

ON THE PARITY OF THE CLASS NUMBER OF SOME REAL BIQUADRATIC FUNCTION FIELD

JAEHYUN AHN* AND HWANYUP JUNG**

ABSTRACT. Let $k = \mathbb{F}_q(T)$ and $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{F}_q[T]$. In this paper, we obtain the criterion for the parity of the ideal class number $h(\mathcal{O}_K)$ of the real biquadratic function field $K = k(\sqrt{P_1}, \sqrt{P_2})$, where $P_1, P_2 \in \mathbb{A}$ be two distinct monic primes of even degree.

1. Introduction and statement of main result

In the paper [2], Kucera has determined the parity of the class number of any real biquadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p}, \sqrt{q})$ in terms of quadratic residue symbols, where p and q are different primes with $p \equiv q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. In this paper we give a similar result for some real biquadratic function fields.

Let $k = \mathbb{F}_q(T)$ and $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{F}_q[T]$. Assume that q is odd. Let $P \in \mathbb{A}$ be a monic prime of even degree. Let $\mathbb{M}_P := \{A \in \mathbb{A} : \deg A < \deg P \text{ and } \gcd(P, A) = 1\}$ and $\mathbb{M}_P^+ := \{A \in \mathbb{M}_P : A \text{ is monic}\}$. Then we have ([4, Lemma 16.13]) that

$$P = \prod_{A \in \mathbb{M}_P} \lambda_P^A = \left(\prod_{A \in \mathbb{M}_P^+} \lambda_P^A \right)^{q-1},$$

where λ_P is a primitive P -torsion point of the Carlitz module. We set

$$\sqrt{P} := (-1)^{\frac{q \deg P - 1}{2(q-1)}} \left(\prod_{A \in \mathbb{M}_P^+} \lambda_P^A \right)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}.$$

Received January 26, 2009; Accepted February 22, 2010.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 11R58, 11R29, 11R27.

Key words and phrases: circular units, class number, bicyclic function field.

Correspondence should be addressed to Hwanyup Jung, hjjung@chungbuk.ac.kr.

**This work was supported by the research grant of the Chungbuk National University in 2009.

Then $k(\sqrt{P})$ is the unique real quadratic subfield of K_P^+ , where K_P^+ is the maximal real subfield of the P -th cyclotomic function field K_P .

Now let $P_1, P_2 \in \mathbb{A}$ be two distinct monic primes of even degree. We let $K := k(\sqrt{P_1}, \sqrt{P_2})$ and $F := k(\sqrt{P_1 P_2})$. Fix a primitive root $Q_i \in \mathbb{M}_{P_i}$ of P_i for $i = 1, 2$. For $P_i \nmid A$, let $\delta_i(A)$ be the index of A relative to Q_i . We set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_i &:= \{A \in \mathbb{M}_{P_i} : 0 \leq \delta_i(A) < (q^{\deg P_i} - 1)/(q - 1)\}, \\ \mathcal{M}_i^+ &:= \{A \in \mathcal{M}_i : (A/P_i) = 1\}, \quad \mathcal{M}_i^- := \{A \in \mathcal{M}_i : (A/P_i) = -1\} \end{aligned}$$

for $i = 1, 2$. Then \mathbb{M}_{P_i} is the disjoint union of the $c\mathcal{M}_i$, where $c \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Let \mathcal{X} be the set of all $A \in \mathbb{M}_{P_1 P_2}$ such that $(A/P_2) = 1$ and $A \equiv \tilde{A} \pmod{P_1}$ for some $\tilde{A} \in \mathcal{M}_1^+$. We define

$$\beta := \prod_{A \in \mathcal{X}} \lambda_{P_1 P_2}^A.$$

Let \mathcal{O}_K and \mathcal{O}_F be the integral closure of \mathbb{A} in K and F , respectively. Denote by $h(\mathcal{O}_K)$ and $h(\mathcal{O}_F)$ the class numbers of \mathcal{O}_K and \mathcal{O}_F , respectively. We also let σ_i be the generator of $\text{Gal}(K/k(\sqrt{P_i}))$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then the main result of this paper is

