

## A Fixed Point Approach to the Stability of Quadratic Equations in Quasi Normed Spaces

ALIREZA KAMEL MIRMOSTAFAEE

*Department of Mathematics, Centre of Excellence in Analysis on Algebraic Structures, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, P. O. Box 1159, Mashhad, Iran*  
*e-mail: mirmostafaei@ferdowsi.um.ac.ir*

**ABSTRACT.** We use the fixed alternative theorem to establish Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of the quadratic functional equation where functions map a linear space into a complete quasi  $p$ -normed space. Moreover, we will show that the continuity behavior of an approximately quadratic mapping, which is controlled by a suitable continuous function, implies the continuity of a unique quadratic function, which is a good approximation to the mapping. We also give a few applications of our results in some special cases.

### 1. Introduction and preliminaries

In 1940, S. M. Ulam [25] gave a wide ranging talk before the Mathematics Club of the University of Wisconsin in which he discussed a number of important unsolved problems. Among those was the following question concerning the stability of homomorphisms:

Let  $(G_1, *)$  be a group and  $(G_2, \diamond, d)$  be a metric group with the metric  $d$ . Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , does there exists a  $\delta_\varepsilon > 0$  such that if a mapping  $h : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  satisfies the inequality

$$d(h(x * y), h(x) \diamond h(y)) < \delta_\varepsilon \quad \forall x, y \in G_1,$$

then there is a mapping  $H : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  such that for each  $x, y \in G_1$ ,  $H(x * y) = H(x) \diamond H(y)$  and  $d(h(x), H(x)) < \varepsilon$ ?

In the next year, D. H. Hyers [12] gave an affirmative answer to the question of Ulam. Hyers' theorem was generalized by T. Aoki [2] for additive mappings and by Th. M. Rassias [22] for linear mappings by considering an unbounded Cauchy difference. The concept of the Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability was originated from Th. M. Rassias' paper [22] for the stability of the linear mappings and its importance in the proof of further results in functional equations. In 1994, a generalization of Th.M. Rassias' theorem was obtained by Găvruta [11], who replaced the bound  $\varepsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$  by a general control function  $\varphi(x, y)$ . During the last decades several stability problems for various functional equations have been investigated by many mathematicians; we refer the reader to [9], [13], [16], [17], [18], [23] and references

---

Received October 12, 2008; accepted December 11, 2008.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 39B52, 39B82; Secondary 47H10.

Key words and phrases: quasi  $p$ -norm, quadratic functional equation; fixed point alternative; Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability.

therein.

The functional equation

$$(1.1) \quad f(x+y) + f(x-y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y)$$

is called the *quadratic functional equation*, since the function  $f(x) = x^2$  is a solution of the functional equation. Every solution of the quadratic functional equation is said to be a *quadratic mapping*. For example, in any Hilbert space  $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ ,  $f(x) = \langle x, x \rangle$  defines a quadratic mapping. The first stability theorem for the quadratic functional equation was proved F. Skof [24] for a mapping from a normed space  $X$  into a Banach space  $Y$  satisfying the inequality  $\|f(x+y) + f(x-y) - 2f(x) - 2f(y)\| \leq \epsilon$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ . P. W. Cholewa [6] extended Skof's theorem by replacing  $X$  by an abelian group  $G$ . This result was later generalized by S. Czerwik [7] in the spirit of Hyers–Ulam–Rassias. He also proved the stability of quadratic equation of Pexider type [8]. Recently, the stability problem of the quadratic equation has been investigated by a number of mathematicians, see [13], [14], [15], [19] and references therein.

**Definition 1.1.** The pair  $(X, d)$  is called a generalized complete metric space if  $X$  is a nonempty set and  $d : X^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  satisfies the following conditions:

- (a)  $d(x, y) \geq 0$  and the equality holds if and only if  $x = y$ ,
- (b)  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ ,
- (c)  $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z)$ ,
- (d) every  $d$ -Cauchy sequence in  $X$  is  $d$ -convergent.

Note that the distance between two points in a generalized metric space is permitted to be infinity.

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a generalized complete metric space. A mapping  $\Lambda : X \rightarrow X$  satisfies a Lipschitz condition with Lipschitz constant  $L \geq 0$  if

$$d(\Lambda(x), \Lambda(y)) \leq Ld(x, y) \quad (x, y \in X).$$

If  $L < 1$ , then  $\Lambda$  is called a strictly contractive operator.

