

MIXED CHORD-INTEGRALS OF STAR BODIES

LU FENGHONG

ABSTRACT. The mixed chord-integrals are defined. The Fenchel-Aleksandrov inequality and a general isoperimetric inequality for the mixed chord-integrals are established. Furthermore, the dual general Bieberbach inequality is presented. As an application of the dual form, a Brunn-Minkowski type inequality for mixed intersection bodies is given.

1. Introduction and main results

In [6, 9] Lutwak posed the notion of the mixed width-integrals of convex bodies (compact, convex subsets with non-empty interiors) and obtained a great many properties in common with the dual quermassintegrals (see [7]). It exists closely relations (see [5, 16]) between the mixed width-integrals and mixed projection bodies (see [10, 12]). In [8] Lutwak established a general Bieberbach inequality. Motivated by the ideas of Lutwak, we shall introduce the definitions of the mixed chord-integrals of star bodies and establish a dual general Bieberbach inequality.

The setting for this paper is n -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n . Let \mathcal{K}^n denote the set of convex bodies (compact, convex subsets with non-empty interiors) and \mathcal{K}_o^n denote the subset of \mathcal{K}^n that contains the origin in their interiors in \mathbb{R}^n . Let S^{n-1} denote the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^n . The volume of the unit n -ball, U , will be denoted by ω_n . If $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$, then the support function of K , $h_K = h(K, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, is defined by

$$(1.1) \quad h(K, u) = \max\{u \cdot x : x \in K\}, \quad u \in S^{n-1}$$

where $u \cdot x$ denotes the standard inner product of u and x . For $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$ and $u \in S^{n-1}$, $b(K, u)$ is half the width of K in the direction u .

Received May 14, 2008; Revised December 15, 2008.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 52A40.

Key words and phrases. mixed intersection bodies, mixed chord-integrals, Fenchel-Aleksandrov inequality, Bieberbach inequality.

Supported by the Sciences Foundation of Shanghai (No.071605123) and by Innovation Program of Shanghai Municipal Education Commission (No.10YZ160).

Mixed width-integrals, $A(K_1, \dots, K_n)$, of $K_1, \dots, K_n \in \mathcal{K}^n$ was defined by Lutwak (see [9])

$$(1.2) \quad A(K_1, \dots, K_n) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} b(K_1, u) \cdots b(K_n, u) dS(u),$$

where dS is the $(n-1)$ -dimensional volume element on S^{n-1} . More in general, for a real number $p \neq 0$, the mixed width-integrals of order p , $A_p(K_1, \dots, K_n)$, of $K_1, \dots, K_n \in \mathcal{K}^n$ was also defined by Lutwak (see [9])

$$(1.3) \quad A_p(K_1, \dots, K_n) = \omega_n \left[\frac{1}{n\omega_n} \int_{S^{n-1}} b(K_1, u)^p \cdots b(K_n, u)^p dS(u) \right]^{1/p},$$

Furthermore, Lutwak in [9] showed an isoperimetric inequality involving the mixed width-integrals which generalized an inequality obtained by Chakerian (see [1, 2]).

Theorem 1*. *If $K_1, \dots, K_n \in \mathcal{K}^n$, then*

$$(1.4) \quad V(K_1) \cdots V(K_n) \leq A^n(K_1, \dots, K_n),$$

with equality if and only if K_1, K_2, \dots, K_n are n -balls.

A more general version of inequality (1.4) is obtained by introducing indexed mixed width-integrals.

Theorem 2*. *If $K_1, \dots, K_n \in \mathcal{K}^n$, $p \neq 0$ and $-1 \leq p \leq \infty$, then*

$$(1.5) \quad V(K_1) \cdots V(K_n) \leq A_p^n(K_1, \dots, K_n),$$

with equality if and only if K_1, K_2, \dots, K_n are n -balls.

