

## A DECOMPOSITION INTO ATOMS OF TENT SPACES ASSOCIATED WITH GENERAL APPROACH REGIONS

CHOON-SERK SUH\*

ABSTRACT. We first introduce a space of homogeneous type  $X$ , and develop the theory of the tent spaces on the generalized upper half-space  $X \times (0, \infty)$ . The goal of this paper is to study that every element of the tent spaces  $T_{\Omega}^p(X \times (0, \infty))$ ,  $0 < p \leq 1$ , can be decomposed into elementary particles which are called "atoms."

### 1. Introduction

The theory of the tent spaces on the upper half-space  $\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$  was introduced from the work of R. R. Coifman, Y. Meyer and E. M. Stein [1]. In this paper we study the theory of the tent spaces on the generalized upper half-space  $X \times (0, \infty)$ , where  $X$  is a space of homogeneous type.

We begin by introducing the notion of a space of homogeneous type [2]: Let  $X$  be a topological space endowed with Borel measure  $\mu$ . Assume that  $d$  is a pseudo-metric on  $X$ , that is, a nonnegative function defined on  $X \times X$  satisfying

- (i)  $d(x, x) = 0$ ;  $d(x, y) > 0$  if  $x \neq y$ ,
- (ii)  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ , and
- (iii)  $d(x, z) \leq K(d(x, y) + d(y, z))$ , where  $K$  is some fixed constant.

Assume further that

(a) the balls  $B(x, \rho) = \{y \in X : d(x, y) < \rho\}$ ,  $\rho > 0$ , form a basis of open neighborhoods at  $x \in X$ ,

and that  $\mu$  satisfies the doubling property:

(b)  $0 < \mu(B(x, 2\rho)) \leq A\mu(B(x, \rho)) < \infty$ , where  $A$  is some fixed constant.

Then we call  $X$  a *space of homogeneous type*.

---

Received March 22, 2010; Accepted August 12, 2010.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 42B25.

Key words and phrases: space of homogeneous type, tent space, atom, global  $\gamma$ -density.

Property (iii) will be referred to as the “triangle inequality.” Note that property (b) implies that for every  $C > 0$  there is a constant  $A_C < \infty$  such that

$$\mu(B(x, C\rho)) \leq A_C \mu(B(x, \rho))$$

for all  $x \in X$  and  $\rho > 0$ .

Note that the volume of balls will be proportional to a fixed power of the radius. Thus assume there are a  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  such that

$$C_1 \rho^\alpha \leq \mu(B(x, \rho)) \leq C_2 \rho^\alpha.$$

We will denote  $\mu(B(x, \rho)) \approx \rho^\alpha$  for the simplicity of the notation.

Now consider the space  $X \times (0, \infty)$ , which is a kind of generalized upper half-space over  $X$ . Suppose that there is a given set  $\Omega_x \subset X \times (0, \infty)$  for each  $x \in X$ . Let  $\Omega$  denote the family  $\{\Omega_x\}_{x \in X}$ . Thus at each  $x \in X$ ,  $\Omega$  determines a collection of balls, namely,  $\{B(y, t) : (y, t) \in \Omega_x\}$ .

For a measurable function  $f$  defined on  $X \times (0, \infty)$ , and real number  $\alpha$ , we define an area function  $S_{\Omega, \alpha}(f)$  of  $f$ , with respect to  $\Omega$ , as

$$(1) \quad S_{\Omega, \alpha}(f)(x) = \left( \int_{\Omega_x} |f(y, t)|^2 \frac{d\mu(y)dt}{t^{\alpha+1}} \right)^{1/2}$$

for  $x \in X$ . Throughout this paper we will always assume that  $\Omega$  is chosen so that  $S_{\Omega, \alpha}(f)$  is a measurable function on  $X$ , and that  $\Omega = \{\Omega_x\}_{x \in X}$  is a symmetric family, that is, if  $x \in \Omega_y(t)$ , then  $y \in \Omega_x(t)$ , where  $\Omega_x(t) = \{y \in X : (y, t) \in \Omega_x\}$ .