THEOREM 1.1. *Let $P_1, P_2 \in \mathbb{A}$ be two distinct monic primes of even degree and $K = k(\sqrt{P_1}, \sqrt{P_2}), F = k(\sqrt{P_1 P_2})$. Let μ_F be a fundamental unit of F .*

- (I) *If $(P_2/P_1) = -1$, then $h(\mathcal{O}_K)$ is odd and $h(\mathcal{O}_F) \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ with $N_{F/k}(\mu_F) \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \setminus (\mathbb{F}_q^*)^2$.*
- (II) *When $(P_2/P_1) = 1$, fix $U, V \in \mathbb{A}$ satisfying $U^2 \equiv P_1 \pmod{P_2}$ and $V^2 \equiv P_2 \pmod{P_1}$.*
 - (i) *If $(U/P_2) \neq (V/P_1)$, then $h(\mathcal{O}_K)$ is odd and $h(\mathcal{O}_F) \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ with $N_{F/k}(\mu_F) \in (\mathbb{F}_q^*)^2$.*
 - (ii) *Assume that $(U/P_2) = (V/P_1) = -1$.*
 - *If $\beta^{1+\sigma_1} = \beta^{1+\sigma_2}$, then $h(\mathcal{O}_K)$ is even and $h(\mathcal{O}_F) \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$ with $N_{F/k}(\mu_F) \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \setminus (\mathbb{F}_q^*)^2$.*
 - *If $\beta^{1+\sigma_1} \neq \beta^{1+\sigma_2}$, then $h(\mathcal{O}_K)$ is odd and $h(\mathcal{O}_F) \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ with $N_{F/k}(\mu_F) \in (\mathbb{F}_q^*)^2$.*
 - (iii) *Assume that $(U/P_2) = (V/P_1) = 1$.*
 - *If $\beta^{1+\sigma_1} = \beta^{1+\sigma_2}$, then $h(\mathcal{O}_K)$ is even and $4|h(\mathcal{O}_F)$ (resp. $8|h(\mathcal{O}_F)$ whenever $N_{F/k}(\mu_F) \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \setminus (\mathbb{F}_q^*)^2$).*
 - *If $\beta^{1+\sigma_1} \neq \beta^{1+\sigma_2}$, then $h(\mathcal{O}_K)$ is odd and $h(\mathcal{O}_F) \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ with $N_{F/k}(\mu_F) \in (\mathbb{F}_q^*)^2$.*

2. Cyclotomic units

Fix two distinct primes $P_1, P_2 \in \mathbb{A}^+$ of even degree. We let $K := k(\sqrt{P_1}, \sqrt{P_2})$, $F := k(\sqrt{P_1 P_2})$ and $K_i := k(\sqrt{P_i})$ for $i = 1, 2$. We also let

$$\varepsilon := N_{K_{P_1 P_2}/K}(\lambda_{P_1 P_2}) \in \mathcal{O}_K^*, \quad \varepsilon_i := \frac{1}{\sqrt{P_i}} N_{K_{P_i}/K_i}(\lambda_{P_i}) \in \mathcal{O}_{K_i}^*.$$

For any $A \in \mathbb{A}$ with $\gcd(A, P_i) = 1$, let $\text{sgn}_{P_i}(A)$ be the leading coefficient of \bar{A} , where \bar{A} is the unique element of \mathbb{M}_{P_i} such that $A \equiv \bar{A} \pmod{P_i}$. Let \mathcal{R}_i be any complete set of representatives of $(\mathbb{A}/P_i\mathbb{A})^*/\mathbb{F}_q^*$. Define

$$\beta_i := \prod_{A \in \mathcal{R}_i} \left(\frac{\lambda_{P_i}^A}{\text{sgn}_{P_i}(A)\lambda_{P_i}} \right)^{(A/P_i)},$$

where (A/P_i) denotes the Legendre symbol. It is shown in [4, Lemma 16.14] that β_i is independent of the choice of \mathcal{R}_i and $\beta_i \in \mathcal{O}_{K_i}^*$. Moreover, we have

LEMMA 2.1. $\beta_i^{\frac{q-1}{2}} = \varepsilon_i$.

