

NOTES ON CRITICAL ALMOST HERMITIAN STRUCTURES

JUNG CHAN LEE, JEONG HYEONG PARK, AND KOUEI SEKIGAWA

ABSTRACT. We discuss the critical points of the functional $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\mu}(J, g) = \int_M (\lambda\tau + \mu\tau^*) dv_g$ on the spaces of all almost Hermitian structures $\mathcal{AH}(M)$ with $(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{R}^2 - (0, 0)$, where τ and τ^* being the scalar curvature and the $*$ -scalar curvature of (J, g) , respectively. We shall give several characterizations of Kähler structure for some special classes of almost Hermitian manifolds, in terms of the critical points of the functionals $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\mu}(J, g)$ on $\mathcal{AH}(M)$. Further, we provide the almost Hermitian analogy of the Hilbert's result.

1. Introduction

Let M be a compact orientable smooth manifold of dimension m . We denote by $\mathcal{M}(M)$ the set of all Riemannian metrics on M and $\mathcal{M}_c(M) = \{g \in \mathcal{M}(M) \mid \text{Vol}(M, g) = c\}$, where c is a positive constant. It is well-known that a Riemannian metric $g \in \mathcal{M}_c(M)$ is a critical point of the (so-called) Einstein-Hilbert functional \mathcal{F} on $\mathcal{M}_c(M)$ denoted by

$$(1.1) \quad \mathcal{F}(g) = \int_M \tau dv_g$$

if and only if g is an Einstein metric, where τ is the scalar curvature of g and dv_g is the volume element of g [5]. Now, let M be a compact smooth manifold of dimension $m = 2n$ admitting an almost complex structure. We denote by $\mathcal{AH}(M)$ the set of all almost Hermitian structures on M . It is also known that the space $\mathcal{AH}(M)$ is a contractible Frechet space. Let τ and τ^* be the scalar curvature and the $*$ -scalar curvature of (J, g) , respectively. Let $(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{R}^2 - (0, 0)$. In [6], Koda introduced and studied the functional $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\mu}$ on $\mathcal{AH}(M)$, which is a generalization of the Einstein-Hilbert functional \mathcal{F} in almost Hermitian case, that is defined by

$$(1.2) \quad \mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\mu}(J, g) = \int_M (\lambda\tau + \mu\tau^*) dv_g,$$

Received December 29, 2008.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C15, 53C55.

Key words and phrases. critical almost Hermitian structure, Einstein-Hilbert functional.

This work was supported by the Korea Science and Engineering Foundation(KOSEF) grant funded by the Korea Government(MEST) (R01-2008-000-20370-0).

and discussed the critical points on $\mathcal{AH}(M)$ and

$$\mathcal{AH}_c(M) = \{ (J, g) \in \mathcal{AH}(M) \mid g \in \mathcal{M}_c(M) \}.$$

We here note that, if (J, g) is a critical point of the functional $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda, \mu}$ on $\mathcal{AH}(M)$, then (J, g) is also a critical point of the functional $\mathcal{F}_{s\lambda, s\mu}$ on $\mathcal{AH}(M)$ for any non-zero real number s , and vice versa. In the present paper, we shall reconsider the critical points of the functional $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda, \mu}$ on $\mathcal{AH}(M)$ and $\mathcal{AH}_c(M)$ by using a slightly different method from the ones used by Koda [6], and give conditions for an almost Hermitian manifold to be a Kähler manifold in terms of critical almost Hermitian structures for certain (λ, μ) . In the last section, we shall remark on the critical points of the functional $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda, \mu}$ on $\mathcal{AH}_c(M)$.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we prepare some fundamental tools which we need in our arguments. Let $M = (M, J, g)$ be a $2n$ -dimensional almost Hermitian manifold with almost Hermitian structure (J, g) and Ω be the Kähler form of M defined by $\Omega(X, Y) = g(X, JY)$ for $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ denoting the Lie algebra of all smooth vector fields X, Y on M . We assume that M is oriented by the volume form $dv_g = \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \Omega^n$. We denote by ∇, R, ρ and τ the Riemannian connection, the curvature tensor, the Ricci tensor and scalar curvature of M , respectively. The curvature tensor is defined by

$$R(X, Y)Z = [\nabla_X, \nabla_Y]Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]}Z$$

for $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. A tensor field ρ^* on M of type $(0, 2)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^*(X, Y) &= \text{tr} (Z \mapsto R(X, JZ)JY) \\ (2.1) \qquad &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} (Z \mapsto R(X, JY)JZ) \end{aligned}$$

is called a Ricci $*$ -tensor, for $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, respectively. We denote by τ^* the $*$ -scalar curvature of M , which is the trace of the linear endomorphism Q^* defined by $g(Q^*X, Y) = \rho^*(X, Y)$. We remark that ρ^* satisfies

