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#### Abstract

This paper is concerned with algorithm for calculating one-sided best simultaneous approximation, in the case of continuous functions. we will apply any subgradient algorithm. In other words, we consider the algotithms from a mathematical, rather then computational, point of view.


## 1. Introduction

We assume that $W$ is a normed linear space and $K$ is a nonempty subset of $W$. For any subset $F$ of $W$, we define

$$
d(F, K):=\inf _{k \in K} \sup _{f \in F}\|f-k\|
$$

and the elements in $K$ which attain the above infimum are called a best simultaneous approximation for $F$ from $K$.

Lemma 1.1. [6] Suppose that $K$ is a nonempty closed convex subset of a finite-dimensional subspace of a normed linear space $W$. For any compact subset $F \subset W$, there exists a best simultaneous approximation for $F$ from $K$.

Throughout this article, we denote that $X$ is a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ satisfying $X=\overline{\operatorname{int} X}, S$ is an n-dimensional subspace of $C(X)$ with the $L_{1}(X, \mu)$-norm where $\mu$ is an admissible measure on $X$.

[^0]Suppose that we are given $l$-tuple $F=\left\{f_{1}, \cdots, f_{\ell}\right\}$ in $C(X)$ with

$$
S(F)=\bigcap_{i=1}^{\ell}\left\{s \in S \mid s \leq f_{i}\right\}
$$

is non-empty. We define

$$
d(F, S(F)):=\inf _{s \in S(F)} \sup _{f \in F}\|f-s\|_{1}
$$

and the elements in $S(F)$ which attain the above infimum are called a one-sided best simultaneous approximation for $F$ from $S(F)$. The case by $\ell=1$, we called a one-sided best approximation for $f$ from $S(f)$ [5].

Finding a one-sided best simultaneous approximation for $F$ from $S(F)$ is equivalent to finding a $s \in S(F)$ satisfying

$$
\sup \left\{\int_{X} s d \mu \mid s \in S(F)\right\}
$$

Since $S(F)$ is closed and convex, we have that $S(F) \neq \phi$ for all $l$-tuple $F=\left\{f_{1}, \cdots, f_{\ell}\right\}$ in $C(X)$ if and only if $S$ contains a strictly positive function. By lemma 1.0.1., if $S(F)$ is nonempty, then there exists a onesided best simultaneous approximation for $F$ from $S(F)$. We choose and fix a basis $s_{1}, \cdots, s_{n}$ for $S$ where $s_{1}$ is strictly positive and

$$
\int_{X} s_{1} d \mu=1
$$

while

$$
\int_{X} s_{i} d \mu=0, \quad i=2, \cdots, n
$$

Thus our problem can be reformulated as

$$
\max \left\{a_{1} \mid \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} s_{i} \leq f_{j}, j=1, \cdots, \ell\right\}
$$

For each $\hat{a}=\left(a_{2}, \cdots, a_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, define

$$
h_{j}(\hat{a})=\min \left\{\frac{f_{j}(x)-\sum_{i=2}^{n} a_{i} s_{i}(x)}{s_{1}(x)}: x \in X\right\}
$$

and $H(\hat{a})=\min _{1 \leq j \leq \ell} h_{j}(\hat{a})$.
REmark 1.2. Since $s_{1}$ is strictly positive,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\max \left\{a_{1} \mid \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} s_{i} \leq f_{j}, j=1, \cdots, \ell\right\} & =\max _{\hat{a} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \min _{1 \leq j \leq \ell} h_{j}(\hat{a}) \\
& =\max _{\hat{a} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}} H(\hat{a})
\end{aligned}
$$

## 2. A subgadient to $H$ at $\hat{a}$

In this section, we shall consider vector in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ as being indexed 2 to $n$. This algorithm is based on ideas from gradients and subgradients.

Corollary 2.1. The function $H$ is a continuous concave function on $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$.

