

THE M_α -INTEGRAL

JAE MYUNG PARK*, HYUNG WON RYU**, AND HOE KYOUNG
LEE***

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we define the M_α -integral and investigate properties of the M_α -integral.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

It is well-known [12] that a function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow R$ is C -integrable on $[a, b]$ if and only if there exists an ACG_c function F such that $F' = f$ almost everywhere on $[a, b]$.

In this paper, we define the M_α -integral and prove that a function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow R$ is M_α -integrable on $[a, b]$ if and only if there exists an ACG_α function F such that $F' = f$ almost everywhere on $[a, b]$.

Throughout this paper, $I_0 = [a, b]$ is a compact interval in R . Let D be a finite collection of interval-point pairs $\{(I_i, \xi_i)\}_{i=1}^n$, where $\{I_i\}_{i=1}^n$ are non-overlapping subintervals of I_0 and let δ be a positive function on I_0 , i.e. $\delta : I_0 \rightarrow R^+$. We say that $D = \{(I_i, \xi_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ is

- (1) a partial tagged partition of I_0 if $\cup_{i=1}^n I_i \subset I_0$,
- (2) a tagged partition of I_0 if $\cup_{i=1}^n I_i = I_0$,
- (3) a δ -fine McShane partition of I_0 if $I_i \subset (\xi_i - \delta(\xi_i), \xi_i + \delta(\xi_i))$ and $\xi_i \in I_i$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$,
- (4) a δ -fine M_α -partition of I_0 for a constant $\alpha > 0$ if it is a δ -fine McShane partition of I_0 and satisfying the

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \text{dist}(\xi_i, I_i) < \alpha,$$

where $\text{dist}(\xi_i, I_i) = \inf\{|t - \xi_i| : t \in I_i\}$,

Received November 10, 2009; Accepted November 25, 2009.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 26A39.

Key words and phrases: M_α -partition, M_α -integral, ACG_α function.

Correspondence should be addressed to Jae Myung Park, parkjm@cnu.ac.kr.

(5) a δ -fine Henstock partition of I_0 if $\xi_i \in I_i \subset (\xi_i - \delta(\xi_i), \xi_i + \delta(\xi_i))$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Given a δ -fine partition $D = \{(I_i, \xi_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ we write

$$S(f, D) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(\xi_i)|I_i|$$

for integral sums over D , whenever $f : I_0 \rightarrow R$.

2. Properties of the M_α -integral

DEFINITION 2.1. Let $\alpha > 0$ be a constant. A function $f : I_0 \rightarrow R$ is M_α -integrable if there exists a real number A such that for each $\epsilon > 0$ there is a positive function $\delta : I_0 \rightarrow R^+$ such that

$$|S(f, D) - A| < \epsilon$$

for each δ -fine M_α -partition $D = \{(I_i, \xi_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ of I_0 . A is called the M_α -integral of f on I_0 , and we write $A = \int_{I_0} f$ or $A = (M_\alpha) \int_{I_0} f$.

The function f is M_α -integrable on the set $E \subset I_0$ if the function $f\chi_E$ is M_α -integrable on I_0 , and we write $\int_E f = \int_{I_0} f\chi_E$.

THEOREM 2.2. A function $f : I_0 \rightarrow R$ is M_α -integrable if and only if for each $\epsilon > 0$ there is a positive function $\delta : I_0 \rightarrow R^+$ such that

$$|S(f, D_1) - S(f, D_2)| < \epsilon$$

for any δ -fine M_α -partitions D_1 and D_2 of I_0 .

