

Uniqueness and Value-sharing of Entire Functions

XIAOJUAN LI* AND CHAO MENG

Department of Mathematics, Shandong University, Jinan 250100, P. R. China

e-mail: zimu0420@yahoo.cn and mengchaosdu@yahoo.com.cn

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study the uniqueness problems on entire functions sharing one value. We improve and generalize some previous results of Zhang and Lin [11]. On the one hand, we consider the case for some more general differential polynomials $[f^n P(f)]^{(k)}$ where $P(w)$ is a polynomial; on the other hand, we relax the nature of sharing value from CM to IM.

1. Introduction and main results

Let $f(z)$ be a nonconstant meromorphic function in the whole complex plane. We shall use the following standard notations of value distribution theory: $T(r, f), m(r, f), N(r, f), \bar{N}(r, f), S(r, f) \dots$. We denote by $S(r, f)$ any quantity satisfying $S(r, f) = o(T(r, f))$, possibly outside a set of finite linear measure that is not necessarily the same at each occurrence. Let a be a complex number, and k be a positive integer. For a constant a , we denote by $N_k\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)$ the counting function of the zeros $f(z) - a$ with multiplicity $\leq k$, and by $\bar{N}_k\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)$ the corresponding one ignoring multiplicity. Let $N_{(k)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)$ be the counting function of the zeros $f(z) - a$ with multiplicity $\geq k$, and $\bar{N}_{(k)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)$ the corresponding one ignoring multiplicity. Moreover, we define

$$N_k\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right) = \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right) + \bar{N}_{(2)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right) + \dots + \bar{N}_{(k)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right),$$

$$\Theta(a, f) = 1 - \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)}{T(r, f)}.$$

Let $g(z)$ be another meromorphic function. If $f(z) - a$ and $g(z) - a$ assume the same zeros with the same multiplicities, then we call that $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ share the value a CM, where a is a complex number. We say f and g share the value a IM, if $f - a$ and $g - a$ assume the same zeros for which multiplicity is not counted.

* Corresponding author.

Received September 27, 2008; accepted May 26, 2009.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30D35.

Key words and phrases: uniqueness, entire function, sharing value.

In 1997, corresponding to one famous question of Hayman [3], Fang and Hua [2], Yang and Hua [9] obtained the following unicity theorem:

Theorem A. *Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two nonconstant entire functions, $n \geq 6$ be a positive integer. If $f^n(z)f'(z)$ and $g^n(z)g'(z)$ share 1 CM, then either $f(z) = c_1e^{cz}$, $g(z) = c_2e^{-cz}$, where c_1, c_2 and c are three constants satisfying $(c_1c_2)^{n+1}c^2 = -1$, or $f(z) \equiv tg(z)$ for a constant t such that $t^{n+1} = 1$.*

In 2002, Fang [1] considered k -th derivative instead of 1-th derivative, and obtained the following theorems.

Theorem B. *Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two nonconstant entire functions, let n, k be two positive integers with $n > 2k + 4$. If $[f^n(z)]^{(k)}$ and $[g^n(z)]^{(k)}$ share 1 CM, then either $f(z) = c_1e^{cz}$, $g(z) = c_2e^{-cz}$, where c_1, c_2 and c are three constants satisfying $(-1)^k(c_1c_2)^n(nc)^{2k} = 1$, or $f(z) \equiv tg(z)$ for a constant t such that $t^n = 1$.*

Theorem C. *Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two nonconstant entire functions, let n, k be two positive integers with $n > 2k + 8$. If $[f^n(z)(f(z) - 1)]^{(k)}$ and $[g^n(z)(g(z) - 1)]^{(k)}$ share 1 CM, then $f(z) \equiv g(z)$.*

Recently, Zhang and Lin [11] studied some cases for some special differential polynomials $[f^n(z)(\mu f^m(z) + \lambda)]^{(k)}$ or $[f^n(z)(f(z) - 1)^m]^{(k)}$ and got the following Theorems.