In 2003, Radu [20] employed the following result, due to Diaz and Margolis [10], to prove the stability of Cauchy additive functional equation. Using such an elegant idea, several authors applied the method to investigate the stability of some functional equations, see [4], [5], [14], [21].

**Proposition 1.3** (The fixed point alternative principle). *Suppose that a complete generalized metric space  $(\mathcal{E}, d)$  (i.e., one for which  $d$  may assume infinite values) and a strictly contractive mapping  $J : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$  with the Lipschitz constant  $0 < L < 1$  are given. Then, for a given element  $x \in \mathcal{E}$ , exactly one of the following assertions is true: either*

- (a)  $d(J^n x, J^{n+1} x) = \infty$  for all  $n \geq 0$  or
  - (b) there exists some integer  $k$  such that  $d(J^n x, J^{n+1} x) < \infty$  for all  $n \geq k$ .
- Actually, if (b) holds, then the sequence  $\{J^n x\}$  is convergent to a fixed point  $x^*$  of  $J$  and
- (b1)  $x^*$  is the unique fixed point of  $J$  in  $\mathcal{F} := \{y \in \mathcal{E}, d(J^k x, y) < \infty\}$ ;
  - (b2)  $d(y, x^*) \leq \frac{d(y, Jy)}{1-L}$  for all  $y \in \mathcal{F}$ .

**Remark 1.4.** The fixed point  $x^*$ , if it exists, is not necessarily unique in the whole space  $\mathcal{E}$ ; it may depend on  $x$ . Actually, if (b) holds, then  $(\mathcal{F}, d)$  is a complete metric space and  $J(\mathcal{F}) \subset \mathcal{F}$ . Therefore the properties (b1) and (b2) are follows from “The Banach fixed point Theorem”.

**Definition 1.5.** A quasi-norm on a real vector space  $X$  is a function  $x \mapsto |||x|||$  from  $X$  to  $[0, \infty)$  which satisfies

- (i)  $|||x||| > 0$  for every  $x \neq 0$  in  $X$ ,
- (ii)  $|||tx||| = |t| \cdot |||x|||$  for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $x \in X$ ,
- (iii) there is a  $k \geq 1$  such that  $|||x + y||| \leq k(|||x||| + |||y|||)$  for every  $x, y \in X$ .

Aoki [1] (see also [3]) has shown that every quasi-normed space  $(X, ||| \cdot |||)$  admits an equivalent quasi-norm  $|| \cdot ||$  such that for some  $0 < p \leq 1$ ,

$$(1.2) \quad ||x + y||^p \leq ||x||^p + ||y||^p \quad (x, y \in X).$$

In this case,  $(X, || \cdot ||)$  is called a quasi  $p$ -normed space. In special case, when  $p = 1$ ,  $(X, ||| \cdot |||)$  turns into a normed linear space.

In the next section, we employ fixed point alternative theorem (Proposition 1.3) to establish Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of the quadratic functional equation (1.1) in the setting of quasi  $p$ -normed spaces. In fact, we will show that if a function  $f$  from a linear space  $X$  to a complete  $p$ -normed space  $Y$  satisfies the inequality

$$||f(x + y) + f(x - y) - 2f(x) - 2f(y)|| \leq \varphi(x, y) \quad (x, y \in X)$$

for suitable control function  $\varphi$ , then  $f$  can be suitably approximated by a unique quadratic function  $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ . In section 3, we will show that, for each  $x \in X$ , the continuity of  $s \mapsto f(sx)$  and  $s \mapsto \varphi(sx, sx)$  guarantee the continuity of  $s \mapsto Q(sx)$ . We also give some applications of our results in special cases.

## 2. Stability of quadratic functional equations

Throughout the remainder of this paper, unless otherwise stated, we will assume that  $0 < p \leq 1$  and  $q = \frac{1}{p}$ ,  $X$  is real vector spaces and  $Y$  is a complete quasi  $p$ -norm space. Let

$$(2.1) \quad Df(x, y) = f(x + y) + f(x - y) - 2f(x + y) - 2f(x - y).$$

We start with the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\psi : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a function. Let  $\mathcal{E} = \{g : X \rightarrow Y\}$  and define*

$$d(g, h) = \inf\{a > 0 : \|g(x) - h(x)\| \leq a^q \psi(x) \quad \forall x \in X\} \quad (g, h \in \mathcal{E}).$$

