KYUNGPOOK Math. J. 49(2009), 771-777

# Some Further Results on Entire Functions That Share Fixed-Points

XIAO-GUANG QI\* School of Mathematics Shandong University, Jinan, Shandong, 250100, P. R. China. e-mail: xiaogqi@mail.sdu.edu.cn

JIA DOU Quancheng Middle School, Jinan, Shandong, China. e-mail: doujia.1983@163.com

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study the uniqueness problem on entire functions sharing fixed points and prove some theorems which are related to one famous problem of Hayman.

#### 1. Introduction and main results

In this paper, a meromorphic function will mean meromorphic in the whole complex plane. We say that two meromorphic functions f(z) and g(z) share a small function a(z) IM (ignoring multiplicities) when f - a and g - a have the same zeros. If f(z) and g(z) have the same zeros with the same multiplicities, then we say that f(z) and g(z) share a(z) CM (counting multiplicities). It is assumed that the reader is familiar with the standard symbols and fundamental results of Nevanlinna Theory, as found in [5], [8].

Let p be a positive integer and  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ . We denote by  $N_p(r, \frac{1}{f-a})$  the counting function of the zeros of f-a where an m-fold zero is counted m times if  $m \leq p$  and p times if m > p. We denote by  $\overline{N}_L(r, \frac{1}{f-1})$  the counting function for 1-points of both f(z) and g(z) about which f(z) has larger multiplicity than g(z), with multiplicity not being counted. We say that a finite value  $z_0$  is a fixed point of f(z) if  $f(z_0) = z_0$ , and we define

 $E_f = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : f(z) = z, \text{ counting multiplicities} \}.$ 

In order to answer one famous question of Hayman [4], Fang and Hua [1] and Yang and Hua [7] obtained the following result.

**Theorem A.** Let f(z) and g(z) be two nonconstant entire functions, and let  $n \ge 6$ 

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

Received 7 February 2009; accepted 21 May 2009.

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 30D35, 30D20.

Key words and phrases: uniqueness, fixed point, sharing value, entire solutions.

<sup>771</sup> 

be a positive integer. If  $f^n f'$  and  $g^n g'$  share 1 CM, then either  $f(z) = c_1 e^{cz}$ ,  $g(z) = c_2 e^{-cz}$ , where  $c_1, c_2$  and c are three constants satisfying  $(c_1 c_2)^{n+1} c^2 = -1$  or f = tg for a constant t such that  $t^{n+1} = 1$ .

In [3], Fang also got the following results.

**Theorem B.** Let f(z) and g(z) be two nonconstant entire functions, and let n, k be two positive integers with n > 2k + 4. If  $(f^n)^{(k)}$  and  $(g^n)^{(k)}$ share 1 CM, then either  $f(z) = c_1 e^{cz}, g(z) = c_2 e^{-cz}$ , where  $c_1, c_2$  and c are three constants satisfying  $(-1)^k (c_1 c_2)^n (nc)^{2k} = 1$  or f = tg for a constant tsuch that  $t^n = 1$ .

**Theorem C.** Let f(z) and g(z) be two nonconstant entire functions, and let n, k be two positive integers with  $n \ge 2k + 8$ . If  $(f^n(f-1))^{(k)}$  and  $(g^n(g-1))^{(k)}$  share 1 CM, then f = g.

Corresponding to the above results, some authors considered the uniqueness problems of entire functions that have fixed points, see Fang and Qiu [2], Lin and Yi [6]. Recently, Zhang [11] proved the following results, which generalized some previous results.

**Theorem D.** Let f(z) and g(z) be two nonconstant entire functions, and let n, k be two positive integers with n > 2k + 4. If  $E_{(f^{(n)})^k} = E_{(g^{(n)})^k}$ , then either  $(1)k = 1, f(z) = c_1 e^{cz^2}, g(z) = c_2 e^{-cz^2}$ , where  $c_1, c_2$  and c are three constants satisfying  $4(c_1c_2)^n(nc)^2 = -1$  or (2) f = tg for a constant t such that  $t^n = 1$ .

**Theorem E.** Let f(z) and g(z) be two nonconstant entire functions, and let n, k be two positive integers with  $n \ge 2k + 6$ . If  $E_{(f^{(n)}(f-1))^k} = E_{(q^{(n)}(g-1))^k}$ , then f = g.

Now it is natural to ask whether the CM sharing value can be replaced by the IM sharing value in Theorems D and E? In this paper, we give a positive answer to the above question by proving the following theorems.

**Theorem 1.** Let f(z) and g(z) be two transcendental entire functions and let n, k be two positive integers with n > 5k + 7. If  $(f^n)^{(k)}$  and  $(g^n)^{(k)}$  share z IM, then  $f(z) = b_1 e^{bz^2}, g(z) = b_2 e^{-bz^2}$  for three constants  $b_1$ ,  $b_2$  and b that satisfy  $4(b_1b_2)^n(nb)^2 = -1$  or f = tg for a constant t such that  $t^n = 1$ .