Proof. Assume that $f : I_0 \rightarrow R$ is M_α -integrable on I_0 . For each $\epsilon > 0$ there is a positive function $\delta : I_0 \rightarrow R^+$ such that

$$|S(f, D) - \int_{I_0} f| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

for each δ -fine M_α -partition D of I_0 . If D_1 and D_2 are δ -fine M_α -partitions, then

$$\begin{aligned} |S(f, D_1) - S(f, D_2)| &\leq |S(f, D_1) - \int_{I_0} f| + |\int_{I_0} f - S(f, D_2)| \\ &< \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, assume that for each $\epsilon > 0$, there is a positive function $\delta : I_0 \rightarrow R^+$ such that $|S(f, D_m) - S(f, D_k)| < \epsilon$ for any δ -fine M_α -partitions D_m, D_k of I_0 . For each $n \in N$, choose $\delta_n : I_0 \rightarrow R^+$ such

that $|S(f, D_1) - S(f, D_2)| < \frac{1}{n}$ for any δ_n -fine M_α -partitions D_1 and D_2 of I_0 . Assume that $\{\delta_n\}$ is decreasing. For each $n \in N$, let D_n be a δ_n -fine M_α -partition of I_0 . Then $\{S(f, D_n)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Let $L = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(f, D_n)$ and let $\epsilon > 0$. Choose N such that $\frac{1}{N} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ and $|S(f, D_n) - L| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ for all $n \geq N$. Let D be a δ_N -fine M_α -partition of I_0 . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |S(f, D) - L| &\leq |S(f, D) - S(f, D_N)| + |S(f, D_N) - L| \\ &< \frac{1}{N} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence f is M_α -integrable on I_0 , and $\int_{I_0} f = L$. \square

We can easily get the following theorems.

THEOREM 2.3. *Let $f : I_0 \rightarrow R$. Then*

(1) *If f is M_α -integrable on I_0 , then f is M_α -integrable on every subinterval of I_0 .*

(2) *If f is M_α -integrable on each of the intervals I_1 and I_2 , where I_1 and I_2 are non-overlapping and $I_1 \cup I_2 = I_0$, then f is M_α -integrable on I_0 and $\int_{I_1} f + \int_{I_2} f = \int_{I_0} f$.*

THEOREM 2.4. *Let f and g be M_α -integrable functions on I_0 . Then*

(1) *kf is M_α -integrable on I_0 and $\int_{I_0} kf = k \int_{I_0} f$ for each $k \in R$,*

(2) *$f + g$ is M_α -integrable on I_0 and $\int_{I_0} (f + g) = \int_{I_0} f + \int_{I_0} g$.*

LEMMA 2.5. (Saks-Henstock Lemma) *Let $f : I_0 \rightarrow R$ be M_α -integrable on I_0 . Let $\epsilon > 0$. Suppose that δ is a positive function on I_0 such that*

$$|S(f, D) - \int_{I_0} f| < \epsilon$$

for each δ -fine M_α -partition $D = \{(I, \xi)\}$ of I_0 . If $D' = \{(I_i, \xi_i)\}_{i=1}^m$ is a δ -fine partial M_α -partition of I_0 , then

$$|S(f, D') - \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{I_i} f(\xi_i)| \leq \epsilon.$$

Proof. Assume that $D' = \{(I_i, \xi_i)\}_{i=1}^m$ is an arbitrary δ -fine partial M_α -partition of I_0 . Let $\bar{I}_0 - \cup_{i=1}^m \bar{I}_i = \cup_{j=1}^k I'_j$

Let $\eta > 0$. Since f is M_α -integrable on each I'_j , there exists a positive function $\delta_j : I'_j \rightarrow R^+$ such that

$$|S(f, D_j) - \int_{I'_j} f| < \frac{\eta}{k}.$$

for each δ_j -fine M_α -partition of I'_j .

Assume that $\delta_j(\xi) \leq \delta(\xi)$ for all $\xi \in D_0$. Let $D_0 = D' + D_1 + D_2 + \dots + D_k$. Then D_0 is a δ -fine M_α -partition of I_0 and we have

$$|S(f, D_0) - \int_{I_0} f| = |S(f, D') + \sum_{j=1}^k S(f, D_j) - \int_{I_0} f| < \epsilon.$$

Consequently, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & |S(f, D') - \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{I_i} f| \\ &= |S(f, D_0) - \sum_{j=1}^k S(f, D_j) - (\int_{I_0} f - \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{I'_j} f)| \\ &\leq |S(f, D_0) - \int_{I_0} f| + \sum_{j=1}^k |S(f, D_j) - \int_{I'_j} f| \\ &< \epsilon + \frac{k\eta}{k} = \epsilon + \eta. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\eta > 0$ was arbitrary, we have $|S(f, D') - \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{I_i} f| \leq \epsilon$. \square

Now we recall the definition of the derivative of a function.