*Then  $d$  is a generalized complete metric on  $\mathcal{E}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $g, h, k \in \mathcal{E}$ ,  $d(g, h) < a_1$  and  $d(h, k) < a_2$ . Then

$$\|g(x) - h(x)\| \leq a_1^q \psi(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \|h(x) - k(x)\| \leq a_2^q \psi(x),$$

for each  $x \in X$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|g(x) - k(x)\|^p &\leq \|g(x) - h(x)\|^p + \|h(x) - k(x)\|^p \\ &\leq (a_1 + a_2) \left(\psi(x)\right)^p \quad (x \in X). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $d(g, k) \leq a_1 + a_2$ . This proves the triangle inequality for  $d$ . The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of the main result of [20].  $\square$

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $\varphi : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfy the inequality*

$$(2.2) \quad \|Df(x, y)\| \leq \varphi(x, y) \quad (x, y \in X).$$

*If for some  $\alpha < 4$ ,*

$$(2.3) \quad \varphi(2x, 2x) \leq \alpha \varphi(x, x) \quad (x \in X)$$

*and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{-2n} \varphi(2^n x, 2^n y) = 0$  for all  $x, y$  in  $X$ , then there exists a unique quadratic mapping  $Q : X \rightarrow Y$  such that*

$$(2.4) \quad \|Q(x) - f(x)\| \leq \frac{\varphi(x, x)}{(4^p - \alpha^p)^q} \quad (x \in X).$$

*Proof.* Put  $x = y$  in (2.2), then we have

$$(2.5) \quad \|f(2x) - 2^2 f(x)\| \leq \varphi(x, x) \quad (x \in X).$$

Let  $\mathcal{E} = \{g : X \rightarrow Y\}$ . By Lemma 2.1,

$$d(g, h) = \inf\{a > 0 : \|g(x) - h(x)\| \leq a^q \varphi(x, x), \forall x \in X\} \quad (g, h \in \mathcal{E})$$

defines a complete generalized metric on  $\mathcal{E}$ . Define  $J : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$  by  $J(g)(x) = 2^{-2}g(2x)$  for each  $g \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $x \in X$ . Let  $d(g, h) < a$ , by the definition,

$$\|g(x) - h(x)\| \leq a^q \varphi(x, x) \quad (x \in X).$$

According to (2.3), for each  $x \in X$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|J(g)(x) - J(h)(x)\| &= \|2^{-2}g(2x) - 2^{-2}h(2x)\| \\ &\leq 2^{-2}a^q\varphi(2x, 2x) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\alpha}{4}\right)a^q\varphi(x, x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by the definition,  $d(J(g), J(h)) \leq \left(\frac{\alpha}{4}\right)^p a$ . Therefore

$$d(J(g), J(h)) \leq \left(\frac{\alpha}{4}\right)^p d(g, h) \quad (g, h \in \mathcal{E}).$$

This means that  $J$  is a contractive mapping with Lipschitz constant  $L = \left(\frac{\alpha}{4}\right)^p < 1$ .

By (2.5),  $d(f, J(f)) \leq \left(\frac{1}{2^2}\right)^p$ , therefore, by Proposition 1.3,  $J$  has a unique fixed point  $Q : X \rightarrow Y$  in the set  $\mathcal{F} = \{g \in \mathcal{E} : d(f, g) < \infty\}$ , where  $Q$  is defined by

$$(2.6) \quad Q(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J^n(f)(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{-2n}f(2^n x) \quad (x \in X).$$

Moreover,

$$d(f, Q) \leq \frac{d(f, J(f))}{1 - L} \leq \frac{4^{-p}}{1 - 4^{-p}\alpha^p} = \frac{1}{4^p - \alpha^p}.$$

This means that (2.4) holds. According to (2.6),

$$DQ(x, y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{-2n}Df(2^n x, 2^n y) \quad (x, y \in X).$$

Replace  $x, y$  by  $2^n x, 2^n y$  respectively in (2.2) to get

$$\|2^{-2n}Df(2^n x, 2^n y)\| \leq 2^{-2n}\varphi(2^n, 2^n y) \quad (x, y \in X).$$

