J. Korean Math. Soc. 47 (2010), No. 1, pp. 1–15 DOI 10.4134/JKMS.2010.47.1.001

# ON QUASI-STABLE EXCHANGE IDEALS

## HUANYIN CHEN

ABSTRACT. We introduce, in this article, the quasi-stable exchange ideal for associative rings. If I is a quasi-stable exchange ideal of a ring R, then so is  $M_n(I)$  as an ideal of  $M_n(R)$ . As an application, we prove that every square regular matrix over quasi-stable exchange ideal admits a diagonal reduction by quasi invertible matrices. Examples of such ideals are given as well.

## 1. Introduction

Following Ara (cf. [1]), an ideal I of a ring R is an exchange ideal provided that for every  $x \in I$  there exist an idempotent  $e \in I$  and elements  $r, s \in I$ such that e = xr = x + s - xs. Clearly, an ideal I of a ring R is an exchange ideal if and only if for any  $x \in I$ , there exists an idempotent  $e \in xR$  such that  $1-e \in (1-x)R$ . Exchange ideal plays a key role in the direct sum decomposition theory of exchange rings. Many authors have studied such ideals, e.g., [1] and [12].

So as to investigate directly infinite rings, we introduce a new class of exchange ideals, i.e., quasi-stable exchange ideals of a ring R. If I is a quasi-stable exchange ideal of a ring R, we will show that  $M_n(I)$  is a quasi-stable exchange ideal of  $M_n(R)$ . As is well known, every square matrix over a unit-regular ring admits a diagonal reduction. Ara et. al. extended this result and proved that every square regular matrix over a separative exchange ring admits a diagonal reduction by invertible matrices (cf. [2]). It is interesting to investigate diagonal reduction of matrices over an ideal of a ring R even though there exist some square matrices over R which can not be reduced. As an application, we prove that every square regular matrix over quasi-stable exchange ideal admits a diagonal reduction by quasi invertible matrices. These also give nontrivial generalizations of [4, Theorem 16] and [6, Theorem 11].

Throughout, all rings are associative with identity, all ideals are two-sided ideals and all modules are right unitary modules. We use  $M_n(R)$  to denote

O2010 The Korean Mathematical Society

Received February 15, 2007.

 $<sup>2000\</sup> Mathematics\ Subject\ Classification.\ 16E50,\ 19B10.$ 

Key words and phrases. quasi-stable ideal, exchange ideal, diagonal reduction.

### HUANYIN CHEN

the ring of  $n \times n$  matrices over R with identity  $I_n$ .  $GL_n(R)$  denotes the *n*dimensional general linear group of R. Set  $GL_n(I) = GL_n(R) \cap (I_n + M_n(I))$ . An element  $x \in R$  is regular provided that x = xyx for a  $y \in R$ .  $\Gamma(I)$  stands for the set of all products of a left invertible element and a right invertible element in 1 + I, i.e.,  $\{uv \in R \mid \exists s, t \in 1 + I \text{ such that } su = 1, vt = 1\}$ .

## 2. Equivalent characterizations

**Definition 2.1.** Let I be an ideal of a ring R. We say that I is a right quasi-stable ideal if aR + bR = R with  $a \in I, b \in R$  implies that there exists  $y \in R$  such that  $a + by \in \Gamma(I)$ . We say that I is a left quasi-stable ideal if Ra + Rb = R with  $a \in I, b \in R$  implies that there exists  $z \in R$  such that  $a + zb \in \Gamma(I)$ . An ideal I of a ring R is a quasi-stable ideal in case it is both right and left quasi-stable ideal.

Let J(R) be the Jacobson radical of rings R. If ax + b = 1 with  $a \in J(R), x, b \in R$ , then  $b \in U(R)$ . Hence,  $a + b \cdot b^{-1} = 1 + J(R) \in \Gamma(J(R))$ . Thus, J(R) is a right quasi-stable exchange ideal. The purpose of this section is to investigate several equivalent characterizations of right quasi-stable ideals. The left quasi-stable ideals have analogous results.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let I be an exchange ideal of a ring R. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) I is a right quasi-stable ideal.
- (2) Every element in I is a product of an idempotent in I and an element in  $\Gamma(I)$ .

*Proof.*  $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$  Given any  $x \in I$ , there exists  $y \in I$  such that x = xyx. Since xy + (1 - xy) = 1 with  $x \in I$ , we have  $z \in R$  such that  $x + (1 - xy)z = w \in \Gamma(I)$ . So x = xyx = xy(x + (1 - xy)z) = ew, where  $e = xy \in I$  is an idempotent.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$  Suppose that ax + b = 1 with  $a \in I, x, b \in R$ . Then  $b \in 1 + I$ . Since I is an exchange ideal of R, by [1, Lemma 1.1], we have an idempotent e = bs and 1 - e = (1 - b)t for some  $s, t \in R$ . Hence axt + e = 1, and then (1 - e)axt + e = 1. So  $(1 - e)a \in I$  is regular. Thus we have an idempotent  $f \in I$  and a  $w \in \Gamma(I)$  such that (1 - e)a = fw. So fwxt + e = 1, and then fwxt(1 - f) + e(1 - f) = 1 - f. We infer that f + e(1 - f) = 1 - fwxt(1 - f). Hence, (1 - e)a + e(1 - f)w = fw + e(1 - f)w = (1 - fwxt(1 - f))w. As a result,  $a + bs((1 - f)w - a) = (1 + fwxt(1 - f))^{-1}w \in \Gamma(I)$ . Therefore I is a right quasi-stable ideal.

**Corollary 2.3.** Let I be an exchange ideal of a ring R. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) I is a right quasi-stable ideal.
- (2) Whenever ax + b = 1 with  $a, x \in I, b \in 1 + I$ , there exists  $y \in R$  such that  $a + by \in \Gamma(I)$ .

*Proof.*  $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$  is trivial.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$  Let  $x \in I$  be regular. Then we have  $y \in I$  such that x = xyx. Since xy + (1-xy) = 1 with  $x, y \in I, 1-xy \in 1+I$ , by hypothesis, there exists  $z \in R$  such that  $x + (1-xy)z = w \in \Gamma(I)$ . Thus, x = xyx = xy(x + (1-xy)z) = ew, where  $e = xy \in I$  is an idempotent. According to Theorem 2.2, we obtain the result.  $\Box$ 

Recall that an ideal I of a ring R has stable range one provided that aR + bR = R with  $a \in I, b \in R$  implies that there exists  $y \in R$  such that  $a + by \in GL_1(R)$ . We recall a simple known result.