Proof. For each $h_{j}(1 \leq j \leq \ell)$, the continuity and concavity may be proved as follows. The continuity is obvious. Let $\hat{a}, \hat{b} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. By definition

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{j} & \geq h_{j}(\hat{a}) s_{1}+\sum_{i=2}^{n} a_{i} s_{i} \\
f_{j} & \geq h_{j}(\hat{b}) s_{1}+\sum_{i=2}^{n} b_{i} s_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

on all of $X$. Thus, for any $\lambda \in[0,1]$,

$$
f_{j} \geq\left(\lambda h_{j}(\hat{a})+(1-\lambda) h_{j}(\hat{b})\right) s_{1}+\sum_{i=2}^{n}\left(\lambda a_{i}+(1-\lambda) b_{i}\right) s_{i}
$$

on $X$. Which implies that

$$
h_{j}(\lambda \hat{a}+(1-\lambda) \hat{b}) \geq \lambda h_{j}(\hat{a})+(1-\lambda) h_{j}(\hat{b})
$$

Thus $h_{j}$ is concave. By definition, $H(\hat{a})=\min _{1 \leq j \leq \ell} h_{j}(\hat{a})$, the function $H$ is a continuous concave function on a one-sided best simultaneous approximation for $F$ from $S(F)$.

We also have by definition that $H$ is finite on $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. We claim that

$$
\lim _{\|\hat{a}\| \rightarrow \infty} H(\hat{a})=-\infty
$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ is any norm on $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. To see this, set $T=\operatorname{span}\left\{s_{2}, \cdots, s_{n}\right\}$. Since $T$ is a finite dimensional subspace of $C(X)$, and $\int_{X} t d \mu=0$ for all $t \in T$, we necessarily have

$$
\lim _{\|\hat{a}\| \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{\sum_{i=2}^{n} a_{i} s_{i}(x): x \in X\right\}=\infty
$$

Thus

$$
\lim _{\|\hat{a}\| \rightarrow \infty} \min \left\{\frac{f_{j}(x)-\sum_{i=2}^{n} a_{i} s_{i}(x)}{s_{1}(x)}: x \in X\right\}=-\infty
$$

i.e., $\lim _{\|\hat{a}\| \rightarrow \infty} h_{j}(\hat{a})=-\infty$, so $\lim _{\|\hat{a}\| \rightarrow \infty} H(\hat{a})=-\infty$.

Maximizing $H$ over $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ is therefore a problem of maximizing a concave function.

Definition 2.2. Let $H$ be as above and $\hat{a} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. A vector $g \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ is said to be a subgradient to $H$ at $\hat{a}$ if

$$
H(\hat{b})-H(\hat{a}) \leq(g, \hat{b}-\hat{a})
$$

for all $\hat{b} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. We let $G(\hat{a})$ denote the set of subgradients to $H$ at $\hat{a}$.
Definition 2.3. Let $H$ be as above and if $G(\hat{a})$ is a singleton, then this singleton is called the gradient to $H$ at $\hat{a}$.

By definition, a gradient to $H$ exists at $\hat{a}$ if and only if there is a unique supporting hyperplane to $H$ at $\hat{a}$. Thus we can take a remark that $a^{*}$ is a maximum point of $H$ if and only if $0 \in G\left(a^{*}\right)$.

Since $G(\hat{a})$ is a compact convex set, it is uniquely determined by its extreme points. These extreme points are related to one-sided directional derivatives as follows.

Proposition 2.4. [4] Let $H$ be as above and $\hat{a} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. For each $d \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{H(\hat{a}+t d)-H(\hat{a})}{t}=H_{d}^{\prime}(\hat{a})
$$

exists. Furthermore,

$$
H_{d}^{\prime}(\hat{a})=\min \{(g, d): g \in G(\hat{a})\}
$$

As a consequence of proposition, the above definition of a gradient implies the existence of the partial derivatives to $H$ at $\hat{a}$.

For any $\hat{a} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, set

$$
Z(\hat{a})=\left\{x:\left(f_{j_{0}}-H(\hat{a}) s_{1}-\sum_{i=2}^{n} a_{i} s_{i}\right)(x)=0 \text { where } h_{j_{0}}(\hat{a})=H(\hat{a})\right\}
$$

By definition $Z(\hat{a}) \neq \phi$ for each $\hat{a} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. For each $x \in Z(\hat{a})$, set

$$
g^{x}=\left(-s_{2}(x) / s_{1}(x), \cdots,-s_{n}(x) / s_{1}(x)\right)
$$

Let $\tilde{G}(\hat{a})$ denote the convex hull of the set of vectors $\left\{g^{x}: x \in Z(\hat{a})\right\}$. Then the set $\tilde{G}(\hat{a})$ is closed since $Z(\hat{a})$ are closed.

