

## SELF-NORMALIZED WEAK LIMIT THEOREMS FOR A $\phi$ -MIXING SEQUENCE

YONG-KAB CHOI AND HEE-JIN MOON

ABSTRACT. Let  $\{X_j, j \geq 1\}$  be a strictly stationary  $\phi$ -mixing sequence of non-degenerate random variables with  $\mathbf{E}X_1 = 0$ . In this paper, we establish a self-normalized weak invariance principle and a central limit theorem for the sequence  $\{X_j\}$  under the condition that  $L(x) := \mathbf{E}X_1^2 I\{|X_1| \leq x\}$  is a slowly varying function at  $\infty$ , without any higher moment conditions.

### 1. Introduction and results

Csörgő et al. [6] proved the following self-normalized weak invariance principle for a sequence of i.i.d. centered random variables: Let  $\{X_j, j \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of non-degenerate i.i.d. random variables with zero means on the probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$ , and let  $\mathbf{S}_n = \sum_{j=1}^n X_j$ ,  $V_n^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2$ . Then, on the appropriate probability space, one can construct a standard Wiener process  $\{W(t), t \geq 0\}$  such that

$$(1.1) \quad \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \left| \frac{\mathbf{S}_{[nt]}}{V_n} - \frac{W(nt)}{\sqrt{n}} \right| \xrightarrow{\mathbf{P}} 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

if and only if

$$(1.2) \quad L(x) := \mathbf{E}X_1^2 I\{|X_1| \leq x\} \text{ is a slowly varying function at } \infty.$$

Other related results for self-normalized limit theory have been developed by many authors, e.g., the LIL was obtained in Griffin and Kuelbs [8], the large deviation principle can be found in Shao [15], the lag increment theorems in Wang [16] and Csörgő et al. [5], and the functional central limit theorem in Račkauskas and Suquet [12].

On the other hand, consider a sequence of dependent random variables  $\{X_j; j \geq 1\}$ . Let  $\{X_j; j \geq 1\}$  be a strictly stationary sequence of random variables on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$ . Set  $\mathcal{F}_a^b = \sigma(X_i; a \leq i \leq b)$ , a  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by

---

Received December 26, 2008; Revised July 6, 2010.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 60F15, 60F17.

*Key words and phrases.* self-normalized random variables, invariance principle, central limit theorem, mixing sequence.

Research supported by NRF(03-2009-0075).

$X_i$  for  $a \leq i \leq b$ , where  $1 \leq a \leq b < \infty$ . Then we say that  $\{X_j, j \geq 1\}$  is a  $\phi$ -mixing sequence if  $\phi(n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , where

$$\phi(n) = \sup_{m \geq 1} \sup_{\substack{A \in \mathcal{F}_1^m, P(A) \neq 0 \\ B \in \mathcal{F}_{m+n}^\infty}} |P(B | A) - P(B)|,$$

while  $\{X_j, j \geq 1\}$  is said to be a  $\rho$ -mixing sequence if  $\rho(n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , where

$$\rho(n) = \sup_{m \geq 1} \sup_{\substack{f \in L_2(\mathcal{F}_1^m) \\ g \in L_2(\mathcal{F}_{m+n}^\infty)}} |\text{corr}(f, g)|.$$

It is well-known that  $\rho(n) \leq 2\phi^{1/2}(n)$ . Hence a  $\phi$ -mixing sequence is  $\rho$ -mixing. In the sequel, the following notations will be used:  $S_j(k) = \sum_{i=j+1}^{j+k} X_i$  for  $j \geq 0$ ,  $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ ,  $[x]$  denotes the integer part of  $x$ ,  $I(\cdot)$  is the indicator function and “ $\Rightarrow$ ” denotes the weak convergence in the space  $D[0, 1]$  with Skorohod topology.

Recently, Balan and Kulik [1] obtained the following self-normalized weak invariance principle for a strictly stationary  $\phi$ -mixing sequence of random variables, which may be motivated by the central limit theorem of Bradley [4] and the invariance principles of Shao ([13], [14]): Let  $\{X_j, j \geq 1\}$  be a strictly stationary  $\phi$ -mixing sequence of non-degenerate random variables such that  $E X_1 = 0$  and (1.2) holds. Suppose that  $\phi(1) < 1$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \phi^{1/2}(n) < \infty$ . Then, on an appropriate probability space,

$$(1.3) \quad \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \left| \frac{S_{[nt]}}{\beta V_n} - \frac{W(s_{[nt]}^2)}{s_n} \right| \xrightarrow{P} 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

for some suitable constants  $s_k^2$  and positive constant  $\beta$ .

For our purpose, let us introduce the following conditions and notations. Let  $\{X_j, j \geq 1\}$  be a strictly stationary  $\phi$ -mixing sequence of non-degenerate random variables with  $E X_1 = 0$ , and let  $\{\ell_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of positive integers such that  $1 \leq \ell_n \leq n$ ,  $\ell_n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\ell_n = o(n)$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and further  $\ell_n$  is slowly varying. Write  $\ell = \ell_n$  and set, for each  $\ell$ ,

$$B_n^2 = \frac{1}{n - \ell + 1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-\ell} \left( \frac{S_i(\ell)}{\sqrt{\ell}} \right)^2.$$

In order to make the central limit theorem applicable in practice from the given data, Peligrad and Shao [11] used a self-normalizer  $\sqrt{\ell} B_n$  for  $S_n$  and proved the central limit theorem

$$\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{\ell} B_n} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} N(0, 1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

under the centered stationary  $\rho$ -mixing sequence assumption with  $E(X_1)^2 < \infty$ .