**Lemma 2.4.** Given  $ax + b = 1, a, x, b \in R$ , then the following hold:

- (1) If u(a+by) = 1, then (x+(1-xy)ub)(a+y(1-xa)) = 1. If (a+by)u = 1, then (a+y(1-xa))(x+(1-xy)ub) = 1.
- (2) If (x+zb)v = 1, then (x+(1-xa)z)(a+bv(1-za)) = 1. If v(x+zb) = 1, then (a+bv(1-za))(x+(1-xa)z) = 1.

Proof. Straightforward.

**Proposition 2.5.** Let I be an exchange ideal of a ring R. If I has stable range one, then I is a right quasi-stable ideal

*Proof.* Assume that ax + b = 1 with  $a, x \in I, b \in 1 + I$ . Then (a + (1 - a)b)(x + b) + (1 - a)b(1 - (x + b)) = 1, where  $a + (1 - a)b \in 1 + I$ . Since I has stable range one, we have  $y \in R$  such that  $(a + (1 - a)b) + (1 - a)b(1 - (x + b))y \in GL_1(I)$ . That is,  $a + (1 - a)b(1 + (1 - (x + b))y) \in GL_1(I)$ . As a(x + b) + (1 - a)b = 1, we can find  $z \in R$  such that  $x + b + z(1 - a)b \in GL_1(I)$ , i.e.,  $x + (1 + z(1 - a))b \in GL_1(I)$ . By using Lemma 2.4 again, we have  $t \in R$  such that  $a + bt \in GL_1(I)$ . Therefore I is a right quasi-stable ideal, as desired. □

It follows from Lemma 2.4 that stable range one for ideals is right and left symmetric. Recall that a ring R is perfect in case R/J(R) is a division ring and idempotents lift modulo J(R). Consequently, every ideal of a perfect ring is quasi-stable.

**Proposition 2.6.** Let I be an exchange ideal of a ring R. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) I is a right quasi-stable ideal.
- (2) For any regular  $a, b \in I$ , aR = bR implies that there exists  $w \in \Gamma(I)$  such that a = bw.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Suppose that aR = bR with regular  $a, b \in I$ . Then we have  $x, y \in R$  such that ax = b and a = by. Assume that b = bb'b. Replacing b'by with y, we may assume that  $y \in I$ . From yx + (1 - yx) = 1, we have  $z \in R$  such that  $y + (1 - yx)z = w \in \Gamma(I)$ . Hence a = by = b(y + (1 - yx)z) = bw, as required.

#### HUANYIN CHEN

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$  For any regular  $x \in I$ , there exists an idempotent  $e \in I$  such that xR = eR. So x = ew for some  $w \in \Gamma(I)$ . Therefore I is a right quasi-stable ideal by Theorem 2.2.

## 3. Extensions of matrices

A natural problem asks whether quasi-stable exchange ideal of a ring is invariant under matrix extension. In this section, we give this problem an affirmative answer. In the sequel, we say that the pair (a, b) is an *I*-unimodular row in case ax + by = 1 for some  $x \in I, y \in R$ . The *I*-unimodular row (a, b) is called *I*-reducible if there exists  $z \in R$  such that  $a + bz \in \Gamma(I)$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** Let (a,b) be a *I*-unimodular row in a ring *R*. Let  $u, v \in GL_1(I)$ and  $c \in R$ . Then (vau + vbc, vb) is also *I*-unimodular row. Furthermore, (a,b)is *I*-reducible if and only if so is (vau + vbc, vb).

Proof. Since (a, b) is an *I*-unimodular row in a ring *R*, we have  $x \in I, y \in R$ such that ax + by = 1. Hence  $(vau + vbc)(u^{-1}xv^{-1}) + vb(y - cu^{-1}x)v^{-1} = 1$ . Clearly,  $u^{-1}xv^{-1} \in I$ . So (vau + vbc, vb) is an *I*-unimodular row. Assume that (a, b) is *I*-reducible. Then we have  $y \in R$  such that  $a + by \in \Gamma(I)$ . Choose z = yu - c. Then we see that  $(vau + vbc) + (vb)z = v(a + by)u \in \Gamma(I)$ ; hence, (au + vbc, vb) is *I*-reducible. Conversely, assume that there exists  $z \in R$  such that  $vau + vbc + vbz \in \Gamma(I)$ . Then  $v(a + b(c + z)u^{-1})u \in \Gamma(I)$ . As  $u, v \in GL_1(I)$ ,  $a + b(c + z)u^{-1} \in \Gamma(I)$ . Therefore (a, b) is *I*-reducible.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3.2.** Let I be a right quasi-stable exchange ideal of a ring R. Then  $M_n(I)$  is a right quasi-stable exchange ideal of  $M_n(R)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* By [1, Theorem 1.4],  $M_n(I)$  is an exchange ideal of  $M_n(R)$ . We now induct on n. Assume inductively that the result holds for n. It will suffice to show that the result holds for n + 1. Suppose that (\*)

 $\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1(n+1)} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2(n+1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{(n+1)1} & a_{(n+1)2} & \cdots & a_{(n+1)(n+1)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} & \cdots & b_{1(n+1)} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} & \cdots & b_{2(n+1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_{(n+1)1} & b_{(n+1)2} & \cdots & b_{(n+1)(n+1)} \end{pmatrix} \\ + \begin{pmatrix} c_{11} & c_{12} & \cdots & c_{1(n+1)} \\ c_{21} & c_{22} & \cdots & c_{2(n+1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{(n+1)1} & c_{(n+1)2} & \cdots & c_{(n+1)(n+1)} \end{pmatrix} = I_{n+1}$ 

in  $M_{n+1}(R)$ , where

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1(n+1)} \\ a_{21} & \cdots & a_{2(n+1)} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{(n+1)1} & \cdots & a_{(n+1)(n+1)} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & \cdots & b_{1(n+1)} \\ b_{21} & \cdots & b_{2(n+1)} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_{(n+1)1} & \cdots & b_{(n+1)(n+1)} \end{pmatrix} \in M_{n+1}(I).$$