For the sake of simplicity, we denote $m^* := \chi_\mu m$, where

$$\chi_\mu = \begin{cases} 0, & \mu = 0, \\ 1, & \mu \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Theorem D. *Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two nonconstant entire functions, let n, m, k be three positive integers with $n > 2k + m^* + 4$, and λ, μ be constants such that $|\lambda| + |\mu| \neq 0$. If $[f^n(z)(\mu f^m(z) + \lambda)]^{(k)}$ and $[g^n(z)(\mu g^m(z) + \lambda)]^{(k)}$ share 1 CM, then*

(i) when $\lambda\mu \neq 0$, $f(z) \equiv g(z)$;
(ii) when $\lambda\mu = 0$, either $f(z) \equiv tg(z)$, where t is a constant satisfying $t^{n+m^*} = 1$, or $f(z) = c_1e^{cz}$, $g(z) = c_2e^{-cz}$, where c_1, c_2 and c are three constants satisfying

$$(-1)^k \lambda^2 (c_1 c_2)^{n+m^*} (n + m^*)^{2k} = 1 \text{ or } (-1)^k \mu^2 (c_1 c_2)^{n+m^*} (n + m^*)^{2k} = 1.$$

Theorem E. *Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be three nonconstant entire functions, let n, k, m be two positive integers with $n > 2k + m^* + 4$. If $[f^n(z)(f(z) - 1)^m]^{(k)}$ and $[g^n(z)(g(z) - 1)^m]^{(k)}$ share 1 CM, then either $f(z) \equiv g(z)$ or f and g satisfy the algebraic equation $R(f, g) \equiv 0$, where $R(f, g) = w_1^n(w_1 - 1)^m - w_2^n(w_2 - 1)^m$.*

From the above results and Proposition in Section 2, naturally we ask whether there exists a corresponding unicity theorem to Theorem D and Theorem E for $[f^n P(f)]^{(k)}$ where $P(w)$ is a polynomial. In this paper, we give a positive answer to above question by proving the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two nonconstant entire functions. Let $P(f) =$*

$a_m f^m + a_{m-1} f^{m-1} + \dots + a_0$ ($a_m \neq 0$), and a_i is the first nonzero coefficient from the right, and n, k, m be three positive integers with $n > 2k + m + 4$. If $[f^n P(f)]^{(k)}$ and $[g^n P(g)]^{(k)}$ share 1 CM, then

- (1) If $0 \leq i < m$, then either $f(z) \equiv g(z)$ or f, g satisfy the algebraic equation $R(f, g) \equiv 0$, where $R(w_1, w_2) = w_1^n P(w_1) - w_2^n P(w_2)$.
- (2) If $i = m$, then either $f(z) \equiv tg(z)$, where t is a constant satisfying $t^{n+m} = 1$ or $f(z) = c_1 e^{cz}$, $g(z) = c_2 e^{-cz}$, where c_1, c_2 and c are three constants satisfying

$$(-1)^k a_m^2 (c_1 c_2)^{n+m} [(n+m)c]^{2k} = 1.$$

Recently, Meng [6] replaced the CM sharing value by an IM sharing value in Theorem B and proved the following result.

Theorem F. Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two transcendental entire functions, n, k two positive integers with $n \geq 5k + 8$. If $[f^n]^{(k)}$ and $[g^n]^{(k)}$ share 1 IM. Then either $f(z) = c_1 e^{cz}$, $g(z) = c_2 e^{-cz}$, where c_1, c_2 and c are three constants satisfying $(-1)^k (c_1 c_2)^n (nc)^{2k} = 1$ or $f(z) \equiv tg(z)$ for a constant t such that $t^n = 1$.

In this paper, we relax the nature of sharing value from CM to IM in Theorem 1.1 and prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two nonconstant transcendental entire functions. Let $P(f) = a_m f^m + a_{m-1} f^{m-1} + \dots + a_0$ ($a_m \neq 0$), and a_i is the first nonzero coefficient from the right, and n, k, m be three positive integers with $n + m > (5k + 7)(m + 1)$, If $[f^n P(f)]^{(k)}$ and $[g^n P(g)]^{(k)}$ share 1 IM, then