Let  $\{X_j, j \geq 1\}$  be a strictly stationary  $\phi$ -mixing sequence of non-degenerate random variables such that  $\mathbf{E}X_1 = 0$ , and let

$$(1.4) \quad L(x) := \mathbf{E}X_1^2 I\{|X_1| \leq x\} \text{ is a slowly varying function at } \infty.$$

The aim of this paper is to obtain a self-normalized weak invariance principle and a central limit theorem for the strictly stationary  $\phi$ -mixing sequence of the forms (1.1) and (1.3) by using the self-normalizer  $\sqrt{\ell}B_n$  instead of  $\beta V_n$ , under the condition (1.4) without any higher moment conditions.

Set  $b = \inf\{x \geq 1 : L(x) > 0\}$  and define

$$z_n = \inf \left\{ s : s \geq b + 1, \frac{L(s)}{s^2} \leq \frac{1}{n\ell} \right\}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

One can easily obtain the following properties on  $z_n$  and  $L(\cdot)$ :

$$n\ell L(z_n) = z_n^2 \quad \text{and} \quad z_n \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

We refer the reader to [2] and [4] for more details of these  $z_n$  and  $L(\cdot)$ .

For convenience, we denote that, for each  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$Y_{j,n} = X_j I(|X_j| \leq z_n), \quad \bar{Y}_{j,n} = X_j - Y_{j,n} = X_j I(|X_j| > z_n), \quad n \geq 1,$$

and set for each  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $i \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} S_i^{(n)}(k) &= \sum_{j=i+1}^{i+k} Y_{j,n}, & \bar{S}_i^{(n)}(k) &= \sum_{j=i+1}^{i+k} \bar{Y}_{j,n}, \\ T_i^{(n)}(k) &= \sum_{j=i+1}^{i+k} (Y_{j,n} - \mathbf{E}Y_{j,n}), & \bar{T}_i^{(n)}(k) &= \sum_{j=i+1}^{i+k} (\bar{Y}_{j,n} - \mathbf{E}\bar{Y}_{j,n}), \\ S_k^{(n)} &= \sum_{j=1}^k Y_{j,n}, & T_k^{(n)} &= \sum_{j=1}^k (Y_{j,n} - \mathbf{E}Y_{j,n}). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly,  $S_i(k) = S_i^{(n)}(k) + \bar{S}_i^{(n)}(k) = T_i^{(n)}(k) + \bar{T}_i^{(n)}(k)$ . Finally, we define for each  $k, n = 1, 2, \dots$

$$(1.5) \quad \nu_k = \nu_k^{(n)} = \{\text{Var}(S_k^{(n)})\}^{1/2} \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_k = \gamma_k^{(n)} = \nu_k / \{\ell L(z_n)\}^{1/2}.$$

Our main results are as follows:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\{X_j, j \geq 1\}$  be a strictly stationary  $\phi$ -mixing sequence of non-degenerate random variables such that  $\mathbf{E}X_1 = 0$  and the condition (1.4) holds. Suppose that  $\phi(1) < 1$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi^{1/2}(n) < \infty$ . Then, on an appropriate probability space for  $X_1, X_2, \dots$ , we can construct a standard Wiener process  $\{W(t), 0 \leq t < \infty\}$  such that*

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \left| \frac{S_{[t\ell]}}{\sqrt{\ell}B_n} - \frac{W(s_{[t\ell]}^2)}{s_\ell} \right| \xrightarrow{\mathbf{P}} 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

for some suitable constants  $s_k^2$ , which will be specified later on (see the proof of Proposition 2.1 below).

**Theorem 1.2.** *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, we have, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,*

$$\frac{S_\ell}{\sqrt{\ell}B_n} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} N(0, 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{S_{[\ell t]}}{\sqrt{\ell}B_n} \Rightarrow W(t) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq 1.$$

**2. Proofs**

The proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 will be accomplished through the following several lemmas and Propositions 2.1-2.4.

**Lemma 2.1** ([11]). *Let  $\{X_j, j \geq 1\}$  be a  $\rho$ -mixing sequence of random variables with  $EX_j = 0$  and  $EX_j^2 < \infty$ . Then*

$$E \left( \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \right)^2 \leq C \cdot \exp \left( 2 \sum_{i=0}^{[\log_2 n]} \rho(2^i) \right) \cdot n \cdot \max_{i \leq n} EX_i^2.$$

**Lemma 2.2** ([11]). *Suppose that  $\{X_j, j \geq 1\}$  is a  $\rho$ -mixing sequence of random variables. Let  $\{\ell_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of integers with  $1 \leq \ell_n \leq n$ , and let  $f$  be a real-valued Borel measurable function on  $\mathbb{R}^{\ell_n}$ . Put  $Z_j = f(X_{j+1}, \dots, X_{j+\ell_n})$ . Then we have*

$$\text{Var} \left( \sum_{j=0}^n Z_j \right) \leq 10^6 n \ell_n \exp \left( 2 \sum_{i=0}^{[\log_2 n]} \rho(2^i) \right) \max_{j \leq n} EZ_j^2.$$