Then  $a_{11}b_{11} + a_{12}b_{21} + \cdots + a_{1(n+1)}b_{(n+1)1} + c_{11} = 1$  with  $a_{11} \in I$ . As I is a quasi-stable exchange ideal of R, we have  $z_1 \in R$  such that  $a_{11} + (a_{12}b_{21} + \cdots + a_{1n}b_{n1} + c_{11})z_1 \in \Gamma(I)$ . Since

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ b_{21}z_1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ b_{31}z_1 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_{(n+1)1}z_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in GL_{n+1}(I),$$

by virtue of Lemma 3.1, (\*) is  $M_{n+1}(I)$ -reducible if and only if this is so for the  $M_{n+1}(I)$ -unimodular row with elements

| ( | $a_{11}$         | $a_{12}$                  | $a_{13}$     |       | $a_1$      | (n+1)            | (              | 1           | 0 | 0 | • • • | 0)  |
|---|------------------|---------------------------|--------------|-------|------------|------------------|----------------|-------------|---|---|-------|-----|
|   | $a_{21}$         | $a_{22}$                  | $a_{23}$     |       | $a_2$      | (n+1)            |                | $b_{21}z_1$ | 1 | 0 | •••   | 0   |
|   | $a_{31}$         | $a_{32}$                  | $a_{33}$     | • • • | $a_3$      | (n+1)            |                | $b_{31}z_1$ | 0 | 1 | • • • | 0   |
|   | :                | :                         | ÷            | ·.    |            | :                |                | ÷           | ÷ | ÷ | ·     | :   |
| ( | $a_{(n+1)1}$     | $a_{(n+1)2}$              | $a_{(n+1)3}$ |       | $a_{(n+)}$ | $_{1)(n+1)}$     | $\int b_{(i)}$ | $(n+1)1z_1$ | 0 | 0 |       | 1 / |
|   | $\int c_{11}$    | $c_1$                     | 2 (          | 13    | •••        | $c_{1(n+1)}$     |                | $\int z_1$  | 0 | 0 |       | 0 \ |
|   | $c_{21}$         | $c_2$                     | 2 (          | 23    | • • •      | $c_{2(n+1)}$     |                | 0           | 0 | 0 |       | 0   |
| + | $c_{31}$         | $c_3$                     | 2 (          | 33    | •••        | $c_{3(n+1)}$     |                | 0           | 0 | 0 | • • • | 0   |
|   |                  | :                         |              | :     | ·          | :                |                |             | ÷ | ÷ | ·     | :   |
|   | $\int c_{(n+1)}$ | $c_{(n+1)1}$ $c_{(n+1)1}$ | $c_{(r)}$    | +1)3  |            | $c_{(n+1)(n+2)}$ | 1) /           | 0           | 0 | 0 |       | 0 / |

and

| $c_{11}$     | $c_{12}$     | $c_{13}$     | • • • | $c_{1(n+1)}$       | ۱  |
|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------|--------------------|----|
| $c_{21}$     | $c_{22}$     | $c_{23}$     | •••   | $c_{2(n+1)}$       |    |
| $c_{31}$     | $c_{32}$     | $c_{33}$     | •••   | $c_{3(n+1)}$       | Ι. |
| ÷            | ÷            | ÷            | ۰.    | ÷                  |    |
| $c_{(n+1)1}$ | $c_{(n+1)2}$ | $c_{(n+1)3}$ | • • • | $c_{(n+1)(n+1)}$ / | /  |

So we assume that  $a_{11} \in \Gamma(I)$ . From  $c_{21}, \ldots, c_{(n+1)1} \in I$ , we have  $a_{ij} \in I$ (either  $i \neq 1$  or  $j \neq 1$ ) in (\*). Write  $a_{11} = uv, su = 1, vt = 1, s, t \in 1 + I$ . Then  $sa_{11}t = 1$ , and so

| $\left( s\right)$ | 0         | 0 |       | 0 \ |
|-------------------|-----------|---|-------|-----|
| $1 - a_{11}ts$    | $a_{11}t$ | 0 | • • • | 0   |
| 0                 | 0         | 1 | • • • | 0   |
|                   | ÷         | ÷ | ۰.    | :   |
| 0                 | 0         | 0 | •••   | 1 / |

$$\times \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & \cdots & a_{1(n+1)} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & \cdots & a_{2(n+1)} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} & \cdots & a_{3(n+1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{(n+1)1} & a_{(n+1)2} & a_{(n+1)3} & \cdots & a_{(n+1)(n+1)} \end{pmatrix} \\ \times \begin{pmatrix} t & 1 - tsa_{11} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & sa_{11} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & sa_{11} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & d_{12} & d_{13} & \cdots & d_{1(n+1)} \\ d_{21} & d_{22} & d_{23} & \cdots & d_{2(n+1)} \\ d_{31} & d_{32} & d_{33} & \cdots & d_{3(n+1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ d_{(n+1)1} & d_{(n+1)2} & d_{(n+1)3} & \cdots & d_{(n+1)(n+1)} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{pmatrix} s & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 1 - a_{11}ts & a_{11}t & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}t & 1 - a_{11}ts & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & s & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} t & 1 - tsa_{11} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & sa_{11} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & sa_{11} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \in GL_{n+1}(I).$$

Thus (\*) is  $M_{n+1}(I)$ -reducible if and only if this is so for the  $M_{n+1}(I)$ -unimodular row with elements

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & d_{12} & d_{13} & \cdots & d_{1(n+1)} \\ d_{21} & d_{22} & d_{23} & \cdots & d_{2(n+1)} \\ d_{31} & d_{32} & * & \cdots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ d_{(n+1)1} & d_{3(n+1)} & * & \cdots & d_{(n+1)(n+1)} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} s & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 1 - a_{11}ts & a_{11}t & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{11} & c_{12} & c_{13} & \cdots & c_{1(n+1)} \\ c_{21} & c_{22} & c_{23} & \cdots & c_{2(n+1)} \\ c_{31} & c_{32} & c_{33} & \cdots & c_{3(n+1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{(n+1)1} & c_{(n+1)2} & c_{(n+1)3} & \cdots & c_{(n+1)(n+1)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