Let \mathcal{S}_o^n denote the set of star bodies in \mathbb{R}^n containing the origin in their interiors. In this paper, we give the definitions of the mixed chord-integrals, $B(L_1, \dots, L_n)$ and for a real number $p \neq 0$, the mixed chord-integrals of order p , $B_p(L_1, \dots, L_n)$, of $L_1, \dots, L_n \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$ and the p -chord, $\tilde{d}_p(L)$, of $L \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$, respectively. We mainly obtain the following results.

The analog of Theorem 1* (i.e., the general isoperimetric inequality involving the mixed width-integrals) for the mixed chord-integrals is obtained:

Theorem 1. *If $L_1, \dots, L_n \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$, then*

$$(1.6) \quad B^n(L_1, \dots, L_n) \leq V(L_1) \cdots V(L_n),$$

with equality if and only if L_1, \dots, L_n are dilates and centered.

A more general version of inequality (1.6) is obtained:

Theorem 2. *If $L_1, \dots, L_n \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$ and $-\infty \leq p \leq 1$, then*

$$(1.7) \quad B_p^n(L_1, \dots, L_n) \leq V(L_1) \cdots V(L_n),$$

with equality if and only if L_1, \dots, L_n are n -balls.

Theorem 3. *If $L_1, \dots, L_n \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$ and $1 < m \leq n$, then*

$$(1.8) \quad B^m(L_1, \dots, L_n) \leq \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} B(L_1, \dots, L_{n-m}, L_{n-i}, \dots, L_{n-i}),$$

with equality if and only if $L_{n-m+1}, L_{n-m+2}, \dots, L_n$ are all of similar chord.

A more general version of inequality (1.8) is obtained:

Theorem 4. *If $L_1, \dots, L_n \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$ and $1 < m \leq n$, then for $p > 0$*

$$(1.9) \quad B_p^m(L_1, \dots, L_n) \leq \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} B_p(L_1, \dots, L_{n-m}, L_{n-i}, \dots, L_{n-i}),$$

with equality if and only if $L_{n-m+1}, L_{n-m+2}, \dots, L_n$ are all of similar chord. For $p < 0$, inequality (1.9) is reversed.

Theorem 3 and Theorem 4 are just analogs of the Fenchel-Aleksandrov inequality for the dual mixed volumes (see [7]).

Moreover, we obtain the dual general Bieberbach inequality as follows.

Theorem 5. *If $L \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$ and $-\infty \leq p < n$, then*

$$(1.10) \quad V(L) \geq \omega_n \tilde{d}_p(L)^n,$$

with equality if and only if L is an n -ball.

Theorem 5 is just a dual form of the following general Bieberbach inequality which was shown by Lutwak [8].

Theorem 5*. *If $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$ and $-n < p \leq \infty$, then*

$$(1.11) \quad V(K) \leq \omega_n \bar{b}_p(K)^n,$$

with equality if and only if K is an n -ball.

As an application of Theorem 5, we establish a Brunn-Minkowski type inequality for mixed intersection bodies which defined in [11, 15].

Theorem 6. *If $L_1, L_2 \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$ and $i \leq n - 1$, then*

$$\tilde{W}_i(I(L_1 \check{+} L_2))^{1/(n-i)} \leq \tilde{W}_i(IL_1)^{1/(n-i)} + \tilde{W}_i(IL_2)^{1/(n-i)},$$

with equality if and only if L_1 and L_2 are dilates. If $n - 1 < i < n$ or $i > n$, then this inequality is reversed.

In particular, for $i = 0$, we obtain:

Corollary 1. *If $L_1, L_2 \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$, then*

$$V(I(L_1 \check{+} L_2))^{1/n} \leq V(IL_1)^{1/n} + V(IL_2)^{1/n},$$

with equality if and only if L_1 and L_2 are dilates.

2. Mixed chord-integrals

For a compact subset L of \mathbb{R}^n , which is star-shaped with respect to the origin, we shall use $\rho(L, \cdot)$ to denote its radial function; i.e., for $u \in S^{n-1}$,

$$(2.1) \quad \rho(L, u) = \max\{\lambda > 0 : \lambda u \in L\}.$$

If $\rho(L, \cdot)$ is continuous and positive, L will be called a star body. Two star bodies K and L are said to be dilates if $\rho_K(u)/\rho_L(u)$ is independent of $u \in S^{n-1}$.