For any set  $E \subset X$ , the *tent* over  $E$ , with respect to  $\Omega$ , is the set

$$\hat{E}_\Omega = (X \times (0, \infty)) \setminus \bigcup_{x \notin E} \Omega_x.$$

The *tent space*  $T_\Omega^p$  is defined as the space of functions  $f$  on  $X \times (0, \infty)$ , so that  $S_{\Omega, \alpha}(f) \in L^p(d\mu)$ ,  $0 < p < \infty$ , and set

$$\|f\|_{T_\Omega^p} = \|S_{\Omega, \alpha}(f)\|_{L^p(d\mu)}.$$

For  $0 < p \leq 1$ , a function  $a$ , supported in  $\hat{B}_\Omega$  for some ball  $B$  in  $X$ , is said to be an  $(\Omega, p)$ -atom if

$$\int_{\hat{B}_\Omega} |a(x, t)|^2 \frac{d\mu(x)dt}{t} \leq [\mu(B)]^{1-2/p}.$$

We need the notion of points of density: Let  $F$  be a closed subset of  $X$  whose complement has finite measure. Let  $\gamma$  be a fixed parameter,

$0 < \gamma < 1$ . Then we say that a point  $x \in X$  has *global  $\gamma$ -density* with respect to  $F$  if

$$\frac{\mu(F \cap B(x, \rho))}{\mu(B(x, \rho))} \geq \gamma$$

for all balls  $B(x, \rho)$  in  $X$ . Observe that if  $F^*$  is the set of points of global  $\gamma$ -density with respect to  $F$ ; then  $F^*$  is closed,  $F^* \subset F$ , and

$$(2) \quad {}^cF^* = \{x \in X : M(\chi_{{}^cF})(x) > 1 - \gamma\},$$

where  $\chi_{{}^cF}$  is the characteristic function of the open set  ${}^cF$ , and  $M$  is the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator on  $X$ .

**2. Main result**

LEMMA 2.1. *The Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator  $M$  is of weak type  $(1, 1)$ . More precisely, if  $f \in L^1_{loc}(d\mu)$ , then there is a constant  $C$  so that*

$$\mu(\{x \in X : M(f)(x) > \lambda\}) \leq C\|f\|_1/\lambda$$

for all  $\lambda > 0$ .

LEMMA 2.2. *Assume  $F$  is a closed subset of  $X$ . Then there is a constant  $C$  such that*

$$\mu({}^cF^*) \leq C\mu({}^cF),$$

where  $F^*$  is the set of points of global  $\gamma$ -density with respect to  $F$ .

*Proof.* Since the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator  $M$  is of weak type  $(1, 1)$  by Lemma 1, there is a constant  $C_\gamma$  so that

$$(3) \quad \mu(\{x \in X : M(\chi_{{}^cF})(x) > 1 - \gamma\}) \leq C_\gamma\|\chi_{{}^cF}\|_1/1 - \gamma.$$

But the left side of (3) is equal to  $\mu({}^cF^*)$  by (2) and so the proof is complete. □

LEMMA 2.3. *There are constants  $C_\gamma$  and  $\gamma$ ,  $0 < \gamma < 1$ , sufficiently close to 1, so that whenever  $F$  is a closed subset of  $X$  whose complement has finite measure and  $\Phi$  is a nonnegative measurable function defined on  $X \times (0, \infty)$ , then*

$$\int_{\cup_{x \in F^*} \Omega_x} \Phi(y, t)t^\alpha d\mu(y)dt \leq C_\gamma \int_F \left( \int_{\Omega_x} \Phi(y, t)d\mu(y)dt \right) d\mu(x),$$

where  $\alpha$  is given as in (1), and  $F^*$  is the set of points of global  $\gamma$ -density with respect to  $F$ .

*Proof.* Observe that Fubini's theorem gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_F \left( \int_{\Omega_x} \Phi(y, t) d\mu(y) dt \right) d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_X \Phi(y, t) \left( \int_F \chi_{B(y,t)}(x) d\mu(x) \right) d\mu(y) dt, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\chi_{B(y,t)}$  is the characteristic function of the ball  $B(y, t)$ . Thus it will suffice to show that if

$$(y, t) \in \bigcup_{x \in F^*} \Omega_x,$$

then there is a constant  $C_\gamma$  so that

$$(4) \quad \int_F \chi_{B(y,t)}(x) d\mu(x) \geq C_\gamma t^\alpha.$$

Let

$$(y, t) \in \bigcup_{x \in F^*} \Omega_x.$$

Then there is a point  $x \in F^*$  so that  $d(x, y) < t$ . Now it is obvious by geometric observation that