$$(2.2) \qquad \rho^*(X, Y) = \rho^*(JY, JX)$$

for any $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. Thus ρ^* is symmetric if and only if ρ^* is J -invariant. In this paper, for any orthonormal basis, (resp. local orthonormal frame field) $\{e_i\}_{i=1, \dots, 2n}$ at any point $p \in M$ (resp. on a neighborhood of p), we shall adopt the following notational conventions:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{ijkl} &= g(R(e_i, e_j)e_k, e_l), & R_{\bar{i}\bar{j}\bar{k}\bar{l}} &= g(R(Je_i, Je_j)Je_k, Je_l), \\ (2.3) \qquad \rho_{ij} &= \rho(e_i, e_j), & \rho_{\bar{i}\bar{j}} &= \rho(Je_i, Je_j), \\ \rho_{ij}^* &= \rho^*(e_i, e_j), & \rho_{\bar{i}\bar{j}}^* &= \rho^*(Je_i, Je_j), \\ J_{ij} &= g(Je_i, e_j), & \nabla_i J_{jk} &= g((\nabla_{e_i} J)e_j, e_k), \end{aligned}$$

and so on, where the Latin indices run over the range $1, 2, \dots, 2n$.

Then we have

$$(2.4) \quad J_{j\bar{j}} = -J_{ji}, \quad \Omega_{ij} = -J_{ij}, \quad \nabla_i J_{jk} = -\nabla_i J_{kj}, \quad \nabla_i J_{\bar{j}\bar{k}} = -\nabla_i J_{jk}.$$

We here recall some special classes of almost Hermitian manifolds [4]. We denote by \mathcal{K} , \mathcal{AK} , \mathcal{NK} , \mathcal{QK} , \mathcal{SK} and \mathcal{H} the sets of all Kähler manifolds, almost Kähler manifolds, nearly Kähler manifolds, quasi Kähler manifolds, semi Kähler manifolds and Hermitian manifolds, respectively.

- (I) \mathcal{K} (Class of Kähler manifolds): An almost Hermitian manifold $M = (M, J, g)$ is called a Kähler manifold if $\nabla J = 0$ on M .
- (II) \mathcal{AK} (Class of almost Kähler manifolds): An almost Hermitian manifold is called an almost Kähler manifold if $d\Omega = 0$ on M . The condition $d\Omega = 0$ is equivalent to the condition $\nabla_i J_{jk} + \nabla_j J_{ki} + \nabla_k J_{ij} = 0$.
- (III) \mathcal{NK} (Class of nearly Kähler manifolds): An almost Hermitian manifold is called a nearly Kähler manifold if $(\nabla_X J)Y + (\nabla_Y J)X = 0$ ($\nabla_i J_{jk} + \nabla_j J_{ik} = 0$) on M .
- (IV) \mathcal{QK} (Class of quasi Kähler manifolds): An almost Hermitian manifold is called a quasi Kähler manifold if $(\nabla_X J)Y + (\nabla_{JX} J)JY = 0$ ($\nabla_i J_{jk} + \nabla_{\bar{i}} J_{\bar{j}\bar{k}} = 0$) on M .
- (V) \mathcal{SK} (Class of semi Kähler manifolds): An almost Hermitian manifold is called a semi Kähler manifold if $\delta\Omega = 0$ on M . The condition $\delta\Omega = 0$ is equivalent to the condition $\sum_a \nabla_a J_{a_j} = 0$.
- (VI) \mathcal{H} (Class of Hermitian manifolds): An almost Hermitian manifold with the integrable almost complex structure J is called a Hermitian manifold. It is well-known that M is a Hermitian manifold if and only if $(\nabla_X J)Y - (\nabla_{JX} J)(JY) = 0$ ($\nabla_i J_{jk} - \nabla_{\bar{i}} J_{\bar{j}\bar{k}} = 0$) on M .

Now we shall recall some fundamental identities on the above classes (II)~(VI).

(II) Let $M = (M, J, g)$ be an almost Kähler manifold. Then, addition to (2.4), we have [3]

$$(2.5) \quad \nabla_{\bar{i}} J_{\bar{j}\bar{k}} + \nabla_i J_{jk} = 0,$$

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & 2 \sum_a (\nabla_a J_{ij}) \nabla_a J_{kl} \\ & = R_{ijkl} - R_{i\bar{j}\bar{k}\bar{l}} - R_{\bar{i}\bar{j}kl} + R_{\bar{i}\bar{j}\bar{k}\bar{l}} + R_{\bar{i}j\bar{k}l} + R_{\bar{i}jkl} + R_{i\bar{j}\bar{k}\bar{l}} + R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}. \end{aligned}$$

From (2.6), we have

$$(2.7) \quad \rho_{ij}^* + \rho_{ji}^* - \rho_{ij} - \rho_{\bar{i}\bar{j}} = \sum_{a,b} (\nabla_a J_{ib}) \nabla_a J_{jb},$$

and further

$$(2.8) \quad |\nabla J|^2 = 2(\tau^* - \tau).$$

(III) Let $M = (M, J, g)$ be a nearly Kähler manifold. Then, it is well-known that the following identities exist on M [2], we have

$$(2.9) \quad \nabla_i J_{jk} + \nabla_{\bar{i}} J_{\bar{j}k} = 0,$$

$$(2.10) \quad \rho_{\bar{i}\bar{j}} = \rho_{ij}, \quad \rho_{\bar{i}\bar{j}}^* = \rho_{ij}^*,$$

$$(2.11) \quad \rho_{ij} - \rho_{ij}^* = \sum_{a,b} (\nabla_i J_{ab}) \nabla_j J_{ab}.$$

