

## A NEW REPRESENTATION ALGORITHM IN A FREE GROUP

SU-JEONG CHOI

ABSTRACT. This paper presents a new representation algorithm which computes the representation for elements of a free group generated by two linear fractional transformations and also the justification of the algorithm in order to show how it operates correctly and efficiently according to inputs.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $n \geq 3$  and  $\Gamma_n$  a free group [5] generated by two linear fractional transformations  $A_n = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $B_n = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ n & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Then every element of  $\Gamma_n$  can be represented by a reduced word in  $\{A_n, B_n\}^\pm$ , called the  $X_n$ -representation with  $X_n = \{A_n, B_n\}$ . Since  $A_n$  and  $B_n$  are the cases of  $A_1^n$  and  $B_1^n$ , every element of  $\Gamma_n$  can also be represented by a reduced word in  $\{A_1, B_1\}^\pm$ , called the  $X_1$ -representation with  $X_1 = \{A_1, B_1\}$ . Namely, the  $X_n$ -representation of each element of  $\Gamma_n$  enables computation of the  $X_1$ -representation of the element. The  $X_1$ -representation of each element of  $\Gamma_n$  is one of the following forms

$$\begin{aligned} &A_1^{nu_1} B_1^{nu_2} \dots B_1^{nu_{m-1}} A_1^{nu_m}, \\ &A_1^{nu_1} B_1^{nu_2} \dots A_1^{nu_{m-1}} B_1^{nu_m}, \\ &B_1^{nu_1} A_1^{nu_2} \dots A_1^{nu_{m-1}} B_1^{nu_m}, \\ &B_1^{nu_1} A_1^{nu_2} \dots B_1^{nu_{m-1}} A_1^{nu_m}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $u_i$  is a nonzero integer and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

In 2004, Grigoriev and Ponomarenko introduced the homomorphic public-key cryptosystem [4]. Then the secret key  $n$  is hidden into the decryption scheme including the  $X_n$ -representation algorithm which outputs the  $X_n$ -representation of the ciphertext in the process of the decryption. Later on, this  $X_n$ -representation algorithm is modified to make it more clear and efficient in [2]. On the other hand, the attacker must strive to find the secret key  $n$  of the

---

Received April 3, 2009.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20C20, 20F05.

*Key words and phrases.* linear fractional transformation, free group, representation, algorithm.

cryptosystem. So the motivation of the design of the  $X_1$ -representation algorithm derives from cryptanalysis of the homomorphic public-key cryptosystem. Consequently the  $X_1$ -representation for elements of  $\Gamma_n$  can be used to break the homomorphic public-key cryptosystem in [3].

In this paper, the  $X_1$ -representation algorithm is presented with the justification of the algorithm, which is stated explicitly with some properties of the two linear fractional transformations in order to show how it operates correctly and efficiently according to inputs. Note that the material of this paper is extracted from the PhD thesis of the author [1].

## 2. Representation algorithm in a free group $\Gamma_n$

In this section we introduce a new representation algorithm to compute the  $X_1$ -representation for elements of  $\Gamma_n$  and also prove correctness of the algorithm with some properties of two linear fractional transformations  $A_n^u$  and  $B_n^u$  for a nonzero integer  $u$ . Let  $D$  be a unit open disk in the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$  with the center 0, i.e.,  $D = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| < 1\}$  and a complement of the closure of  $D$ ,  $D^c = \mathbb{C} - \bar{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| > 1\}$ .  $1_{X_1}$  denotes the empty word.

Assume that  $n$  is unknown and  $M \in \Gamma_n$ . The following representation algorithm outputs the  $X_1$ -representation of  $M$  either for  $z = \frac{1}{2}$  or for  $z = 2$ . Otherwise, it does the error message  $\epsilon$ . Once the algorithm first outputs the  $X_1$ -representation of  $M$  for one of two  $z$  values, it does not need to run for the other  $z$  value as the goal is achieved.

