

## LEFT JORDAN DERIVATIONS ON BANACH ALGEBRAS AND RELATED MAPPINGS

YONG-SOO JUNG\* AND KYOO-HONG PARK

ABSTRACT. In this note, we obtain range inclusion results for left Jordan derivations on Banach algebras: (i) Let  $\delta$  be a spectrally bounded left Jordan derivation on a Banach algebra  $A$ . Then  $\delta$  maps  $A$  into its Jacobson radical. (ii) Let  $\delta$  be a left Jordan derivation on a unital Banach algebra  $A$  with the condition  $\sup\{r(c^{-1}\delta(c)) : c \in A \text{ invertible}\} < \infty$ . Then  $\delta$  maps  $A$  into its Jacobson radical.

Moreover, we give an exact answer to the conjecture raised by Ashraf and Ali in [2, p. 260]: every generalized left Jordan derivation on 2-torsion free semiprime rings is a generalized left derivation.

### 1. Introduction

Throughout this note,  $R$  will represent an associative ring with center  $Z(R)$  and we will write  $[a, b]$  for the commutator  $ab - ba$ . The Jacobson radical of  $R$  which is the intersection of all primitive ideals of  $R$  will be denoted by  $rad(R)$ .

Recall that  $R$  is *semiprime* (resp. *prime*) if  $aRa = 0$  implies  $a = 0$  (resp.  $aRb = 0$  implies  $a = 0$  or  $b = 0$ ) and that  $R$  is semisimple if  $rad(R) = \{0\}$ . An additive mapping  $\delta : R \rightarrow R$  is called a *derivation* (resp. *Jordan derivation*) if  $\delta(ab) = a\delta(b) + \delta(a)b$  for all  $a, b \in R$  (resp.  $\delta(a^2) = a\delta(a) + \delta(a)a$  for all  $a \in R$ ). Obviously, every derivation is a Jordan derivation. The converse, in general, is not true. Brešar [4] proved that every Jordan derivation on a 2-torsion free semiprime ring is a derivation.

An additive mapping  $d : R \rightarrow R$  is said to be a *left Jordan derivation* or *Jordan left derivation* (resp. *left derivation*) if  $d(a^2) = 2ad(a)$  for all  $a \in R$  (resp.  $d(ab) = ad(b) + bd(a)$  for all  $a, b \in R$ ). Brešar, Vukman ([7], [17]), Deng [8] and Ashraf *et al.* [1] studied left Jordan derivations and left derivations on prime rings and semiprime rings, which are in a close connection with so-called commuting mappings.

---

Received November 9, 2008.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46H99, 47B47, 16N60.

*Key words and phrases.* (generalized) left Jordan derivation, (generalized) left derivation, derivation, spectral boundedness, Jacobson radical.

\*This work was supported by the Korea Research Foundation Grant funded by the Korean Government(KRF-2008-313-C00045).

Now let us introduce some principal results concerning derivations and related mappings in Banach algebra theory. The non-commutative Singer-Werner conjecture states that if  $\delta$  is a linear derivation on a Banach algebra  $A$  such that  $[\delta(a), a] \in \text{rad}(A)$  for all  $a \in A$ , then  $\delta(A) \subseteq \text{rad}(A)$ . This is equivalent to the fact that all primitive ideals of  $A$  are invariant under  $\delta$  [9]. There is some evidence for the validity of the conjecture [16]. It is known to be true if  $\delta$  is continuous ([7], [10]) or if  $A$  is commutative [15], while the classical Singer-Werner theorem [14] is affirmative if both hypotheses are satisfied. Also, as one of non-commutative versions of the Singer-Werner theorem (for example, [9]), Brešar and Vukman [7] proved that every continuous linear left derivation on a Banach algebra  $A$  maps  $A$  into  $\text{rad}(A)$ . And they raised the problem whether the above conclusion holds for any continuous linear left Jordan derivation [7]. In case  $A$  is commutative, the problem is equivalent to the classical Singer-Werner theorem.

In Section 2, we improve the results in [13] as non-commutative versions of the Singer-Werner theorem. Moreover, in Section 3, we give an exact answer to a conjecture raised by Ashraf and Ali [2] and investigate the spectral boundedness of generalized left derivations.