By our assumption  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{-2n}\varphi(2^n x, 2^n y) = 0$ , it follows that  $DQ(x, y) = 0$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Hence  $Q$  is a quadratic function. To prove the uniqueness assertion, let us assume that there exists a quadratic function  $S : X \rightarrow Y$  which satisfies (2.4). Then  $S$  is a fixed point of  $J$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ . However, by Proposition 1.3,  $J$  has only one fixed point in  $\mathcal{F}$ , hence  $S \equiv Q$ .  $\square$

By a modification in the proof of Theorem 2.2, one can prove the following result:

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $\varphi : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a function. Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfy the inequality*

$$\|Df(x, y)\| \leq \varphi(x, y) \quad (x, y \in X).$$

*If for some  $\alpha > 4$ ,*

$$\alpha\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right) \leq \alpha\varphi(x, x) \quad (x \in X)$$

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{2n} \varphi(2^{-n}x, 2^{-n}y) = 0$  for all  $x, y$  in  $X$ , then there exists a unique quadratic mapping  $Q : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\|Q(x) - f(x)\| \leq \frac{\varphi(x, x)}{(\alpha^p - 4^p)^q} \quad (x \in X).$$

### 3. Continuity behavior of quadratic mappings

In this section, we investigate continuity of quadratic mappings in quasi  $p$ -normed. In fact, we will show that under some conditions on Theorem 2.2 (or Theorem 2.3), the quadratic mapping  $s \mapsto Q(sx)$  is continuous. It follows that in such a case,  $Q(rx) = r^2Q(x)$  for each  $x \in X$  and  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ .

We need to the following result which can be easily proved by induction.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $Q : X \rightarrow Y$  be a quadratic function, then  $Q(rx) = r^2Q(x)$  for each  $x \in X$  and rational number  $r$ .*

Now, we are ready to mention the main result of this section.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let the conditions of Theorem 2.2 hold. If for each  $x \in X$ , the functions*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{R} & \rightarrow & Y & \text{and} & \mathbb{R} & \rightarrow & [0, \infty) \\ s & \mapsto & f(sx) & & s & \mapsto & \varphi(sx, sx) \end{array}$$

are continuous, then for each  $x \in X$ ,  $s \mapsto Q(sx)$  from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $Y$  is continuous and  $Q(sx) = s^2Q(x)$  for each  $x \in X$  and  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* Fix  $x \in X$  and  $s_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ . Take  $n_0$  large enough so that

$$(3.1) \quad \left(\frac{\alpha}{4}\right)^{n_0} < \frac{\varepsilon}{6^q} \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\frac{\alpha}{4}\right)^{n_0} \frac{\varphi(s_0x, s_0x)}{(4^p - \alpha^p)^q} < \frac{\varepsilon}{6^q}.$$

Since  $\alpha < 4$  such a choice is possible. By the continuity of maps  $s \mapsto f(2^{n_0}sx)$  and  $s \mapsto \varphi(sx, sx)$  at  $s_0$ , we can find some  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$(3.2) \quad 0 < |s - s_0| < \delta \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \|f(2^{n_0}sx) - f(2^{n_0}s_0x)\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3^q} \\ |\varphi(sx, sx) - \varphi(s_0x, s_0x)| < (4^p - \alpha^p)^q \end{cases}$$

Let  $|s - s_0| < \delta$ . Then

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \|Q(sx) - Q(s_0x)\|^p &= \|2^{-2n_0}Q(2^{n_0}sx) - 2^{-2n_0}Q(2^{n_0}s_0x)\|^p \\ &\leq 2^{-2n_0p} \left( \|Q(2^{n_0}sx) - f(2^{n_0}sx)\|^p + \|f(2^{n_0}sx) - f(2^{n_0}s_0x)\|^p \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|f(2^{n_0}s_0x) - Q(2^{n_0}s_0x)\|^p \right). \end{aligned}$$

By (3.2), the second term of the right hand side of (3.3) is less than  $\frac{\varepsilon^p}{3}$ . Since for all  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$(3.4) \quad 2^{-2n_0} \|Q(2^{n_0} sx) - f(2^{n_0} sx)\| \leq \frac{1}{4^{n_0}} \cdot \frac{\varphi(2^{n_0} sx, 2^{n_0} sx)}{(4^p - \alpha^p)^q} \leq \left(\frac{\alpha}{4}\right)^{n_0} \cdot \frac{\varphi(sx, sx)}{(4^p - \alpha^p)^q},$$

by (3.1), the last term of the right hand side of the inequality (3.3) is less than  $\frac{\varepsilon^p}{6}$ . By (3.1), (3.2) and (3.4)