**Lemma 2.3** ([10]). *Let  $\{X_j, j \geq 1\}$  be a strictly stationary sequence with  $EX_1 = 0$  and  $\sigma_n^2 := \text{Var}(S_n) \rightarrow \infty$ . Suppose that a function  $q : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  satisfies the conditions: (A1)  $q$  is continuous and  $q(0) = 0$ ; (A2)  $q(x)/x^{2+\delta_0}$  is nondecreasing for some  $\delta_0 > 0$  (for all  $x$  sufficiently large); (A3)  $q(2x) \leq cq(x)$  with some constant  $c > 0$  (for all  $x$  sufficiently large). Then there is a constant  $K > 0$  such that*

$$Eq \left( \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |S_i| / \sigma_n \right) \leq K \quad \text{for every } n \geq 1.$$

**Lemma 2.4.** *For any real vectors  $(x_1, \dots, x_n), (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n, n = 1, 2, \dots$ , and any positive real  $c$ , we have*

$$\left| \left| \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i + y_i)^2 \right|^{1/2} - c^{1/2} \right| \leq \left| \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 - c \right|^{1/2} + \left| \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2 \right|^{1/2}.$$

*Proof.* By the Minkowski inequality and the elementary inequality  $\sqrt{a} - \sqrt{b} \leq \sqrt{|a - b|}$  for  $a, b \geq 0$ , we have

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i + y_i)^2 \right|^{1/2} - c^{1/2} \leq \left| \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \right|^{1/2} + \left| \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2 \right|^{1/2} - c^{1/2}$$

$$\leq \left| \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 - c \right|^{1/2} + \left| \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2 \right|^{1/2}.$$

On the other hand, using the inequality  $\|x + y\|_2 \geq \|x\|_2 - \|y\|_2$  yields

$$\begin{aligned} c^{1/2} - \left| \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i + y_i)^2 \right|^{1/2} &\leq c^{1/2} - \left| \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \right|^{1/2} + \left| \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2 \right|^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left| c - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \right|^{1/2} + \left| \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2 \right|^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $\{X_j, j \geq 1\}$  be a strictly stationary sequence of nondegenerate random variables with  $\mathbb{E}X_1 = 0$ . Suppose that  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \rho(2^n) < \infty$ . Then, for the  $\gamma_\ell$  in (1.5), we have*

$$\frac{B_n}{\sqrt{L(z_n)}} - \gamma_\ell \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{in the mean.}$$

*Proof.* From Lemma 2.4, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \frac{B_n}{\sqrt{L(z_n)}} - \gamma_\ell \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{L(z_n)}} \left| B_n - \left\{ \text{Var}(S_\ell^{(n)}) / \ell \right\}^{1/2} \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\ell(n-\ell+1)L(z_n)}} \left| \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n-\ell} (S_i(\ell))^2 \right)^{1/2} - \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n-\ell} \text{Var}(S_\ell^{(n)}) \right)^{1/2} \right| \\ (2.1) \quad &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\ell(n-\ell+1)L(z_n)}} \left| \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n-\ell} \left( T_i^{(n)}(\ell) + \bar{T}_i^{(n)}(\ell) \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left( \mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n-\ell} \{T_i^{(n)}(\ell)\}^2 \right) \right)^{1/2} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\ell(n-\ell+1)L(z_n)}} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-\ell} \{T_i^{(n)}(\ell)\}^2 - \mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n-\ell} \{T_i^{(n)}(\ell)\}^2 \right) \right|^{1/2} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\ell(n-\ell+1)L(z_n)}} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n-\ell} \{\bar{T}_i^{(n)}(\ell)\}^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &=: I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

We first compute  $I_1$ . By the Hölder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-\ell} \{T_i^{(n)}(\ell)\}^2 - \mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n-\ell} \{T_i^{(n)}(\ell)\}^2 \right) \right|^{1/2} \\ & \leq \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n-\ell} \{T_i^{(n)}(\ell)\}^2 - \mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n-\ell} \{T_i^{(n)}(\ell)\}^2 \right) \right)^2 \right\}^{1/4} \\ & = \left\{ \text{Var} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n-\ell} \{T_i^{(n)}(\ell)\}^2 \right) \right\}^{1/4}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.2 with  $Z_i := \{T_i^{(n)}(\ell)\}^2$ , we have

$$\text{Var} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n-\ell} \{T_i^{(n)}(\ell)\}^2 \right) \leq C \cdot (n - \ell) \cdot \ell \cdot \exp \left( 2 \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \log_2(n-\ell) \rfloor} \rho(2^i) \right) \max_{0 \leq i \leq n-\ell} \mathbb{E} \{T_i^{(n)}(\ell)\}^4.$$

Applying Lemma 2.3 to the sequence  $\{Y_{j,n} - \mathbb{E}Y_{j,n}; 1 \leq j \leq \ell\}$  and the function  $q(x) = x^4$ , we have

$$\mathbb{E} \{T_\ell^{(n)}(\ell)\}^4 = \mathbb{E} \{T_\ell^{(n)}\}^4 \leq K (\text{Var} T_\ell^{(n)})^2 = K (\mathbb{E} \{T_\ell^{(n)}\}^2)^2.$$

It is easily seen from Lemma 2.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \{T_\ell^{(n)}\}^2 &= \mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} (Y_{j,n} - \mathbb{E}Y_{j,n}) \right)^2 \\ &\leq C \ell \exp \left( 2 \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \log_2(n-\ell) \rfloor} \rho(2^i) \right) \max_{1 \leq i \leq \ell} \mathbb{E} \{Y_{j,n} - \mathbb{E}Y_{j,n}\}^2 \\ &\leq C \ell L(z_n). \end{aligned}$$