- (1) If $0 \leq i < m$, then f and g satisfy the algebraic equation $R(f, g) \equiv 0$, where $R(w_1, w_2) = w_1^n P(w_1) - w_2^n P(w_2)$.
- (2) If $i = m$, then $f(z) \equiv tg(z)$, where t is a constant satisfying $t^{n+m} = 1$ or $f(z) = c_1 e^{cz}$, $g(z) = c_2 e^{-cz}$, where c_1, c_2 and c are three constants satisfying

$$(-1)^k a_m^2 (c_1 c_2)^{n+m} [(n+m)c]^{2k} = 1.$$

Remark. Theorem 1.2 does not hold for the case that $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ are polynomials. For example, let $f(z) = z - 1$, $g(z) = (z - 1)^2$, $P(w) = w - 1$ and $k = 1, m = 1, n = 24$, then $[f^n P(f)]^{(k)}$ and $[g^n P(g)]^{(k)}$ share 1 IM, but $R(f, g) \not\equiv 0$.

2. Some Lemmas

Proposition 2.3. Let $f(z)$ be a transcendental entire function, and n, k, m be three positive integers with $n \geq k + 2$, Then $[f^n P(f)]^{(k)} = 1$ ($a_m \neq 0$) has infinitely many solutions.

In order to prove the above proposition, we require the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.1([8]). Let $f(z)$ be a nonconstant meromorphic function, and let

$a_m(z)$ ($a_m \neq 0$), $a_{m-1}(z), \dots, a_0(z)$ be meromorphic functions such that $T(r, a_i) = S(r, f)$, $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then

$$T(r, a_m f^m + a_{m-1} f^{m-1} + \dots + a_0) = mT(r, f) + S(r, f).$$

Lemma 2.2([4], [10]). Let $f(z)$ be a transcendental entire function, let k be a positive integer, and let c be a nonzero finite complex number. Then

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, f) &\leq N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)} - c}\right) - N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k+1)}}\right) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)} - c}\right) - N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k+1)}}\right) + S(r, f), \end{aligned}$$

where $N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k+1)}}\right)$ is the counting function which only counts those points such that $f^{(k+1)} = 0$ but $f(f^{(k)} - c) \neq 0$.

Proof of Proposition. By Lemma 1 and Lemma 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (n+m)T(r, f) &= T(r, f^n P(f)) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^n P(f)}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{[f^n P(f)]^{(k)} - 1}\right) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^n}\right) + N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{P(f)}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{[f^n P(f)]^{(k)} - 1}\right) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq (k+1+m)T(r, f) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{[f^n P(f)]^{(k)} - 1}\right) + S(r, f). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get

$$(2.1) \quad (n-k-1)T(r, f) \leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{[f^n P(f)]^{(k)} - 1}\right) + S(r, f).$$

So we deduce by (2.1) and $n \geq k+2$ that $[f^n P(f)]^{(k)} = 1$ has infinitely many solutions. \square

Lemma 2.3([4], [8]). Let $f(z)$ be a transcendental meromorphic function, and let $a_1(z), a_2(z)$ be meromorphic functions such that $T(r, a_i) = S(r, f)$, $i = 1, 2$ and $a_1 \neq a_2$. Then

$$T(r, f) \leq \bar{N}(r, f) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f - a_1}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f - a_2}\right) + S(r, f).$$

Lemma 2.4([5]). *Let $F(z)$ and $G(z)$ be two transcendental entire functions such that $\Theta(0, F) > \frac{5k+6}{5k+7}$, $\Theta(0, G) > \frac{5k+6}{5k+7}$. If $F(z)^{(k)}$ and $G(z)^{(k)}$ share the value 1 IM, then either $F(z)^{(k)}G(z)^{(k)} \equiv 1$ or $F(z) \equiv G(z)$.*

3. Proof of the main results

Proof of Theorem 1.2. (i) Firstly we consider the case: $a_0 \neq 0$. Let

$$(3.1) \quad F = f^n P(f), \quad G = g^n P(g).$$

Thus we obtain that F and G share 1 IM. Moreover, by Lemma 2.2, we have

$$(3.2) \quad T(r, F) = (n + m)T(r, f) + S(r, f),$$

$$(3.3) \quad T(r, G) = (n + m)T(r, g) + S(r, f).$$

In the view of the assumption $n + m > (5k + 7)(m + 1)$, we get

$$(3.4) \quad \Theta(0, F) \geq 1 - \frac{m + 1}{m + n} > \frac{5k + 6}{5k + 7},$$