### Algorithm

#### Step 0

$w \leftarrow 1_{X_1}$   
 $L \leftarrow M$

#### Step 1

- (1)  $L(z) = 0, |L(z)| = 1, L(z) = \infty \Rightarrow$  output  $\epsilon$ .
- (2)  $|L(z)| > 1 \Rightarrow$  compute  $e, \mu$  s.t.  $L(z) = e + \mu, e \in \mathbb{Z}, -\frac{1}{2} < \mu \leq \frac{1}{2}$  and go to Step 2.
- (3)  $|L(z)| < 1 \Rightarrow$  compute  $e, \mu$  s.t.  $\frac{1}{L(z)} = e + \mu, e \in \mathbb{Z}, -\frac{1}{2} < \mu \leq \frac{1}{2}$  and go to Step 3.

#### Step 2

- (1)  $C \leftarrow A_1^e$  and  $w \leftarrow wC$ .
- (2)  $C = I \Rightarrow$  output  $\epsilon$ .
- (3)  $L \leftarrow C^{-1}L$
- (4)  $L = I \Rightarrow$  output  $w$ . Otherwise, return Step 1.

#### Step 3

- (1)  $C \leftarrow B_1^e$  and  $w \leftarrow wC$ .
- (2)  $C = I \Rightarrow$  output  $\epsilon$ .
- (3)  $L \leftarrow C^{-1}L$
- (4)  $L = I \Rightarrow$  output  $w$ . Otherwise, return Step 1.

Next, some properties of the two linear fractional transformations are as follows.

**Lemma 2.1** ([2]). For  $z \in D$ ,  $A_n^u(z) \in D^c$ .

**Lemma 2.2** ([2]). For  $z \in D^c$ ,  $B_n^u(z) \in D$ .

**Theorem 2.3** ([2]). The following properties hold:

- (1)  $A_n^{u_1} B_n^{u_2} \dots B_n^{u_{m-1}} A_n^{u_m} \in D^c$  for  $z \in D$ .
- (2)  $A_n^{u_1} B_n^{u_2} \dots A_n^{u_{m-1}} B_n^{u_m} \in D^c$  for  $z \in D^c$ .
- (3)  $B_n^{u_1} A_n^{u_2} \dots A_n^{u_{m-1}} B_n^{u_m} \in D$  for  $z \in D^c$ .
- (4)  $B_n^{u_1} A_n^{u_2} \dots B_n^{u_{m-1}} A_n^{u_m} \in D$  for  $z \in D$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** Let  $n \geq 3$  and  $z \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $|z| < \frac{1}{2}$ . Then  $|A_n^u(z)| > \frac{5}{2}$ .

*Proof.* For  $n \geq 3$  and  $z \in \mathbb{R}$  s.t.  $|z| < \frac{1}{2}$ , by Lemma 2.1  $A_n^u(z) = nu + z \in D^c$ . If  $u \geq 1$ , then  $\frac{5}{2} \leq nu - \frac{1}{2} < nu + z < nu + \frac{1}{2}$  and so  $A_n^u(z) > \frac{5}{2}$ . If  $u < -1$ , then  $nu - \frac{1}{2} < nu + z < nu + \frac{1}{2} < -\frac{5}{2}$  and so  $A_n^u(z) < -\frac{5}{2}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.5.** For  $n \geq 3$  and  $z \in \mathbb{R} \cap D^c$ ,  $|B_n^u(z)| < \frac{1}{2}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $n \geq 3$  and  $z \in \mathbb{R} \cap D^c$ . If  $u \geq 1$ , then  $0 < \frac{1}{nu + \frac{1}{z}} < \frac{1}{nu - 1} \leq \frac{1}{2}$  and so  $0 < B_n^u(z) < \frac{1}{2}$ . If  $u < -1$ , then  $-\frac{1}{2} < \frac{1}{nu + 1} < \frac{1}{nu + \frac{1}{z}} < 0$  and so  $-\frac{1}{2} < B_n^u(z) < 0$ .  $\square$

It should be noticed that both properties above are not guaranteed for  $n \geq 2$ . In other words, let  $n \geq 2$  and  $z \in \mathbb{R} \cap D^c$ , then  $|B_n^u(z)| < 1$ , but for  $n \geq 3$ ,  $|B_n^u(z)| < \frac{1}{2}$ . Now the justification of the algorithm is being carried out with some characteristics of the two linear fractional transformations. So it shows how the algorithm works correctly and efficiently according to inputs. For the sake of avoiding the similarity of proofs, one of the  $X_1$ -representation forms is taken for the verification of the algorithm.