In (\*), we may assume that  $d_{ij} \in I$  (either  $3 \le i \le n+1$  or  $3 \le j \le n+1$ ) and  $d_{12} = sa_{11}(1 - tsa_{11}) + sa_{12}sa_{11}, d_{21} = (1 - a_{11}ts)a_{11}t + a_{11}ta_{21}t, d_{22} = ((1 - a_{11}ts)a_{11} + a_{11}ta_{21})(1 - tsa_{11}) + ((1 - a_{11}ts)a_{12} + a_{11}ta_{22})sa_{11} \in I$ . By Lemma 3.1 again, (\*) is  $M_{n+1}(I)$ -reducible if and only if this is so for the  $M_{n+1}(I)$ -unimodular row with elements

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & * & * & \cdots & * \\ 0 & * & * & \cdots & * \\ 0 & * & * & \cdots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & * & * & \cdots & * \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ * & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ * & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ * & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{11} & c_{12} & c_{13} & \cdots & c_{1(n+1)} \\ c_{21} & c_{22} & c_{23} & \cdots & c_{2(n+1)} \\ c_{31} & c_{32} & c_{33} & \cdots & c_{3(n+1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{(n+1)1} & c_{(n+1)2} & c_{(n+1)3} & \cdots & c_{(n+1)(n+1)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

So we may assume that  $a_{11} = 1, a_{1i} = 0 = a_{i1}$   $(2 \le i \le n+1)$  in (\*). Furthermore, we may assume that (\*) is in the following form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0_{1\times n} \\ 0_{n\times 1} & D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e_{11} & E_{12} \\ E_{21} & E_{22} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} c_{11} & C_{12} \\ C_{21} & C_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & I_n \end{pmatrix},$$

 $D \in M_n(I)$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} e_{11} & E_{12} \\ E_{21} & E_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in M_{n+1}(I)$ . This infers that  $DE_{22} + C_{22} = I_n$ . By the induction hypothesis,  $M_n(I)$  is a quasi-stable exchange ideal of  $M_n(R)$ . So we can find  $Z_2 \in M_n(R)$  such that  $D + C_{22}Z_2 \in \Gamma(M_n(I))$ . Thus, we pass to the  $M_{n+1}(I)$ -unimodular row with elements

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0_{1\times n} \\ 0_{n\times 1} & D \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} c_{11} & C_{12} \\ C_{21} & C_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0_{1\times n} \\ 0_{n\times 1} & Z_2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} c_{11} & C_{12} \\ C_{21} & C_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

In addition, we have  $C_{12} \in M_{1 \times n}(I)$ . It suffices to prove that  $M_{n+1}(I)$ -unimodular row with elements

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & C_{12}Z_2\\0_{n\times 1} & D+C_{22}Z_2\end{array}\right) \text{ and } \left(\begin{array}{cc}c_{11} & C_{12}\\C_{21} & C_{22}\end{array}\right)$$

is  $M_{n+1}(I)$ -reducible. Write  $D + C_{22}Z_2 = UV$ ,  $SU = I_n$ ,  $VT = I_n$ ,  $S, T \in I_n + M_n(I)$ . Thus,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & C_{12}Z_2 \\ 0_{n\times 1} & D + C_{22}Z_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0_{1\times n} \\ 0_{n\times 1} & U \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & C_{12}Z_2 \\ 0_{n\times 1} & V \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0_{1\times n} \\ 0_{n\times 1} & S \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0_{1\times n} \\ 0_{n\times 1} & U \end{pmatrix} = I_{n+1},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & C_{12}Z_2 \\ 0_{n\times 1} & V \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0_{1\times n} \\ 0_{n\times 1} & T \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -C_{12}Z_2T \\ 0_{n\times 1} & I_2 \end{pmatrix} = I_{n+1},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0_{1\times n} \\ 0_{n\times 1} & S \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0_{1\times n} \\ 0_{n\times 1} & T \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -C_{12}Z_2T \\ 0_{n\times 1} & I_2 \end{pmatrix} \in I_{n+1} + M_{n+1}(I).$$

#### HUANYIN CHEN

This implies that  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & C_{12}Z_2 \\ 0 & D+C_{22}Z_2 \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma(M_{n+1}(I))$ , as required.

**Corollary 3.3.** Let I be a right quasi-stable exchange ideal of a ring R. Then every regular  $n \times n$  matrix over I is a product of an idempotent  $n \times n$  matrix over I and an matrix in  $\Gamma(M_n(I))$ .

*Proof.* Since I is a right quasi-stable exchange ideal of R, by Theorem 3.2,  $M_n(I)$  is a right quasi-stable exchange ideal of  $M_n(R)$ . Therefore we complete the proof from Theorem 2.2.

Let FP(I) denote the set of finitely generated projective right *R*-module *P* such that P = PI.

**Lemma 3.4.** Let I be an exchange ideal of a ring R. If  $P \in FP(I)$ . Then there exist idempotents  $e_1, \ldots, e_n \in I$  such that  $P \cong e_1R \oplus \cdots \oplus e_nR$ .

*Proof.* See [1, Proposition 1.5].

**Lemma 3.5.** Let I be a quasi-stable exchange ideal of a ring R. For any regular  $a, b \in I$ ,  $aR \cong bR$  implies that  $a = w_1bw_2$  for some  $w_1, w_2 \in \Gamma(I)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\psi : aR \cong bR$ . Then one easily checks that  $Ra = R\psi(a)$  and  $\psi(a)R = bR$ . As  $a \in I$ , we have  $\psi(a) \in Ra \subseteq I$ . Since I is a right quasistable ideal, it follows by Proposition 2.6 that there exists  $w_2 \in \Gamma(I)$  such that  $bw_2 = \psi(a)$ . Likewise, we have  $w_1 \in \Gamma(I)$  such that  $a = w_1\psi(a)$ . Therefore  $a = w_1bw_2$ , where  $w_1, w_2 \in \Gamma(I)$ .

We use  $A^T$  to denote the transpose of the matrix A. We now derive the main result of this article.