Theorem 2.5. The set $\tilde{G}(\hat{a})$ is the set of subgradients to $H$ at $\hat{a}$.
Proof. For each $x \in Z(\hat{a})$, there exists $j \in\{1, \cdots, \ell\}$ such that

$$
f_{j}(x)=H(\hat{a}) s_{1}(x)+\sum_{i=2}^{n} a_{i} s_{i}(x)
$$

$$
=h_{j}(\hat{a}) s_{1}(x)+\sum_{i=2}^{n} a_{i} s_{i}(x)
$$

By definition, that is $f_{j} \geq h_{j}(\hat{b}) s_{1}+\sum_{i=2}^{n} b_{i} s_{i}$, for each $\hat{b} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{j}(x) & \geq h_{j}(\hat{b}) s_{1}(x)+\sum_{i=2}^{n} b_{i} s_{i}(x) \\
& \geq H(\hat{b}) s_{1}(x)+\sum_{i=2}^{n} b_{i} s_{i}(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $s_{1}(x) \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(\hat{b})-H(\hat{a}) & \leq \sum_{i=2}^{n} a_{i} \frac{s_{i}(x)}{s_{1}(x)}-\sum_{i=2}^{n} b_{i} \frac{s_{i}(x)}{s_{1}(x)} \\
& =\sum_{i=2}^{n}\left(a_{i}-b_{i}\right) \frac{s_{i}(x)}{s_{1}(x)} \\
& =\left(g^{x}, \hat{b}-\hat{a}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

So $g^{x}$ is a subgradient to $H$ at $\hat{a}$, that is, $\tilde{G}(\hat{a}) \subset G(\hat{a})$.
It remains to prove that all subgradients to $H$ at $\hat{a}$ are in $\tilde{G}(\hat{a})$. Suppose that $\tilde{G}(\hat{a}) \neq G(\hat{a})$. Then $\tilde{G}(\hat{a}) \subset G(\hat{a})$, and $\tilde{G}(\hat{a}), G(\hat{a})$ are both convex and compact, there exists a $g^{*} \in G(\hat{a})$ and $d \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ for which

$$
(g, d)>\left(g^{*}, d\right)
$$

for all $g \in \tilde{G}(\hat{a})$. Thus

$$
\min \{(g, d): g \in \tilde{G}(\hat{a})\}>\min \{(g, d): g \in G(\hat{a})\}=H_{d}^{\prime}(\hat{a})
$$

If the strictly inequality will be equality, we have proved our result.
It suffices to prove that, for each $d \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, there exists a $g \in \tilde{G}(\hat{a})$ for which

$$
H_{d}^{\prime}(\hat{a})=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{H(\hat{a}+t d)-H(\hat{a})}{t}=(g, d)
$$

We therefore calculate $H_{d}^{\prime}(\hat{a})$. Set

$$
g(x)=\frac{f_{j_{0}}(x)-\sum_{i=2}^{n} a_{i} s_{i}(x)}{s_{1}(x)}-H(\hat{a})
$$

and $v_{i}(x)=\frac{s_{i}(x)}{s_{1}(x)}, i=2, \cdots, n$, where $h_{j_{0}}(\hat{a})=H(\hat{a})$. Note that $\min \{g(x): x \in X\}=0$.

Now, for each $d \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{H(\hat{a}+t d)-H(\hat{a})}{t}=\frac{1}{t}\left[h_{j_{0}}(\hat{a}+t d)-h_{j_{0}}(\hat{a})\right] \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{t}\left[\min _{x \in X} \frac{f_{j_{0}}(x)-\sum_{i=2}^{n}\left(a_{i}+t d_{i}\right) s_{i}(x)}{s_{1}(x)}-\min _{x \in X} \frac{f_{j_{0}}(x)-\sum_{i=2}^{n} a_{i} s_{i}(x)}{s_{1}(x)}\right] \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{t}\left[\min _{x \in X} \frac{f_{j_{0}}(x)-\sum_{i=2}^{n} a_{i} s_{i}(x)-t \sum_{i=2}^{n} d_{i} s_{i}(x)}{s_{1}(x)}-H(\hat{a})\right] \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{t} \min _{x \in X}\left[\frac{f_{j_{0}}(x)-\sum_{i=2}^{n} a_{i} s_{i}(x)}{s_{1}(x)}-t \sum_{i=2}^{n} d_{i} v_{i}(x)-H(\hat{a})\right] \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{t} \min _{x \in X}\left\{g(x)-t \sum_{i=2}^{n} d_{i} v_{i}(x)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