**Theorem 2.6.** If a matrix  $M = A_n^{u_1} B_n^{u_2} \dots A_n^{u_{m-1}} B_n^{u_m}$  is input to the algorithm ( $z = \frac{1}{2}$ ) with even  $m \geq 2$ , then it outputs  $\epsilon$  as the error message.

*Proof.* Let

$$M = A_n^{u_1} B_n^{u_2} \dots A_n^{u_{m-1}} B_n^{u_m} \in \Gamma_n \text{ and } \beta_1 = B_n^{u_2} \dots A_n^{u_{m-1}} B_n^{u_m} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right).$$

Then  $L(\frac{1}{2}) = A_n^{u_1} B_n^{u_2} \dots A_n^{u_{m-1}} B_n^{u_m}(\frac{1}{2}) = nu_1 + \beta_1$ .

Case 1 : If  $n = 3$  and  $u_m = -1$ , then  $|B_n^{u_m}(\frac{1}{2})| = 1$  and in Step 1 of the first iteration, by Theorem 2.3(2),

$$L(\frac{1}{2}) = A_n^{u_1} B_n^{u_2} \dots B_n^{u_{m-2}}(nu_{m-1} - 1) \in D^c.$$

As  $A_n^{u_{m-1}} B_n^{u_m}(\frac{1}{2}) = A_n^{u_{m-1}}(-1) \in D^c$ , by Theorem 2.5,  $|\beta_1| < \frac{1}{2}$ , so that  $e = nu_1$  and  $\mu = \beta_1$ .

Case 2 : If  $n \neq 3$  or  $u_m \neq -1$ , then  $|B_n^{u_m}(\frac{1}{2})| < 1$ . By Theorem 2.3(1),

$$L(\frac{1}{2}) = A_n^{u_1} B_n^{u_2} \dots A_n^{u_{m-1}}\left(\frac{1}{nu_m + 2}\right) \in D^c$$

and by Theorem 2.5,  $|\beta_1| < \frac{1}{2}$ , so that  $e = nu_1$  and  $\mu = \beta_1$ . In Step 2 of the first iteration of those cases,  $C = A_1^e = A_1^{nu_1}$ ,  $w = wC = A_1^{nu_1}$  and  $L = C^{-1}L = B_n^{u_2} \dots A_n^{u_{m-1}} B_n^{u_m} \neq I$ . So return Step 1.

Suppose that for  $1 \leq i-1 < m-2$ , in Step 2 of the  $i-1$ th iteration,  $L = C^{-1}L = B_n^{u_i} A_n^{u_{i+1}} \dots A_n^{u_{m-1}} B_n^{u_m}$  or in Step 3 of the  $i-1$ th iteration  $L = C^{-1}L = A_n^{u_i} B_n^{u_{i+1}} \dots A_n^{u_{m-1}} B_n^{u_m}$  according as  $i-1$  is odd or even.

For even  $i$ , let  $L = B_n^{u_i} A_n^{u_{i+1}} \dots A_n^{u_{m-1}} B_n^{u_m}$  in Step 1 of the  $i$ th iteration and  $\alpha_i = A_n^{u_{i+1}} B_n^{u_{i+2}} \dots A_n^{u_{m-1}} B_n^{u_m} (\frac{1}{2})$ . Then

$$L(\frac{1}{2}) = B_n^{u_i}(\alpha_i) = \frac{1}{nu_i + \frac{1}{\alpha_i}}.$$

Case 1 : If  $n = 3$  and  $u_m = -1$ , then  $|B_n^{u_m}(\frac{1}{2})| = 1$  and by Theorem 2.3(3),

$$L(\frac{1}{2}) = B_n^{u_i} A_n^{u_{i+1}} \dots B_n^{u_{m-2}}(nu_{m-1} - 1) \in D.$$

