and (2.13) that

$$y(t) = p(t + \sigma) - \int_{-\infty}^t P(t + \sigma, s + \sigma, y(s)) ds, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

by letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in (2.10). This completes the proof. □

**DEFINITION 2.2.** A function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is called *asymptotically  $T$ -periodic*,  $T > 0$  is a constant, if  $f = g + h$ , where  $g$  is  $T$ -periodic, i.e.,  $g(t + T) = g(t)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} h(t) = 0$ .

**THEOREM 2.3.** Suppose that (2.4), (2.5), (2.6) and (2.8). If (2.3) has a unique  $\mathbb{R}$ -bounded solution  $x_0(t)$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ , then the following hold:

- (i)  $x_0(t)$  is  $T$ -periodic.
- (ii) Any  $\mathbb{R}$ -bounded solution  $x(t)$  of (2.2) with an initial time in  $\mathbb{R}$  is asymptotically  $T$ -periodic and approaches to  $x_0(t)$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Proof.* (i) Let  $x_1(t) = x_0(t + T)$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . We show that  $x_1(t) = x_0(t)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Since  $x_0(t)$  is a unique  $\mathbb{R}$ -bounded solution of (2.2) on  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $x_1(t)$  is also an  $\mathbb{R}$ -bounded solution of (2.2) on  $\mathbb{R}$ . From the uniqueness of solutions, we have  $x_1(t) = x_0(t)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

(ii) We show that  $x(t) \rightarrow x_0(t)$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $x_k(t) = x(t + s_k)$  with  $s_k = kT$ . Then, by Theorem 2.1,

$$x_k(t) \rightarrow y(t)$$

uniformly on any compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $y(t)$  is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -bounded solution of (2.9) with  $0 \leq \sigma < T$ , and thus is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -bounded solution of (2.2) when  $\sigma = 0$ . Also,  $y(t) = x_0(t)$  from the uniqueness of solutions. Thus  $x(t) = x_0(t) + \varphi(t)$ , where  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(t) = 0$ . This implies that  $x(t)$  is asymptotically  $T$ -periodic. This completes the proof. □

THEOREM 2.4. [1] Suppose that (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6) with  $q(t) \equiv 0$  and  $Q(t, s, x) \equiv 0$ . Assume that for any  $J > 0$  there exists a continuous function  $L_J : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  such that

$$|P(t, s, x) - P(t, s, y)| \leq L_J(t, s)|x - y| \quad (2.14)$$

when  $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $|x|, |y| \leq J$ . Let

$$\lambda_J := \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{-\infty}^t L_J(t, s) ds < 1$$

and

$$\lambda := \sup_{J > 0} \lambda_J < 1. \quad (2.15)$$

Then

(i) (2.3) has a unique  $\mathbb{R}$ -bounded  $T$ -periodic solution on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

(ii) Any  $\mathbb{R}$ -bounded solution of (2.3) with initial time  $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  and bounded continuous initial function  $\varphi : (-\infty, t_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  approaches to the  $T$ -periodic solution.

Consider the linear Volterra equation

$$x(t) = p(t) - \int_{-\infty}^t P(t, s)x(s)ds, t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (2.16)$$

where  $p : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $P : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are continuous.

THEOREM 2.5. [1] If

$$b(t) := \int_{-\infty}^t |P(t, s)|ds < 1, t \in \mathbb{R} \quad (2.17)$$

holds, then for any  $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  and any bounded continuous function  $\varphi : (-\infty, t_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ , the solution  $x(t) = x(t, t_0, \varphi)$  of (2.16) satisfies

$$|x(t)| \leq X(t) := \max \left\{ \sup_{t_0 \leq s \leq t} B(s), \sup_{s \leq t_0} |\varphi(s)|, |x(t_0+)| \right\}, t \geq t_0,$$

where

$$B(s) := \frac{1}{1 - b(s)} \sup_{t_0 \leq u \leq s} |p(u)|, s \geq t_0.$$

Now, we obtain the periodicity and attractivity of solution of the linear equation (2.16).

DEFINITION 2.6. The solution  $x(t)$  of (2.16) is said to be *globally attractive* if

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} [x(t) - y(t)] = 0$$

for any solution  $y(t)$  of (2.16).

THEOREM 2.7. Suppose that  $p(t + T) = p(t)$  and  $P(t + T, s + T) = P(t, s), t, s \in \mathbb{R}$ . In addition to (2.17), if  $b(t)$  is continuous, then (2.16) has a unique  $\mathbb{R}$ -bounded solution on  $\mathbb{R}$  which is  $T$ -periodic and globally attractive.