For each $x \in Z(\hat{a})$,

$$
\frac{1}{t}\left\{g(x)-t \sum_{i=2}^{n} d_{i} v_{i}(x)\right\}=-\sum_{i=2}^{n} d_{i} v_{i}(x)
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{d}^{\prime}(\hat{a})=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{H(\hat{a}+t d)-H(\hat{a})}{t} & =\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{1}{t} \min _{x \in X}\left\{g(x)-t \sum_{i=2}^{n} d_{i} v_{i}(x)\right\} \\
& \leq \min _{x \in Z(\hat{a})}-\sum_{i=2}^{n} d_{i} v_{i}(x) \\
& =\min _{x \in Z(\hat{a})}\left(g^{x}, d\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

If equality holds, that is, for each $d \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, there exists a $g \in \tilde{G}(\hat{a})$ for which

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{d}^{\prime}(\hat{a}) & =\min \left\{\left(g^{x}, d\right): g^{x} \in G(\hat{a})\right\} \\
& =\min \left\{\left(g^{x}, d\right): g^{x} \in \tilde{G}(\hat{a})\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

then by proposition 2.0.6., the proof is complete, that is, $\tilde{G}(\hat{a})=G(\hat{a})$. We assume that equality does not hold. Set

$$
c^{*}=\min _{x \in Z(\hat{a})}-\sum_{i=2}^{n} d_{i} v_{i}(x)=-\max _{x \in Z(\hat{a})} \sum_{i=2}^{n} d_{i} v_{i}(x)
$$

Assume that, there exists a $\delta>0$ such that

$$
H_{d}^{\prime}(a) \leq c^{*}-\delta
$$

Let $t_{k} \rightarrow 0$ and $x_{k} \in X$ satisfy

$$
H_{d}^{\prime}(\hat{a})=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t_{k}}\left\{g\left(x_{k}\right)-t_{k} \sum_{i=2}^{n} d_{i} v_{i}\left(x_{k}\right)\right\} \leq c^{*}-\delta
$$

Because the limit exists, it follows that

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} g\left(x_{k}\right)=0
$$

Since $X$ is compact, there exists a subsequence of the $\left\{x_{k}\right\}$, again denoted by $\left\{x_{k}\right\}$, converging to some $x^{*}$. Since $g$ is continuous and therefore $g\left(x^{*}\right)=0$, i.e., $x^{*} \in Z(\hat{a})$.

The function $\sum_{i=2}^{n} d_{i} v_{i}$ is continuous and there exists a $K_{1}$ such that for all $k \geq K_{1}$

$$
\left|\sum_{i=2}^{n} d_{i} v_{i}\left(x_{k}\right)-\sum_{i=2}^{n} d_{i} v_{i}\left(x^{*}\right)\right|<\frac{\delta}{2}
$$

Thus for $k \geq K_{1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
c^{*}+\sum_{i=2}^{n} d_{i} v_{i}\left(x_{k}\right) & =-\max _{x \in Z(\hat{a})} \sum_{i=2}^{n} d_{i} v_{i}(x)+\sum_{i=2}^{n} d_{i} v_{i}\left(x_{k}\right) \\
& \leq-\sum_{i=2}^{n} d_{i} v_{i}\left(x^{*}\right)+\sum_{i=2}^{n} d_{i} v_{i}\left(x_{k}\right)<\frac{\delta}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

There exists a $K_{2}$ such that for all $k \geq K_{2}$

$$
g\left(x_{k}\right) \leq t_{k}\left\{c^{*}-\frac{\delta}{2}+\sum_{i=2}^{n} d_{i} v_{i}\left(x_{k}\right)\right\}
$$

Thus, for all $k \geq \max \left\{K_{1}, K_{2}\right\}$,

$$
g\left(x_{k}\right) \leq t_{k}\left\{c^{*}-\frac{\delta}{2}+\sum_{i=2}^{n} d_{i} v_{i}\left(x_{k}\right)\right\}<0
$$

Hence $g(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in X$. This contradiction proves the theorem.
As we have shown, the subgradients to $H$ at $\hat{a}$ are easily determined theoretically. We can apply any subgradient algorithm. Suffice it to say that convergence is generally very slow, at least in theory. This paper is concerned with algorithms for calculating best one-sided simultaneous approximations. And this algorithms will expand the algorithms for calculating best two-sided simultaneous approximations.
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