As  $A_n^{u_{m-1}} B_n^{u_m}(\frac{1}{2}) = A_n^{u_{m-1}}(-1) \in D^c$ , by Theorem 2.5,

$$|B_n^{u_{i+2}} \dots A_n^{u_{m-1}} B_n^{u_m}(\frac{1}{2})| < \frac{1}{2}.$$

By Theorem 2.4,  $\frac{1}{|\alpha_i|} < \frac{2}{5}$  and as  $\frac{1}{L(\frac{1}{2})} = nu_i + \frac{1}{\alpha_i}$ ,

$$e = nu_i \text{ and } \mu = \frac{1}{\alpha_i}.$$

Case 2 : If  $n \neq 3$  or  $u_m \neq -1$ , then  $|B_n^{u_m}(\frac{1}{2})| < 1$  and by Theorem 2.3(4),

$$L(\frac{1}{2}) = B_n^{u_i} A_n^{u_{i+1}} \dots A_n^{u_{m-1}}(\frac{1}{nu_m+2}) \in D.$$

By Lemma 2.1,  $A_n^{u_{m-1}}(\frac{1}{nu_m+2}) \in D^c$  and by Theorem 2.5,

$$|B_n^{u_{i+2}} \dots A_n^{u_{m-1}} B_n^{u_m}(\frac{1}{2})| < \frac{1}{2}.$$

By Theorem 2.4,  $\frac{1}{|\alpha_i|} < \frac{2}{5}$  and  $\frac{1}{L(\frac{1}{2})} = nu_i + \frac{1}{\alpha_i}$ , so that  $e = nu_i$  and  $\mu = \frac{1}{\alpha_i}$ .

In Step 3 of the  $i$ th iteration of those cases,  $C = B_1^e = B_1^{nu_i}$ ,  $w = wC = A_1^{nu_1} B_1^{nu_2} \dots A_1^{nu_{i-1}} B_1^{nu_i}$  and  $L = C^{-1}L = A_n^{u_{i+1}} \dots A_n^{u_{m-1}} B_n^{u_m} \neq I$ . So return Step 1.

For odd  $i$ , let  $L = A_n^{u_i} B_n^{u_{i+1}} \dots A_n^{u_{m-1}} B_n^{u_m}$  and  $\beta_i = B_n^{u_{i+1}} \dots A_n^{u_{m-1}} B_n^{u_m}(\frac{1}{2})$ . Then  $L(\frac{1}{2}) = nu_i + \beta_i$ .

Case 1 : If  $n = 3$  and  $u_m = -1$ , then  $|B_n^{u_m}(\frac{1}{2})| = 1$  and by Theorem 2.3(2),

$$L(\frac{1}{2}) = A_n^{u_i} B_n^{u_{i+1}} \dots B_n^{u_{m-2}}(nu_{m-1} - 1) \in D^c.$$

As  $A_n^{u_{m-1}} B_n^{u_m}(\frac{1}{2}) = A_n^{u_{m-1}}(-1) \in D^c$ , by Theorem 2.5,  $|\beta_i| < \frac{1}{2}$ . Hence

$$e = nu_i \text{ and } \mu = \beta_i.$$

Case 2 : If  $n \neq 3$  or  $u_m \neq -1$ , then  $|B_n^{u_m}(\frac{1}{2})| < 1$ . By Theorem 2.3(1),

$$L(\frac{1}{2}) = A_n^{u_i} B_n^{u_{i+1}} \dots A_n^{u_{m-1}}(\frac{1}{nu_m+2}) \in D^c$$

and by Theorem 2.5,  $|\beta_i| < \frac{1}{2}$ , so that  $e = nu_i$  and  $\mu = \beta_i$ . In Step 2 of the  $i$ th iteration of those cases,

$$C = A_1^e = A_1^{nu_i}, w = wC = A_1^{nu_1} B_1^{nu_2} \dots B_1^{u_{i-1}} A_1^{nu_i}$$

$$\text{and } L = C^{-1}L = B_n^{u_{i+1}} \dots A_n^{u_{m-1}} B_n^{u_m} \neq I.$$

So return Step 1.

For  $i = m - 1$ , the algorithm runs with  $L = C^{-1}L = A_n^{u_{m-1}} B_n^{u_m}$  in Step 3 of the  $m - 2$ th iteration.