From (2.11), we have

$$(2.12) \quad |\nabla J|^2 = \tau - \tau^* (= \text{constant}).$$

(V) Let $M = (M, J, g)$ be a semi Kähler manifold. Then, it is well-known that the following identities on M [2]:

$$(2.13) \quad \tau - \tau^* = \sum (\nabla_k J_{ji}) \nabla_j J_{ik}.$$

Now, let $M = (M, J, g)$ be a 4-dimensional almost Hermitian manifold. Then we have

$$(2.14) \quad \tau - \tau^* = 2\delta\omega + |\omega|^2 - \frac{1}{8}|N|^2,$$

where ω is the Lee form of M and N is the Nijenhuis tensor of M [10].

(VI) Let $M = (M, J, g)$ be a 4-dimensional Hermitian manifold. Then from (2.14), in particular, we have

$$(2.15) \quad \tau - \tau^* = 2\delta\omega + |\omega|^2.$$

Here we note the inclusion relations between the classes (I)~(VI).

$$\mathcal{K} \begin{array}{c} \subset \mathcal{AK} \\ \subset \mathcal{NK} \end{array} \subset \mathcal{QK} \subset \mathcal{SK}, \quad \mathcal{QK} \cap \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{K}.$$

We denote by $\mathcal{K}(M)$, $\mathcal{AK}(M)$, $\mathcal{NK}(M)$, $\mathcal{QK}(M)$, $\mathcal{SK}(M)$ and $\mathcal{H}(M)$, the subsets of $\mathcal{AH}(M)$ of all Kähler structures, almost Kähler structures, nearly Kähler structures, quasi Kähler structures, semi Kähler structures and Hermitian structures on M . Then, we may obtain the inclusion relations between these sets corresponding to the above inclusion ones between the classes (I)~(IV). In the sequel, we assume always that M is a $2n$ ($n \geq 2$)-dimensional compact orientable smooth manifold and $\mathcal{AH}(M) \neq \emptyset$ unless otherwise specified.

3. Critical points of the functional $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda, \mu}$ on $\mathcal{AH}(M)$

Let M be a $2n$ -dimensional compact orientable smooth manifold admitting almost complex structure. Let $(J, g) \in \mathcal{AH}(M)$ and consider a smooth curve $(J(t), g(t)) \in \mathcal{AH}(M)$ through (J, g) . We shall also call it a (1-parameter) deformation of (J, g) . We denote by $\Omega(t)$ the Kähler form of $(J(t), g(t))$ and set $\alpha(t) = \Omega(t) - \Omega$. We denote further by $\nabla^{(t)}$, $R(t)$, $\rho(t)$, $\rho^*(t)$, $\tau(t)$ and $\tau^*(t)$ the Riemannian connection, the curvature tensor, the Ricci tensor, the Ricci *-tensor, the scalar curvature and the *-scalar curvature of

$(J(t), g(t))$, respectively. Let $(U; x_1, \dots, x_{2n})$ be a local coordinate system on coordinate neighborhood U of M . With respect to the natural frame $\{\partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\}_{i=1, \dots, 2n}$, we set $g(t)(\partial_i, \partial_j) = g(t)_{ij}$, $J(t)\partial_i = J(t)_i^j \partial_j$, $(\nabla_{\partial_i}^{(t)} J(t))\partial_j = (\nabla_i^{(t)} J(t)_j^k) \partial_k$, $R(t)(\partial_i, \partial_j)\partial_k = R(t)_{ijk}^l \partial_l$, $\rho(t)(\partial_i, \partial_j) = \rho(t)_{ij}$, $\rho^*(t)(\partial_i, \partial_j) = \rho^*(t)_{ij}$, $\alpha(t)(\partial_i, \partial_j) = \alpha(t)_{ij}$ and $g(t)^{ij} = (g(t)_{ij})^{-1}$. In particular, we have $g(0)_{ij} = g_{ij}$, $J(0)_i^j = J_i^j$, $\nabla_i^{(0)} J(0)_j^k = \nabla_i J_j^k$, $R(0)_{ijk}^l = R_{ijk}^l$, $\rho(0)_{ij} = \rho_{ij}$, $\rho^*(0)_{ij} = \rho_{ij}^*$, $\tau(0) = \tau$, $\tau^*(0) = \tau^*$ and $\alpha(0)_{ij} = 0$.