## 2. Range inclusion results for left Jordan derivations

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be Banach algebras. A linear mapping  $T : A \rightarrow B$  is called *spectrally bounded* if there is  $M \geq 0$  such that  $r(T(a)) \leq Mr(a)$  for all  $a \in A$ . If  $r(T(a)) = r(a)$  for all  $a \in A$ , we say that  $T$  is a *spectral isometry*. If  $r(a) = 0$ , then  $a$  is called *quasinilpotent*. (Herein,  $r(a) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|a^n\|^{\frac{1}{n}}$  denotes the *spectral radius* of the element  $a$ ).

*Remark 2.2.* Brešar and Mathieu [6] showed that if  $\delta$  is a linear derivation on a unital Banach algebra  $A$ , then the three conditions ‘ $\delta$  is spectrally bounded’, ‘ $\sup\{r(c^{-1}\delta(c)) \mid c \in A \text{ invertible}\} < \infty$ ’ and ‘ $\delta(A) \subseteq \text{rad}(A)$ ’ are equivalent each other.

We proved the following results concerning left Jordan derivations in [13] motivated by the Brešar and Mathieu’s results in Remark 2.2:

- (i) Every spectrally bounded left Jordan derivation  $\delta$  on a Banach algebra  $A$  such that  $[\delta(a), a] \in \text{rad}(A)$  for all  $a \in A$ , maps  $A$  into  $\text{rad}(A)$ .
- (ii) Every linear left Jordan derivation  $\delta$  on a unital Banach algebra  $A$  with the condition  $\sup\{r(c^{-1}\delta(c)) : c \in A \text{ invertible}\} < \infty$  such that  $[\delta(a), a] \in \text{rad}(A)$  for all  $a \in A$ , maps  $A$  into  $\text{rad}(A)$ .

Here we obtain our main results without the condition  $[\delta(a), a] \in \text{rad}(A)$  for all  $a \in A$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra. If  $\delta$  is a spectrally bounded left Jordan derivation on  $A$ , then we have  $\delta(A) \subseteq \text{rad}(A)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $r(\delta(a)) \leq Mr(a)$  for some  $M \geq 0$  and all  $a \in A$ . Since the canonical epimorphism  $\pi : A \rightarrow A/\text{rad}(A)$  is a spectral isometry, it follows from [7, Proposition 1.1(1°)] that

$$\begin{aligned} r(2a\delta(b)) &= r(\delta(ab + ba) - 2b\delta(a)) \\ &= r(\pi(\delta(ab + ba) - 2b\delta(a))) \\ &= r(\pi(\delta(ab + ba) - \pi(2b\delta(a)))) \\ &= r(\pi(\delta(ab + ba))) \\ &= r(\delta(ab + ba)) \\ &\leq Mr(ab + ba) = 0, \quad a \in A, \quad b \in \text{rad}(A). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain that  $r(a\delta(b)) = 0$  for all  $a \in A$  and  $b \in \text{rad}(A)$  and so Proposition 1 in [3, p. 126] tells us that  $\delta(\text{rad}(A)) \subseteq \text{rad}(A)$ . Then we can define a linear left Jordan derivation  $\bar{\delta}$  on the semisimple Banach algebra  $A/\text{rad}(A)$  by  $\bar{\delta}(a + \text{rad}(A)) = \delta(a) + \text{rad}(A)$  for all  $a \in A$ . Hence, from [19, Theorem 4], we conclude that  $\bar{\delta} = 0$ , i.e.,  $\delta(A) \subseteq \text{rad}(A)$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $A$  be a unital Banach algebra. If  $\delta$  is a linear left Jordan derivation on  $A$  with the condition  $\sup\{r(c^{-1}\delta(c)) : c \in A \text{ invertible}\} < \infty$ , then we have  $\delta(A) \subseteq \text{rad}(A)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\pi : A \rightarrow A/\text{rad}(A)$  be the canonical epimorphism. Let