**Theorem 2.** Let f(z) and g(z) be two transcendental entire functions and let n, k be two positive integers with n > 5k + 11. If  $[f^n(f-1)]^{(k)}$  and  $[g^n(g-1)]^{(k)}$  share z IM, then f = g.

#### 2. Some Lemmas

**Lemma 1**([8]). Let f be a nonconstant meromorphic function, and  $a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  be small functions of f such that  $a_n \neq 0$ . Then

$$T(r, a_n f^n + a_{n-1} f^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 f + a_0) = nT(r, f) + S(r, f).$$

**Lemma 2**([10]). Let f be a nonconstant meromorphic function, and p, k be positive integers. Then

(2.1) 
$$N_p(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}) \le T(r, f^{(k)}) - T(r, f) + N_{p+k}(r, \frac{1}{f}) + S(r, f),$$

(2.2) 
$$N_p(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}) \le k\overline{N}(r, f) + N_{p+k}(r, \frac{1}{f}) + S(r, f).$$

Lemma 3([9]). Let

(2.3) 
$$H = \left(\frac{F''}{F'} - \frac{2F'}{F-1}\right) - \left(\frac{G''}{G'} - \frac{2G'}{G-1}\right),$$

where F and G are two nonconstant meromorphic functions. If F and G share 1 IM and  $H \neq 0$ , then

$$T(r,F) + T(r,G) \le 2(N_2(r,\frac{1}{F}) + N_2(r,\frac{1}{G}) + N_2(r,F) + N_2(r,G)) + 3(\overline{N}_L(r,\frac{1}{F-1}) + \overline{N}_L(r,\frac{1}{G-1})) + S(r,F) + S(r,G)$$

**Lemma 4**([11]). Suppose that f and g are two nonconstant entire functions, and n, k are two positive integers, and denote  $F = (f^n)^{(k)}$  and  $G = (g^n)^{(k)}$ . If there exist two non-zero constants  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  such that  $\overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{F-a_1}) = \overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{G})$  and  $\overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{G-a_2}) = \overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{F})$ , then  $n \leq 2k + 4$ .

**Lemma 5**([11]). Suppose that F and G are given as in Lemma 4, if n > 2k and F = G, then f = tg for a constant t such that  $t^n = 1$ .

From the proof of Proposition 1 in [2] and Theorem 4 in [11], we get the following Lemma.

**Lemma 6**([2], [11]). Suppose that F and G are given as in Lemma 4. If n > 2k+4 and  $FG = z^2$ , then  $f(z) = b_1 e^{bz^2}$ ,  $g(z) = b_2 e^{-bz^2}$  for three constants  $b_1$ ,  $b_2$  and b that satisfy  $4(b_1b_2)^n(nb)^2 = -1$ .

**Lemma 7**([11]). Suppose that f and g are two nonconstant entire functions, and n, k are two positive integers, and denote  $F_1 = (f^n(f-1))^{(k)}$  and  $G_1 = (g^n(g-1))^{(k)}$ . If there exist two non-zero constants  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  such that  $\overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{F-a_1}) = \overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{G})$  and  $\overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{G-a_2}) = \overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{F})$ , then  $n \leq 2k+3$ .

**Lemma 8**([11]). Suppose that  $F_1$  and  $G_1$  are given as in Lemma 7. If n > 2k + 1 and F = G, then f = g.

**Lemma 9**([11]). Suppose that f is a transcendental meromorphic function with finite number of poles, g is a transcendental entire function, and n, k are two

positive integers. If  $(f^n(f-1))^{(k)}(g^n(g-1))^{(k)} = z^2$ , then  $n \le k+2$ .

### 3. Proof of Theorems

Proof of Theorem 1. Let

(3.1) 
$$F = \frac{(f^n(z))^{(k)}}{z}, \quad G = \frac{(g^n(z))^{(k)}}{z}.$$

Then F and G are transcendental meromorphic functions that share 1 IM. Let H be given by (2.3). If  $H \neq 0$ , by Lemma 3, we know that (2.4) holds. From Lemma 1 and (2.1), we have

(3.2)  

$$N_{2}(r, \frac{1}{F}) \leq N_{2}(r, \frac{1}{(f^{n}(z))^{(k)}}) + S(r, f)$$

$$\leq T(r, (f^{n}(z))^{(k)}) - nT(r, f) + N_{k+2}(r, \frac{1}{f^{n}(z)}) + S(r, f)$$

$$= T(r, F) - nT(r, f) + N_{k+2}(r, \frac{1}{f^{n}(z)}) + S(r, f).$$

Similarly, we have

(3.3) 
$$N_2(r, \frac{1}{G}) \le T(r, G) - nT(r, g) + N_{k+2}(r, \frac{1}{g^n(z)}) + S(r, g).$$