$$(5) \quad \mu(B(x, t) \cap {}^c B(y, t)) \leq C\mu(B(x, t)),$$

where  $C < 1$ . However, it is true that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu(F \cap B(y, t)) + \mu(B(x, t) \cap {}^c B(y, t)) \\ (6) \quad & \geq \mu(F \cap B(x, t) \cap B(y, t)) + \mu(F \cap B(x, t) \cap {}^c B(y, t)) \\ & = \mu(F \cap B(x, t)). \end{aligned}$$

By the global  $\gamma$ -density property, we have

$$(7) \quad \mu(F \cap B(x, t)) \geq \gamma\mu(B(x, t)).$$

Thus (5), (6) and (7) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu(F \cap B(y, t)) \\ & \geq \mu(F \cap B(x, t)) - \mu(B(x, t) \cap {}^c B(y, t)) \\ & \geq (\gamma - C)\mu(B(x, t)) \\ & = C_\gamma\mu(B(x, t)), \end{aligned}$$

and so, if  $\gamma$  is chosen sufficiently close to 1, then we have

$$\int_F \chi_{B(y,t)}(x) d\mu(x) \geq C_\gamma t^\alpha,$$

since  $\mu(B(x, t)) \approx t^\alpha$ . Thus we get (4). The proof is therefore complete.  $\square$

The next lemma is of the type due to Whitney.

LEMMA 2.4. *Let  $O$  be an open subset of  $X$ . Then there are positive constants  $A, h_1 > 1, h_2 > 1$  and  $h_3 < 1$  which depend only on the space  $X$ , and a sequence  $\{B(x_i, \rho_i)\}$  of balls such that*

- (i)  $\cup_i B(x_i, \rho_i) = O$ ,
- (ii)  $B(x_i, h_2\rho_i) \subset O$  and  $B(x_i, h_1\rho_i) \cap (X \setminus O) \neq \emptyset$ ,
- (iii) the balls  $B(x_i, h_3\rho_i)$  are pairwise disjoint, and
- (iv) no point in  $O$  lies in more than  $A$  of the balls  $B(x_i, h_2\rho_i)$ .

As the main result of this paper, the following theorem means that every element of the tent spaces  $T_\Omega^p, 0 < p \leq 1$ , can be decomposed into elementary particles which are called “atoms.”

THEOREM 2.5. *Let a function  $f$  belong to the tent spaces  $T_\Omega^p, 0 < p \leq 1$ . Then*

$$|f(x, t)| \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_j a_j(x, t),$$

where the  $a_j$ 's are  $(\Omega, p)$ -atoms, and the  $\lambda_j$ 's are positive numbers. Moreover,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_j^p \leq C \|S_{\Omega, \alpha}(f)\|_{L^p(d\mu)}^p$$

for some constant  $C$ .

*Proof.* For each integer  $k$ , let  $O_k$  be the open set

$$O_k = {}^cF_k = \{x \in X : S_{\Omega, \alpha}(f)(x) > 2^k\}.$$

Let  $O_k^* = {}^cF_k^*$ . Then it follows from the notion of global  $\gamma$ -density (with  $\gamma$  sufficiently close to 1) that

$$O_k^* = \{x \in X : M(\chi_{O_k})(x) > 1 - \gamma\}.$$

Observe that for each integer  $k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} O_k &\supset O_{k+1}, \\ O_k^* &\supset O_k, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\hat{O}_k^* \supset \hat{O}_k.$$

Moreover,  $\cup_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{O}_k^*$  contains the support of  $f$  in  $X \times (0, \infty)$ . We distinguish two cases:

Case 1. For every integer  $k$ ,  $O_k^* \neq X$ . Let

$$O_k^* = \bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} B_{k,j}$$

be a Whitney decomposition of the open set  $O_k^*$ , where

$$B_{k,j} = B(x_{k,j}, \rho_{k,j}).$$

Let

$$\tilde{B}_{k,j} = B(x_{k,j}, Ch_1\rho_{k,j}),$$

where  $h_1$  is given in (ii) of Lemma 4, and  $C$  will be chosen sufficiently large in a moment. If  $(x, t) \in \hat{O}_k^*$ , then  $B(x, t) \subset O_k^*$ , and  $x \in B_{k,j}$  for some  $j$ . Let

$$y \in B(x_{k,j}, h_1\rho_{k,j}) \cap (X \setminus O_k^*).$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} t &\leq d(x, y) \\ (8) \quad &\leq K(d(x, x_{k,j}) + d(x_{k,j}, y)) \\ &\leq K(1 + h_1)\rho_{k,j}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $K$  is the constant in the triangle inequality. Hence if  $z \in B(x, t)$ , then it follows from (8) that

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{k,j}, z) &\leq K(d(x_{k,j}, x) + d(x, z)) \\ &\leq K(\rho_{k,j} + t) \\ &\leq K(\rho_{k,j} + K(1 + h_1)\rho_{k,j}) \\ &= K(1 + K(1 + h_1))\rho_{k,j}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus if we choose  $C$  so that

$$K(1 + K(1 + h_1)) < Ch_1,$$

then it follows that

$$B(x, t) \subset B(x_{k,j}, Ch_1\rho_{k,j}),$$

and hence

$$(x, t) \in \widehat{\chi_{\tilde{B}_{k,j}}}$$

Thus we have

$$\hat{O}_k^* \setminus O_{k+1}^* = \bigcup_j \Delta_{k,j},$$

where

$$\Delta_{k,j} = \widehat{\chi_{\tilde{B}_{k,j}}} \cap (\hat{O}_k^* \setminus O_{k+1}^*).$$

If we let  $\chi_{k,j}$  be the characteristic function of the set  $\Delta_{k,j}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} |f(y, t)| &\leq \sum_{k,j} |f(y, t)| \chi_{k,j}(y, t) \\ &\equiv \sum_{k,j} \lambda_{k,j} a_{k,j}(y, t), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_{k,j}(y, t) &= \mu(\tilde{B}_{k,j})^{1/2-1/p} |f(y, t)| \chi_{k,j}(y, t) \left( \int_{\Delta_{k,j}} |f(y, t)|^2 \frac{d\mu(y)dt}{t} \right)^{-1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\lambda_{k,j} = \mu(\tilde{B}_{k,j})^{-1/2+1/p} \left( \int_{\Delta_{k,j}} |f(y, t)|^2 \frac{d\mu(y)dt}{t} \right)^{1/2}.$$

Now  $a_{k,j}$  is an  $(\Omega, p)$ -atom associated to the ball  $\tilde{B}_{k,j}$  since  $|f(y, t)| \leq 2^{k+1}$  in  $(X \times (0, \infty)) \setminus O_{k+1}^*$ . Also, put

$$\begin{aligned} F &= {}^cO_{k+1}, \\ \bigcup_{x \in F^*} \Omega_x &= O_{k+1}^*, \\ F^* &= {}^cO_{k+1}^*, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\Phi(y, t) = |f(y, t)|^2 \frac{1}{t^{\alpha+1}} \widehat{\chi_{\tilde{B}_{k,j}}}(y, t),$$

and apply Lemma 3 to get that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Delta_{k,j}} |f(y, t)|^2 \frac{d\mu(y)dt}{t} \\ &\leq \int_{\widehat{\chi_{\tilde{B}_{k,j}}} \setminus O_{k+1}^*} |f(y, t)|^2 \frac{d\mu(y)dt}{t} \\ &\leq \int_{{}^cO_{k+1}^*} \widehat{\chi_{\tilde{B}_{k,j}}}(y, t) |f(y, t)|^2 \frac{d\mu(y)dt}{t} \\ &\leq C_\gamma \int_{{}^cO_{k+1}} \int_{\Omega_x} |f(y, t)|^2 \widehat{\chi_{\tilde{B}_{k,j}}}(y, t) \frac{d\mu(y)dt}{t^{\sigma+1}} d\mu(x) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq C_\gamma \int_{{}^cO_{k+1} \cap \tilde{B}_{k,j}} (S_{\Omega,\alpha}(f)(x))^2 d\mu(x) \\ &\leq C_\gamma (2^{k+1})^2 \mu(\tilde{B}_{k,j}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k,j} \lambda_{k,j}^p &= \sum_{k,j} \mu(\tilde{B}_{k,j})^{1-p/2} \left( \int_{\Delta_{k,j}} |f(y,t)|^2 \frac{d\mu(y)dt}{t} \right)^{p/2} \\ &\leq C \sum_{k,j} 2^{pk} \mu(\tilde{B}_{k,j})^{1-p/2} \mu(\tilde{B}_{k,j})^{p/2} \\ &\leq C \sum_{k,j} 2^{pk} \mu(B_{k,j}) \quad (\text{by the doubling property}) \\ &\leq C \sum_k 2^{pk} \mu(O_k^*) \quad (\text{by Lemma 4}) \\ &\leq C \sum_k 2^{pk} \mu(O_k) \quad (\text{by Lemma 2}) \\ &\leq C \|S_{\Omega,\alpha}(f)\|_{L^p(d\mu)}^p. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2.  $O_k^* = X$  for some integer  $k$ . Since  $\|S_{\Omega,\alpha}(f)\|_{L^p(d\mu)} < \infty$ , there is an integer  $n$  so that  $O_k^* = X$  for  $k \leq n$ , and  $O_k^* \neq X$  for  $k > n$ . For  $k = n$ , let