Case 1 : If  $n = 3$  and  $u_m = -1$ , then  $|B_n^{u_m}(\frac{1}{2})| = 1$  and

$$L(\frac{1}{2}) = A_n^{u_{m-1}} B_n^{u_m}(\frac{1}{2}) = A_n^{u_{m-1}}(-1) \in D^c.$$

In Step 1 of the  $m - 1$ th iteration,

$$e = nu_{m-1} - 1 \text{ and } \mu = 0.$$

In Step 2 of the  $m - 1$ th iteration,

$$C = A_1^e = A_1^{nu_{m-1}-1},$$

$$w = wC = A_1^{nu_1} B_1^{nu_2} \dots B_1^{nu_{m-2}} A_1^{nu_{m-1}-1}$$

and

$$L = C^{-1}L = A_1 B_n^{u_m} \neq I.$$

So return Step 1.

For  $i = m$  of case 1, the algorithm runs with  $L = A_1 B_n^{u_m}$  in Step 1 of the  $m$ th iteration and then

$$L(\frac{1}{2}) = A_1 B_n^{u_m}(\frac{1}{2}) = A_1(-1) = 0.$$

Therefore the algorithm outputs  $\epsilon$  as the error message and then it terminates.

Case 2 : If  $n \neq 3$  or  $u_m \neq -1$ , then  $|B_n^{u_m}(\frac{1}{2})| < 1$  and

$$L(\frac{1}{2}) = A_n^{u_{m-1}} B_n^{u_m}(\frac{1}{2}) = A_n^{u_{m-1}}(\frac{1}{nu_m+2}) \in D^c.$$

Since  $|B_n^{u_m}(\frac{1}{2})| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ ,

$$e = nu_{m-1} \text{ and } \mu = \frac{1}{nu_m+2}.$$

In Step 2 of the  $m - 1$ th iteration,

$$C = A_1^e = A_1^{nu_{m-1}},$$

$$w = wC = A_1^{nu_1} B_1^{nu_2} \dots B_1^{nu_{m-2}} A_1^{nu_{m-1}}$$

$$\text{and } L = C^{-1}L = B_n^{u_m} \neq I.$$

So return Step 1.

For  $i = m$  of case 2, the algorithm runs with  $L = B_n^{u_m}$  in Step 1 of the  $m$ th iteration.

Case 1 : If  $n = 3$  and  $u = -1$ , then in Step 1 of the  $m$ th iteration,  $|L(\frac{1}{2})| = 1$ . So the algorithm outputs  $\epsilon$  as the error message and then it terminates.

Case 2 : If  $n \neq 3$  or  $u_m \neq -1$ , then in Step 1 of the  $m$ th iteration,  $|L(\frac{1}{2})| \leq \frac{1}{2}$  and so

$$e = \frac{1}{L(\frac{1}{2})} = nu_m + 2 \text{ and } \mu = 0.$$

In Step 3 of the  $m$ th iteration,

$$\begin{aligned} C &= B_1^e = B_1^{nu_m+2}, \\ w &= wC = A_1^{nu_1} B_1^{nu_2} \cdots B_1^{nu_{m-2}} A_1^{nu_{m-1}} B_1^{nu_m+2} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$L = C^{-1}L = B_1^{-nu_m-2} B_n^{u_m} = B_1^{-2} \neq I.$$

So return Step 1.

For  $i = m + 1$  of case 2, the algorithm runs with  $L = C^{-1}L = B_1^{-2}$  in Step 3 of the  $m$ th iteration and then

$$L(\frac{1}{2}) = B_1^{-2}(\frac{1}{2}) = \infty$$

in Step 1 of the  $m + 1$ th iteration. Therefore the algorithm outputs  $\epsilon$  as the error message and then it terminates.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.7.** *If a matrix  $M = A_n^{u_1} B_n^{u_2} \cdots A_n^{u_{m-1}} B_n^{u_m}$  is input to the algorithm ( $z = 2$ ) with even  $m \geq 2$ , then it outputs  $A_1^{nu_1} B_1^{nu_2} \cdots A_1^{nu_{m-1}} B_1^{nu_m}$  as the  $X_1$ -representation of  $M$ .*

*Proof.* Let

$$M = A_n^{u_1} B_n^{u_2} \cdots A_n^{u_{m-1}} B_n^{u_m} \in \Gamma_n \text{ and } \beta_1 = B_n^{u_2} \cdots A_n^{u_{m-1}} B_n^{u_m}(2).$$

Then

$$L(2) = A_n^{u_1} B_n^{u_2} \cdots A_n^{u_{m-1}} B_n^{u_m}(2) = nu_1 + \beta_1.$$

By Theorem 2.3(2),  $L(2) \in D^c$  and by Theorem 2.5,  $|\beta_1| < \frac{1}{2}$ , so that  $e = nu_1$  and  $\mu = \beta_1$ . In Step 2 of the first iteration,

$$\begin{aligned} C &= A_1^e = A_1^{nu_1}, w = wC = A_1^{nu_1} \\ \text{and } L &= C^{-1}L = B_n^{u_2} \cdots A_n^{u_{m-1}} B_n^{u_m} \neq I. \end{aligned}$$

So return Step 1.

