EXPONENTS OF CARTESIAN PRODUCTS OF TWO DIGRAPHS OF SPECIAL ORDERS

Byeong Moon Kim and Yoomi Rho

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we find the maximum exponent of $D \times E$, the cartesian product of two digraphs D and E on n, n + 2 vertices, respectively for an even integer $n \ge 4$. We also characterize the extremal cases.

1. Introduction

Let D = (V, A) be a digraph on n vertices and $u, v \in V$. A $u \to v$ walk is a walk from u to v. We use the notation $u \stackrel{k}{\longrightarrow} v$ when there is a $u \to v$ walk of length k. A digraph D = (V, A) is said primitive if for some $k, u \stackrel{k}{\longrightarrow} v$ for all pair of vertices u, v of D. In this case, the smallest such k is called the *exponent* of D and denoted by $\exp(D)$. For a matrix A, the minimal ksuch that all the entries of A^k are positive is called the *exponent* of A. The exponent of a primitive digraph D is equal to the exponent of its adjacency matrix. Wielandt [7] found that the maximum exponent of primitive digraphs on n vertices is $W_n = n^2 - 2n + 2$ and characterized all the digraphs attaining this bound, which are called Wielandt graphs. Shao [6] improved this bound to 2n - 2 and Liu, McKay, Wormald and Zhang [4] characterized all the digraphs attaining this improved bound.

Let $D = (V_D, A_D)$ and $E = (V_E, A_E)$ be digraphs such that $|V_D| = n$, $|V_E| = m$. The cartesian product of D and E is defined as $D \times E = (V, A)$ where $V = V_D \times V_E$ and

$$A = \{ ((u_1, v_1), (u_2, v_2)) \mid ((u_1, u_2) \in A_D \text{ and } v_1 = v_2) \text{ or } (u_1 = u_2 \text{ and } (v_1, v_2) \in A_E) \}.$$

R. Lamprey and B. Barnes [3] showed that if $D \times E$ is primitive, then

 $\exp(D \times E) \le (n+m)^2 - 4(n+m) + 5.$

Received January 13, 2009.

 $\bigodot 2010$ The Korean Mathematical Society

 $^{2000\} Mathematics\ Subject\ Classification.\ 05C20,\ 05C38,\ 05C50,\ 15A48.$

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases.$ exponents, digraphs, Cartesian products, Wielandt graphs, Frobenius numbers.

This work is supported by the University of Incheon research grant in 2006-2007.

The first author, Song and Hwang [2] improved this upper bound as

(1)
$$\exp(D \times E) \le nm - 1.$$

They also showed that the upper bound in (1) is attained when (m, n) = 1and characterized all the digraphs D and E which attain it. Moreover, when m = n, they proved that

$$\exp(D \times E) \le n^2 - n + 1,$$

where the equality holds if and only if D and E are isomorphic to a directed cycle and a Wielandt graph. In this paper, we prove that if D and E are digraphs on n and n + 2 vertices respectively for an even integer $n \ge 4$, and $D \times E$ is primitive, then

$$\exp(D \times E) \le n^2 + n$$

and we characterize all the extremal digraphs.

2. Main result

From now on we assume that $D = (V_D, A_D)$ and $E = (V_E, A_E)$ are digraphs on n and m vertices, respectively and $D \times E$ is primitive. Let l_1 be the smallest length of a directed cycle of D and l_i be the smallest length of a directed cycle of D which is not a multiple of (l_1, \ldots, l_{i-1}) for $i \ge 2$. Let h be the last index of such i. Now let l_j be the smallest length of a directed cycle of E which is not a multiple of (l_1, \ldots, l_{i-1}) for $j \ge h + 1$. Let k be the last index of such j. For $1 \le i \le h$, let $d_i = (l_1, \ldots, l_i)$ and C_i be a directed cycle of D whose length is l_i . For $1 \le j \le k$, let $d_j = (l_1, \ldots, l_j)$ and C_j be a directed cycle of E whose length is l_j . Since $D \times E$ is primitive, $k \ge 2$, $d_k = 1$ and $\frac{d_1}{d_2}, \frac{d_2}{d_3}, \ldots, \frac{d_{k-1}}{d_k} \ge 2$.