If $K \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$, the polar body of K , K^* , is defined by

$$(2.2) \quad K^* = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x \cdot y \leq 1, y \in K\}.$$

Obviously, we have $(K^*)^* = K$. From the definition (2.2), we also know that: If $K \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$, then the support and radial function of K^* , the polar body of K , are respectively defined by

$$(2.3) \quad h_{K^*} = \frac{1}{\rho_K} \text{ and } \rho_{K^*} = \frac{1}{h_K}.$$

The polar coordinate formula for volume of body L in \mathbb{R}^n is

$$(2.4) \quad V(L) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho(L, u)^n dS(u).$$

If $L \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$ and $u \in S^{n-1}$, we let

$$(2.5) \quad d(L, u) = \frac{1}{2} \rho(L, u) + \frac{1}{2} \rho(L, -u),$$

i.e., $d(L, u)$ denotes half the chord of L in the direction u . Star bodies L_1, \dots, L_n are said to have similar chord if there exist constants $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n > 0$ such that $\lambda_1 d(L_1, u) = \dots = \lambda_n d(L_n, u)$ for all $u \in S^{n-1}$; they are said to have constant chord jointly if the product $d(L_1, u) \cdots d(L_n, u)$ is constant for all $u \in S^{n-1}$. For reference see Gardner ([3]) and schneider ([14]).

Following Lutwak, we define the mixed chord integral of star bodies: For $L_1, \dots, L_n \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$, the mixed chord-integral, $B(L_1, \dots, L_n)$, of L_1, \dots, L_n is defined by

$$(2.6) \quad B(L_1, \dots, L_n) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} d(L_1, u) \cdots d(L_n, u) dS(u).$$

By this definition, B is a map

$$B : \underbrace{\mathcal{S}_o^n \times \cdots \times \mathcal{S}_o^n}_n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

We list some of its elementary properties.

(i) (Continuity) The mixed chord-integral $B(L_1, \dots, L_n)$ is a continuous function of $L_1, \dots, L_n \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$.

(ii) (Positivity) For $L_1, \dots, L_n \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$, $B(L_1, \dots, L_n) > 0$.

(iii) (Positively homogeneous) If $L_1, \dots, L_n \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$ and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n > 0$, then

$$B(\lambda_1 L_1, \dots, \lambda_n L_n) = \lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_n B(L_1, \dots, L_n).$$

(iv) (Monotonicity for set inclusion) If $K_i, L_i \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$, $K_i \subset L_i$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$, then

$$B(K_1, \dots, K_n) \leq B(L_1, \dots, L_n),$$

with equality if and only if $K_i = L_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

(v) (Change under linear transformations) If $L_1, \dots, L_n \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$ and $\phi \in GL(n)$, then

$$B(\phi L_1, \dots, \phi L_n) = |\det \phi| B(L_1, \dots, L_n).$$

Just as the width-integrals $B_i(K)$ (see [6]) of $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$, are defined to be the special mixed width-integrals

$$A(\underbrace{K, \dots, K}_{n-i}, \underbrace{U, \dots, U}_i),$$

the chord-integrals $D_i(L)$ of $L \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$, can be defined as the special mixed chord-integral

$$B(\underbrace{L, \dots, L}_{n-i}, \underbrace{U, \dots, U}_i).$$

Now we generalize the notion of the mixed chord-integral of star bodies: For $L_1, \dots, L_n \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$ and a real number $p \neq 0$, the mixed chord-integral of order p , $B_p(L_1, \dots, L_n)$, of L_1, \dots, L_n is defined by

$$(2.7) \quad B_p(L_1, \dots, L_n) = \omega_n \left[\frac{1}{n\omega_n} \int_{S^{n-1}} d(L_1, u)^p \cdots d(L_n, u)^p dS(u) \right]^{1/p}.$$