*Proof.* In view of Theorem 2.5, the solution  $x(t)$  of (2.16) satisfies

$$|x(t)| \leq X(t), t \geq t_0, t_0 \in \mathbb{R},$$

that is,  $x(t)$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -bounded. From Theorem 2.4,  $x(t)$  is  $T$ -periodic. Also,  $x(t)$  is globally attractive by Theorem 2.4.  $\square$

In (2.5), we let  $Q(t, s, x) = 0$ . So we consider

$$x(t) = a(t) - \int_0^t P(t, s, x(s)) ds, t \in \mathbb{R}^+, \tag{2.18}$$

where  $a : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is bounded continuous and  $P : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is continuous.

THEOREM 2.8. Suppose that (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6) with  $Q(t, s, x) \equiv 0$ . Under the assumptions (2.14) and (2.15), the following hold:

- (i) (2.18) has a unique  $\mathbb{R}^+$ -bounded solution  $x(t)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ .
- (ii) (2.3) has a unique  $T$ -periodic solution  $\pi(t)$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ .
- (iii)  $x(t) \rightarrow \pi(t)$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Proof.* (i) Let  $B$  be the Banach space of all bounded continuous functions  $\xi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  with

$$\|\xi\| = \sup_{t \geq 0} |\xi(t)|.$$

Define  $H$  on  $B$  by

$$(H\xi)(t) := a(t) - \int_0^t P(t, s, \xi(s)) ds.$$

Then we have

$$|(H\xi)(t)| \leq |a(t)| + \int_0^t |P(t, s, \xi(s))| ds.$$

Thus, from (2.6),  $H\xi$  is bounded. It follows that  $H(B) \subset B$ .

We show that  $H$  is a contraction. To do this we let  $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in H$  with  $\|\xi_1\|, \|\xi_2\| \leq J$  for some  $J > 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |(H\xi_1)(t) - (H\xi_2)(t)| &\leq \int_0^t |P(t, s, \xi_1(s)) - P(t, s, \xi_2(s))| ds \\ &\leq \int_0^t L_J(t, s) |\xi_1(s) - \xi_2(s)| ds \\ &\leq \lambda_J \|\xi_1 - \xi_2\| \\ &< \lambda \|\xi_1 - \xi_2\|, \end{aligned}$$

by (2.14) and (2.15). This implies that  $H$  is a contraction. Hence  $H$  has a unique fixed point  $x(t)$  of  $H$  by the Contraction Mapping Principle.

(ii) Let  $x(t)$  denote again  $\mathbb{R}$ -extension of the given  $x(t)$  obtain by defining

$$\begin{cases} x(t) = x(0) = a(0) & \text{for } t < 0, \\ x(t) & \text{for } 0 \leq t < \infty. \end{cases}$$

For any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , set  $x_k(t) = x(t + kT)$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . In view of Theorem 2.4, (2.3) has a unique  $T$ -periodic solution, say  $\pi(t)$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Therefore  $\pi(t)$  is a unique  $\mathbb{R}$ -bounded solution of (2.3) by Theorem 2.1.

(iii) We can deduce that  $x(t) - \pi(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  since we can show that  $x_k \rightarrow \pi(t)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly on  $[0, T]$  as in the proof of Theorem 2.1. This proves the theorem. □

## References

- [1] T. A. Burton and T. Furumochi, Periodic solutions of Volterra equations and attractivity, *Dyn. Sys. Appl.* **3** (1994), 583-598.
- [2] T. A. Burton and T. Furumochi, Periodic solutions of a Volterra equation and robustness, *Nonlinear Anal.* **25** (1995), 1199-1219.
- [3] T. A. Burton and T. Furumochi, Almost periodic solutions of Volterra equations and attractivity, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **198** (1996), 581-599.
- [4] S. K. Choi and N. J. Koo, Asymptotic property of linear Volterra difference system, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **321** (2006), 260-272.
- [5] T. Furumochi, Periodic solutions of Volterra difference equations and attractivity, *Nonlinear Anal.* **47** (2001), 4013-4024.
- [6] G. Gripenberg, On the convergence of solutions of Volterra equations to almost-periodic functions, *Quart. Appl. Math.* **39** (1981/82), no. 3, 363-373.
- [7] R. K. Miller, Asymptotically almost periodic solutions of a nonlinear Volterra system, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **2** (1971), 435-444.

\*

Department of Mathematics  
Chungnam National University  
Daejeon 305-764, Republic of Korea  
*E-mail:* sgchoi@cnu.ac.kr

\*\*

Department of Mathematics  
Chungnam National University  
Daejeon 305-764, Republic of Korea  
*E-mail:* njkoo@cnu.ac.kr

\*\*\*

Department of Mathematics  
Chungnam National University  
Daejeon 305-764, Republic of Korea  
*E-mail:* yh800420@hanmail.net

\*\*\*\*

Department of Mathematics  
Chungnam National University  
Daejeon 305-764, Republic of Korea  
*E-mail:* cmyun@cnu.ac.kr