**Theorem 3.6.** Let I be a quasi-stable exchange ideal of a ring R. Then every square regular matrix over I admits a diagonal reduction by quasi invertible matrices.

Proof. Given any regular  $A \in M_n(I)$ , we have an idempotent matrix  $E \in M_n(I)$  such that  $AR^{n\times 1} = E^{n\times 1}R^{n\times 1}$ , where  $R^{n\times 1} = \{(x_1,\ldots,x_n)^T \mid x_1,\ldots,x_n \in R\}$ . Clearly,  $ER^{n\times 1} \in FP(I)$ . By Lemma 3.4, there exist idempotents  $e_1,\ldots,e_n \in I$  such that  $ER^{n\times 1} \cong e_1R \oplus \cdots \oplus e_nR \cong \operatorname{diag}(e_1,\ldots,e_n)R^{n\times 1}$  as right *R*-modules. Set  $R^{1\times n} = \{(x_1,\ldots,x_n) \mid x_1,\ldots,x_n \in R\}$ . Then  $AR^{n\times 1} \bigotimes_R R^{1\times n} \cong \operatorname{diag}(e_1,\ldots,e_n)R^{n\times 1} \bigotimes_R R^{1\times n}$ . So  $AM_n(R) \cong \operatorname{diag}(e_1,\ldots,e_n)M_n(R)$ . Therefore the result follows.

Let I be an ideal of a ring R. We use  $TM_n(R)$  to denote the ring of all  $n \times n$ lower triangular matrices over R and  $TM_n(I)$  to denote the ideal of all  $n \times n$ lower triangular matrices over I.

**Lemma 3.7.** Let I be an ideal of a ring R, and let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $u_{ii} \in \Gamma(I)$   $(1 \le i \le n), u_{ij} \in I$   $(j < i, 1 \le i, j \le n)$  and  $u_{ij} = 0$   $(i < j, 1 \le i, j \le n)$ . Then  $(u_{ij})_{n \times n} \in \Gamma(TM_n(I))$ .

Proof. Straightforward.

**Proposition 3.8.** Let I be a right quasi-stable exchange ideal of a ring R, and let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $TM_n(I)$  is a right quasi-stable exchange ideal of  $TM_n(R)$ .

*Proof.* Obviously,  $TM_n(I)$  is an exchange ideal of  $TM_n(R)$ . Given

 $a_{ij}, b_{ij} \in I \ (j < i, 1 \le i, j \le n)$ . By virtue of Lemma 3.7, we get

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \cdots & 0\\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\\ * & \cdots & a_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & \cdots & 0\\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\\ * & \cdots & x_n \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & \cdots & 0\\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\\ * & \cdots & b_n \end{pmatrix} = I_n$$

with  $\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ * & \cdots & a_n \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{pmatrix} x_1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ * & \cdots & x_n \end{pmatrix} \in TM_n(I)$ , then for each  $i \ (1 \le i \le n)$  we get  $a_{ii}x_{ii} + b_{ii} = 1$  with  $a_{ii} \in I, x_{ii}, b_{ii} \in R$ . As I is a right quasi-stable ideal, we can find  $y_i \in R$  such that  $a_{ii} + b_{ii}y_i \in \Gamma(I)$ . Clearly,  $b_{ii} \in 1 + I$  and

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \cdots & 0\\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\\ * & \cdots & a_n \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & \cdots & 0\\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\\ * & \cdots & b_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_1 & \cdots & 0\\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\\ 0 & \cdots & y_n \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} + b_{11}y_1 & \cdots & 0\\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\\ * & \cdots & a_{nn} + b_{nn}y_n \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma(TM_n(I)),$$

as required.

# 4. Examples

The aim of this section is to construct several examples of quasi-stable ideals. A natural problem asks that if right quasi-stable ideal is right and left symmetric. So far, we can not answer this question. Now we establish an interesting properties of such ideals, which is an extension of [4, Lemma 14].

**Proposition 4.1.** Let I be a right quasi-stable ideal of a ring R. Then for any regular  $x \in I$ , there exist an idempotent  $e \in R$ , a right invertible  $u \in 1 + I$ , a left invertible  $v \in 1 + I$  such that x = euv.

*Proof.* Assume that  $A = (a_{ij}) \in GL_2(R) \cap \begin{pmatrix} 1+I & 1+I \\ I & 1+I \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $a_{12} \in \Gamma(I)$ . Write  $a_{12} = uv, su = 1, vt = 1, s, t \in 1 + I$ . Then  $sa_{12}t = 1$ . Clearly, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} s & 0 \\ 1 - a_{12}ts & a_{12}t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{12}t & 1 - a_{12}ts \\ 0 & s \end{pmatrix}^{-1}, \begin{pmatrix} sa_{12} & 0 \\ 1 - tsa_{12} & t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} t & 1 - tsa_{12} \\ 0 & sa_{12} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \in GL_2(I).$$

So we get

$$\begin{pmatrix} s & 0\\ 1-a_{12}ts & a_{12}t \end{pmatrix} A \begin{pmatrix} sa_{12} & 0\\ 1-tsa_{12} & t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} * & 1\\ * & * \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\in GL_2(R) \cap \begin{pmatrix} 1+I & 1+I\\ I & 1+I \end{pmatrix}.$$

We infer that

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} s & 0 \\ 1 - a_{12}ts & a_{12}t \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} * & 1 \\ * & * \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} sa_{12} & 0 \\ 1 - tsa_{12} & t \end{pmatrix}^{-1}.$$

Therefore

$$A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} sa_{12} & 0\\ 1 - tsa_{12} & t \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} * & 1\\ * & * \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} s & 0\\ 1 - a_{12}ts & a_{12}t \end{pmatrix}.$$

From  $\binom{*1}{**} \in GL_2(R) \cap \binom{1+I}{I} \stackrel{1+I}{1+I}$ , we can find  $u \in GL_1(I)$  such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ * & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} * & 1 \\ * & * \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ * & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ u & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u^{-1} \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$$

where  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ * & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(R) \cap \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1+I & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Thus

$$\begin{pmatrix} * & 1 \\ * & * \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ * & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u^{-1} \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ * & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} * & u^{-1} \\ * & * \end{pmatrix}$$