Specially $p = 1$, then definition (2.7) is just definition (2.6). For p equal to $-\infty$, 0 or ∞ we respectively define the mixed chord-integral of order p by

$$B_p(L_1, \dots, L_n) = \lim_{s \rightarrow p} B_s(L_1, \dots, L_n).$$

As a direct consequence of Jensen's inequality [4] we have:

Proposition 1. *If $L_1, \dots, L_n \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$ and $-\infty \leq p < q \leq \infty$, then*

$$B_p(L_1, \dots, L_n) \leq B_q(L_1, \dots, L_n),$$

with equality if and only if L_1, \dots, L_n have constant chord jointly.

The well-known Blaschke-Santaló inequality (see [13]) can be stated: For $K \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$, then

$$(2.8) \quad V(K)V(K^*) \leq \omega_n^2,$$

with equality if and only if K is an n -dimensional ellipsoid.

By combining the well-known Blaschke-Santaló inequality with Theorem 2, we obtain the Blaschke-Santaló type inequality for the mixed chord-integral of order p (the mixed chord-integral when $p = 1$).

Corollary 2. *If $K_1, \dots, K_n \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$, then*

$$B_p(K_1, \dots, K_n)B_p(K_1^*, \dots, K_n^*) \leq \omega_n^2,$$

with equality if and only if K_1, \dots, K_n are n -balls.

In particular, if $L_1 = \cdots = L_{n-i} = L$ and $L_{n-i+1} = \cdots = L_n = U$, then Theorem 1 becomes:

Corollary 3. *If $L \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$ and $0 \leq i \leq n$, then*

$$D_i^n(L) \leq \omega_n^i V(L)^{n-i},$$

with equality if and only if L is an n -ball.

Combing Theorems 1* and 2* with Theorems 1 and 2, we obtain the following relations between $B(L_1, \dots, L_n)$, $B_p(L_1, \dots, L_n)$ and $A(L_1, \dots, L_n)$, $A_p(L_1, \dots, L_n)$, respectively.

Corollary 4. *If $K_1, \dots, K_n \in \mathcal{K}^n$, then*

$$B(K_1, \dots, K_n) \leq A(K_1, \dots, K_n),$$

with equality if and only if K_1, K_2, \dots, K_n are n -balls.

Corollary 5. *If $K_1, \dots, K_n \in \mathcal{K}^n$ and $-1 \leq p \leq 1$, then*

$$B_p(K_1, \dots, K_n) \leq A_p(K_1, \dots, K_n),$$

with equality if and only if K_1, K_2, \dots, K_n are n -balls.

Proof of Theorem 1. For $L_1, \dots, L_n \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$. From definition (2.6), Hölder integral inequality [4], definition (2.5), Minkowski integral inequality [4] and formula (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & B(L_1, \dots, L_n) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} d(L_1, u) \cdots d(L_n, u) dS(u) \\ &\leq n^{-1/n} \|d(L_1, u)\|_n \cdots n^{-1/n} \|d(L_n, u)\|_n \\ &= n^{-1/n} \left\| \frac{1}{2} \rho(L_1, u) + \frac{1}{2} \rho(L_1, -u) \right\|_n \cdots n^{-1/n} \left\| \frac{1}{2} \rho(L_n, u) + \frac{1}{2} \rho(L_n, -u) \right\|_n \\ &\leq n^{-1/n} \|\rho(L_1, u)\|_n \cdots n^{-1/n} \|\rho(L_n, u)\|_n \\ &= V^{\frac{1}{n}}(L_1) \cdots V^{\frac{1}{n}}(L_n). \end{aligned}$$

In view of the equality conditions of Hölder integral inequality and Minkowski integral inequality, equality of inequality (1.6) holds if and only if L_1, \dots, L_n are dilates and centered. Thus we obtain the conclusion. \square

Proof of Theorem 2. For $L_1, \dots, L_n \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$ and $-\infty \leq p \leq 1$. By combining Theorem 1 with Proposition 1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} B_p^n(L_1, \dots, L_n) &\leq B_1^n(L_1, \dots, L_n) \\ &\leq V(L_1) \cdots V(L_n). \end{aligned}$$

In view of the equality conditions of Theorem 1 and Proposition 1, equality holds if and only if L_1, \dots, L_n are n -balls. Thus we obtain the conclusion. \square

In order to prove Theorem 3 and Theorem 4 in the introduction, we require the following simple extension of Hölder’s inequality.