Further, we set

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} g(t)_{ij} = h_{ij}, \quad \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} J(t)_j^i = K_j^i, \quad \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \alpha(t)_{ij} = A_{ij}.$$

Then we see that $A = (A_{ij})$ is a 2-form, $h = (h_{ij})$ is a symmetric $(0, 2)$ -tensor field on M and we also have

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} g(t)^{ij} = -h^{ij},$$

where we adopt the standard notational convention of tensor analysis: for example h^{ij} means $h^{ij} = g^{ia} g^{jb} h_{ab}$. We denote by $dv_{g(t)}$ the volume of $(M, g(t))$. Then, we have

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} dv_{g(t)} = \frac{1}{2} (g^{ij} h_{ij}) dv_g.$$

From (3.2), we see that the coefficients $\Gamma(t)_{ij}^k$ of $\nabla^{(t)}$ satisfy

$$(3.4) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \Gamma(t)_{ij}^k = \frac{1}{2} g^{ka} (\nabla_i h_{aj} + \nabla_j h_{ia} - \nabla_a h_{ij}).$$

Thus, from (3.4), the derivations of $R(t)_{ijk}^l$, $\rho(t)_{ij}$ and $\tau(t)$ at $t = 0$ are given respectively by

$$(3.5) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} R(t)_{ijk}^l = \frac{1}{2} (-R_{ijk}^a h_a^l + R_{ija}^l h_k^a + \nabla_i \nabla_k h_j^l - \nabla_j \nabla_k h_i^l - \nabla_i \nabla^l h_{jk} + \nabla_j \nabla^l h_{ik}),$$

$$(3.6) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \rho(t)_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} (-R_{aij}^b h_b^a + \rho_{ia} h_j^a + \nabla_a \nabla_j h_i^a - \nabla_i \nabla_j h_a^a - \nabla^a \nabla_a h_{ij} + \nabla_i \nabla_a h_j^a),$$

$$(3.7) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \tau(t) = -\rho_{ij} h^{ij} + \nabla^i \nabla^j h_{ij} - \nabla^i \nabla_i h_a^a.$$

Further, since $(J(t), g(t)) \in \mathcal{AH}(M)$, we have

$$(3.8) \quad K_a^i J_j^a + J_a^i K_j^a = 0,$$

$$(3.9) \quad h_{ij} = h_{ab} J_i^a J_j^b + K_{ia} J_j^a + J_{ia} K_j^a,$$

$$(3.10) \quad K_j^i = -h_a^i J_j^a - A_j^i,$$

$$(3.11) \quad h_{ij} = -h_{ab}J_i^a J_j^b + J_i^a A_{aj} + J_j^a A_{ai}.$$

From (3.10) and (3.11), we have also

$$(3.12) \quad K_j^i = h_j^a J_a^i - A_b^a J_a^i J_j^b.$$

Conversely, let (h, A) be a pair of a symmetric $(0, 2)$ -tensor $h = (h_{ij})$ and a 2-form $A = (A_{ij})$ satisfying (3.11) and define a $(1, 1)$ -tensor K by (3.12). Then, we may easily check that the equalities (3.8) and (3.9) hold. This means that for a given $(J, g) \in \mathcal{AH}(M)$ and a pair (h, A) satisfying (3.11), there exists a curve $(J(t), g(t)) \in \mathcal{AH}(M)$ through (J, g) for sufficiently small t , where tangent vector at $t = 0$ is (K, h) . We here introduce several explicit examples of such curves.

(i) Blair-Ianus deformations [1]: The curve $(J(t), g(t))$ through (J, g) which corresponds to $\Omega(t) = \Omega$, where Ω is the Kähler form of (J, g) . The curve $(J(t), g(t))$ can be regarded as a curve in $\mathcal{AH}(M)$ through (J, g) with an initial condition (h, A) such that $A = 0$ and J -skew invariant h .

(ii) The curve $(J(t), g(t))$ through (J, g) with initial condition (h, A) given by $h_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}(J_i^a A_{aj} + J_j^a A_{ai})$ for any 2-form $A = (A_{ij})$ on M .

From (3.3) and (3.4), taking account of (3.10), (3.11), (3.12), we have further

$$(3.13) \quad \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} J(t)^{ij} = -h^{ia} J_a^j + g^{ia} K_a^j = A_{ab} J^{ia} J^{jb},$$

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \rho^*(t)_{ij} &= \rho_{ia}^* h_j^a - \frac{1}{2} R_{iua}{}^b J_j^u J^{ac} h_{bc} - \frac{1}{2} J^{ab} J_j^c \nabla_i \nabla_a h_{bc} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} J^{ab} J_j^c \nabla_c \nabla_a h_{bi} + \frac{1}{2} (2J_j^q \rho_{i}^*{}^p - J_j^u J^{pa} J^{qb} R_{iuab}) A_{pq}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.15) \quad \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \tau^*(t) = \rho_{ab}^* h^{ab} - J^{ia} J^{jb} \nabla_a \nabla_b h_{ij} - 2J^{ip} \rho_{iq}^* A_p{}^q.$$

Now, we are ready to compute the first variation of the functional $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda, \mu}$ on $\mathcal{AH}(M)$. We shall adapt the notational convention (2.3) with respect to a local orthonormal frame field $\{e_i\}_{i=1, \dots, 2n}$. By (3.4), (3.7) and (3.15), we have