Proof. It follows from that

$$\beta_i = \prod_{\substack{A \in \mathbb{M}_{P_i}^+ \\ (A/P_i)=1}} \frac{\lambda_{P_i}^A}{\lambda_{P_i}} \prod_{\substack{A \in \mathbb{M}_{P_i}^+ \\ (A/P_i)=-1}} \frac{\lambda_{P_i}}{\lambda_{P_i}^A} = \frac{(\prod_{A \in \mathbb{M}_{P_i}^+, (A/P_i)=1} \lambda_{P_i}^A)^2}{\prod_{A \in \mathbb{M}_{P_i}^+} \lambda_{P_i}^A}.$$

by taking $\mathcal{R}_i = \mathbb{M}_{P_i}^+$. □

LEMMA 2.2. $\varepsilon = \beta^{q-1}$ with $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_K^*$.

Proof. At first, we note that $(c/P_1) = (c/P_2) = 1$ for any $c \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Let \mathcal{Y} be the set of all $A \in \mathbb{M}_{P_1 P_2}$ such that $(A/P_1) = (A/P_2) = 1$. Then \mathcal{Y} is the disjoint union of the $c\mathcal{X}$, where $c \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, and $|\mathcal{X}|$ is a multiple of $q-1$. Thus we have

$$\varepsilon = \prod_{A \in \mathcal{Y}} \lambda_{P_1 P_2}^A = \prod_{A \in \mathcal{X}} \prod_{c \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \lambda_{P_1 P_2}^{cA} = (-1)^{|\mathcal{X}|} \left(\prod_{A \in \mathcal{X}} \lambda_{P_1 P_2}^A \right)^{q-1} = \beta^{q-1}.$$

It remains to show that $\beta \in K^*$. For any $A \in \mathcal{Y}$, let $c(A)$ be the unique element of \mathbb{F}_q^* such that $c(A)A \in \mathcal{X}$. For any $A \in \mathbb{A}$ with $\gcd(A, P_1 P_2) = 1$, let \bar{A} be the unique element of $\mathbb{M}_{P_1 P_2}$ such that $A \equiv \bar{A} \pmod{P_1 P_2}$.

For any $B \in \mathbb{M}_{P_1 P_2}$, we have $\{c(\overline{AB})\overline{AB} : A \in \mathcal{X}\} = \mathcal{X}$. Thus, for any $B \in \mathcal{Y}$, we have

$$\beta^{\sigma_B} = \prod_{A \in \mathcal{X}} \lambda_{P_1 P_2}^{AB} = \prod_{A \in \mathcal{X}} c(\overline{AB})^{-1} \lambda_{P_1 P_2}^{c(\overline{AB})\overline{AB}} = \beta \prod_{A \in \mathcal{X}} c(\overline{AB})^{-1}.$$

For any $C \in \mathcal{M}_1^+$, we have $|\{A \in \mathcal{X} : A \equiv C \pmod{P_1}\}| = (q^{\deg P_2} - 1)/2$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{A \in \mathcal{X}} c(\overline{AB})^{-1} &= \prod_{C \in \mathcal{M}_1^+} \prod_{\substack{A \in \mathcal{X} \\ A \equiv C \pmod{P_1}}} c(\overline{AB})^{-1} \\ &= \prod_{C \in \mathcal{M}_1^+} (c(\overline{CB})^{-1})^{\frac{q^{\deg P_2} - 1}{2}} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\beta \in K^*$. \square

Let $c_i \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ be the unique element such that $Q_i^{\frac{q^{\deg P_i} - 1}{q-1}} \equiv c_i \pmod{P_i}$. We also let σ_i be the generator of $\text{Gal}(K/K_i)$.