From (3.2) and (3.3), we obtain

(3.4) 
$$N_2(r, \frac{1}{F}) \le N_{k+2}(r, \frac{1}{f^n(z)}) + S(r, f),$$

and

(3.5) 
$$N_2(r, \frac{1}{G}) \le N_{k+2}(r, \frac{1}{g^n(z)}) + S(r, g).$$

Again, from (3.2) and (3.3), we have

$$n(T(r,f) + T(r,g)) \le T(r,F) + T(r,G) - N_2(r,\frac{1}{F}) - N_2(r,\frac{1}{G}) + N_{k+2}(r,\frac{1}{f^n(z)}) + N_{k+2}(r,\frac{1}{g^n(z)}) + S(r,f) + S(r,g).$$

Noting that

$$\overline{N}(r,\frac{1}{F}) \le \overline{N}(r,\frac{1}{(f^n)^{(k)}}) + S(r,f).$$

Combining with (2.2), we obtain

(3.6) 
$$\overline{N}(r,\frac{1}{F}) \leq N_1(r,\frac{1}{(f^n)^{(k)}}) + S(r,f) \leq k\overline{N}(r,f^n) + N_{k+1}(r,\frac{1}{f^n}) + S(r,f) \leq k\overline{N}(r,f) + (k+1)\overline{N}(r,\frac{1}{f}) + S(r,f).$$

By the definition of  $\overline{N}_L(r,\frac{1}{F-1})$  and (3.6), we get

$$(3.7) \qquad \overline{N}_L(r, \frac{1}{F-1}) \le N(r, \frac{1}{F-1}) - \overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{F-1}) \le N(r, \frac{F}{F'}) + S(r, f)$$
$$\le N(r, \frac{F'}{F}) + S(r, f) \le \overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{F}) + \overline{N}(r, F) + S(r, f)$$
$$\le (k+1)(\overline{N}(r, f) + \overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{f})) + S(r, f).$$

Similarly, we have

(3.8) 
$$\overline{N}_L(r, \frac{1}{G-1}) \le (k+1)(\overline{N}(r,g) + \overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{g})) + S(r,g).$$

From (2.4), (3.4)–(3.8), we obtain

$$n(T(r,f) + T(r,g)) \le 2(N_{k+2}(r,\frac{1}{f^n}) + N_{k+2}(r,\frac{1}{g^n})) + 3(\overline{N}_L(r,\frac{1}{F-1}) + \overline{N}_L(r,\frac{1}{G-1})) + S(r,f) + S(r,g).$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{split} n(T(r,f)+T(r,g)) &\leq (k+2)(\overline{N}(r,\frac{1}{f})+\overline{N}(r,\frac{1}{g})) \\ &\quad + 3((k+1)\overline{N}(r,\frac{1}{f})+(k+1)\overline{N}(r,\frac{1}{g})) + S(r,f) + S(r,g). \end{split}$$

That is

$$(n - (5k + 7))(T(r, f) + T(r, g)) \le S(r, f) + S(r, g),$$

which is a contradiction as n > 5k + 7. Therefore  $H \equiv 0$ . Integrating twice, we get from (2.3) that

(3.9) 
$$\frac{1}{F-1} = \frac{A}{G-1} + B,$$

where  $A(\neq 0)$  and B are constants. From (3.9), we have

(3.10) 
$$F = \frac{(B+1)G + (A-B-1)}{BG + (A-B)}, \quad G = \frac{(B-A)F + (A-B-1)}{BF - (B+1)}.$$

We consider the following three cases. Case 1. Suppose that  $B \neq 0, -1$ . From (3.10) we have  $\overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{F - \frac{B+1}{B}}) = \overline{N}(r, G)$ . From the second fundamental theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(r,F) &\leq \overline{N}(r,\frac{1}{F}) + \overline{N}(r,\frac{1}{F-\frac{B+1}{B}}) + S(r,F) \\ &= \overline{N}(r,\frac{1}{F}) + \overline{N}(r,G) + S(r,F) \leq \overline{N}(r,\frac{1}{F}) + S(r,F). \end{aligned}$$

775

By (2.1) and (3.11), we obtain

$$T(r,F) \le N_1(r,\frac{1}{F}) + S(r,f)$$
  
$$\le T(r,F) - nT(r,f) + N_{k+1}(r,\frac{1}{f^n(z)}) + S(r,f).$$

Hence

$$nT(r,f) \le (k+1)\overline{N}(r,\frac{1}{f}) + S(r,f)$$
$$\le (k+1)T(r,f) + S(r,f),$$

which contradicts n > 5k + 7.