Lemma 1 (see [7]). *If f_0, f_1, \dots, f_m are (strictly) positive continuous functions defined on S^{n-1} and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ are positive constants the sum of whose reciprocals is unity, then*

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} f_0(u)f_1(u) \cdots f_m(u)dS(u) \leq \prod_{i=1}^m \left[\int_{S^{n-1}} f_0(u)f_i^{\lambda_i}(u)dS(u) \right]^{1/\lambda_i},$$

with equality if and only if there exist positive constants $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$ such that $\alpha_1 f_1^{\lambda_1}(u) = \dots = \alpha_m f_m^{\lambda_m}(u)$ for all $u \in S^{n-1}$.

Proof of Theorem 3. For $L_1, \dots, L_n \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_i &= m \quad (1 \leq i \leq m), \\ f_0 &= d(L_1, u) \cdots d(L_{n-m}, u) \quad (f_0 = 1 \text{ if } m = n), \\ f_i &= d(L_{n-i+1}, u) \quad (1 \leq i \leq m). \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{S^{n-1}} d(L_1, u) \cdots d(L_n, u)dS(u) \\ &\leq \prod_{i=1}^m \left[\int_{S^{n-1}} d(L_1, u) \cdots d(L_{n-m}, u)d(L_{n-i+1}, u)^m dS(u) \right]^{1/\lambda_i}, \end{aligned}$$

with equality if and only if $L_{n-m+1}, L_{n-m+2}, \dots, L_n$ are all of similar chord. Thus we obtain the conclusion. \square

Proof of Theorem 4. For $L_1, \dots, L_n \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_i &= m \quad (1 \leq i \leq m), \\ f_0 &= d(L_1, u)^p \cdots d(L_{n-m}, u)^p \quad (f_0 = 1 \text{ if } m = n), \\ f_i &= d(L_{n-i+1}, u)^p \quad (1 \leq i \leq m). \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{S^{n-1}} d(L_1, u)^p \cdots d(L_n, u)^p dS(u) \\ &\leq \prod_{i=1}^m \left[\int_{S^{n-1}} d(L_1, u)^p \cdots d(L_{n-m}, u)^p d(L_{n-i+1}, u)^{pm} dS(u) \right]^{1/\lambda_i}, \end{aligned}$$

with equality if and only if $L_{n-m+1}, L_{n-m+2}, \dots, L_n$ are all of similar chord.

For $p > 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\omega_n \left[\frac{1}{n\omega_n} \int_{S^{n-1}} d(L_1, u)^p \cdots d(L_n, u)^p dS(u) \right]^{1/p} \\ &\leq \omega_n \prod_{i=1}^m \left[\frac{1}{n\omega_n} \int_{S^{n-1}} d(L_1, u)^p \cdots d(L_{n-m}, u)^p d(L_{n-i+1}, u)^p dS(u) \right]^{1/p\lambda_i}, \end{aligned}$$

with equality if and only if $L_{n-m+1}, L_{n-m+2}, \dots, L_n$ are all of similar chord. For $p < 0$, inequality above is reversed.

Thus we obtain the conclusion. □

3. Dual general Bieberbach inequality

In [8] Lutwak established a general Bieberbach inequality which has the Bieberbach, Urysohn and harmonic Urysohn inequalities as special cases. Following Lutwak, we give a dual general Bieberbach inequality.