Combining these results, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (2.2) \quad \mathbb{E} I_1 &\leq C \frac{\{\ell^3(n-\ell)(L(z_n))^2\}^{1/4}}{\sqrt{\ell(n-\ell+1)L(z_n)}} = C \left( \frac{\ell^3(n-\ell)(L(z_n))^2}{\ell^2(n-\ell+1)^2(L(z_n))^2} \right)^{1/4} \\ &= C \left( \frac{\ell}{n-\ell+1} \right)^{1/4} = o(1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

We next compute  $I_2$ . It is immediate that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n-\ell} \{\bar{T}_i^{(n)}(\ell)\}^2 \right)^{1/2} &\leq \mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n-\ell} |\bar{T}_i^{(n)}(\ell)| \right) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-\ell} \mathbb{E} |\bar{T}_i^{(n)}(\ell)| \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{i=0}^{n-\ell} \sum_{j=i+1}^{i+\ell} \mathbb{E} |X_j| I(|X_j| > z_n) \\ &= 2\ell(n-\ell+1) \mathbb{E} |X_1| I(|X_1| > z_n). \end{aligned}$$

By the argument in the proof of Lemma 3.1 in [4], we have

$$(2.3) \quad \mathbb{E}|X_1|I(|X_1| > t_n) = o(L(t_n)/t_n) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

whenever  $t_n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}I_2 &\leq \frac{2\ell(n-\ell+1)}{\sqrt{\ell(n-\ell+1)L(z_n)}} \mathbb{E}|X_1|I(|X_1| > z_n) \\ &\leq \frac{2\sqrt{\ell(n-\ell+1)L(z_n)}}{z_n} \frac{\mathbb{E}|X_1|I(|X_1| > z_n)}{L(z_n)/z_n} \\ &= o(1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

The Lemma 2.5 follows now from (2.1), (2.2) and (2.4). □

Consider the following inequality in order to prove Theorem 1.1.

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} &\sup_{1/\ell \leq t \leq 1} \left| \frac{S_{[t\ell]} - \frac{W(s_{[t\ell]}^2)}{s_\ell}}{\sqrt{\ell}B_n} \right| \\ &\leq \max_{k \leq \ell} \left| \frac{S_k}{\sqrt{\ell}B_n} - \frac{S_k^{(n)} - \mathbb{E}S_k^{(n)}}{\sqrt{\ell}B_n} \right| + \max_{k \leq \ell} \left| \frac{S_k^{(n)} - \mathbb{E}S_k^{(n)}}{\nu_\ell} - \frac{S_k^{(n)} - \mathbb{E}S_k^{(n)}}{\sqrt{\ell}B_n} \right| \\ &\quad + \max_{k \leq \ell} \left| \frac{S_k^{(n)} - \mathbb{E}S_k^{(n)}}{\nu_\ell} - \frac{W(s_k^2)}{\nu_\ell} \right| + \max_{k \leq \ell} \left| \frac{W(s_k^2)}{\nu_\ell} - \frac{W(s_k^2)}{s_\ell} \right| \\ &=: J_1(n) + J_2(n) + J_3(n) + J_4(n). \end{aligned}$$

Now we shall proceed the proof of Theorem 1.1 by dividing it into Propositions 2.1-2.4 below.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Under the assumptions of Lemma 2.5, we have  $J_1(n) \xrightarrow{P} 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .*

*Proof.* By the Markov inequality and (2.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{P} \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\ell L(z_n)}} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} (|X_j|I\{|X_j| > z_n\} + \mathbb{E}|X_j|I\{|X_j| > z_n\}) \geq \varepsilon \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\varepsilon \sqrt{\ell L(z_n)}} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \mathbb{E}|X_j|I\{|X_j| > z_n\} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\varepsilon \sqrt{\ell L(z_n)}} \ell \mathbb{E}|X_1|I\{|X_1| > z_n\} \\ &= \frac{2}{\varepsilon} \frac{\sqrt{\ell L(z_n)} \mathbb{E}|X_1|I\{|X_1| > z_n\}}{z_n L(z_n)/z_n} \\ &= o(1) \end{aligned}$$

for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ . It is well-known that there exist positive constants  $C$  and  $D$  such that

$$(2.6) \quad C \leq \frac{\text{Var}(S_m^{(n)})}{mL(z_n)} \leq D$$

for all  $m = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $n$  large (see (3.10) in [4]). Hence it follows from Lemma 2.5 that

$$J_1(n) \leq \frac{\nu_\ell}{\sqrt{\ell}B_n} \frac{\sqrt{\ell L(z_n)}}{\nu_\ell} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\ell L(z_n)}} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} (|X_j|I\{|X_j| > z_n\} + E|X_j|I\{|X_j| > z_n\})$$

$$\xrightarrow{P} 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

which completes the proof. □

We next compute  $J_3(n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in probability. It suffices from (2.6) to show that, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$(2.7) \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{\ell L(z_n)}} \max_{k \leq \ell} |S_k^{(n)} - ES_k^{(n)} - W(s_k^2)| \xrightarrow{P} 0.$$