$$s = \sup\{r(c^{-1}d(c)) : c \in A \text{ invertible}\} < \infty.$$

We claim that  $\delta(\text{rad}(A)) \subseteq \text{rad}(A)$ . Given  $c \in \text{rad}(A)$ , we have  $(1 + c)^{-1} = 1 - c(1 + c)^{-1} \in 1 + \text{rad}(A)$  and hence

$$\begin{aligned} r((1 + c)^{-1}d(1 + c)) &= r((1 - c(1 + c)^{-1})\delta(c)) \\ &= r(\delta(c) - c(1 + c)^{-1}\delta(c)) \\ &= r(\pi(\delta(c) - c(1 + c)^{-1}\delta(c))) \\ &= r(\pi(\delta(c)) - \pi(c(1 + c)^{-1}\delta(c))) \\ &= r(\pi(\delta(c))) \\ &= r(\delta(c)). \end{aligned}$$

By the assumption, it follows that  $r(d(c)) \leq s < \infty$  for all  $c \in \text{rad}(A)$ , hence  $r(d(c)) = 0$  for all  $c \in \text{rad}(A)$ . It follows from [7, Proposition 1.1(1°)] that

$$\begin{aligned} r(2a\delta(b)) &= r(\delta(ab + ba) - 2b\delta(a)) \\ &= r(\pi(\delta(ab + ba) - 2b\delta(a))) \\ &= r(\pi(\delta(ab + ba) - \pi(2b\delta(a)))) \\ &= r(\pi(\delta(ab + ba))) \\ &= r(\delta(ab + ba)) = 0, \quad a \in A, \quad b \in \text{rad}(A). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we see that  $r(a\delta(b)) = 0$  for all  $a \in A$  and  $b \in \text{rad}(A)$ , and so  $\delta(\text{rad}(A)) \subseteq \text{rad}(A)$ , as claimed. The remainder follows the same fashion as in the proof of Theorem 2.3. Hence we obtain  $\delta(A) \subseteq \text{rad}(A)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

### 3. Spectrally boundedness of generalized left derivations and generalized left Jordan derivations on semiprime rings

An additive mapping  $f : R \rightarrow R$  is called a *generalized derivation* (resp. *generalized Jordan derivation*) if there exists a derivation  $\delta : R \rightarrow R$  (resp. a Jordan derivation  $\delta : R \rightarrow R$ ) such that  $f(ab) = af(b) + \delta(a)b$  holds for all  $a, b \in R$  (resp.  $f(a^2) = af(a) + \delta(a)a$  holds for all  $a \in R$ ). The concept of generalized derivation has been introduced by Brešar [5]. Jing and Lu [11] proved that every generalized Jordan derivation on 2-torsion free prime ring is a generalized derivation. In case when  $R$  is semiprime, they conjectured that the result above may be still true, and Vukman [18] proved the conjecture.

An additive mapping  $g : R \rightarrow R$  is called a *generalized left derivation* (resp. *generalized left Jordan derivation*) if there exists a left derivation  $d : R \rightarrow R$  (resp. a left Jordan derivation  $d : R \rightarrow R$ ) such that  $g(ab) = ag(b) + bd(a)$  holds for all  $a, b \in R$  (resp.  $g(a^2) = ag(a) + ad(a)$  holds for all  $a \in R$ ).

Brešar and Mathieu [6, Theorem 2.8] obtained a necessary and sufficient condition for a generalized derivation to be spectrally bounded on a unital Banach algebra:

*Let  $f = \tau_t + \delta$  be a generalized derivation with  $t = f(1)$  associated with a derivation  $\delta$  on a unital Banach algebra  $A$ , where  $\tau_t$  is a left multiplication by  $t$ . The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i)  *$f$  is spectrally bounded.*
- (ii) *Both  $\tau_t$  and  $\delta$  are spectrally bounded.*

Let  $R$  be a ring. It is easy to prove that  $g : R \rightarrow R$  is a generalized left derivation if and only if  $g$  is of the form  $g = \lambda + d$ , where  $\lambda : R \rightarrow R$  is a right centralizer and  $d : R \rightarrow R$  is a left derivation. If  $R$  contains a unit element, then it is easy to see that  $g$  is a of the form  $g = \mu_k + d$ , where  $\mu_k$  is a right multiplication by  $k = \lambda(1)$ . We here apply the Brešar and Mathieu's result above to arbitrary spectrally bounded generalized left derivations.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $g = \mu_k + d$  be a generalized left derivation with  $k = \lambda(1)$  on a unital Banach algebra  $A$ . The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i)  *$g$  is spectrally bounded.*
- (ii) *Both  $\mu_k$  and  $d$  are spectrally bounded.*