LEMMA 2.3.

$$\beta^{1+\sigma_1} = \begin{cases} c_1^{\frac{\delta_1(P_2)}{2}} & \text{if } (P_2/P_1) = 1, \\ c_1^{\frac{\delta_1(P_2)+1}{2}} \left(\frac{\prod_{A \in \mathcal{M}_1^+} \text{sgn}_{P_1}(A)}{\prod_{A \in \mathcal{M}_1^-} \text{sgn}_{P_1}(A)} \right) \beta_1 & \text{if } (P_2/P_1) = -1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Choose $A' \in \mathbb{M}_{P_1 P_2}$ such that $A' \equiv 1 \pmod{P_1}$ and $(A'/P_2) = -1$. Then $\sigma_{A'}|_K = \sigma_1$, where $\sigma_{A'} \in \text{Gal}(K_{P_1 P_2}/k)$. Thus we have

$$\beta^{1+\sigma_1} = \prod_{B \in \mathcal{M}_1^+} (\lambda_{P_1}^B)^{1 - \text{Frob}^{-1}(P_2, K_{P_1})} = \frac{\prod_{B \in \mathcal{M}_1^+} \lambda_{P_1}^B}{\prod_{B \in \mathcal{M}_1^+} \lambda_{P_1}^{BD}},$$

where $D \in \mathbb{A}$ with $DP_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{P_1}$. We only prove the case that $(P_1/P_2) = -1$. In this case, we have $(D/P_1) = -1$, and so $\delta_1(D)$ is odd.

Write $\frac{\delta_1(D)-1}{2} = n_1 + \frac{(q^{d_1}-1)}{2(q-1)}n_2$ with $0 \leq n_1 < \frac{(q^{d_1}-1)}{2(q-1)}$ and $0 \leq n_2 < q-1$.

Then

$$\prod_{B \in \mathcal{M}_1^+} \lambda_{P_1}^{BD} = c_1^{\frac{\delta_1(D)-1}{2}} \prod_{0 \leq j < \frac{q^{d_1}-1}{2(q-1)}} \lambda_{P_1}^{Q_1^{2j+1}} = c_1^{\frac{\delta_1(D)-1}{2}} \prod_{B \in \mathcal{M}_1^-} \lambda_{P_1}^B.$$

Thus, by taking $\mathcal{R}_1 = \mathcal{M}_1$ in definition of β_1 , we have

$$\beta^{1+\sigma_1} = c_1^{\frac{\delta_1(P_2)+1}{2}} \left(\frac{\prod_{A \in \mathcal{M}_1^+} \text{sgn}_{P_1}(A)}{\prod_{A \in \mathcal{M}_1^-} \text{sgn}_{P_1}(A)} \right) \beta_1.$$

This completes the proof. \square

COROLLARY 2.4.

$$\beta^{1+\sigma_2} = \begin{cases} c_0^{-2} c_2^{\frac{\delta_2(P_1)}{2}} & \text{if } (P_2/P_1) = 1, \\ c_0^{-2} c_2^{\frac{\delta_2(P_1)+1}{2}} \left(\frac{\prod_{A \in \mathcal{M}_2^+} \text{sgn}_{P_2}(A)}{\prod_{A \in \mathcal{M}_2^-} \text{sgn}_{P_2}(A)} \right) \beta_2 & \text{if } (P_2/P_1) = -1. \end{cases}$$