To prove this we shall use a blocking argument. Define blocks of integers  $H_1, I_1, H_2, I_2, \dots$  by requiring that  $H_k$  contains  $h_k$  and  $I_k$  contains  $i_k$  consecutive integers and that there are no gaps between consecutive blocks, where

$$h_k = \text{Card}H_k = \lceil ak^{a-1} \exp(k^a) \rceil,$$

$$i_k = \text{Card}I_k = \lceil ak^{a-1} \exp(k^a/2) \rceil$$

for some  $0 < a < 1$ . Put

$$N_k = \sum_{j \leq k} \text{Card}(H_j \cup I_j) \sim \exp(k^a),$$

$$u_k = \sum_{j \in H_k} (Y_{j,n} - EY_{j,n}), \quad v_k = \sum_{j \in I_k} (Y_{j,n} - EY_{j,n}).$$

Clearly, for each  $n$  there exists a unique  $m_n$  such that  $N_{m_n} \leq n < N_{m_n+1}$ . Hence  $m_n \sim (\log n)^{1/a}$  and  $N_{m_n} \sim n$ . Let

$$\tilde{\sigma}_i^2 = E u_i^2, \quad \tilde{s}_m^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m \tilde{\sigma}_i^2, \quad s_n^2 = \tilde{s}_{m_n}^2.$$

Then we see that

$$(2.8) \quad S_k^{(n)} - ES_k^{(n)} = \sum_{i=1}^{m_k} u_i + \sum_{i=1}^{m_k} v_i + \sum_{j=N_{m_k}+1}^k (Y_{j,n} - EY_{j,n}).$$

The following lemma corresponds to Lemma 9.2.4 in [9].



**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $u_k, v_k$  be as above. Suppose that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \rho(2^n) < \infty$ . Then there exists a constant  $C = C(\rho(\cdot))$  such that for any  $k \geq 0, n \geq 1$*

$$\mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{i=k+1}^{k+n} u_i \right)^2 \leq C \sum_{i=k+1}^{k+n} \mathbb{E} u_i^2, \quad \mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{i=k+1}^{k+n} v_i \right)^2 \leq C \sum_{i=k+1}^{k+n} \mathbb{E} v_i^2.$$

**Lemma 2.7** ([1]). *If*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \phi^{1/2}(e^{k^a/2}) < \infty,$$

*then without changing its distribution, we can redefine the sequence  $\{u_i\}_{i \geq 1}$  on a larger probability space together with a sequence  $\{\bar{Y}_i\}_{i \geq 1}$  of independent random variables such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{Y}_k &\stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=} u_k \quad \text{for all } k, \\ \left| \sum_{k=1}^m u_k - \sum_{k=1}^m \bar{Y}_k \right| &\leq C \quad \text{a.s. for all } m \text{ and some constant } C. \end{aligned}$$

The next lemma is a well-known Sakhanenko's theorem (cf. Lemma 2 in [6]).

**Lemma 2.8.** *Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be independent random variables with  $\mathbb{E}X_j = 0$  and  $\sigma_j^2 = \mathbb{E}X_j^2 < \infty$  for each  $j \geq 1$ . Then we can redefine  $\{X_j, j \geq 1\}$  on a richer probability space together with a sequence of independent  $N(0, 1)$ -random variables  $\tilde{Y}_j, j \geq 1$ , such that for every  $p > 2$  and  $x > 0$ ,*

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ \max_{i \leq n} \left| \sum_{j=1}^i X_j - \sum_{j=1}^i \sigma_j \tilde{Y}_j \right| \geq x \right\} \leq (Ap)^p x^{-p} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}|X_j|^p,$$

where  $A$  is an absolute positive constant.

In view of Lemma 2.8, without changing its distribution we can redefine the sequence  $\{\bar{Y}_i\}_{i \geq 1}$  together with a sequence  $\{\tilde{Y}_i\}_{i \geq 1}$  of independent normal random variables with  $\mathbb{E}\tilde{Y}_i = 0, \mathbb{E}\tilde{Y}_i^2 = \tilde{\sigma}_i^2$  such that, for some  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$(2.9) \quad \mathbb{P} \left\{ \max_{m \leq M} \left| \sum_{i=1}^m \bar{Y}_i - \sum_{i=1}^m \tilde{Y}_i \right| \geq x \right\} \leq \frac{C}{x^{2+\delta}} \sum_{i=1}^M \mathbb{E}|\bar{Y}_i|^{2+\delta}.$$

Furthermore, without changing its distribution we can redefine the sequence  $\{\tilde{Y}_i\}_{i \geq 1}$  together with a standard Wiener process  $W = \{W(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  such that

$$W(\tilde{s}_m^2) = \sum_{i=1}^m \tilde{Y}_i \quad \text{for every } m.$$

By (2.8), we have, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{P} \left\{ \max_{k \leq \ell} |S_k^{(n)} - \mathbb{E}S_k^{(n)} - W(s_k^2)| > \varepsilon \sqrt{\ell L(z_n)} \right\} \\
 \leq & \mathbb{P} \left\{ \max_{m \leq m_\ell} \left| \sum_{i=1}^m u_i - \sum_{i=1}^m \bar{Y}_i \right| > \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \sqrt{\ell L(z_n)} \right\} \\
 & + \mathbb{P} \left\{ \max_{m \leq m_\ell} \left| \sum_{i=1}^m v_i \right| > \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \sqrt{\ell L(z_n)} \right\} \\
 (2.10) \quad & + \mathbb{P} \left\{ \max_{m \leq m_\ell} \max_{N_m \leq k < N_{m+1}} \left| \sum_{j=N_m+1}^k (Y_{j,n} - \mathbb{E}Y_{j,n}) \right| > \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \sqrt{\ell L(z_n)} \right\} \\
 & + \mathbb{P} \left\{ \max_{m \leq m_\ell} \left| \sum_{i=1}^m \bar{Y}_i - \sum_{i=1}^m \tilde{Y}_i \right| > \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \sqrt{\ell L(z_n)} \right\} \\
 =: & P_1(n) + P_2(n) + P_3(n) + P_4(n).
 \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 2.7, it is immediate that

$$(2.11) \quad P_1(n) = 0 \quad \text{for } n \text{ large.}$$

**Lemma 2.9.** *Under the assumptions of Lemma 2.5, we have  $P_2(n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .*