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \mathcal{F}_{\lambda, \mu}(J(t), g(t)) &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \int_M (\lambda \tau(t) + \mu \tau^*(t)) dv_{g(t)} \\ &= \int_M \sum (-\lambda \rho_{ij} + \mu \rho_{ij}^* + \frac{1}{2} (\lambda \tau + \mu \tau^*) g_{ij}) h_{ij} dv_g \\ &\quad - \mu \int_M \sum J_{ia} J_{jb} \nabla_a \nabla_b h_{ij} dv_g + 2\mu \int_M \sum \rho_{ij}^* A_{ij} dv_g \\ &= \int_M \sum_{i,j} \{ (-\lambda \rho_{ij} + \mu \rho_{ij}^* + \frac{1}{2} (\lambda \tau + \mu \tau^*) g_{ij} \} \end{aligned}$$

$$- \mu \sum_{a,b} \nabla_b \nabla_a (J_{ia} J_{jb}) h_{ij} + 2\mu \rho_{i\bar{j}}^* A_{ij} \} dv_g.$$

Here, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.17) \quad & \sum_{a,b} \nabla_b \nabla_a (J_{ia} J_{jb}) = \sum_{a,b} \nabla_b ((\nabla_a J_{ia}) J_{jb} + J_{ia} \nabla_a J_{jb}) \\
&= \sum_{a,b} (\nabla_b \nabla_a J_{ia}) J_{jb} + \sum_{a,b} (\nabla_a J_{ia}) \nabla_b J_{jb} + \sum_{a,b} (\nabla_b J_{ia}) \nabla_a J_{jb} + \sum_{a,b} J_{ia} \nabla_b \nabla_a J_{jb} \\
&= \sum_{a,b} (\nabla_b \nabla_a J_{ia}) J_{jb} + \sum_{a,b} (\nabla_a J_{ia}) \nabla_b J_{jb} + \sum_{a,b} (\nabla_b J_{ia}) \nabla_a J_{jb} \\
&\quad + \sum_{a,b} J_{ia} \nabla_a \nabla_b J_{jb} - \sum_{a,b,c} J_{ia} R_{bajc} J_{cb} - \sum_{a,b,c} J_{ia} R_{babc} J_{jc} \\
&= \sum_{a,b} (\nabla_b \nabla_a J_{ia}) J_{jb} + \sum_{a,b} (\nabla_a J_{ia}) \nabla_b J_{jb} + \sum_{a,b} (\nabla_b J_{ia}) \nabla_a J_{jb} \\
&\quad + \sum_{a,b} (\nabla_a \nabla_b J_{jb}) J_{ia} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a,b,c} J_{ia} (R_{abcj} - R_{acb j}) J_{cb} + \sum_{a,b} J_{ia} J_{jc} \rho_{ac} \\
&= \sum_{a,b} J_{jb} \nabla_b \nabla_a J_{ia} + \sum_{a,b} J_{ia} \nabla_a \nabla_b J_{jb} + \sum_{a,b} (\nabla_b J_{ia}) \nabla_a J_{jb} \\
&\quad + \sum_{a,b} (\nabla_a J_{ia}) \nabla_b J_{jb} - \rho_{ji}^* + \rho_{i\bar{j}}^*.
\end{aligned}$$

We denote by $T = (T_{ij})$ the symmetric $(0, 2)$ -tensor defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.18) \quad T_{ij} &= -\lambda \rho_{ij} - \mu \rho_{i\bar{j}} + \mu (\rho_{ij}^* + \rho_{ji}^*) + \frac{1}{2} (\lambda \tau + \mu \tau^*) g_{ij} \\
&\quad - \mu \sum_{a,b} (J_{ia} \nabla_a \nabla_b J_{jb} + J_{ja} \nabla_a \nabla_b J_{ib} + (\nabla_a J_{ia}) \nabla_b J_{jb} + (\nabla_a J_{jb}) \nabla_b J_{ia}).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, from (3.16), (3.17), (3.18), we have the following.

Lemma 1. *(J, g) is a critical point of the functional $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda, \mu}$ on $\mathcal{AH}(M)$ if and only if (J, g) satisfies*

$$(3.19) \quad \int_M \sum_{i,j} (T_{ij} h_{ij} + 2\mu \rho_{i\bar{j}}^* A_{ij}) dv_g = 0$$

for any pair (h, A) of a symmetric $(0, 2)$ -tensor $h = (h_{ij})$ and a 2-form $A = (A_{ij})$ satisfying (3.11), where $T = (T_{ij})$ is the symmetric $(0, 2)$ -tensor defined by (3.18).

Now, we recall the following fact due to Blair-Ianus [1]:

Lemma 2. *Let $B = (B_{ij})$ be a symmetric $(0, 2)$ -tensor on M . Then*

$$\int_M \sum_{i,j} B_{ij} D_{ij} dv_g = 0$$

for all symmetric $(0, 2)$ -tensor D satisfying $D_{ij} + D_{\bar{i}\bar{j}} = 0$ if and only if B is J -invariant.