DEFINITION 2.6. A function $F : I_0 \rightarrow R$ is differentiable at $\xi \in I_0$ if

$$\lim_{\mu \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(\xi + \mu) - F(\xi)}{\mu}$$

exists. The limit in case it exists, is called the derivative of F at ξ , and is denoted by $F'(\xi)$.

THEOREM 2.7. If the function $F : I_0 \rightarrow R$ is differentiable on I_0 with $f(\xi) = F'(\xi)$ for each $\xi \in I_0$, then $f : I_0 \rightarrow R$ is M_α -integrable.

Proof. By the definition of derivative, for each $\xi \in I_0$ there is a positive function $\delta : I_0 \rightarrow R^+$ such that

$$\left| \frac{F(\zeta) - F(\xi)}{\zeta - \xi} - f(\xi) \right| < \frac{\alpha}{2(\epsilon + |I_0|)}$$

for all $\zeta \in I_0$ with $|\zeta - \xi| < \delta(\xi)$. Assume that $D = \{(I_i, \xi_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ is a δ -fine M_α -partition of I_0 . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n [f(\xi_i)|I_i| - F(I_i)] \right| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n |f(\xi_i)|I_i| - F(I_i)| \\ &< \frac{\alpha}{\epsilon + |I_0|} \sum_{i=1}^n (\text{dist}(\xi_i, I_i) + |I_i|) \\ &< \frac{\alpha}{\epsilon + |I_0|} (\alpha + |I_0|) = \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Hence $f : I_0 \rightarrow R$ is M_α -integrable on I_0 . \square

DEFINITION 2.8. Let $\alpha > 0$ be a constant. Let $F : I_0 \rightarrow R$ and let E be a subset of I_0 .

(a) F is said to be AC_α on E if for each $\epsilon > 0$ there is a constant $\eta > 0$ and a positive function $\delta : I_0 \rightarrow R^+$ such that $|\sum_i F(I_i)| < \epsilon$ for each δ -fine partial M_α -partition $D = \{(I_i, \xi_i)\}$ of I_0 satisfying $\xi_i \in E$ and $\sum_i |I_i| < \eta$.

(b) F is said to be ACG_α on E if E can be expressed as a countable union of sets on each of which F is AC_α .

By considering positive and negative parts, it is clear that there is no change if the part $|\sum_i F(I_i)| < \epsilon$ of the above definition is written as $\sum_i |F(I_i)| < \epsilon$.

THEOREM 2.9. If a function $f : I_0 \rightarrow R$ is M_α -integrable on I_0 with the primitive F , then F is ACG_α on I_0 .

Proof. By the definition of M_α -integral and the Saks-Henstock Lemma, for each $\epsilon > 0$ there is a positive function $\delta : I_0 \rightarrow R^+$ such that

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^n [f(\xi_i)|I_i| - F(I_i)] \right| \leq \epsilon$$

for each δ -fine partial M_α -partition $D = \{(I_i, \xi_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ of I_0 .

Assume that $E_n = \{\xi \in I_0 : n-1 \leq |f(\xi)| < n\}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we have $I_0 = \cup E_n$. To show that F is AC_α on each E_n , fix n and take a δ -fine partial M_α -partition $D_0 = \{(I_i, \xi_i)\}$ of I_0 with $\xi_i \in E_n$ for

all i . If $\sum_i |I_i| < \frac{\epsilon}{n}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_i F(I_i) \right| &\leq \left| \sum_i [F(I_i) - f(\xi_i) \cdot |I_i|] \right| + \left| \sum_i f(\xi_i) |I_i| \right| \\ &\leq \left| \sum_i [F(I_i) - f(\xi_i) |I_i|] \right| + \sum_i |f(\xi_i)| \cdot |I_i| \\ &\leq \epsilon + n \sum_i |I_i| < 2\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

□

Now we recall the definitions of the McShane and Henstock integrals.