If $L \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$ and $i \in \mathbb{R}$, then the i -th dual quermassintegrals is defined by Lutwak (see [7])

$$(3.1) \quad \tilde{W}_i(L) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho(L, u)^{n-i} dS(u).$$

Specifically, $\tilde{W}_0(K) = V(K)$, and $\tilde{W}_n(K) = \omega_n$.

For $L \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$, the intersection body of L , IL is the centrally symmetric body whose radial function on S^{n-1} is given by (see [11]),

$$(3.2) \quad \rho(IL, u) = \frac{1}{n-1} \int_{S^{n-1} \cap u^\perp} \rho(L, v)^{n-1} d\lambda_{n-2}(v),$$

where λ_{n-2} denotes $(n-2)$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure. For $u \in S^{n-1}$, $L \cap u^\perp$ denotes the intersection of L with the subspace u^\perp that passes through the origin and is orthogonal to u .

For $L_1, L_2 \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$, the radial addition $L_1 \check{+} L_2$ is defined as the star body whose radial function is given by (see [11]),

$$(3.3) \quad \rho(L_1 \check{+} L_2, \cdot) = \rho(L_1, \cdot) + \rho(L_2, \cdot).$$

The radial Blaschke linear addition, $\lambda \cdot K \check{+} \mu \cdot L$, is defined by Lutwak (see [11]), whose radial function satisfies for $u \in S^{n-1}$ (see [11])

$$\rho(\lambda \cdot K \check{+} \mu \cdot L, u)^{n-1} = \lambda \rho(K, u)^{n-1} + \mu \rho(L, u)^{n-1}.$$

The following properties will be used later: If $L_1, L_2 \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$ and $\lambda, \mu > 0$, then

$$(3.4) \quad d(L_1 \check{+} L_2, \cdot) = d(L_1, \cdot) + d(L_2, \cdot),$$

$$(3.5) \quad I(\lambda \cdot K \check{+} \mu \cdot L) = \lambda IK \check{+} \mu IL.$$

For $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$ and a real number $p \neq 0$, the p -width, $\bar{b}_p(K)$ of K was defined by Lutwak in ([8])

$$(3.6) \quad \bar{b}_p(K) = \left[\frac{1}{n\omega_n} \int_{S^{n-1}} b^p(K, u) dS(u) \right]^{1/p},$$

where the definition (3.6) differs slightly from that of Lutwak (see [8]) in that we multiply a constant factor. For p equal to $-\infty, 0$ or ∞ the p -width of K was defined by

$$\bar{b}_p(K) = \lim_{s \rightarrow p} \bar{b}_s(K).$$

In order to establish the dual general Bieberbach inequality, we shall also introduce the dual concept of the p -width of convex body: For $L \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$ and a real number $p \neq 0$, the p -chord, $\tilde{d}_p(L)$ of L was defined by

$$(3.7) \quad \tilde{d}_p(L) = \left[\frac{1}{n\omega_n} \int_{S^{n-1}} d^p(L, u) dS(u) \right]^{1/p}.$$

For p equal to $-\infty$, 0 or ∞ the p -chord of L was defined by

$$\tilde{d}_p(L) = \lim_{s \rightarrow p} \tilde{d}_s(L).$$

For a fixed p , the p -chord is a map

$$\tilde{d}_p : \mathcal{S}_o^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

Similar to the mixed chord-integral, it is positive, continuous, bounded, homogeneous of degree one and monotone under set inclusion.

From Theorem 5 and Theorem 5* we can obtain the relation between the dual general Bieberbach inequality and the general Bieberbach inequality as follows.

Corollary 6. *If $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$ and $-n < p < n$, then*

$$\tilde{d}_p(K) \leq \bar{b}_p(K),$$

with equality if and only if K is an n -ball.

We shall prove the following relation between the p -chord of convex body and the p -chord of polar for convex body.

Theorem 7. *If $K \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$ and $-\infty \leq p < n$, then*

$$\tilde{d}_p(K) \tilde{d}_p(K^*) \leq 1,$$

with equality if and only if K is an n -ball.