$$\Delta_n = (X \times (0, \infty)) \setminus O_{n+1}^*,$$

$$\lambda_n = \mu(X)^{-1/2+1/p} \left( \int_{\Delta_n} |f(y,t)|^2 \frac{d\mu(y)dt}{t} \right)^{1/2},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &a_n(y,t) \\ &= \mu(X)^{-1/p+1/2} |f(y,t)| \chi_{\Delta_n}(y,t) \left( \int_{\Delta_n} |f(y,t)|^2 \frac{d\mu(y)dt}{t} \right)^{-1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $a_n$  is an  $(\Omega, p)$ -atom since  $|f(y,t)| \leq 2^{n+1}$  in  $(X \times (0, \infty)) \setminus O_{n+1}^*$ . For  $k > n$ , define  $\chi_{k,j}$ ,  $\lambda_{k,j}$ , and  $a_{k,j}$  as before. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(y,t)| &\leq |f(y,t)| \chi_{\Delta_n}(y,t) + \sum_{k>n,j} |f(y,t)| \chi_{k,j}(y,t) \\ &= \lambda_n a_n(y,t) + \sum_{k>n,j} \lambda_{k,j} a_{k,j}(y,t). \end{aligned}$$



Finally we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lambda_n^p &= \mu(X)^{-p/2+1} \left( \int_{\Delta_n} |f(y, t)|^2 \frac{d\mu(y)dt}{t} \right)^{p/2} \\
 &\leq C\mu(X)^{-p/2+1} \left( \int_{cO_{n+1}} \int_{\Omega_x} |f(y, t)|^2 \frac{d\mu(y)dt}{t^{\alpha+1}} d\mu(x) \right)^{p/2} \\
 &\leq C\mu(X)^{-p/2+1} \left( \int_{cO_{n+1}} (S_{\Omega, \alpha}(f)(x))^2 d\mu(x) \right)^{p/2} \\
 &\leq C\mu(X) \\
 &\leq C\mu(O_n) \quad (\text{by Lemma 2}) \\
 &\leq C\|S_{\Omega, \alpha}(f)\|_{L^p(d\mu)}^p \quad (\text{by the Chebycheff's inequality}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for  $k > n$ , we have as before

$$\sum_{k,j} \lambda_{k,j}^p \leq C\|S_{\Omega, \alpha}(f)\|_{L^p(d\mu)}^p,$$

and the proof is complete.  $\square$

### References

- [1] R. R. Coifman, Y. Meyer and E. M. Stein, *Some new function spaces and their applications to harmonic analysis*, J. Func. Anal. **62** (1985), 304–355.
- [2] R. R. Coifman and G. Weiss, *Analyse Harmonique Non-commutative sur Certains Espaces Homogènes*, Lecture Notes in Math. **242** (1971).
- [3] R. R. Coifman and G. Weiss, *Extensions of Hardy spaces and their use in analysis*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **83** (1977), 569–645.

\*

School of Information and Communications Engineering  
 Dongyang University  
 Yeongju 750-711, Republic of Korea  
*E-mail:* cssuh@dyu.ac.kr