Assume that for  $1 \leq i - 1 < m - 1$ , in Step 3 of the  $i - 1$ th iteration  $L = C^{-1}L = A_n^{u_i} B_n^{u_{i+1}} \cdots A_n^{u_{m-1}} B_n^{u_m}$  or in Step 2 of the  $i - 1$ th iteration  $L = C^{-1}L = B_n^{u_i} A_n^{u_{i+1}} \cdots A_n^{u_{m-1}} B_n^{u_m}$  according as  $i - 1$  is even or odd.

For even  $i$ , in Step 1 of the  $i$ th iteration, let  $L = B_n^{u_i} A_n^{u_{i+1}} \cdots A_n^{u_{m-1}} B_n^{u_m} \in \Gamma_n$  and  $\alpha_i = A_n^{u_{i+1}} \cdots A_n^{u_{m-1}} B_n^{u_m}(2)$ . Then

$$L(2) = B_n^{u_i}(\alpha_i) = \frac{1}{nu_i + \frac{1}{\alpha_i}}.$$

By Theorem 2.5,  $|L(2)| < \frac{1}{2}$  and by Theorem 2.4,  $\frac{1}{|\alpha_i|} < \frac{2}{5}$ , so that

$$e = nu_i \text{ and } \mu = \frac{1}{\alpha_i}.$$

In Step 3 of the  $i$ th iteration,  $C = B_1^e = B_1^{nu_i}$ ,  $w = wC = A_1^{nu_1} B_1^{nu_2} \dots A_1^{nu_{i-1}} B_1^{nu_i}$  and  $L = C^{-1}L = A_n^{u_{i+1}} \dots A_n^{u_{m-1}} B_n^{u_m} \neq I$ . So return Step 1.

For odd  $i$ , let  $L = A_n^{u_i} B_n^{u_{i+1}} \dots A_n^{u_{m-1}} B_n^{u_m} \in \Gamma_n$  in Step 1 of the  $i$ th iteration and  $\beta_i = B_n^{u_{i+1}} \dots A_n^{u_{m-1}} B_n^{u_m}(2)$ . Then

$$L(2) = A_n^{u_i} B_n^{u_{i+1}} \dots A_n^{u_{m-1}} B_n^{u_m} = nu_i + \beta_i$$

and by Theorem 2.5,  $|\beta_i| < \frac{1}{2}$ , so that  $e = nu_i$  and  $\mu = \beta_i$ . In Step 2 of the  $i$ th iteration,  $C = A_1^e = A_1^{nu_i}$ ,  $w = wC = A_1^{nu_1} B_1^{nu_2} \dots B_1^{nu_{i-1}} A_1^{nu_i}$  and  $L = C^{-1}L = B_n^{u_{i+1}} \dots A_n^{u_{m-1}} B_n^{u_m} \neq I$ . So return Step 1.

If  $i = m$ , then the algorithm runs with  $L = B_n^{u_m}$  in Step 1 of the  $m$ th iteration and by Theorem 2.5,  $|L(2)| < \frac{1}{2}$ . As  $\frac{1}{L(2)} = nu_m + \frac{1}{2}$ ,

$$e = nu_m \text{ and } \mu = \frac{1}{2}.$$

In Step 3 of the  $m$ th iteration,

$$C = B_1^e = B_1^{nu_m}, w = wC = A_1^{nu_1} B_1^{nu_2} \dots A_1^{nu_{m-1}} B_1^{nu_m}$$