A function $f : I_0 \rightarrow R$ is McShane integrable if there exists a real number A such that for each $\epsilon > 0$ there is a positive function $\delta : I_0 \rightarrow R^+$ such that

$$|S(f, D) - A| < \epsilon$$

for each δ -fine McShane partition $D = \{(I_i, \xi_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ of I_0 .

A function $f : I_0 \rightarrow R$ is Henstock integrable if there exists a real number A such that for each $\epsilon > 0$ there is a positive function $\delta : I_0 \rightarrow R^+$ such that

$$|S(f, D) - A| < \epsilon$$

for each δ -fine Henstock partition $D = \{(I_i, \xi_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ of I_0 .

Since every Henstock partition is an M_α -partition and every M_α -partition is a McShane partition, we get the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.10. *Let $f : I_0 \rightarrow R$ be a function.*

- (a) *If f is McShane integrable on I_0 , then f is M_α -integrable on I_0 .*
- (b) *If f is M_α -integrable on I_0 , then f is Henstock integrable on I_0 .*

A function $f : I_0 \rightarrow R$ is M_α -integrable on I_0 if and only if there exists an ACG_α function F on I_0 such that $F' = f$ almost everywhere on I_0 . To prove this, we need the following two lemmas.

LEMMA 2.11. *Suppose that $f : [a, b] \rightarrow R$ and let $E \subseteq [a, b]$. If $\mu(E) = 0$, then for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a positive function δ on E such that $S(|f|, D) < \epsilon$ for every δ -fine partial M_α -partition $D = \{(I_i, \xi_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ of $[a, b]$ with $\xi_i \in E$.*

Proof. For each n , let $E_n = \{x \in E : n - 1 \leq |f(x)| < n\}$ and let $\epsilon > 0$. Then $E = \cup E_n$. Since $\mu(E_n) = 0$ for each n , we can choose an open set $O_n \supset E_n$ with $\mu(O_n) < \frac{\epsilon}{n \cdot 2^n}$.

Define $\delta(x) = \rho(x, O_n^c)$ for $x \in E_n$. Suppose that D is a δ -fine partial M_α -partition of $[a, b]$. Let D_n be a subset of D that has tags in E_n and let $\pi = \{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ : D_n \neq \phi\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} S(|f|, D) &= \sum_{n \in \pi} S(|f|, D_n) \leq \sum_{n \in \pi} n \cdot |I_n| \\ &< \sum_{n \in \pi} n \mu(O_n) < \sum_{n \in \pi} n \cdot \frac{\epsilon}{n \cdot 2^n} = \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

□

LEMMA 2.12. Suppose that $F : I_0 \rightarrow R$ is ACG_α on I_0 and let $E \subseteq I_0$. If $\mu(E) = 0$, then for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a positive function δ on E such that $\sum_{i=1}^n |F(I_i)| < \epsilon$ for every δ -fine partial M_α -partition $D = \{(I_i, \xi_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ of I_0 with $\xi_i \in E$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Proof. Let $E = \cup_{n=1}^\infty E_n$ where F is AC_α on each E_n . Let $\epsilon > 0$. For each n , there exists a positive function $\delta_n : E_n \rightarrow R^+$ and a positive number $\eta_n > 0$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n |F(I_i)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2^n}$ for each δ_n -fine partial M_α -partition of I_0 with $\xi_n \in E_n$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n |I_i| < \eta_n$. For each n , choose an open set $O_n \supset E_n$ and $\mu(O_n) < \eta_n$. Define $\delta(x) = \min\{\delta_n(x), \rho(x, O_n^c)\}$ for $x \in E_n$. Suppose that $D = \{(I_i, \xi_i)\}$ is a δ -fine partial M_α -partition of I_0 with $\xi_i \in E$. Let D_n be subset of D that has tags in E_n and note that $(D_n) \sum_{i=1}^n |I_i| < \mu(O_n) < \eta_n$. Hence,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |F(I_i)| \leq \sum_n (D_n) \sum_{i=1}^n |F(I_i)| < \sum_n \frac{\epsilon}{2^n} = \epsilon.$$

□

THEOREM 2.13. If a function $f : I_0 \rightarrow R$ is M_α -integrable on I_0 if and only if there is an ACG_α function F on I_0 such that $F' = f$ almost everywhere on I_0 .