So we deduce that

$$A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} sa_{12} & 0\\ 1 - tsa_{12} & t \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} * & u^{-1}\\ * & * \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} s & 0\\ 1 - a_{12}ts & a_{12}t \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} * & sa_{12}u^{-1}a_{12}t\\ * & * \end{pmatrix}.$$

As  $u \in 1 + I$ , we have  $u^{-1} \in 1 + I$ . Set  $w = sa_{12}u^{-1}a_{12}t$ . As  $sa_{12}t = 1$ , we see that  $sa_{12} \in 1 + I$  is right invertible and  $u^{-1}a_{12}t \in 1 + I$  is left invertible. Assume that  $B = (b_{ij}) \in GL_2(I)$ . Write  $B^{-1} = (c_{ij})$ . Then  $B^{-1} \in GL_2(I)$ ;

Assume that  $B = (b_{ij}) \in GL_2(I)$ . Write  $B^{-1} = (c_{ij})$ . Then  $B^{-1} \in GL_2(I)$ ; hence,  $c_{12}R + c_{11}R = R$  with  $c_{12} \in I$ . As I is a right quasi-stable ideal, we can find  $y \in R$  such that  $c_{12} + c_{11}y \in \Gamma(I)$ . Obviously,  $y \in 1 + I$ , and so

$$B^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{cc}1&y\\0&1\end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc}*&c_{12}+c_{11}y\\*&*\end{array}\right) \in GL_2(R) \cap \left(\begin{array}{cc}1+I&1+I\\I&1+I\end{array}\right).$$

By the consideration above, we can find some  $w_1 \in 1 + I$  such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -y \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} B = \begin{pmatrix} B^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & y \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} * & c_{12} + c_{11}y \\ * & * \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} * & w_1 \\ * & * \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $w_1$  is the product of a right invertible element and a left invertible element  $v \in 1 + I$ .

Given ax + b = 1 with  $a, x \in I, b \in R$ , then  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ -a & b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1-xa & x \\ -a & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \in GL_1(I)$ . By the proceeding discussion, we can find  $z \in R$  such that  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ -a & b \end{pmatrix} =$ 

 $\binom{* w_2}{* *}$ , where  $w_2 \in 1 + I$  is the product of a right invertible element and a left invertible element  $v \in 1 + I$ . Therefore  $x + zb = w_2$ .

For any regular  $x \in I$ , it follows from xy + (1 - xy) = 1 that  $w := x + (1 - xy)z \in 1 + I$  is the product of a right invertible element and a left invertible element  $v \in 1 + I$ . Set  $e = xy \in I$ . Then x = xy(x + (1 - xy)z) = ew, where  $e = e^2 \in I$  is an idempotent. Therefore we complete the proof.  $\Box$ 

Recall that an ideal I of a ring R is regular provided that for any  $x \in I$  there exists  $y \in I$  such that x = xyx. We say that a ring R is right quasi-stable in case it is a right quasi-stable ideal as itself.

**Proposition 4.2.** Let I be a regular ideal of a ring R. If eRe is a right quasistable ring for all idempotents  $e \in I$ , then I is a right quasi-stable exchange ideal of R.

*Proof.* By [1, Example], *I* is an exchange ideal. Given ax + b = 1 with  $a \in I, x, b \in R$ , then a = aa'a for a  $a' \in R$ . Set c = a'ax. Then ac + b = 1 with  $a, c \in I, b \in 1 + I$ . As  $a, c, 1 - b \in I$ . In view of [7, Lemma 3.2], there exists an idempotent  $e \in I$  such that  $a, x, 1 - b \in eRe$ . Hence, (1 - b)(1 - e) = 0, and so b(1 - e) = 1 - e. In addition, (1 - b)e = 1 - b; hence, b = be + 1 - e. Thus, ax + be = e. This implies that  $be \in eRe$ , and so ebe = be. Since ax + ebe = e, by hypothesis, we can find some  $u, v, s, t \in eRe$  such that a + ebeye = uv, su = e, vt = e for a  $y \in R$ . Thus, a + beye + 1 - e = (u + 1 - e)(v + 1 - e), and so a + b(eye + 1 - e) = (u + 1 - e)(v + 1 - e), where (s + 1 - e)(u + 1 - e) = 1, (v + 1 - e)(t + 1 - e) = 1 and  $s + 1 - e, t + 1 - e \in 1 + I$ . Therefore *I* is a right quasi-stable ideal of *R*, as desired. □

**Corollary 4.3.** Let I be a regular ideal of a ring R. If aR + bR = R with  $a \in 1 + I, b \in R$  implies that there exists  $y \in R$  such that  $a + by \in R$  is right or left invertible, then I is a quasi-stable exchange ideal of R.

*Proof.* Let  $e \in I$  be an idempotent. In view of [5, Lemma 4.1], eRe is one-sided unit-regular. For any  $x \in eRe$ , by [3, Theorem 4], there exist an idempotent  $f \in eRe$  and a right or left  $u \in eRe$  such that x = eu. This implies that eRe is a right quasi-stable ring from Theorem 2.2. According to Proposition 4.2, I is a right quasi-stable exchange ideal. By the symmetry of one-sided unit-regularity, we establish the result.

Recall that an ideal I of a regular ring R satisfies the comparability axiom provided that for any  $x, y \in I$ , either  $xR \leq yR$  or  $yR \leq xR$  (cf. [10]). Let Ibe an ideal of a regular ring R. If I satisfies the comparability axiom, we note that aR + bR = R with  $a \in 1 + I, b \in R$  implies that  $a + by \in R$  is right or left invertible for a  $y \in R$ .

**Corollary 4.4.** Let I be a regular ideal of a ring R. If I satisfies the comparability axiom, then I is quasi-stable.

*Proof.* Clearly, aR + bR = R with  $a \in 1 + I, b \in R$  implies that  $a + by \in R$  is right or left invertible. Therefore we complete the proof by Corollary 4.3.  $\Box$ 

By [8, Corollary 9.15], every regular, right self-injective ring satisfies general comparability. We now extend this result to right injective ideals of regular rings.

**Proposition 4.5.** Let I be a regular ideal of a ring R. If I is an injective right R-module, then I is a quasi-stable ideal of R.