LEMMA 2.5. For any $B \in \mathbb{A}$ with $P_i \nmid B$, we have

$$\prod_{A \in \mathcal{M}_i} \left(\frac{\text{sgn}_{P_i}(AB)}{\text{sgn}_{P_i}(A)\text{sgn}_{P_i}(B)} \right)^{(A/P_i)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (B/P_i) = 1, \\ \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \setminus (\mathbb{F}_q^*)^2 & \text{if } (B/P_i) = -1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We only prove the case that $(B/P_i) = -1$. We may assume that $B \in \mathcal{M}_i^-$, so that $B \equiv Q_i^{2n+1} \pmod{P_i}$ for some $0 \leq n < (q^{\deg P_i} - 1)/2(q - 1)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{A \in \mathcal{M}_i} \left(\frac{\text{sgn}_{P_i}(AB)}{\text{sgn}_{P_i}(A)\text{sgn}_{P_i}(B)} \right)^{(A/P_i)} \\ &= c_i^n \frac{\prod_{A \in \mathcal{M}_i^-} \text{sgn}_{P_i}(A)}{\prod_{A \in \mathcal{M}_i^+} \text{sgn}_{P_i}(A)} \cdot c_i^{-n-1} \frac{\prod_{A \in \mathcal{M}_i^-} \text{sgn}_{P_i}(A)}{\prod_{A \in \mathcal{M}_i^+} \text{sgn}_{P_i}(A)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{A \in \mathcal{M}_i} \left(\frac{\text{sgn}_{P_i}(AB)}{\text{sgn}_{P_i}(A)\text{sgn}_{P_i}(B)} \right)^{(A/P_i)} \\ &= c_i^{-1} \left(\frac{\prod_{A \in \mathcal{M}_i^-} \text{sgn}_{P_i}(A)}{\prod_{A \in \mathcal{M}_i^+} \text{sgn}_{P_i}(A)} \right)^2 \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \setminus (\mathbb{F}_q^*)^2. \end{aligned}$$

\square

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let \mathcal{C}'_K be the subgroup of \mathcal{O}_K^* generated by \mathbb{F}_q^* and $\{\beta, \beta_1, \beta_2\}$. Then, by Lemma 2.1 and 2.2, we have $[\mathcal{O}_K^* : \mathcal{C}'_K] = h(\mathcal{O}_K)$. Similarly the subgroup \mathcal{C}'_F of \mathcal{O}_F^* generated by \mathbb{F}_q^* and $N_{K/F}(\beta) = \beta^{1+\sigma_1\sigma_2}$ has index $[\mathcal{O}_F^* : \mathcal{C}'_F] = \frac{1}{2}h(\mathcal{O}_F)$ by Lemma 2.2. Thus $h(\mathcal{O}_F)$ is always even.

LEMMA 3.1. $(c\beta_1)^{1+\sigma_2}$ and $(c\beta_2)^{1+\sigma_1}$ are contained in $\mathbb{F}_q^* \setminus (\mathbb{F}_q^*)^2$ for any $c \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$.

Proof. We only prove that $(c\beta_1)^{1+\sigma_2} \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \setminus (\mathbb{F}_q^*)^2$ for any $c \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Choose $B \in \mathbb{M}_{P_1 P_2}$ such that $(B/P_1) = -1$ and $(B/P_2) = 1$. Then $\sigma_B|_K = \sigma_2$, where $\sigma_B \in \text{Gal}(K_{P_1 P_2}/k)$. By taking $\mathcal{R}_1 = \mathcal{M}_1$, we have

$$\beta_1^{\sigma_2} = \beta_1^{-1} \prod_{A \in \mathcal{M}_1} \left(\frac{\text{sgn}_{P_1}(AB)}{\text{sgn}_{P_1}(A)\text{sgn}_{P_1}(B)} \right)^{(A/P_1)}.$$

Thus, by Lemma 2.5, $\beta_1^{1+\sigma_2} \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \setminus (\mathbb{F}_q^*)^2$. Therefore $(c\beta_1)^{1+\sigma_2} \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \setminus (\mathbb{F}_q^*)^2$ for any $c \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. \square

COROLLARY 3.2. *None of $c\beta_1, c\beta_2$ and $c\beta_1\beta_2$ is a square in K for any $c \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, $c\beta_1$ and $c\beta_2$ are not square in K for any $c \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Since $(c\beta_1\beta_2)^{1+\sigma_1} = c^2\beta_1^2\beta_2^{1+\sigma_1}$ and $(c\beta_1\beta_2)^{1+\sigma_2} = c^2\beta_2^2\beta_1^{1+\sigma_2}$, $c\beta_1\beta_2$ is not a square in K . \square

LEMMA 3.3. *$h(\mathcal{O}_K)$ is even if and only if $\delta = c\beta\beta_1^x\beta_2^y$ is a square in K for some $x, y \in \{0, 1\}$ and $c \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$.*

Proof. It is an immediate consequence of Lemma 3.1, Corollary 3.2 and the fact that $h(\mathcal{O}_K) = [\mathcal{O}_K^* : \mathcal{C}'_K]$. \square

Set $G_K := \text{Gal}(K/k)$ for simplicity. A function $f : G_K \rightarrow K$ is called a *crossed homomorphism* if $f(\sigma\tau) = f(\sigma)f(\tau)^\sigma$ for any $\sigma, \tau \in G_K$. The following Lemma is taken from [3, Proposition 2].