For relatively prime positive numbers l_1, \ldots, l_k , the Frobenius number $g(l_1, l_2, \ldots, l_k)$ is the largest number G such that the equation $l_1x_1 + \cdots + l_kx_k = G$ is not solvable for non-negative integers x_1, \ldots, x_k . Classical results on Frobenius numbers are as follows.

Lemma 1 ([1]). For relatively prime positive numbers l_1, \ldots, l_k ,

$$g(l_1, l_2, \dots, l_k) \le l_2 \frac{d_1}{d_2} + l_3 \frac{d_2}{d_3} + \dots + l_k \frac{d_{k-1}}{d_k} - l_1 - l_2 - \dots - l_k$$

where $d_i = (l_1, ..., l_i)$ for $1 \le i \le k$.

Lemma 2 ([1, 5]). If (a, d) = 1,

$$g(a, a+d, a+2d, \dots, a+kd) = (\lfloor \frac{a-2}{k} \rfloor + d)a - d.$$

The followings are from the first author, Song and Hwang [2].

Lemma 3 ([2]). Let D and E be digraphs on n and m vertices, respectively with h, k, l_1, \ldots, l_k as above. Then

 $\exp(D \times E) \le g(l_1, l_2, \dots, l_k) - l_1 - \dots - l_k + (h+1)m + (k-h+1)n - 1.$

Lemma 4 ([2]). Let D and E be digraphs on n and m vertices, respectively with k as above. If $k \ge 3$, then

$$\exp(D \times E) \le \frac{nm}{2} + m - 1.$$

Assume $k \geq 4$. Let $V_k = \{0, 1, \ldots, k-1\}, (A_0)_k = \{(i, i+1) | 0 \leq i \leq k-2\} \cup \{(k-2, 0)\}$ and $\tilde{B}_k = \{(k-1, 1), (k-1, 2), (k-2, 1), (k-3, 0)\}$ and $\tilde{E}_k = (V_k, \tilde{A}_k)$ be a digraph where $\tilde{A}_k = (A_0)_k \cup \tilde{B}_k$ as shown in Figure 1. Also let \mathcal{E}_k be the set of digraphs $E_k = (V_k, A_k)$ such that $A_k = (A_0)_k \cup B_k$ where B_k is a subset of \tilde{B}_k which contains at least one of (k-1, 1) or (k-1, 2). Then $\tilde{E}_k \in \mathcal{E}_k$ and every element of \mathcal{E}_k is a subgraph of \tilde{E}_k . Note that $Z_n = (V_n, \{(i, i+1) | 0 \leq i \leq n-2\} \cup \{(n-1, 0)\}).$



Figure 1

Lemma 5. Let E = (V, A) be a digraph with m vertices and $v, w \in V$. If the distance from v to w is m - 1 and l_1, l_2, \ldots, l_k are all the lengths of directed cycles in E, then each length of a path from v to w is represented by $m - 1 + l_1x_1 + l_2x_2 + \cdots + l_kx_k$ where x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k are nonnegative integers.

Proof. If there is a path from v to w whose length is not of the form $m-1 + l_1x_1 + l_2x_2 + \cdots + l_kx_k$, then we can choose a path whose length is minimal among the paths with this property. Let $v = v_0 \rightarrow v_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow v_t = w$ be such a path. If there are no repeated vertices among v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_t , then $t+1 \leq m$. While considering that the distance from v to w is $m-1, t \geq m-1$ and hence t = m-1. This is a contradiction. If there are repeated vertices among v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_t , then we can take a pair i, j such that $0 \leq i < j \leq t$, $v_i = v_j$ and j-i is minimal among the pairs with this property. Then $v_i \rightarrow v_{i+1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow v_j = v_i$ is a directed cycle, $j - i = l_h$ for some $1 \leq h \leq k$. Therefore

$$v = v_0 \rightarrow v_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow v_i = v_j \rightarrow v_{j+1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow v_t = w$$

is a path from v to w with length t - j + i < t. By the minimality of t, $t - j + i = t - l_h = m - 1 + l_1 x_1 + l_2 x_2 + \dots + l_k x_k$ for some nonnegative integers x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k and hence $t = m - 1 + l_1 x_1 + l_2 x_2 + \cdots + l_{h-1} x_{h-1} + l_h (x_h + 1) + l_{h+1} x_{h+1} + \cdots + l_k x_k$. This is a contradiction. Thus the lemma is proved. \Box