*Proof.* Since I is regular, I is an exchange ideal. As I is injective, there exists a splitting exact sequence  $0 \to I \hookrightarrow R \to R/I \to 0$ . Thus, we have a right *R*-module  $C \cong R/I$  such that  $R = I \oplus C$ . Thus, I = eR for some idempotent  $e \in I$ . Let  $f \in I$  be an idempotent. Then we have an inclusion  $i : fR \hookrightarrow eR$ . Construct a R-morphism  $\varphi : eR \to fR$  given by  $\varphi(er) = fer$  for any  $r \in R$ . It is easy to verify that  $\varphi i = 1_{fR}$ . This implies that the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow$  $fR \hookrightarrow eR \to eR/fR \to 0$  splits. Thus, we have a right *R*-module  $D \cong eR/fR$ such that  $eR = fR \oplus D$ . Since eR is injective, so is fR. For any  $m \in Z(fR)$ , there exists some  $z \in R$  such that m = mzm. Hence, r(m) = (1 - zm)R. As  $r(m) \bigcap zmR = 0$ , we get zmR = 0; hence, m = mzm = 0. That is, Z(fR) = 0, i.e., fR is nonsingular. In view of [8, Corollary 1.23],  $fRf \cong \operatorname{End}_R(fR)$  is a regular, right self-injective ring. According to [8, Corollary 9.15], eRe satisfies general comparability. Let  $x \in fRf$ , we can find an idempotent  $g \in fRf$  and a related unit  $w \in fRf$  such that x = qw. As  $w \in fRf$  is a related unit, there exists an idempotent  $g \in fRf$  such that  $gw \in g(fRf)$  is right invertible and  $(f-g)w \in (f-g)(fRf)$  is left invertible. Thus, w = ((f-g)w+g)(gw+f-g). According to Theorem 2.2, eRe is a right quasi-stable ring. According to Proposition 4.2, I is a right quasi-stable ideal. Analogously, we show that I is a left quasi-stable ideal. Therefore I is quasi-stable, as desired.  $\square$ 

Let R be a regular ring, and let  $a \in R$ . If RaR is injective, it follows from Proposition 4.5 and Theorem 2.2 that a is the product of an idempotent, a left invertible element and a right invertible element.

**Example 4.6.** Let R be regular, and let

 $I = \{ x \in R \mid xR \text{ is injective} \}.$ 

Then I is a quasi-stable ideal.

*Proof.* It is directly proved that I is an ideal of R. For any  $a \in I$ , there exists an idempotent  $e \in I$  such that  $a \in eRe$  from [6, Lemma 3.2]. As eR is injective, it follows from [8, corollary 1.23] that eRe is a regular, right self-injective ring. Thus, it satisfies related comparability. Hence, there exists an idempotent  $f \in eRe$  and a related unit  $w \in ere$  such that a = eu. This implies that a = e(u + 1 - e), where  $e \in I$  is an idempotent and  $u + 1 - e \in \Gamma(I)$ . According to Theorem 2.2, I is a right quasi-stable ideal. Similarly, we show that I is a left quasi-injective ideal, as asserted.

#### 5. Directly finite ideals

We say that an ideal I of a ring R is directly finite provided that for any  $a, b \in I$ , (1 + a)(1 + b) = 1 implies that (1 + b)(1 + a) = 1. An ideal I of a ring R is said to be of bounded index provided that there exists some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x^n = 0$  for any nilpotent element  $x \in I$ . Let R be a regular ring, and let  $I = \{x \in R \mid \operatorname{End}_R(xR) \text{ is of bounded index}\}$ . Then I is a directly finite, quasi-stable exchange ideal.

**Lemma 5.1.** Let I be a directly finite, right quasi-stable exchange ideal of a ring R. Suppose that  $AX + B = I_n$  with  $A, X \in M_n(I), B \in M_n(R)$ . Then

- (1) There exists some  $Y \in M_n(R)$  such that  $A + BY \in GL_n(I)$ .
- (2) There exists some  $Z \in M_n(R)$  such that  $X + ZB \in GL_n(I)$ .

*Proof.* (1) Since I is directly finite, one easily checks that  $\Gamma(I) = GL_1(I)$ . By iteration of the process of Theorem 3.2 and replacing the elements in  $\Gamma(I)$  by invertible elements in 1 + I, we can find some  $Y \in M_n(R)$  such that  $A + BY \in GL_n(I)$ .

(2) By (1), there is  $Y \in M_n(R)$  such that  $A + BY \in GL_n(I)$ . In view of Lemma 2.4, one directly verifies that  $(X + (X_n - XY)(A + BY)^{-1}B)^{-1} = A + Y(I_n - XA)$ . Check  $Z = (X_n - XY)(A + BY)^{-1}$ . Then  $X + ZB \in GL_n(I)$ , as asserted.

**Theorem 5.2.** Let I be a directly finite, right quasi-stable exchange ideal of a ring R. Then for any regular  $A \in M_n(I)$  there exist  $U, V \in GL_n(I)$  such that  $UAV = \text{diag}(e_1, \ldots, e_n)$  for some idempotents  $e_1, \ldots, e_n \in I$ .

Proof. Given any regular matrix  $A \in M_n(I)$ , there exists  $E = E^2 \in M_n(I)$ such that  $AM_n(R) = EM_n(R)$ . Similarly to Theorem 3.4, we have idempotents  $e_1, \ldots, e_n \in I$  such that  $\varphi : AM_n(R) \cong \operatorname{diag}(e_1, \ldots, e_n)M_n(R)$ . Then  $M_n(R)A = M_n(R)\varphi(A), \varphi(A)M_n(R) = \operatorname{diag}(e_1, \ldots, e_n)M_n(R)$ . One directly verifies that there exist some  $X, Y \in M_n(I)$  such that  $XA = \varphi(A)$  and  $A = Y\varphi(A)$ . Since  $YX + (I_n - YX) = I_n$ , it follows by Lemma 5.1 that there exists some  $Z \in M_n(R)$  such that  $U := X + Z(I_n - YX) \in GL_n(I)$ . Hence  $UA = (X + Z(I_n - YX))A = XA = \varphi(A)$ . Likewise, we can find some  $V \in GL_n(I)$  such that  $\varphi(A)V = \operatorname{diag}(e_1, \ldots, e_n)$ . Therefore UAV = $\operatorname{diag}(e_1, \ldots, e_n)$ , as asserted.  $\Box$ 

Let I be an ideal of a ring R. Set  $B(I) = \{e \in I \mid e = e^2 \text{ and } ex = xe \text{ for any } x \in I\}$ . We say that I is an abelian ideal in case every idempotent in I is in B(I). For example, every semicommutative ideal of a ring is an abelian ideal.