Case 2. Suppose that B = 0. From (3.10) we have

(3.12) 
$$F = \frac{G + (A - 1)}{A}, \quad G = AF - (A - 1).$$

If  $A \neq 1$ , we get from (3.12) that  $\overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{F - \frac{A-1}{A}}) = \overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{G})$  and  $\overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{F}) = \overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{G+(A-1)})$ . By Lemma 4, we have  $n \leq 2k + 4$ . This contradicts the assumption that n > 5k + 7. Thus A = 1 and F = G, that is,  $(f^n)^{(k)} = (g^n)^{(k)}$ . By Lemma 5, we get f = tg for a constant t such that  $t^n = 1$ .

Case 3. Suppose that B = -1. From (3.10) we obtain

(3.13) 
$$F = \frac{A}{-G + (A+1)}, \quad G = \frac{(A+1)F - A}{F}.$$

If  $A \neq -1$ , we obtain from (3.13) that  $\overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{F-\frac{A}{A+1}}) = \overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{G})$ ,  $\overline{N}(r, F) = \overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{G})$ . By the same reasoning mentioned in Case 1 and Case 2, we get a contradiction. Hence A = -1, from (3.13), we have FG = 1. That is  $(f^n)^{(k)}(g^n)^{(k)} = z^2$ , by Lemma 6, we obtain  $f(z) = b_1 e^{bz^2}, g(z) = b_2 e^{-bz^2}$  for three constants  $b_1$ ,  $b_2$  and b that satisfy  $4(b_1b_2)^n(nb)^2 = -1$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let

(3.14) 
$$F = \frac{(f^n(f-1))^{(k)}}{z}, \quad G = \frac{(g^n(g-1))^{(k)}}{z}.$$

Then F and G are transcendental meromorphic functions that share 1 IM. Let H be given by (2.3). In the same manner as Theorem 1, we get

$$\overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{F}) \leq N_1(r, \frac{1}{(f^n(f-1))^{(k)}}) + S(r, f)$$
  
$$\leq k\overline{N}(r, f^n(f-1)) + N_{k+1}(r, \frac{1}{f^n(f-1)})$$
  
$$(3.15) \qquad + S(r, f) \leq k\overline{N}(r, f) + (k+1)\overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{f}) + N(r, \frac{1}{f-1}) + S(r, f).$$

776

By the definition of  $\overline{N}_L(r, \frac{1}{F-1})$  and (3.15), we have

(3.16) 
$$\overline{N}_L(r, \frac{1}{F-1}) \le (k+1)(\overline{N}(r, f) + \overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{f})) + N(r, \frac{1}{f-1}) + S(r, f).$$

Using the same argument in the proof of Theorem 1, we get  $H \equiv 0$  and F = G or FG = 1 when n > 5k + 11.

If F = G, then  $(f^n(f-1))^{(k)} = (g^n(g-1))^{(k)}$ . From Lemma 8, we obtain f = g. If FG = 1, then  $(f^n(f-1))^{(k)}(g^n(g-1))^{(k)} = z^2$ . From Lemma 9, we get a contradiction. Theorem 2 follows.

Acknowledgment. The author would like to thank the referee for his/her valuable suggestions.

## References

- M. L. Fang, X. H. Hua, *Entire functions that share one value*, Nanjing Daxue Xuebao Shuxue Bannian Kan, 13(1)(1996), 44-48.
- [2] M. L. Fang, H. L. Qiu, Meromorphic functions that share fixed-points, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 268(2000), 426-439.
- [3] M. L. Fang, Uniqueness and value-sharing of entire functions, Comput. Math. Appl., 44(2002), 823-831.
- [4] W. K. Hayman, Picard values of meromorphic functions and their derivatives, Ann. of Math., 70(1959), 9-42.
- [5] W. K. Hayman, Meromorphic Functions, Oxford, 1964.
- [6] W. C. Lin, H. X. Yi, Uniqueness theorems for meromorphic function concerning fixedpoints, Complex Var. Theory Appl., 49(11)(2004), 793-806.
- [7] C. C. Yang, X. H. Hua, Uniqueness and value-sharing of meromorphic functions, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math., 22(2)(1997), 395-406.
- [8] C. C. Yang, H. X. Yi, Uniqueness Theory of Meromorphic Functions, Kluwer Academic Publishers, The Netherlands, 2003.
- [9] H. X. Yi, Meromorphic Functions that share one or two values, Kodai Math. J., 22(1999), 264-272.
- [10] J. L. Zhang, L. Z. Yang, Some results related to a conjecture of R. Brück, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math., 8(1)(2007), Art. 18.
- [11] J. L. Zhang, Uniqueness theorems for entire functions concerning fixed points, Computers and Mathematics with Applications, 56(2008), 3079-3087.