*Proof.* It follows that, for some  $0 < a < 1$ ,

$$(2.12) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{m_\ell} i_k = \sum_{k=1}^{m_\ell} [ak^{a-1} \exp(k^a/2)] \leq C\sqrt{\ell}.$$

Hence, according to Lemmas 2.1 and 2.6, we have, for every  $m \leq m_\ell$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.13) \quad \mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{k=1}^m v_k \right)^2 & \leq C \sum_{k=1}^m \mathbb{E}v_k^2 \leq C \sum_{k=1}^m i_k \mathbb{E}\{Y_{j,n} - \mathbb{E}Y_{j,n}\}^2 \\
 & \leq CL(z_n) \sum_{k=1}^m i_k \leq C\sqrt{\ell}L(z_n).
 \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 2.2 in [11], we get

$$\mathbb{E} \max_{m \leq m_\ell} \left( \sum_{k=1}^m v_k \right)^2 \leq C\sqrt{\ell}L(z_n).$$

The result follows by the Chebyshev's inequality. □

**Lemma 2.10.** *Under the assumptions of Lemma 2.5, we have  $P_3(n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .*

*Proof.* By the Markov's inequality, for every  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_3(n) &\leq \sum_{m=1}^{m_\ell} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \max_{N_m \leq k < N_{m+1}} \left| \sum_{j=N_m+1}^k (Y_{j,n} - \mathbb{E}Y_{j,n}) \right| > \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \sqrt{\ell L(z_n)} \right\} \\
 &\leq \frac{C}{(\ell L(z_n))^{(2+\delta)/2}} \sum_{m=1}^{m_\ell} \mathbb{E} \left\{ \max_{N_m \leq k < N_{m+1}} \left| \sum_{j=N_m+1}^k (Y_{j,n} - \mathbb{E}Y_{j,n}) \right| \right\}^{2+\delta}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 2.3 for  $\delta > \delta_0$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\mathbb{E} \left\{ \max_{N_m \leq k < N_{m+1}} \left| \sum_{j=N_m+1}^k (Y_{j,n} - \mathbb{E}Y_{j,n}) \right| \right\}^{2+\delta} \\
 &\leq K \left\{ \text{Var} \left( \sum_{j=N_m+1}^{N_{m+1}} (Y_{j,n} - \mathbb{E}Y_{j,n}) \right) \right\}^{(2+\delta)/2} \\
 &\leq K \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{j=N_m+1}^{N_{m+1}} (Y_{j,n} - \mathbb{E}Y_{j,n}) \right)^2 \right\}^{(2+\delta)/2},
 \end{aligned}$$

and, by Lemma 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{j=N_m+1}^{N_{m+1}} (Y_{j,n} - \mathbb{E}Y_{j,n}) \right)^2 &\leq C(N_{m+1} - N_m) \mathbb{E}(Y_{j,n} - \mathbb{E}Y_{j,n})^2 \\
 &\leq Ch_m L(z_n).
 \end{aligned}$$

It is easily seen that

$$(2.14) \quad \sum_{m=1}^{m_\ell} h_m^{(2+\delta)/2} = \sum_{m=1}^{m_\ell} o(m^{a-1} e^{(2+\delta)m^a/2}) = o(e^{(2+\delta)m_\ell^a/2}) = o(\ell^{(2+\delta)/2})$$

for some  $0 < a < 1$ . Combining the above results, we have

$$P_3(n) \leq \frac{C}{(\ell L(z_n))^{(2+\delta)/2}} \sum_{m=1}^{m_\ell} h_m^{(2+\delta)/2} \{L(z_n)\}^{(2+\delta)/2} = o(1).$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.10. □

**Lemma 2.11.** *Under the assumptions of Lemma 2.5, we have  $P_4(n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .*

*Proof.* Using Lemmas 2.1, 2.3 and 2.7, we have, for  $\delta > \delta' > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{E}|\bar{Y}_i|^{2+\delta} &= \mathbb{E}|u_i|^{2+\delta} \leq K \left( \text{Var} \left( \sum_{j \in H_i} (Y_{j,n} - \mathbb{E}Y_{j,n}) \right) \right)^{(2+\delta)/2} \\
 &= K \left( \mathbb{E} \left\{ \sum_{j \in H_i} (Y_{j,n} - \mathbb{E}Y_{j,n}) \right\}^2 \right)^{(2+\delta)/2}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq C h_i^{(2+\delta)/2} (L(z_n))^{(2+\delta)/2}.$$

Hence, together with (2.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\{\ell L(z_n)\}^{1+\delta/2}} \sum_{i=1}^{m_\ell} \mathbb{E}|\bar{Y}_i|^{2+\delta} &\leq \frac{C}{(\ell L(z_n))^{1+\delta/2}} \sum_{i=1}^{m_\ell} h_i^{(2+\delta)/2} (L(z_n))^{(2+\delta)/2} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.9), we obtain

$$P_4(n) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad \square$$

Combining (2.11), Lemmas 2.9–2.11 and (2.10), we have the following result.