**Corollary 5.3.** Let I be an abelian exchange ideal of a ring R. Then for any regular  $A \in M_n(I)$  there exist  $U, V \in GL_n(I)$  such that  $UAV = \text{diag}(e_1, \ldots, e_n)$  for some idempotents  $e_1, \ldots, e_n \in I$ .

*Proof.* For any regular  $x \in I$ , we have  $y \in I$  such that x = xyx and y = yxy. Since I is an abelian exchange ideal of R, we have  $x = x^2y = yx^2$ , and then x = xy(1 + x - xy). Set e = xy and u = 1 + x - xy. Then  $e = e^2 \in I$  and u(1 + y - xy) = 1. Hence  $u \in \Gamma(I)$ . Thus I is a right quasi-stable ideal by Theorem 2.2.

Suppose that (1-x)(1-y) = 1 with  $x, y \in I$ ; hence,  $(1-y)(1-x) \in 1+I$  is an idempotent. Since I is an abelian ideal of R, (1-(1-y)(1-x))x = x(1-(1-y)(1-x)). Furthermore, we get (1-y)(1-x)(1-x) = (1-x)(1-y)(1-x). So (1-y)(1-x) = (1-y)(1-x)(1-x)(1-y) = (1-x)(1-y)(1-x)(1-y) = 1. That is, I is a directly finite ideal. Therefore we complete the proof from Theorem 5.2.

Recall that an ideal I of a ring R is periodic provided that for any  $x \in I$ , there exists  $n(x) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x = x^{n(x)+1}$ .

**Corollary 5.4.** Let I be a periodic ideal of a ring R. Then for any regular  $A \in M_n(I)$  there exist  $U, V \in GL_n(I)$  such that  $UAV = \text{diag}(e_1, \ldots, e_n)$  for some idempotents  $e_1, \ldots, e_n \in I$ .

*Proof.* For any idempotent  $e \in I$  and any idempotent  $x \in I$ , we have  $(ex - exe)^2 = 0$ . So we deduce that ex = exe because I is an periodic ideal. Likewise, we have xe = exe; hence, ex = xe. This means that I is an abelian ideal of R. On the other hand, I is a strongly  $\pi$ -regular ideal; hence, it is an exchange ideal. So the proof is true by Corollary 5.3.

**Example 5.5.** Let V be a countably generated infinite-dimensional vector space over a division D, and let  $(a_{ij}) \in M_n(\operatorname{End}_D(V))$  with all  $\dim_D(a_{ij}V) < \infty$ . Then there exist  $U, V \in GL_n(\operatorname{End}_D(V))$  such that  $UAV = \operatorname{diag}(e_1, \ldots, e_n)$  for some idempotents  $e_1, \ldots, e_n \in \operatorname{End}_D(V)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $I = \{x \in \operatorname{End}_D(V) \mid \dim_D(xV) < \infty\}$ . Obviously, I is an ideal of  $\operatorname{End}_D(V)$ . For any idempotent  $e \in I$ , eRe is unit-regular; hence, I has stable range one. This implies that I is directly finite. In view of Proposition 2.5, I is a quasi-stable ideal. According to Theorem 5.2, the result follows.

Let R be an exchange ring, and let  $(a_{ij}) \in M_n(R)$ . If each  $Ra_{ij}R$  has stable range one, analogously, we conclude that there exist  $(u_{ij}), (v_{ij}) \in GL_n(R)$  such that  $(u_{ij})(a_{ij})(v_{ij}) = \text{diag}(e_1, \ldots, e_n)$  for some idempotents  $e_1, \ldots, e_n \in R$ . In addition,  $Ru_{ij}R, Rv_{ij}R$   $(i \neq j), R(1 - u_{ii})R$  and  $R(1 - v_{ii})R$  all have stable range one.

#### References

- [1] P. Ara, Extensions of exchange rings, J. Algebra 197 (1997), no. 2, 409-423.
- [2] P. Ara, K. R. Goodearl, K. C. O'Meara, and E. Pardo, *Diagonalization of matrices over regular rings*, Linear Algebra Appl. **265** (1997), 147–163.
- [3] H. Chen, Elements in one-sided unit regular rings, Comm. Algebra 25 (1997), no. 8, 2517–2529.

- [4] \_\_\_\_\_, Generalized stable regular rings, Comm. Algebra **31** (2003), no. 10, 4899–4910.
- [5] \_\_\_\_\_, 2 × 2 invertible matrices over weakly stable rings, J. Korean Math. Soc. 46 (2009), no. 2, 257–269.
- [6] H. Chen and M. Chen, Generalized ideal-stable regular rings, Comm. Algebra 31 (2003), no. 10, 4989–5001.
- [7] \_\_\_\_\_, On products of three triangular matrices over associative rings, Linear Algebra Appl. **387** (2004), 297–311.
- [8] K. R. Goodearl, Von Neumann Regular Rings, Pitman, London, San Francisco, Melbourne, 1979; second ed., Krieger, Malabar, Fl., 1991.
- T. Y. Lam, A crash course on stable range, cancellation, substitution and exchange, J. Algebra Appl. 3 (2004), no. 3, 301–343.
- [10] D. Lu, Q. Li, and W. Tong, Comparability, stability, and completions of ideals, Comm. Algebra 32 (2004), no. 7, 2617–2634.
- [11] K. C. O'Meara and R. Raphael, Uniform diagonalisation of matrices over regular rings, Algebra Universalis 45 (2000), 383–405.
- [12] F. Perera, Lifting units modulo exchange ideals and C\*-algebras with real rank zero, J. Reine Angew. Math. 522 (2000), 51–62.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS HANGZHOU NORMAL UNIVERSITY HANGZHOU 310036, P. R. CHINA *E-mail address:* huanyinchen@yahoo.cn