*Proof.* Let  $\pi : A \rightarrow A/\text{rad}(A)$  be the canonical epimorphism. Suppose that both  $\mu_k$  and  $d$  are spectrally bounded. By [12, Theorem 3.12],  $d(A) \subseteq \text{rad}(A)$

whence

$$\begin{aligned}
 r(g(a)) &= r(\mu_k(a) + d(a)) \\
 &= r(\pi(\mu_k(a) + d(a))) \\
 &= r(\pi(ak) + \pi(d(a))) \\
 &= r(\pi(ak)) \\
 &= r(ak) \leq Mr(a)
 \end{aligned}$$

for some  $M \geq 0$  and all  $a \in A$ . Hence  $g$  is spectrally bounded.

Conversely, suppose that  $g$  is spectrally bounded. It suffices to show that  $d$  is spectrally bounded. For then, since we know that  $d(A) \subseteq \text{rad}(A)$  by [12, Theorem 3.12] and that  $r(ak) = r(g(a))$  for all  $a \in A$  as the above relation, it follows that  $\mu_k$  is spectrally bounded with the same constant as  $g$ .

From the relation

$$\begin{aligned}
 r(ad(b)) &= r(d(ab) - bd(a)) \\
 &= r(g(ab) - abk - bd(a)) \\
 &= r(\pi(g(ab) - abk - bd(a))) \\
 &= r(\pi(g(ab)) - \pi(abk) - \pi(bd(a))) \\
 &= r(\pi(g(ab))) \\
 &= r(g(ab)) \leq Mr(ab) = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

for some  $M \geq 0$  and for all  $a \in A$  and  $b \in \text{rad}(A)$ , we arrive at

$$r(ad(b)) = 0$$

for all  $a \in A$  and  $b \in \text{rad}(A)$  which implies that

$$d(\text{rad}(A)) \subseteq \text{rad}(A).$$

Since every left derivation on semisimple Banach algebras is zero by [12, Corollary 3.7], the induced derivation on the semisimple Banach algebra  $A/\text{rad}(A)$  yields  $d(A) \subseteq \text{rad}(A)$ . Therefore,  $d$  is spectrally bounded by [12, Theorem 3.12].  $\square$

An additive mapping  $\lambda : R \rightarrow R$  is called a left (resp. right) centralizer if  $\lambda(ab) = \lambda(a)b$  (resp.  $\lambda(ab) = a\lambda(b)$ ) holds for all  $a, b \in R$ . An additive mapping  $\lambda : R \rightarrow R$  is called left (resp. right) Jordan centralizer if  $\lambda(a^2) = \lambda(a)a$  (resp.  $\lambda(a^2) = a\lambda(a)$ ) holds for all  $a \in R$ .

Obviously, every left (resp. right) centralizer is a left (resp. right) Jordan centralizer. Zalar has proved the following fact.

**Lemma 3.2** ([20, Proposition 1.4]). *Let  $R$  be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring. If  $\lambda : R \rightarrow R$  is a left (resp. right) Jordan centralizer, then  $\lambda$  is a left (resp. right) centralizer.*

Recently, Ashraf and Ali [2] proved that every generalized left Jordan derivation on prime rings is a generalized left derivation. In [2], they also conjectured that every generalized left Jordan derivation on semiprime rings may be a generalized left derivation. Finally, we give an exact answer to this conjecture:

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $R$  be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring. If  $g : R \rightarrow R$  is a generalized left Jordan derivation, then  $g$  is a generalized derivation.*