LEMMA 3.4. *Let $\mu \in \mathcal{O}_K^*$ be such that there exists a function $f : G_K \rightarrow K$ satisfying $\mu^{1-\sigma} = f(\sigma)^2$ for any $\sigma \in G_K$. If there is a function $g : G_K \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ such that fg is a crossed homomorphism, then $c\mu$ is a square in K for some $c \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$.*

Now we give the proof of Theorem 1.1. At first, let us consider the case that $(P_1/P_2) = -1$. By Lemma 2.3 and Corollary 2.4, we have

$$\beta^{1+\sigma_1\sigma_2} = (\beta^{1+\sigma_1})^{\sigma_2} (\beta^{1+\sigma_2})^{-1} \beta^2 = c\beta_1^{\sigma_2} \beta_2^{-1} \beta^2$$

for some $c \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Thus $(\beta^{1+\sigma_1\sigma_2})^{1+\sigma_1} \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \setminus (\mathbb{F}_q^*)^2$, and so $c\beta^{1+\sigma_1\sigma_2}$ is not a square in F for any $c \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Hence $h(\mathcal{O}_F) \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and $N_{F/k}(\mu_F) \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \setminus (\mathbb{F}_q^*)^2$. Consider $\delta = c\beta\beta_1^x\beta_2^y$ with $c \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ and $x, y \in \{0, 1\}$. Then, by Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\delta^{1-\sigma_2} = \beta^{1-\sigma_2} \beta_1^{x(1-\sigma_2)} = \beta^2 (\beta^{1+\sigma_2})^{-1} \beta_1^{2x} (\beta_1^{1+\sigma_2})^{-x} = c\beta_1^{-1} (\beta\beta_1^x)^2$$

for some $c \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Thus, by Corollary 3.2, $\delta^{1-\sigma_2}$ is not a square in K and so is δ . Hence, by Lemma 3.3, $h(\mathcal{O}_K)$ is odd.

Now let us suppose that $(P_1/P_2) = 1$. Fix $U, V \in \mathbb{A}$ such that $U^2 \equiv P_1 \pmod{P_2}$ and $V^2 \equiv P_2 \pmod{P_1}$. Clearly $(V/P_1) = (-1)^{\frac{\delta_1(P_2)}{2}}$ and $(U/P_2) = (-1)^{\frac{\delta_2(P_1)}{2}}$. By Lemma 2.3 and Corollary 2.4, we have

$$(3.1) \quad \beta^{1+\sigma_1} = c_1^{\frac{\delta_1(P_2)}{2}} \in \begin{cases} (\mathbb{F}_q^*)^2 & \text{if } (V/P_1) = 1, \\ \mathbb{F}_q^* \setminus (\mathbb{F}_q^*)^2 & \text{if } (V/P_1) = -1, \end{cases}$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad \beta^{1+\sigma_2} = c_0^{-2} c_2^{\frac{\delta_2(P_1)}{2}} \in \begin{cases} (\mathbb{F}_q^*)^2 & \text{if } (U/P_2) = 1, \\ \mathbb{F}_q^* \setminus (\mathbb{F}_q^*)^2 & \text{if } (U/P_2) = -1. \end{cases}$$

Consider $\delta = c\beta\beta_1^x\beta_2^y$ with $c \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ and $x, y \in \{0, 1\}$. We have