Theorem 7 is just the dual of the following relation between the p -width of convex body and the p -width of polar for convex body which was shown by Lutwak (see [8]).

Theorem 7*. *If $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$ and $-n < p \leq \infty$, then*

$$\bar{b}_p(K) \bar{b}_p(K^*) \geq 1,$$

with equality if and only if K is an n -ball.

Furthermore, we establish the following Brunn-Minkowski inequality for the p -chord of star bodies.

Theorem 8. *For $L_1, L_2 \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$, $p \geq 1$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, then*

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{d}_p(L_1 \tilde{+} L_2) &\leq \tilde{d}_p(\alpha L_1 \tilde{+} (1 - \alpha)L_2) + \tilde{d}_p((1 - \alpha)L_1 \tilde{+} \alpha L_2) \\ &\leq \tilde{d}_p(L_1) + \tilde{d}_p(L_2), \end{aligned}$$

in each inequalities, with equality if and only if L_1 and L_2 have similar chord. If $p < 1$ and $p \neq 0$, then inequality (3.8) is reversed.

Proof of Theorem 5. For $L \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$, taking $p = n$, from definition (3.7), definition (2.5), Minkowski integral inequality (see [4]) and formula (2.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{d}_n(L) &= \left[\frac{1}{n\omega_n} \int_{S^{n-1}} d^n(L, u) dS(u) \right]^{1/n} \\
 (3.9) \quad &= \left[\frac{1}{n\omega_n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \left[\frac{1}{2}\rho(L, u) + \frac{1}{2}\rho(L, -u) \right]^n dS(u) \right]^{1/n} \\
 &\leq \omega_n^{-1/n} \left[\frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho^n(L, u) dS(u) \right]^{1/n} \\
 &= \omega_n^{-1/n} V(L)^{1/n},
 \end{aligned}$$

with equality if and only if L is centered. From Jensen's inequality (see [4]), it follows that for $-\infty \leq p < n$

$$(3.10) \quad \tilde{d}_n(L) \geq \tilde{d}_p(L),$$

with equality if and only if L is of constant chord.

Combing these inequalities above, we have

$$V(L) \geq \omega_n \tilde{d}_p(L)^n,$$

with equality if and only if L is an n -ball. □

Proof of Theorem 7. For $K \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$, combing inequality (3.9) with Blaschke-Santaló inequality, we obtain

$$(3.11) \quad \omega_n \tilde{d}_n(K)^{-n} \geq V(K^*),$$

with equality if and only if L is an n -ball. By combing inequality (3.10) and inequality (3.11), we have for $-\infty \leq p < n$,

$$(3.12) \quad \omega_n \tilde{d}_p(K)^{-n} \geq V(K^*),$$

with equality if and only if L is an n -ball.

According to the inequality (3.11), inequality (3.12) and the dual general Bieberbach inequality, we obtain the desired result. □

Proof of Theorem 8. For $L_1, L_2 \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$, $p \geq 1$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. From definition (3.7) and formula (3.4), Minkowski integral inequality, definition (3.7) and (3.4) again, Minkowski integral inequality again, definition (3.7) again, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{d}_p(L_1 \tilde{+} L_2) &= (n\omega_n)^{-1/p} \|d(L_1 \tilde{+} L_2, u)\|_p \\
 &= (n\omega_n)^{-1/p} \|d(L_1, u) + d(L_2, u)\|_p
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= (n\omega_n)^{-1/p} \|\alpha d(L_1, u) + (1 - \alpha)d(L_2, u)\| \\
 &\quad + \|(1 - \alpha)d(L_1, u) + \alpha d(L_2, u)\|_p \\
 &\leq (n\omega_n)^{-1/p} \|\alpha d(L_1, u) + (1 - \alpha)d(L_2, u)\|_p \\
 &\quad + (n\omega_n)^{-1/p} \|(1 - \alpha)d(L_1, u) + \alpha d(L_2, u)\|_p \\
 &= \tilde{d}_p(\alpha L_1 \tilde{+} (1 - \alpha)L_2) + \tilde{d}_p((1 - \alpha)L_1 \tilde{+} \alpha L_2) \\
 &\leq (n\omega_n)^{-1/p} \|\alpha d(L_1, u)\|_p + (n\omega_n)^{-1/p} \|(1 - \alpha)d(L_2, u)\|_p \\
 &\quad + (n\omega_n)^{-1/p} \|(1 - \alpha)d(L_1, u)\|_p + (n\omega_n)^{-1/p} \|\alpha d(L_2, u)\|_p \\
 &= \tilde{d}_p(L_1) + \tilde{d}_p(L_2),
 \end{aligned}$$