Lemma 6. Let E = (V, A) be a digraph on n + 2 vertices. If $Z_n \times E$ is primitive, diam(E) = n + 1 and all the lengths of directed cycles in E is n and n + 1, then

$$\exp(Z_n \times E) \ge n^2 + n.$$

Proof. Since diam(E) = n + 1, there are $v, w \in V$ such that the distance from v to w is n + 1. It is enough to show that $(0, v) \xrightarrow{n^2+n-1} (n-1, w)$. Suppose $(0, v) \xrightarrow{n^2+n-1} (n-1, w)$. Then $(0, v) = (u_0, v_0) \longrightarrow (u_1, v_1) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow (u_{n^2+n-1}, v_{n^2+n-1}) = (n-1, w)$ for some vertices (u_i, v_i) of $Z_n \times E$. Let

$$S = \{i | 1 \le i \le n^2 + n - 1, u_{i-1} \ne u_i\} \text{ and } T = \{i | 1 \le i \le n^2 + n - 1, v_{i-1} \ne v_i\}$$

with $|S| = s$ and $|T| = t$. Then $S \cup T = \{i | 1 \le i \le n^2 + n - 1\}$ and $S \cap T = \phi$.
Therefore $s + t = n^2 + n - 1$. By Lemma 5, $s = n - 1 + nx$ and $t = n + 1 + 1$

Therefore $s + t = n^2 + n - 1$. By Lemma 5, s = n - 1 + nx and t = n + 1 + ny + (n+1)z for some nonnegative integers x, y, z. Thus $n^2 + n - 1 = s + t = n - 1 + nx + n + 1 + ny + (n+1)z$ and hence $n^2 - n - 1 = n(x+y) + (n+1)z$. Considering $g(n, n+1) = n^2 - n - 1$, this is impossible.

Theorem 1. If $E = (V, A) \in \mathcal{E}_{n+2}$, then

$$\exp(Z_n \times E) \ge n^2 + n.$$

Proof. Considering that $0 \xrightarrow{n} n \to n+1$, diam(E) = n+1. $Z_n \times E_{n+2}$ is primitive as Z_n and E_{n+2} contain cycle of length n and n+1, respectively. Also E_{n+2} contains directed cycles of length n and n+1 only. Thus by Lemma 6, the theorem is proved.

Definition 1. Let D = (V, A) be a digraph. Denote $A^T = \{(v, w) | (w, v) \in A\}$. we call a digraph (V, A^T) the *transpose* of D and denote it by D^T .

Remark 1. $\exp(D) = \exp(D^T)$.

Theorem 2. Let $n \ge 4$ be even. Assume D and E are digraphs on n and n+2 vertices respectively and $D \times E$ is primitive. Then

(i)

$$\exp(D \times E) \le n^2 + n$$

and

(ii) the equality holds if and only if D is isomorphic to Z_n , and E or E^T belongs to \mathcal{E}_{n+2} .

Proof. (i) Take h, k, l_1, \ldots, l_k as above. If $k \ge 3$, then from Lemma 4,

$$\exp(D \times E) \le \frac{n(n+2)}{2} + n + 2 - 1 < n^2 + n.$$

Assume that k = 2. From Lemma 1 and Lemma 3,

$$\exp(D \times E) \le g(l_1, l_2) - l_1 - l_2 + 2n + 2(n+2) - 1$$
$$\le l_1 l_2 - 2l_1 - 2l_2 + 4n + 3$$
$$= (l_1 - 2)(l_2 - 2) + 4n - 1.$$