Now, let (J, g) be a critical point of $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda, \mu}$ and consider the Blair-Ianus deformation $(J(t), g(t))$ of (J, g) . Then, by Lemma 2, we see that the tensor T is J -invariant. Next, we consider the deformation $(J(t), g(t))$ of (J, g) of type (ii). Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_M \sum_{i,j} \left(\frac{1}{2} T_{ij} A_{\bar{i}\bar{j}} + \frac{1}{2} T_{\bar{i}\bar{j}} A_{ij} + 2\mu \rho_{ij}^* A_{ij} \right) dv_g \\ (3.20) \quad &= \int_M \sum_{i,j} \left(-\frac{1}{2} T_{\bar{i}\bar{j}} + \frac{1}{2} T_{ij} + 2\mu \rho_{\bar{i}\bar{j}}^* \right) A_{ij} dv_g \\ &= \int_M \sum_{i,j} (T_{\bar{i}\bar{j}} + 2\mu \rho_{\bar{i}\bar{j}}^*) A_{ij} dv_g = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all 2-forms $A = (A_{ij})$ on M . Thus, from (3.20), we have

$$(3.21) \quad T_{\bar{i}\bar{j}} + 2\mu \rho_{\bar{i}\bar{j}}^* = 0.$$

Thus, from (3.21), we see in particular that ρ^* is symmetric if $\mu \neq 0$.

Conversely, we assume that $T_{ij} - 2\mu \rho_{\bar{i}\bar{j}}^* = 0$ and $T = (T_{ij})$ is J -invariant. Then, for any (h, A) satisfying (3.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_M \sum_{i,j} (T_{ij} h_{ij} + 2\mu \rho_{\bar{i}\bar{j}}^* A_{ij}) dv_g \\ (3.22) \quad &= 2\mu \int_M \sum_{i,j} \rho_{\bar{i}\bar{j}}^* (h_{ij} - A_{\bar{i}\bar{j}}) dv_g \\ &= \mu \int_M \sum_{i,j} \rho_{\bar{i}\bar{j}}^* (h_{ij} + h_{\bar{i}\bar{j}} - A_{\bar{i}\bar{j}} - A_{\bar{j}\bar{i}}) dv_g \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of (3.11), and hence, from Lemma 1, we see that (J, g) is a critical point of $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda, \mu}$. Thus, summing up the above arguments, we have finally the following theorem.

Theorem 3. *(J, g) is a critical point of the functional $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda, \mu}$ on $\mathcal{AH}(M)$ if and only if (J, g) satisfies $T_{ij} = T_{\bar{i}\bar{j}}$ and $T_{\bar{i}\bar{j}} + 2\mu \rho_{\bar{i}\bar{j}}^* = 0$ (and hence, in particular ρ^* is symmetric for a critical point (J, g) of $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda, \mu}$ ($\mu \neq 0$)).*

Remark 1. From (3.18), taking account of the equality $T_{ij} = T_{\bar{i}\bar{j}}$, we may easily check that the equality $T_{\bar{i}\bar{j}} + 2\mu\rho_{\bar{i}\bar{j}}^* = 0$ in Theorem 3 can be rewritten as

$$(3.23) \quad \begin{aligned} & \lambda\rho_{ij} + \mu\rho_{\bar{i}\bar{j}} - \frac{1}{2}(\lambda\tau + \mu\tau^*)g_{ij} \\ & + \mu \sum_{a,b} (J_{ia}\nabla_a\nabla_b J_{jb} + J_{ja}\nabla_a\nabla_b J_{ib} + (\nabla_a J_{ia})\nabla_b J_{jb} + (\nabla_a J_{jb})\nabla_b J_{ia}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Further, by (3.18), we see that the equality $T_{ij} = T_{\bar{i}\bar{j}}$ is equivalent to the following equality.

$$(3.24) \quad \begin{aligned} & (\lambda - \mu)(\rho_{ij} - \rho_{\bar{i}\bar{j}}) + \mu \left(\sum_b \nabla_i \nabla_b J_{\bar{j}b} + \sum_b \nabla_j \nabla_b J_{\bar{i}b} + \sum_b \nabla_{\bar{i}} \nabla_b J_{jb} \right. \\ & + \sum_b \nabla_{\bar{j}} \nabla_b J_{ib} - \sum_{a,b} (\nabla_a J_{\bar{i}a})\nabla_b J_{\bar{j}b} - \sum_{a,b} (\nabla_a J_{\bar{j}b})\nabla_b J_{\bar{i}a} + \sum_{a,b} (\nabla_a J_{ia})\nabla_b J_{jb} \\ & \left. + \sum_{a,b} (\nabla_a J_{jb})\nabla_b J_{ia} \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let (J, g) be a critical point of $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda, \mu}$ on $\mathcal{AH}(M)$. Thus, from (3.23), we have further

$$(3.25) \quad (\lambda + \mu)\tau - n(\lambda\tau + \mu\tau^*) + \mu \sum \left(2J_{ia}\nabla_a\nabla_b J_{ib} + (\nabla_a J_{ia})\nabla_b J_{ib} + (\nabla_a J_{ib})\nabla_b J_{ia} \right) = 0.$$