in each inequalities, with equality if and only if L_1 and L_2 have similar chord. In view of the inverse Minkowski integral inequality, similar above the proof, the cases of $p < 1$ and $p \neq 0$ can also be proved. Here we omit the details, i.e., if $p < 1$ and $p \neq 0$, then this inequality is reversed. \square

Proof of Theorem 6. For $L_1, L_2 \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$ and $i \leq n - 1$. Since $I(L_1 \check{+} L_2)$, IL_1 and IL_2 are centered, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(I(L_1 \check{+} L_2), u) &= \rho(I(L_1 \check{+} L_2), u), \\
 d(IL_1, u) &= \rho(IL_1, u), \quad d(IL_2, u) = \rho(IL_2, u).
 \end{aligned}$$

Combing Theorem 8 with definition (3.1) and equalities above, we have

$$\tilde{W}_i(I(L_1 \check{+} L_2))^{1/(n-i)} \leq \tilde{W}_i(IL_1)^{1/(n-i)} + \tilde{W}_i(IL_2)^{1/(n-i)},$$

with equality if and only if L_1 and L_2 are dilates. If $n - 1 < i < n$ or $i > n$, then this inequality is reversed. \square

Acknowledgements. The author wish to thank the referee(s) for their many excellent suggestions for improving the original manuscript.

References

- [1] G. D. Chakerian, *Isoperimetric inequalities for the mean width of a convex body*, Geometriae Dedicata **1** (1973), no. 3, 356–362.
- [2] ———, *The mean volume of boxes and cylinders circumscribed about a convex body*, Israel J. Math. **12** (1972), 249–256.
- [3] R. J. Gardner, *Geometric Tomography*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [4] H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, and G. Pólya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge Univ. Press, London, 1934.
- [5] G. Leng, C. Zhao, B. He, and X. Li, *Inequalities for polars of mixed projection bodies*, Sci. China Ser. A **47** (2004), no. 2, 175–186.
- [6] E. Lutwak, *Width-integrals of convex bodies*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **53** (1975), no. 2, 435–439.
- [7] ———, *Dual mixed volumes*, Pacific J. Math. **58** (1975), no. 2, 531–538.
- [8] ———, *A general Bieberbach inequality*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **78** (1975), no. 3, 493–495.
- [9] ———, *Mixed width-integrals of convex bodies*, Israel J. Math. **28** (1977), no. 3, 249–253.

- [10] ———, *Mixed projection inequalities*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **287** (1985), no. 1, 91–105.
- [11] ———, *Intersection bodies and dual mixed volumes*, Adv. in Math. **71** (1988), no. 2, 232–261.
- [12] ———, *Inequalities for mixed projection bodies*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **339** (1993), no. 2, 901–916.
- [13] L. Santaló, *An affine invariant for convex bodies of n -dimensional space*, Portugaliae Math. **8** (1949), 155–161.
- [14] R. Schneider, *Convex Body: The Brunn-Minkowski Theory*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [15] G. Zhang, *Centered bodies and dual mixed volumes*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **345** (1994), no. 2, 777–801.
- [16] C. J. Zhao and G. S. Leng, *On polars of mixed projection bodies*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **316** (2006), no. 2, 664–678.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
SHANGHAI UNIVERSITY OF ELECTRIC POWER
SHANGHAI, 200090, P. R. CHINA
E-mail address: lufengred@163.com