If $l_1 \leq n-1$, then $\exp(D \times E) \leq n^2 + n - 1$ as $l_2 \leq n+2$. Assume that $l_1 = n$. Then D is isomorphic to the directed cycle of length n, which is Z_n . Since $(l_1, l_2) = 1$, $l_2 = n + 1$ and hence

$$\exp(D \times E) \le (n-2)(n-1) + 4n - 1 = n^2 + n + 1.$$

Assume that C_1 is a directed cycle $u_0 \to u_1 \to \cdots \to u_{n-1} \to u_0$ in D and C_2 is a directed cycle $v_0 \to v_1 \to \cdots \to v_n \to v_0$ in E. Let (x_1, y_1) and (x_2, y_2) be vertices on $D \times E$ such that $(x_1, y_1) \not\xrightarrow{\alpha - 1} (x_2, y_2)$ where $\alpha = \exp(D \times E)$. Then there are integers s and t such that $x_1 \xrightarrow{s} x_2, y_1 \xrightarrow{t} y_2, 0 \le s \le n-1$ and $0 \le t \le n+1$. We consider the following two cases.

Case (i)a: There is a directed cycle of length n + 2 in E.

Let $\beta \ge n^2 + n - 1$. If $y_1 \ne y_2$, then at least one of y_1, y_2 belongs to the directed cycle of length n + 1. We may assume that y_1 does and hence $y_1 \xrightarrow{n+1} y_1$. By Lemma 2,

$$\begin{split} \beta - s - t &\geq n^2 + n - 1 - (n - 1) - (n + 1) = n^2 - n - 1 \\ &> \frac{n^2}{2} - 1 = g(n, n + 1, n + 2) \end{split}$$

and hence there are $p, q, r \ge 0$ such that $np + (n+1)q + (n+2)r = \beta - s - t$. Then, since

$$(x_1, y_1) \xrightarrow{np} (x_1, y_1) \xrightarrow{s} (x_2, y_1) \xrightarrow{(n+1)q} (x_2, y_1) \xrightarrow{t} (x_2, y_2) \xrightarrow{(n+2)r} (x_2, y_2)$$

and $np+s+(n+1)q+t+(n+2)r = (\beta - s - t) + s + t = \beta$, $(x_1, y_1) \xrightarrow{\beta} (x_2, y_2)$. So $\exp(D \times E) \leq n^2 + n - 1$. If $y_1 = y_2$, then $y_1 \xrightarrow{t_1} v_0 \xrightarrow{t_2} y_1$ for some $0 \leq t_1, t_2 \leq n + 1$ such that $t_1 + t_2 = n + 2$ as E is strongly connected.

$$\beta - s - (n+2) \ge n^2 + n - 1 - (n-1) - (n+2) = n^2 - n - 2$$
$$> \frac{n^2}{2} - 1 = g(n, n+1, n+2)$$

by Lemma 2. So there are $p, q, r \ge 0$ such that $np + (n+1)q + (n+2)r = \beta - s - (n+2)$. Then, since

$$(x_1, y_1) \xrightarrow{np} (x_1, y_1) \xrightarrow{s} (x_2, y_1) \xrightarrow{t_1} (x_2, v_0) \xrightarrow{(n+1)q} (x_2, v_0)$$
$$\xrightarrow{t_2} (x_2, y_1) \xrightarrow{(n+2)r} (x_2, y_1)$$

and $np + s + t_1 + (n+1)q + t_2 + (n+2)r = (\beta - s - (n+2)) + s + n + 2 = \beta$, $(x_1, y_1) \xrightarrow{\beta} (x_2, y_1)$. So $\exp(D \times E) \le n^2 + n - 1$.

Case (i)b: There is no directed cycle of length n + 2 in E. If $t \ge 1$, then since there is only one vertex $x \in V_E$ such that $x \ne v_i$ for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n$, there is an intermediate vertex v_j such that $y_1 \xrightarrow{t_1} v_j \xrightarrow{t-t_1} y_2$. Since