Here, we have

$$(3.26) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum J_{ia}\nabla_a\nabla_b J_{ib} \\ & = \sum \nabla_a (J_{ia}\nabla_b J_{ib}) - \sum (\nabla_a J_{ia})\nabla_b J_{ib} \\ & = - \sum \nabla_a (J_{ib}\nabla_b J_{ia}) - \sum (\nabla_a J_{ia})\nabla_b J_{ib} \\ & = - \sum (\nabla_a J_{ib})\nabla_b J_{ia} - \sum J_{ib}\nabla_a\nabla_b J_{ia} - \sum (\nabla_a J_{ia})\nabla_b J_{ib} \\ & = - \sum J_{ib}\nabla_b\nabla_a J_{ia} + \sum J_{ib}(R_{abij}J_{ja} + R_{abaj}J_{ij}) \\ & \quad - \sum (\nabla_a J_{ia})\nabla_b J_{ib} - \sum (\nabla_a J_{ib})\nabla_b J_{ia} \\ & = - \sum J_{ia}\nabla_a\nabla_b J_{ib} + \tau^* - \tau \\ & \quad - \sum (\nabla_a J_{ia})\nabla_b J_{ib} - \sum (\nabla_a J_{ib})\nabla_b J_{ia}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence,

$$(3.27) \quad 2 \sum J_{ia}\nabla_a\nabla_b J_{ib} = \tau^* - \tau - \sum (\nabla_a J_{ia})\nabla_b J_{ib} - \sum (\nabla_a J_{ib})\nabla_b J_{ia}.$$

Thus, from Theorem 3, (3.26) and (3.28), we have the following:

Theorem 4. *Let (J, g) be a critical point of the functional $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda, \mu}$ on $\mathcal{AH}(M)$. Then, we have*

$$\lambda\tau + \mu\tau^* = 0.$$

From Theorems 3 and 4, taking account of (2.8) and (2.12), we have immediately the following Corollaries 5 and 6.

Corollary 5. *$(J, g) \in \mathcal{AK}(M)$ is a critical point of functional $\mathcal{F}_{-1, 1}$ on $\mathcal{AH}(M)$ if and only if (J, g) is a Kähler structure on M .*

Corollary 6. *$(J, g) \in \mathcal{NK}(M)$ is a critical point of functional $\mathcal{F}_{-1, 1}$ on $\mathcal{AH}(M)$ if and only if (J, g) is a Kähler structure on M .*

Remark 2. The result of Corollary 6 itself is weaker than the one in ([8], Corollary 4).

Remark 3. Let $M = (M, \Omega)$ be a compact symplectic manifold. We denote by $\mathcal{AK}(M, [\Omega])$ the set of all almost Kähler structures on M with the same Kähler class $[\Omega]$ in the de Rham cohomology group of degree 2. Then in [9], Oguro, Sekigawa, and Yamada showed that $\mathcal{F}_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}} = \frac{4\pi}{(n-1)!} (c_1 \cdot [\Omega]^{n-1})[M]$ for any $(J, g) \in \mathcal{AK}(M, [\Omega])$, where c_1 is the first Chern class of (M, J, g) . Thus, if $c_1 \cdot [\Omega]^{n-1} \neq 0$, then there does not exist a critical point in $\mathcal{AK}(M, [\Omega])$ of the functional $\mathcal{F}_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}$ on $\mathcal{AH}(M)$.

From Theorem 3 and (3.24), we have also the following.

Theorem 7. *Let $(J, g) \in \mathcal{SK}(M)$ be a critical point of the functional $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda, \mu}$ on $\mathcal{AH}(M)$. Then, we have*

$$(\lambda - \mu)(\rho_{ij} - \rho_{\bar{i}\bar{j}}) + \mu \sum_{a,b} ((\nabla_a J_{ib})\nabla_b J_{ja} - (\nabla_a J_{\bar{i}\bar{b}})\nabla_b J_{\bar{j}\bar{a}}) = 0.$$

Corollary 8. *Let $(J, g) \in \mathcal{QK}(M)$ be a critical point of the functional $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda, \mu}$ ($\lambda \neq \mu$) on $\mathcal{AH}(M)$. Then, ρ is J -invariant, and moreover, if $\mu \neq 0$, ρ^* is also J -invariant.*

Now let $M = (M, J, g)$ be a 4-dimensional Hermitian manifold. Then by (2.4) and (VI), we have the following equalities

$$(3.28) \quad \sum_a (\nabla_a J_{ib})\nabla_b J_{ia} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_a (\nabla_a J_{ia})\nabla_b J_{ib} = |\omega|^2.$$

Thus, from Theorem 4, (VI) in page 3, and (3.23), we easily have the following.