Proof. Suppose that f is M_α -integrable on I_0 and let $F(x) = \int_a^x f$ for each $x \in I_0$. Then by Theorem 2.9, F is ACG_α on I_0 . Since f is Henstock integrable on I_0 , $F' = f$ almost everywhere on I_0 by [8, Theorem 9.12].

Conversely, suppose that there is an ACG_α function F such that $F = f'$ almost everywhere on I_0 . Let $E = \{x \in I_0 : F'(x) \neq f(x)\}$ and let $\epsilon > 0$. Then $\mu(E) = 0$. For each $x \in I_0 - E$, choose $\delta(x) > 0$ such that

$$|F(y) - F(x) - f(x)(y - x)| < \frac{\epsilon}{6(\alpha + |I_0|)} |y - x|$$

whenever $|y - x| < \delta(x)$ and $y \in I_0$. By Lemma 2.11 and 2.12, we can find $\delta(x) > 0$ on E such that $|\sum f(\xi)|I_i| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$ and $|\sum F(I_i)| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$, whenever $D = \{(I_i, \xi_i)\}$ is a δ -fine M_α -partial partition of I_0 with $\xi_i \in E$.

Suppose that $D = \{(I_i, \xi_i)\}$ is a δ -fine M_α -partial partition of I_0 . Let D_1 be the subset of D that has tags in E and let $D_2 = D - D_1$ then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| (D) \sum f(\xi)|I_i| - (D) \sum F(I_i) \right| \\ &= \left| (D_2) \sum f(\xi)|I_i| - (D_2) \sum F(I_i) \right| + \left| (D_1) \sum f(\xi)|I_i| \right| \\ & \quad + \left| (D_1) \sum F(I_i) \right| \\ &\leq (D_2) \sum |f(\xi)|I_i| - F(I_i)| + \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} \\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{3(\alpha + |I_0|)} \sum (\text{dist}(\xi_i, I_i) + |I_i|) + \frac{2}{3}\epsilon \\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{3(\alpha + |I_0|)} (\alpha + |I_0|) + \frac{2}{3}\epsilon \\ &= \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{2}{3}\epsilon = \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence f is M_α -integrable on I_0 . \square

The following examples show that the converse of Theorem 2.10 is not true.

EXAMPLE 2.14. (1) Let f be a function defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 2x \sin \frac{1}{x^2} - \frac{2}{x} \cos \frac{1}{x^2} & \text{if } 0 < x \leq 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}$$

Then it is easy to show that the primitive of f is

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} x^2 \sin \frac{1}{x^2} & \text{if } 0 < x \leq 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}$$

Since $F(x)$ is differentiable and $F'(x) = f(x)$ everywhere on $[0, 1]$, $f(x)$ is M_α -integrable from Theorem 2.7. But $F(x)$ is not absolutely continuous on $[0, 1]$ and therefore $f(x)$ is not McShane integrable on $[0, 1]$.

(2) The function F defined by

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} x \sin \frac{1}{x^2} & \text{if } 0 < x \leq 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}$$

is differentiable nearly everywhere on $[0, 1]$. By [8, Theorem 9.6], F' is Henstock integrable on $[0, 1]$. But we can show that F is not ACG_α on $[0, 1]$. To show this, suppose that F is ACG_α . Then there exists a set $E \subset [0, 1]$ such that $0 \in E$ and F is AC_α on E .