and

$$L = C^{-1}L = B_1^{-nu_m} B_n^{u_m} = I.$$

Hence the algorithm outputs

$$A_1^{nu_1} B_1^{nu_2} \dots A_1^{nu_{m-1}} B_1^{nu_m}$$

as the  $X_1$ -representation of  $M$  and then it terminates.  $\square$

### Theorem 2.8.

- (1) If a matrix  $M = A_n^{u_1} B_n^{u_2} \dots B_n^{u_{m-1}} A_n^{u_m}$  is input to the algorithm ( $z = \frac{1}{2}$ ) with odd  $m \geq 3$ , then it outputs  $A_1^{nu_1} B_1^{nu_2} \dots B_1^{nu_{m-1}} A_1^{nu_m}$  as the  $X_1$ -representation of  $M$ .
- (2) If a matrix  $M = A_n^{u_1} B_n^{u_2} \dots B_n^{u_{m-1}} A_n^{u_m}$  is input to the algorithm ( $z = 2$ ) with odd  $m \geq 3$ , then it outputs  $\epsilon$  as the error message.
- (3) If a matrix  $M = B_n^{u_1} A_n^{u_2} \dots B_n^{u_{m-1}} A_n^{u_m}$  is input to the algorithm ( $z = \frac{1}{2}$ ) with even  $m \geq 2$ , then it outputs  $B_1^{nu_1} A_1^{nu_2} \dots B_1^{nu_{m-1}} A_1^{nu_m}$  as the  $X_1$ -representation of  $M$ .
- (4) If a matrix  $M = B_n^{u_1} A_n^{u_2} \dots B_n^{u_{m-1}} A_n^{u_m}$  is input to the algorithm ( $z = 2$ ) with even  $m \geq 2$ , then it outputs  $\epsilon$  as the error message.
- (5) If a matrix  $M = B_n^{u_1} A_n^{u_2} \dots A_n^{u_{m-1}} B_n^{u_m}$  is input to the algorithm ( $z = \frac{1}{2}$ ) with odd  $m \geq 3$ , then it outputs  $\epsilon$  as the error message.
- (6) If a matrix  $M = B_n^{u_1} A_n^{u_2} \dots A_n^{u_{m-1}} B_n^{u_m}$  is input to the algorithm ( $z = 2$ ) with odd  $m \geq 3$ , then it outputs  $B_1^{nu_1} A_1^{nu_2} \dots A_1^{nu_{m-1}} B_1^{nu_m}$  as the  $X_1$ -representation of  $M$ .

*Proof.* It is similar to the proofs of Theorem 2.6 and Theorem 2.7.  $\square$

### 3. Conclusions

The purpose of this paper is to design a new representation algorithm for elements of a free group generated by the two linear fractional transformations and also show proofs of correctness of the algorithm, which are dominant in this note. This work seemingly looks more or less straightforward, but indeed, it clarifies even subtle cases in which the algorithm may not work properly. Subsequently the algorithm comes to have computational efficiency. Moreover some theoretical background of the algorithm is apparently shown with the properties of the two linear fractional transformations. Further from combinatorial group theoretical point of view, the  $X_1$ -representation algorithm might give an insight to design algorithms for other groups such as symplectic group  $\text{Sp}(2, 1)$ , special linear group  $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$  or general linear group  $\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ . In practice programming of the algorithm and demonstrations with experiments appear in [1].

### References

- [1] S. J. Choi, *Cryptanalysis of a homomorphic public-key cryptosystem over a finite group*, Ph.D. thesis, University of London, 2006.
- [2] ———, *Representation algorithms in some free groups*, J. Korea Soc. Math. Educ. Ser. B : Pure Appl. Math. **15** (2008), no. 3, 229–243.
- [3] S. J. Choi, S. R. Blackburn, and P. R. Wild, *Cryptanalysis of a homomorphic public-key cryptosystem over a finite group*, J. Math. Crypt. **1** (2007), no. 4, 351–358.
- [4] D. Grigoriev and I. Ponomarenko, *Homomorphic public key cryptosystems over groups and rings*, Complexity of computations and proofs, Quad. Math. **13** (2004), 305–325.
- [5] R. C. Lyndon and P. E. Schupp, *Combinatorial Group Theory*, Springer, Berlin, New York, 1977.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
DONG-A UNIVERSITY  
BUSAN 604-714, KOREA  
*E-mail address:* sjchoi09@donga.ac.kr