 $n^2 + n - s - t \ge n^2 + n - (n - 1) - (n + 1) = n^2 - n > (n - 1)n - 1 = g(n, n + 1),$ there are $p, q \ge 0$ such that $np + (n + 1)q = n^2 + n - s - t$. Then, since

$$(x_1, y_1) \xrightarrow{np} (x_1, y_1) \xrightarrow{s} (x_2, y_1) \xrightarrow{t_1} (x_2, v_j) \xrightarrow{(n+1)q} (x_2, v_j) \xrightarrow{t-t_1} (x_2, y_2)$$

and $np + s + t_1 + (n+1)q + t - t_1 = (n^2 + n - s - t) + s + t = n^2 + n$, $(x_1, y_1) \xrightarrow{n^2+n} (x_2, y_2)$. If t = 0, then $y_1 = y_2$. As E is strongly connected, $y_1 \xrightarrow{t_1} v_0 \xrightarrow{t_2} y_1$ for some $0 \le t_1, t_2 \le n + 1$ such that $t_1 + t_2 \le n + 1$. Since $n^2 + n - s - (t_1 + t_2) \ge n^2 + n - (n-1) - (n+1) = n^2 - n > n^2 - n - 1 = g(n, n+1)$, there are $p, q \ge 0$ such that $np + (n+1)q = n^2 + n - s - (t_1 + t_2)$. Then, since

$$(x_1, y_1) \xrightarrow{np} (x_1, y_1) \xrightarrow{s} (x_2, y_1) \xrightarrow{t_1} (x_2, v_0) \xrightarrow{(n+1)q} (x_2, v_0) \xrightarrow{t_2} (x_2, y_1)$$

and $np + s + t_1 + (n+1)q + t_2 = (n^2 + n - s - (t_1 + t_2)) + s + t_1 + t_2 = n^2 + n$, $(x_1, y_1) \xrightarrow{n^2 + n} (x_2, y_2)$. So $\exp(D \times E) \le n^2 + n$.

(ii) Now we characterize all the extremal graphs. Let $\exp(D \times E) = n^2 + n$. It is possible only in Case (i)b where E has no directed cycle of length n + 2. If $s + t \leq 2n - 1$, then similarly as above, $\exp(D \times E) \leq n^2 + n - 1$. So s = n - 1 and t = n + 1. Let (x_1, y_1) and (x_2, y_2) be vertices of $D \times E$ such that $x_1 \xrightarrow{s} x_2, y_1 \xrightarrow{t} y_2$. Also let w_0 be the only vertex of E such that $w_0 \neq v_i$ for all $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n$. Since E is strongly connected, there are i, j such that $(v_i, w_0), (w_0, v_j) \in A_E$. So we may also assume $(v_n, w_0) \in A_E$. As $w_0 \to v_j \to v_{j+1} \to \cdots \to v_n \to w_0$ is a directed cycle of length $n \leq n + 2 - j < n + 2, j$ is 1 or 2.

Case (ii)a: j = 1.

As there can be only directed cycles of order n or n + 1 in E, among the arcs which are adjacent to w_0 , at most one of (w_0, v_2) , (v_{n-1}, w_0) may exist. Since $y_1 \xrightarrow{n+1} y_2$, (y_1, y_2) is (v_0, w_0) in the first case, and (w_0, v_0) in the second case. Let $0 \leq k < l \leq n$. If $(v_l, v_k) \in A_E$, then $v_k \xrightarrow{l-k} v_l \rightarrow v_k$ is a directed cycle of length l-k+1, and hence l = k+n-1 or l = k+n. So (k,l) is (0, n-1), (0, n) or (1, n). When (k, l) is (0, n-1), (y_1, y_2) is (v_0, w_0) and when (k, l) is (1, n), (y_1, y_2) is (v_0, w_0) or (w_0, v_0) . If $(v_k, v_l) \in A_E$, then $v_k \rightarrow v_l \xrightarrow{n+1-l} v_0 \xrightarrow{k} v_k$ is a directed cycle of length n-l+k+2, and hence l = k+1 or l = k+2. Let l = k+2. If $k \geq 1$, then $(y_1.y_2)$ does not exist. So (k, l) is (0, 2) and (y_1, y_2) is (w_0, v_0) . In conclusion, there are two possible forms of digraphs. Firstly, when $(y_1.y_2) = (w_0, w_0)$, the only arcs which might be added to $(A_0)_{n+2} \cup \{(w_0, v_1)\}$ are (w_0, v_2) , (v_{n-1}, v_0) , and (v_n, v_1) . As $B_{n+2} = \{(w_0, v_1), (w_0, v_2), (v_{n-1}, v_0), (v_n)$

 v_1 }, E is a subgraph of E_{n+2} . Secondly, when $(y_1, y_2) = (w_0, v_0)$, the only arcs which might be added to $(A_0)_{n+2} \cup \{(w_0, v_1)\}$ are (v_{n-1}, w_0) , (v_n, v_1) , and (v_0, v_2) . If we switch v_0 and w_0 , we get the same arcs as above and hence E is a subgraph of $\tilde{E_{n+2}}$.