Theorem 9. *Let $M = (M, J, g)$ be a 4-dimensional Hermitian manifold. $(J, g) \in \mathcal{H}(M)$ is a critical point of $\mathcal{F}_{1, -1}$ on $\mathcal{AH}(M)$ if and only if (J, g) is a Kähler structure on M .*

4. Critical points of the functional $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\mu}$ on $\mathcal{AH}_c(M)$

In this section, we shall give a condition for an almost Hermitian structure to be a critical points of the functional $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\mu}$ on the space $\mathcal{AH}_c(M)$ for certain positive constant c . Let (J, g) be a critical point of $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\mu}$ on $\mathcal{AH}_c(M)$. First, consider a deformation $(J(t), g(t))$ of (J, g) of type (i) in Section 3, namely a Blair-Ianus deformation. Then, since $\Omega(t) = \Omega$, we see that $(J(t), g(t)) \in \mathcal{AH}_c(M)$ for sufficiently small $|t|$. Thus, applying the similar argument in Section 3 to the present case, we see that the tensor field T defined by (3.18) is J -invariant. Next, we consider the deformation $(J(t), g(t))$ in $\mathcal{AH}_c(M)$ of (J, g) of type (ii). Since $(J(t), g(t)) \in \mathcal{AH}_c(M)$, the 2-form $A = (A_{ij})$ have to satisfy the following equality

$$(4.1) \quad \int_M \sum_{ij} J_{ij} A_{ij} dv_g = 0.$$

Further, by taking account of the arguments in Section 3, we see that the 2-form A satisfies the equality (3.20).

Therefore, from (4.1), (3.20) and Lemma 2, by applying the Lagrange's multiplier method, we have finally the following:

Theorem 10. *(J, g) is a critical point of the functional $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\mu}$ on $\mathcal{AH}_c(M)$ if and only if $\lambda\tau + \mu\tau^*$ is constant, and $T_{ij} = T_{\bar{i}\bar{j}}$, $T_{ij} - 2\mu\rho_{ij}^* = Cg_{ij}$ hold on M with respect to (J, g) , where $C = \frac{n-1}{2n}(\lambda\tau + \mu\tau^*)$.*

From Theorem 10, we can easily deduce the following.

Corollary 11. *(J, g) is a critical point of the functional $\mathcal{F}_{1,0}$ on $\mathcal{AH}_c(M)$ if and only if (M, J, g) is Einstein.*

Corollary 11 is the almost Hermitian analogy of the result by Hilbert [5]. From Theorem 10, taking account of (2.10), (2.11), (2.12) and (3.11) in [2], for the critical points $(J, g) \in \mathcal{NK}(M)$ of the functional $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\mu}$ on $\mathcal{AH}_c(M)$, we easily have the following.

Corollary 12. *Let $(J, g) \in \mathcal{NK}(M)$ be a critical point of the functional $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\mu}(\lambda + \mu = 0)$ on $\mathcal{AH}_c(M)$ for some positive constant c if and only if*

- (1) *(J, g) is a Kähler structure on M , or*
- (2) *(J, g) is an Einstein and $*$ -Einstein non-Kähler, nearly Kähler structure on M with $\tau = 5\tau^*$.*

References

- [1] D. E. Blair and S. Ianus, *Critical associated metrics on symplectic manifolds*, Nonlinear problems in geometry (Mobile, Ala., 1985), 23–29, Contemp. Math., 51, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1986.
- [2] A. Gray, *The structure of nearly Kähler manifolds*, Math. Ann. **223** (1976), no. 3, 233–248.

- [3] ———, *Curvature identities for Hermitian and almost Hermitian manifolds*, Tohoku Math. J. (2) **28** (1976), no. 4, 601–612.
- [4] A. Gray and L. M. Hervella, *The sixteen classes of almost Hermitian manifolds and their linear invariants*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **123** (1980), 35–58.
- [5] D. Hilbert, *Die Grundlagen der Physik*, Nachr. Ges. Wiss. Gött. (1915), 395–407.
- [6] T. Koda, *Critical almost Hermitian structures*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **26** (1995), no. 7, 679–690.
- [7] S. Koto, *Some theorems on almost Kählerian spaces*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **12** (1960), 422–433.
- [8] T. Oguro and K. Sekigawa, *Some critical almost Kähler structures*, Colloq. Math. **111** (2008), no. 2, 205–212.
- [9] T. Oguro, K. Sekigawa, and A. Yamada, *Some critical almost Kähler structures with a fixed Kähler class*, Topics in contemporary differential geometry, complex analysis and mathematical physics, 269–277, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2007.
- [10] K. Sekigawa, *On some 4-dimensional compact almost Hermitian manifolds*, J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. **2** (1987), no. 2, 101–116.
- [11] ———, *Almost Hermitian manifolds satisfying some curvature conditions*, Kodai Math. J. **2** (1979), no. 3, 384–405.

JUNG CHAN LEE
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
SUNGKYUNKWAN UNIVERSITY
SUWON 440-746, KOREA
E-mail address: parkj@skku.edu

JEONG HYEONG PARK
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
SUNGKYUNKWAN UNIVERSITY
SUWON 440-746, KOREA
E-mail address: parkj@skku.edu

KOUEI SEKIGAWA
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
FACULTY OF SCIENCE
NIIGATA UNIVERSITY
NIIGATA, 950-2181, JAPAN
E-mail address: sekigawa@math.sc.niigata-u.ac.jp