For $\epsilon = \frac{\alpha}{2}$, there exist a positive function $\delta : [0, 1] \rightarrow R^+$ and a positive number $\eta > 0$ such that $|\sum_{i=1}^n F(I_i)| < \frac{\alpha}{2}$, whenever $D = \{(I_i, x_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ is a δ -fine partial M_α -partition of $[0, 1]$ with $x_i \in E$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n |I_i| < \eta$.

Let $a_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2n+\frac{1}{2})\pi}}$ and $b_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n\pi}}$ for each positive integer n .

Then $a_n < b_n < 1$ and $\sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n = \infty$. Choose a δ -fine partial partition $D = \{([a_i, b_i], 0) : N \leq i \leq M\}$ such that $\frac{\alpha}{2} < \sum_{i=N}^M a_i < \alpha$ and $b_N < \min\{\delta(0), \eta\}$. Then $0 \in E$, $\sum_{i=N}^M (b_i - a_i) < \eta$, and $\sum_{i=N}^M \text{dist}(0, [a_i, b_i]) = \sum_{i=N}^M a_i < \alpha$.

Hence, D is a δ -fine M_α -partial partition of $[0, 1]$. But we have

$$\left| \sum_{i=N}^M F([a_i, b_i]) \right| = \left| \sum_{i=N}^M (F(b_i) - F(a_i)) \right| = \sum_{i=N}^M a_i > \frac{\alpha}{2}.$$

This contradiction shows that F is not ACG_α on $[0, 1]$.

Hence, F' is not M_α -integrable on $[0, 1]$.

References

- [1] B. Bongiorno, *Un nuovo integrale il problema dell primitiva*, *Le Matematiche*, **51** (1996), no. 2, 299-313.
- [2] B. Bongiorno, L. Di Piazza, and D. Preiss, *A constructive minimal integral which includes Lebesgue integrable functions and derivatives*, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **62** (2000), no. 1, 117-126.
- [3] A. M. Bruckner, R. J. Fleissner, and J. Fordan, *The minimal integral which includes Lebesgue integrable functions and derivatives*, *Collq. Mat.* **50** (1986), 289-293.
- [4] S. J. Chao, B. S. Lee, G. M. Lee, and D. S. Kim, *Denjoy-type integrals of Banach-valued functions*, *Comm. Korean. Math. Soc.* **13** (1998), no. 2, 307-316.
- [5] D. H. Fremlin *The Henstock and McShane integrals of vector-valued functions*, *Illinois J. Math.* **38** (1994), 471-479.
- [6] D. H. Fremlin *The McShane, PU and Henstock integrals of Banach valued functions*, *Cze. J. Math.* **52** (127) (2002), 609-633.
- [7] D. H. Fremlin and J. Mendoza, *On the integration of vector-valued functions*, *Illinois J. Math.* **38** (1994), 127-147.
- [8] R. A. Gordon, *The Integrals of Lebesgue, Denjoy, Perron, and Henstock*, *Graduate Studies in Math.* **4**, Amer. Math. Soc., 1994.

- [9] R. A. Gordon, *The Denjoy extension of the Bochner, Pettis and Dunford integrals*, *Studia Math.* **92** (1989), 73-91.
- [10] R. Henstock, *The General Theory of Integration*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1991.
- [11] J. M. Park and D. H. Lee, *The Denjoy extension of the Riemann and McShane integrals*, *Cze J. Math.* **50** (2000), no. 125, 615-625.
- [12] L. Di Piazza, *A Riemann-type minimal integral for the classical problem of primitives*, *Rend. Istit. Mat. Univ. Trieste* Vol. XXXIV, (2002), 143-153
- [13] S. Schwabik and Guoju Ye, *Topics in Banach space integration*, World Scientific, 2005.
- [14] L. P. Yee, *Lanzhou Lectures on Henstock Integration*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1989.

*

Department of Mathematics
Chungnam National University
Daejeon 305-764, Republic of Korea
E-mail: parkjm@cnu.ac.kr

**

Department of Mathematics
Chungnam National University
Daejeon 305-764, Republic of Korea
E-mail: slpm@nate.com

Department of Mathematics
Chungnam National University
Daejeon 305-764, Republic of Korea
E-mail: ghlrud98@nate.com