Case (ii)b: j = 2.

If $(w_0, v_1) \in A_E$, then it reduces to Case (ii)a. Assume $(w_0, v_1) \notin A_E$. As there can be only directed cycles of order n or n+1 in E, among the arcs which are to w_0 , only (v_0, w_0) may exist. Assume $(v_0, w_0) \in A_E$. After exchanging v_i with v_{n+2-i} for $2 \le i \le n$, and v_0 with v_1 , we get E^T where E is described in Case (ii) a which has arc (w_0, v_2) and arcs of $(A_0)_{n+2} \bigcup \{(w_0, v_1)\}$. Thus by similar argument, E^T is a subgraph of E_{n+2} . Assume $(v_0, w_0) \notin A_E$. As there can be only directed cycles of order n or n+1 in E, among the arcs which are from w_0 , only (v_n, w_0) may exist where (y_1, y_2) is (v_0, w_0) or (w_0, v_1) . Let $0 \le k < l \le n$. If $(v_l, v_k) \in A_E$ and $(k, l) \neq (0, n)$, then by the same argument as in Case (ii)a, (k,l) is (0, n - 1), or (1, n) and (y_1, y_2) is (v_0, w_0) . If $(v_k, v_l) \in A_E$ where $l \neq k + 1$, then by the same argument as in Case (ii)a, (k, l) is (0, 2)or (1,3) and (y_1, y_2) is (w_0, v_1) . In conclusion, there are two possible forms of digraphs. Firstly when $(y_1, y_2) = (v_0, w_0)$, the only arcs which might be added to $(A_0)_{n+2} \cup \{(w_0, v_2)\}$ are (v_{n-1}, v_0) and (v_n, v_1) and hence E is a subgraph of E_{n+2} . Secondly when $(y_1, y_2) = (w_0, v_1)$, the only arcs which might be added to $(A_0)_{n+2} \cup \{(w_0, v_2)\}$ are (v_0, v_2) and (v_1, v_3) . After exchanging v_i with v_{n+2-i} for $2 \leq i \leq n$ and v_0 with v_1 , E^T is a subgraph of $\tilde{E_{n+2}}$.

References

- [1] A. Brauer, On a problem of partitions, Amer. J. Math. 64 (1942), 299-312.
- [2] B. M. Kim, B. C. Song, and W. Hwang, Wielandt type theorem for Cartesian product of digraphs, Linear Algebra Appl. 429 (2008), no. 4, 841–848.
- [3] R. Lamprey and B. Barnes, Primitivity of products of digraphs, Proceedings of the Tenth Southeastern Conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Computing (Florida Atlantic Univ., Boca Raton, Fla., 1979), pp. 637–644, Congress. Numer., XXIII–XXIV, Utilitas Math., Winnipeg, Man., 1979.
- [4] B. L. Liu, B. D. McKay, N. C. Wormald, and K. Zhang, The exponent set of symmetric primitive (0,1) matrices with zero trace, Linear Algebra Appl. 133 (1990), 121–131.
- [5] J. B. Roberts, Note on linear forms, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 7 (1956), 465-469.
- [6] J. Y. Shao, The exponent set of symmetric primitive matrices, Sci. Sinica Ser. A 9 (1986), 931–939.
- [7] H. Wielandt, Unzerlegbare, nicht negative Matrizen, Math. Z. 52 (1950), 642–648.

Byeong Moon Kim Department of Mathematics Gangneung-Wonju National University Gangneung 210-702, Korea *E-mail address*: kbm@kangnung.ac.kr Yoomi Rho Department of Mathematics University of Incheon Incheon 406-772, Korea *E-mail address*: rho@incheon.ac.kr