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{-2n_0} \|Q(2^{n_0} sx) - f(2^{n_0} sx)\| &\leq \left(\frac{\alpha}{4}\right)^{n_0} \left\{ \frac{|\varphi(sx, sx) - \varphi(s_0x, s_0x)|}{(4^p - \alpha^p)^q} + \frac{\varphi(s_0x, s_0x)}{(4^p - \alpha^p)^q} \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{6^q} + \frac{\varepsilon}{6^q} = \frac{2\varepsilon}{6^q}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$|s - s_0| < \delta \Rightarrow \|Q(sx) - Q(s_0x)\|^p < \frac{2^p \varepsilon^p}{6} + \frac{\varepsilon^p}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon^p}{6} < \varepsilon^p.$$

Here we used the fact that  $p \leq 1$ . The above inequality proves continuity of  $s \mapsto Q(sx)$ . By Lemma 3.1,  $Q(rx) = r^2Q(x)$  for every rational number  $r$ . Let  $s$  be a real number, then there exists a sequence  $\{r_n\}$  of rational numbers such that  $r_n \rightarrow s$ . By the continuity of  $t \mapsto Q(tx)$ , for every  $x \in X$ ,

$$Q(sx) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q(r_nx) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n^2Q(x) = s^2Q(x).$$

This completes the proof of the Theorem. □

The proof of the following result is similar to that in Theorem 3.2, hence it is omitted.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let conditions of Theorem 2.3 hold. If for each  $x \in X$ , the functions*

$$s \mapsto f(sx) \quad \text{and} \quad s \mapsto \varphi(sx, sx)$$

*are continuous, then the function  $s \mapsto Q(sx)$  is continuous for each  $x \in X$  and  $Q(sx) = s^2Q(x)$  for each  $x \in X$  and  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ .*

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $\varphi : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a mapping such that either*

- (i) *for some  $\alpha < 4$ ,  $\varphi(2x, 2x) \leq \alpha\varphi(x, x)$  for all  $x \in X$  and for each  $x, y \in X$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{-2n}\varphi(2^n x, 2^n y) = 0$  or*
- (ii) *for some  $\alpha > 4$ ,  $\alpha\varphi(x, x) \leq \varphi(2x, 2x)$  for all  $x \in X$  and for each  $x, y \in X$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{2n}\varphi(2^{-n}x, 2^{-n}y) = 0$ .*

*Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfy the inequality*

$$(3.5) \quad \|Df(x, y)\| \leq \varphi(x, y)$$

for each  $x, y \in X$ . Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping  $Q : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$(3.6) \quad \|f(x) - Q(x)\| \leq \frac{\varphi(x, x)}{|\alpha^p - 4^p|^q} \quad (x \in X).$$

Moreover, if the mappings  $s \mapsto f(st)$  and  $s \mapsto \varphi(sx, sx)$  are continuous, then  $s \mapsto Q(sx)$  is continuous and  $Q(sx) = s^2Q(x)$  for each  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $x \in X$ .

*Proof.* If (i) holds, then conditions of Theorem 2.2 are fulfilled. If (ii) holds, then the hypotheses of Theorem 2.3 are satisfied. In either case, by the above mentioned theorems, we can find a quadratic mapping  $Q : X \rightarrow Y$  such that (3.6) holds. If the mappings  $s \mapsto f(st)$  and  $s \mapsto \varphi(sx, sx)$  are continuous, then we apply Theorems 3.2 and 3.3 to get to the last assertions of the theorem.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.5.** Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a normed space. Let for some  $\varepsilon > 0$  and positive real number  $r \neq 2$ ,  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfy the inequality

$$\|Df(x, y)\| \leq \varepsilon \left( \|x\|^r + \|y\|^r \right) \quad (x, y \in X).$$

Then there is a unique continuous quadratic mapping  $Q : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\|f(x) - Q(x)\| \leq \frac{2\varepsilon \|x\|^r}{|2^{rp} - 2^{2p}|^q}$$

and  $Q(sx) = s^2Q(x)$  for each  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $x \in X$ .