*Proof.* Suppose that there exists  $d : R \rightarrow R$  is a left Jordan derivation such that

$$g(a^2) = ag(a) + ad(a)$$

is fulfilled for all  $a \in R$ . Let us denote  $g - d$  by  $\lambda$ . Using the relation above, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(a^2) &= g(a^2) - d(a^2) \\ &= ag(a) + ad(a) - 2ad(a) \\ &= a(g(a) - d(a)) \\ &= a\lambda(a) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $a \in R$ . We have therefore  $\lambda(a^2) = a\lambda(a)$  for all  $a \in R$ . In other words,  $\lambda$  is a right Jordan centralizer of  $R$ . Since  $R$  is a 2-torsion free semiprime ring, it follows from [19, Theorem 2] and Lemma 3.2 that  $d : R \rightarrow R$  is a derivation such that  $d(R) \subseteq Z(R)$  and  $\lambda$  is a right centralizer of  $R$ , respectively. Since we know that  $g = \lambda + d$ , we see that the equality  $g(ab) = ag(b) + d(a)b$  holds for all  $a, b \in R$ . That is, we conclude that  $g$  is a generalized derivation. The proof of the theorem is complete.  $\square$

## References

- [1] M. Ashraf, *On Jordan left derivations of Lie ideals in prime rings*, Southeast Asian Bull. Math. **25** (2001), no. 3, 379–382.
- [2] M. Ashraf and S. Ali, *On generalized Jordan left derivations in rings*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **45** (2008), no. 2, 253–261.
- [3] F. F. Bonsall and J. Duncan, *Complete Normed Algebras*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 80. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1973.
- [4] M. Brešar, *Jordan derivations on semiprime rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **104** (1988), no. 4, 1003–1006.
- [5] ———, *On the distance of the composition of two derivations to the generalized derivations*, Glasgow Math. J. **33** (1991), no. 1, 89–93.
- [6] M. Brešar and M. Mathieu, *Derivations mapping into the radical. III*, J. Funct. Anal. **133** (1995), no. 1, 21–29.
- [7] M. Brešar and J. Vukman, *On left derivations and related mappings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **110** (1990), no. 1, 7–16.
- [8] Q. Deng, *On Jordan left derivations*, Math. J. Okayama Univ. **34** (1992), 145–147.
- [9] M. Mathieu, *Where to find the image of a derivation*, Functional analysis and operator theory (Warsaw, 1992), 237–249, Banach Center Publ., 30, Polish Acad. Sci., Warsaw, 1994.
- [10] M. Mathieu and G. J. Murphy, *Derivations mapping into the radical*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **57** (1991), no. 5, 469–474.

- [11] W. Jing and S. Lu, *Generalized Jordan derivations on prime rings and standard operator algebras*, Taiwanese J. Math. **7** (2003), no. 4, 605–613.
- [12] Y.-S. Jung, *On left derivations and derivations of Banach algebras*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **35** (1998), no. 4, 659–667.
- [13] ———, *Some results on Jordan left derivations in Banach algebras*, Commun. Korean Math. Soc. **14** (1999), no. 3, 513–519.
- [14] I. M. Singer and J. Wermer, *Derivations on commutative normed algebras*, Math. Ann. **129** (1955), 260–264.
- [15] M. P. Thomas, *The image of a derivation is contained in the radical*, Ann. of Math. (2) **128** (1988), no. 3, 435–460.
- [16] ———, *Primitive ideals and derivations on noncommutative Banach algebras*, Pacific J. Math. **159** (1993), no. 1, 139–152.
- [17] J. Vukman, *Jordan left derivations on semiprime rings*, Math. J. Okayama Univ. **39** (1997), 1–6.
- [18] ———, *A note on generalized derivations of semiprime rings*, Taiwanese J. Math. **11** (2007), no. 2, 367–370.
- [19] ———, *On left Jordan derivations of rings and Banach algebras*, Aequationes Math. **75** (2008), no. 3, 260–266.
- [20] B. Zalar, *On centralizers of semiprime rings*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. **32** (1991), no. 4, 609–614.

YONG-SOO JUNG  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
SUN MOON UNIVERSITY  
CHUNGNAM 336-708, KOREA  
*E-mail address:* ysjung@sunmoon.ac.kr

KYOO-HONG PARK  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION  
SEOWON UNIVERSITY  
CHUNGBUK 361-742, KOREA  
*E-mail address:* parkkh@seowon.ac.kr