**Proposition 2.2.** *If  $\phi(1) < 1$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \phi^{1/2}(n) < \infty$ , then  $J_3(n) \xrightarrow{P} 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .*

**Proposition 2.3.** *Under the assumptions of Lemma 2.5, we have  $J_4(n) \xrightarrow{P} 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .*

*Proof.* We have

$$J_4(n) = \left| \frac{s_\ell}{\nu_\ell} - 1 \right| \max_{k \leq \ell} \frac{|W(s_k^2)|}{s_\ell}.$$

It is easy to check that

$$\max_{k \leq \ell} \frac{|W(s_k^2)|}{s_\ell} \xrightarrow{P} C \quad \text{as } \ell \rightarrow \infty$$

for some positive constant  $C$ . Thus we only need to show that  $s_\ell \sim \nu_\ell$ . Since

$$\frac{s_\ell^2}{\nu_\ell^2} - 1 = \frac{1}{\gamma_\ell^2} \frac{1}{\ell L(z_n)} (s_\ell^2 - \text{Var}(S_\ell^{(n)})),$$

it is sufficient to show that

$$(2.15) \quad \frac{1}{\ell L(z_n)} (s_\ell^2 - \text{Var}(S_\ell^{(n)})) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

From (2.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (2.16) \quad \text{Var}(S_\ell^{(n)}) &= \mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{m_\ell} u_k \right)^2 + \mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{m_\ell} v_k + \sum_{j=N_{m_\ell}+1}^{\ell} (Y_{j,n} - \mathbb{E}Y_{j,n}) \right)^2 \\ &\quad + 2\mathbb{E} \left\{ \left( \sum_{k=1}^{m_\ell} u_k \right) \left( \sum_{k=1}^{m_\ell} v_k + \sum_{j=N_{m_\ell}+1}^{\ell} (Y_{j,n} - \mathbb{E}Y_{j,n}) \right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that  $\sum_{i=1}^{m_\ell} h_i \leq C\ell$ , it follows from Kronecker's lemma and Toeplitz's lemma that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{m_\ell} u_k \right)^2 - s_\ell^2 &= 2 \sum_{k=1}^{m_\ell-1} \sum_{j=k+1}^{m_\ell} \mathbb{E} u_k u_j \\
 &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^{m_\ell-1} \sum_{j=k+1}^{m_\ell} \phi^{1/2}(i_k) (\mathbb{E} u_k^2)^{1/2} (\mathbb{E} u_j^2)^{1/2} \\
 (2.17) \quad &\leq C \sum_{j=2}^{m_\ell} h_j^{1/2} \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \phi^{1/2}(i_k) h_k^{1/2} L(z_n) \\
 &= C \sum_{j=2}^{m_\ell} h_j \left( o(h_j^{1/2}) / h_j^{1/2} \right) L(z_n) \\
 &= o \left( \sum_{j=2}^{m_\ell} h_j \right) L(z_n) = o(\ell L(z_n)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.13), Lemma 2.1 and the property of  $N_k$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{m_\ell} v_k + \sum_{j=N_{m_\ell}+1}^{\ell} (Y_{j,n} - \mathbb{E} Y_{j,n}) \right)^2 \\
 (2.18) \quad &\leq 2 \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{m_\ell} v_k \right)^2 + \mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{j=N_{m_\ell}+1}^{\ell} (Y_{j,n} - \mathbb{E} Y_{j,n}) \right)^2 \right\} \\
 &\leq C(\sqrt{\ell} L(z_n) + (\ell - N_{m_\ell}) L(z_n)) \\
 &= o(\ell L(z_n)).
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the Hölder's inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\mathbb{E} \left| \left( \sum_{k=1}^{m_\ell} u_k \right) \left( \sum_{k=1}^{m_\ell} v_k + \sum_{j=N_{m_\ell}+1}^{\ell} (Y_{j,n} - \mathbb{E} Y_{j,n}) \right) \right| \\
 (2.19) \quad &\leq \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{m_\ell} u_k \right)^2 \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{m_\ell} v_k + \sum_{j=N_{m_\ell}+1}^{\ell} (Y_{j,n} - \mathbb{E} Y_{j,n}) \right)^2 \right\}^{1/2} \\
 &\leq C \{ \ell L(z_n) \}^{1/2} \{ (\sqrt{\ell} + \ell - N_{m_\ell}) L(z_n) \}^{1/2} \\
 &= o(\ell L(z_n)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (2.17)–(2.19) into (2.16) yields (2.15). This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.4.** *Under the assumptions of Proposition 2.2, we have  $J_2(n) \xrightarrow{P} 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .*

*Proof.* Note that

$$\begin{aligned} J_2(n) &= \left| \frac{1}{\nu_\ell} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\ell}B_n} \right| \max_{k \leq \ell} |S_k^{(n)} - \mathbb{E}S_k^{(n)}| \\ &= \left| 1 - \frac{\nu_\ell}{\sqrt{\ell}B_n} \right| \max_{k \leq \ell} \frac{|S_k^{(n)} - \mathbb{E}S_k^{(n)}|}{\nu_\ell} \\ &\leq \left| 1 - \frac{\nu_\ell}{\sqrt{\ell}B_n} \right| \left( J_3(n) + J_4(n) + \max_{k \leq \ell} \frac{|W(s_k^2)|}{s_\ell} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Combining Lemma 2.5 with Propositions 2.2–2.3 gives  $J_2(n) \xrightarrow{P} 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . □

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* The proof is immediate from (2.5) and Propositions 2.1–2.4. □

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* The first statement of Theorem 1.2 is immediate from the second one. Hence we need only to prove the second one. By the Slutsky lemma, we see that  $W(s_{[t\ell]}^2)/s_\ell \xrightarrow{D} W(s_{[t\ell]}^2/s_\ell^2)$  for all  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ . Thus, it suffices to show that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \left| W \left( \frac{s_{[t\ell]}^2}{s_\ell^2} \right) - W(t) \right| \xrightarrow{P} 0 \quad \text{as } \ell \rightarrow \infty.$$

We consider

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \left| W \left( \frac{s_{[t\ell]}^2}{s_\ell^2} \right) - W(t) \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \left| W \left( \frac{s_{[t\ell]}^2}{s_\ell^2} \right) - W \left( \frac{[t\ell]}{\ell} \right) \right| + \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \left| W \left( \frac{[t\ell]}{\ell} \right) - W(t) \right| \\ &=: Q_1(n) + Q_2(n). \end{aligned}$$

By the uniform continuity of Wiener process,  $Q_2(n) = o(1)$  a.s. If now we prove that

$$(2.20) \quad \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \left| \frac{s_{[t\ell]}^2}{s_\ell^2} - \frac{[t\ell]}{\ell} \right| = o(1),$$

then this, together with the Etemadi’s maximal inequality (cf. [7]) and the Markov’s inequality, gives  $Q_1(n) \xrightarrow{P} 0$ . Indeed, by Lemma 3.5 in [14],  $\nu_\ell^2$  is regularly varying at  $\infty$  with exponent 1. Since  $s_\ell^2 \sim \nu_\ell^2$  in the proof of Proposition 2.3, we can obtain (2.20) by the uniform convergence theorem of a regularly varying function (see Theorem 1.5.2 in [3]). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2. □

**Acknowledgement.** The authors wish to thank the referee for careful reading and helpful comments on this paper.

### References

- [1] R. Balan and R. Kulik, *Weak invariance principle for mixing sequences in the domain of attraction of normal law*, *Studia Sci. Math. Hungarica* **46** (2009), no. 3, 329–343.
- [2] R. Balan and I. M. Zamfirescu, *Strong approximation for mixing sequences with infinite variance*, *Electron. Comm. Probab.* **11** (2006), 11–23.
- [3] N. H. Bingham, C. M. Goldie, and J. L. Teugels, *Regular Variation*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1987.
- [4] R. C. Bradley, *A central limit theorem for stationary  $\rho$ -mixing sequences with infinite variance*, *Ann. Probab.* **16** (1988), no. 1, 313–332.
- [5] M. Csörgő, Z. Y. Lin, and Q. M. Shao, *Studentized increments of partial sums*, *Sci. China Ser. A* **37** (1994), no. 3, 265–276.
- [6] M. Csörgő, B. Szyszkowicz, and Q. Wang, *Donsker's theorem for self-normalized partial sums processes*, *Ann. Probab.* **31** (2003), no. 3, 1228–1240.
- [7] N. Etemadi, *On some classical results in probability theory*, *Sankhya Ser. A* **47** (1985), no. 2, 215–221.
- [8] P. Griffin and J. Kuelbs, *Some extensions of the LIL via self-normalizations*, *Ann. Probab.* **19** (1991), no. 1, 380–395.
- [9] Z. Y. Lin and C. R. Lu, *Limit Theory for Mixing Dependent Random Variables*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht; Science Press, New York, 1996.
- [10] M. Peligrad, *The convergence of moments in the central limit theorem for  $\rho$ -mixing sequences of random variables*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **101** (1987), no. 1, 142–148.
- [11] M. Peligrad and Q. M. Shao, *Estimation of the variance of partial sums for  $\rho$ -mixing random variables*, *J. Multivariate Anal.* **52** (1995), no. 1, 140–157.
- [12] A. Račkauskas and C. Suquet, *Invariance principles for adaptive self-normalized partial sums processes*, *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **95** (2001), no. 1, 63–81.
- [13] Q. M. Shao, *Almost sure invariance principles for mixing sequences of random variables*, *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **48** (1993), no. 2, 319–334.
- [14] ———, *An invariance principle for stationary  $\rho$ -mixing sequence with infinite variance*, *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B* **14** (1993), no. 1, 27–42.
- [15] ———, *Self-normalized large deviations*, *Ann. Probab.* **25** (1997), no. 1, 285–328.
- [16] W. Wang, *Self-normalized lag increments of partial sums*, *Statist. Probab. Lett.* **58** (2002), no. 1, 41–51.

YONG-KAB CHOI  
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND RINS  
 GYEONGSANG NATIONAL UNIVERSITY  
 JINJU 660-701, KOREA  
*E-mail address:* mathykc@naver.com

HEE-JIN MOON  
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND RINS  
 GYEONGSANG NATIONAL UNIVERSITY  
 JINJU 660-701, KOREA  
*E-mail address:* mhj0307@daum.net