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \delta^{1-\sigma_1} &= \beta^2(\beta^{1+\sigma_1})^{-1}(\beta_2^{1+\sigma_1})^{-y}\beta_2^{2y}, \\ \delta^{1-\sigma_2} &= \beta^2(\beta^{1+\sigma_2})^{-1}(\beta_1^{1+\sigma_2})^{-x}\beta_1^{2x}, \\ \delta^{1-\sigma_1\sigma_2} &= \beta^2(\beta^{1+\sigma_1\sigma_2})^{-1}(\beta_1^{1+\sigma_2})^{-x}\beta_1^{2x}(\beta_2^{1+\sigma_1})^{-y}\beta_2^{2y}. \end{aligned}$$

In the case that $(U/P_2) \neq (V/P_1)$, by (3.1) and (3.2), we have

$$(3.4) \quad \beta^{1+\sigma_1\sigma_2} = (\beta^{1+\sigma_1})^{\sigma_2}(\beta^{1+\sigma_2})^{-1}\beta^2 = c\beta^2$$

for some $c \in \mathbb{F}_q^*/(\mathbb{F}_q^*)^2$. Thus $\beta \notin F$ and $c\beta^{1+\sigma_1\sigma_2}$ cannot be a square in F for any $c \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Hence $h(\mathcal{O}_F) \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and $c\beta^{1+\sigma_1\sigma_2}$ is an odd power of μ_F for some $c \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. By (3.2) and (3.4), we have $N_{F/k}(c\beta^{1+\sigma_1\sigma_2}) = c^2(\beta^{1+\sigma_1\sigma_2})^{1+\sigma_1} \in (\mathbb{F}_q^*)^2$. Thus $N_{F/k}(\mu_F) \in (\mathbb{F}_q^*)^2$. If δ is a square in K , then $(-1)^y = (U/P_2)$ and $(-1)^x = (V/P_1)$. Define

$$f(\sigma_1) = \begin{cases} \beta(\beta^{1+\sigma_1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } (U/P_2) = 1, \\ \beta\beta_2(\beta^{1+\sigma_1}\beta_2^{1+\sigma_1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } (U/P_2) = -1, \end{cases}$$

and

$$f(\sigma_2) = \begin{cases} \beta\beta_1(\beta^{1+\sigma_2}\beta_1^{1+\sigma_2})^{-\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } (U/P_2) = 1, \\ \beta(\beta^{1+\sigma_2})^{-\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } (U/P_2) = -1, \end{cases}$$

where $(\beta^{1+\sigma_1})^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, $(\beta^{1+\sigma_1}\beta_2^{1+\sigma_1})^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, $(\beta^{1+\sigma_2}\beta_1^{1+\sigma_2})^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and $(\beta^{1+\sigma_2})^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ are uniquely determined up to $\{\pm 1\}$. Then $\delta^{1-\sigma_1} = f(\sigma_1)^2$ and $\delta^{1-\sigma_2} = f(\sigma_2)^2$. Moreover

$$f(\sigma_1)g(\sigma_1)(f(\sigma_2)g(\sigma_2))^{\sigma_1} \neq f(\sigma_2)g(\sigma_2)(f(\sigma_1)g(\sigma_1))^{\sigma_2}$$

for any $g(\sigma_1), g(\sigma_2) \in \{-1, 1\}$. Thus δ is not square in K . Hence $h(\mathcal{O}_K)$ is odd, by Lemma 3.3. This complete the proof of case (i). Similar arguments will give the proof for the rest cases (ii) and (iii). We leave it to the readers.

References

- [1] J. Ahn and H. Jung, *Kucera group of circular units in function fields*. Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **44** (2007), no. 2, 233-239.
- [2] R. Kucera, *On the parity of the class number of a biquadratic field*. J. Number Theory **52** (1995), no. 1, 43-52.
- [3] R. Kucera, *On the Stickelberger ideal and circular units of a compositum of quadratic fields*. J. Number Theory **56** (1996), no. 1, 139-166.
- [4] M. Rosen, *Number theory in function fields*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 210, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002.

*

Department of Mathematics
Chungnam National University
Daejeon 305-764, Republic of Korea
E-mail: `jhahn@cnu.ac.kr`

**

Department of Mathematics Education
Chungbuk National University
Cheongju 361-763, Republic of Korea
E-mail: `hyjung@chungbuk.ac.kr`