*Proof.* Apply Corollary 3.4 (i) for  $\alpha = 2$  and  $\varphi(x, y) = \varepsilon \left( \|x\|^r + \|y\|^r \right)$  for each  $x, y \in X$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.6.** Let for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfy the inequality

$$\|Df(x, y)\| \leq \varepsilon \quad (x, y \in X).$$

Then there is a unique continuous quadratic mapping  $Q : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\|f(x) - Q(x)\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{(2^{2p} - 1)^q}$$

and  $Q(sx) = s^2Q(x)$  for each  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $x \in X$ .

*Proof.* Apply Corollary 3.4 for  $\varphi(x, y) = \varepsilon$  for each  $x, y \in X$  and  $\alpha = 1$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] T. Aoki, *Locally bounded linear topological spaces*, Proc. Imp. Acad. Tokyo, **18**(1942), 588-594.



- [2] T. Aoki, *On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **2**(1950) 64-66.
- [3] Y. Benyamini and J. Lindenstrauss, *Geometric nonlinear functional analysis volume 1*, Coll. Pub. ( Amer. Math. Soc.) Volume 48, (2000).
- [4] L. Cădariu, *Fixed points in generalized metric space and the stability of a quartic functional equation*, Bul. Ştiinţ. Univ. Politeh. Timiş. Ser. Mat. Fiz., **50(64)(2)**(2005), 25-34.
- [5] L. Cădariu and V. Radu, *Fixed points and the stability of quadratic functional equations*, An. Univ. Timişoara Ser. Mat.-Inform., **41(1)**(2003), 25-48.
- [6] P. W. Cholewa, *Remarks on the stability of functional equations*, Aequationes Math., **27**(1984), 76-86.
- [7] S. Czerwik, *On the stability of the quadratic mapping in normed spaces*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg, **62**(1992), 59-64.
- [8] S. Czerwik, *The stability of the quadratic functional equation*, in: Stability of mappings of Hyers–Ulam type, 81-91, Hadronic Press Collect. Orig. Artic., Hadronic Press, Palm Harbor, FL, 1994.
- [9] S. Czerwik, *Functional Equations and Inequalities in Several Variables*, World Scientific, River Edge, NJ, 2002.
- [10] J. B. Diaz and B. Margolis, *A fixed point theorem of the alternative for the contractions on generalized complete metric space*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **74**(1968), 305-309.
- [11] P. Găvruta, *A generalization of the Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **184**(1994), 431-436.
- [12] D. H. Hyers, *On the stability of the linear functional equation*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., **27**(1941), 222-224.
- [13] S. -M. Jung, *Hyers–Ulam–Rassias Stability of Functional Equations in Mathematical Analysis*, Hadronic Press, Palm Harbor, 2001.
- [14] S. -M. Jung, T. -S. Kim and K. -S. Lee, *A fixed point approach to the stability of quadratic functional equation*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., **43(3)**(2006), 531-541.
- [15] S. -M. Jung and P. K. Sahoo, *Hyers–Ulam stability of the quadratic equation of Pexider type*, J. Korean Math. Soc., **38(3)**(2001), 645-656.
- [16] A. K. Mirmostafae, *Stability of quartic mappings in non-Archimedean normed spaces*, to be appear in Kyungpook Mathematical Journal(KMJ).
- [17] A. K. Mirmostafae, M. Mirzavaziri and M. S. Moslehian, *Fuzzy stability of the Jensen functional equation*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **159**(2008) 730-738.
- [18] A. K. Mirmostafae and M. S. Moslehian, *Fuzzy approximately cubic mappings*, Information Sciences, **78(19)**(2008) 3791-3798.
- [19] A. K. Mirmostafae and M. S. Moslehian, *Fuzzy almost quadratic functions* Result. Math., **52**(2008) 161-177.
- [20] V. Radu, *The fixed point alternative and stability of functional equations*, Fixed Point Theory, **4(1)**, (2003), 91-96.
- [21] J. M. Rassias, *Alternative contraction principle and Ulam stability problem*, Math. Sci. Res. J., **9(7)** (2005), 190-199.

- [22] Th. M. Rassias, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **72**(1978), 297-300.
- [23] Th. M. Rassias (ed.), *Functional equations, inequalities and applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston and London, 2003.
- [24] F. Skof, *Local properties and approximations of operators*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Fis. Milano, **53**(1983) 113-129.
- [25] S. M. Ulam, *Problems in Modern Mathematics* (Chapter VI, Some Questions in Analysis: §1, Stability), Science Editions, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1964.