$$(3.5) \quad \Theta(0, G) \geq 1 - \frac{m + 1}{m + n} > \frac{5k + 6}{5k + 7},$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) &\leq \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^n}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{P(f)}\right) \\ &\leq \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + \sum_{l=1}^m \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f - \lambda_l}\right) \\ &\leq (m + 1)T(r, f), \end{aligned}$$

where λ_l satisfies $P(\lambda_l) = 0$. Hence by (3.4), (3.5) and Lemma 2.4 we deduce that

$$F(z)^{(k)}G(z)^{(k)} \equiv 1 \text{ or } F(z) \equiv G(z).$$

Next we consider the following two cases:

Case1. $F(z)^{(k)}G(z)^{(k)} \equiv 1$, that is

$$(3.6) \quad [f^n P(f)]^{(k)} [g^n P(g)]^{(k)} \equiv 1.$$

By $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ are two nonconstant entire functions and $n + m > (5k + 7)(m + 1)$, we deduce

$$f(z) \neq 0, \quad g(z) \neq 0.$$

Let $f(z) = e^{\alpha(z)}$, where α is a nonconstant entire function, so $T(r, \alpha') = S(r, f)$. Thus, by induction we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 [a_m f^{m+n}]^{(k)} &= p_m(\alpha', \alpha'', \dots, \alpha^{(k)})e^{(m+n)\alpha(z)}, \\
 &\vdots \\
 [a_0 f^n]^{(k)} &= p_0(\alpha', \alpha'', \dots, \alpha^{(k)})e^{n\alpha(z)},
 \end{aligned}$$

where $p_i(\alpha', \alpha'', \dots, \alpha^{(k)})$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, m$) are differential polynomials. Obviously,

$$p_m(\alpha', \alpha'', \dots, \alpha^{(k)}) \neq 0 \text{ and } p_0(\alpha', \alpha'', \dots, \alpha^{(k)}) \neq 0.$$

Considering g is an entire function, we obtain from (3.6) that $[f^n P(f)]^{(k)} \neq 0$, that is

$$p_m(\alpha', \alpha'', \dots, \alpha^{(k)})e^{m\alpha(z)} + \dots + p_0(\alpha', \alpha'', \dots, \alpha^{(k)}) \neq 0.$$

Since $T(r, \alpha^{(j)}) \leq T(r, \alpha') + S(r, f) = S(r, f)$, for $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$. We deduce that

$$T(r, p_m) = S(r, f), \dots, T(r, p_0) = S(r, f).$$

Note that $f = e^\alpha$. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 mT(r, f) &= T(r, p_m e^{m\alpha} + \dots + p_1 e^\alpha) + S(r, f) \\
 &\leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{p_m e^{m\alpha} + \dots + p_1 e^\alpha}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{p_m e^{m\alpha} + \dots + p_1 e^\alpha + p_0}\right) + S(r, f) \\
 &\leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{p_m e^{(m-1)\alpha} + \dots + p_1}\right) + S(r, f) \\
 &\leq (m-1)T(r, f) + S(r, f),
 \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction.

Case2. $F(z) \equiv G(z)$, that is $f^n P(f) \equiv g^n P(g)$, Then f and g satisfy the algebraic equation $R(f, g) \equiv 0$, where $R(w_1, w_2) = w_1^n P(w_1) - w_2^n P(w_2)$.

(ii) If $a_j = 0$ ($0 \leq j < i$) and $a_i \neq 0$, then

$$F = f^{n+i} (a_m f^{m-i} + \dots + a_i), \quad G = g^{n+i} (a_m g^{m-i} + \dots + a_i).$$

By the assumption $n + m > (5k + 7)(m + 1)$, we get

$$(3.7) \quad \Theta(0, F) \geq 1 - \frac{m - i + 1}{m + n} > \frac{5k + 6}{5k + 7},$$

$$(3.8) \quad \Theta(0, G) \geq 1 - \frac{m - i + 1}{m + n} > \frac{5k + 6}{5k + 7},$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) &\leq \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{n+i}}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{a_m f^{m-i} + \dots + a_i}\right) \\ &\leq \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + \sum_{l=0}^{m-i} \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f - \lambda_l}\right) \\ &\leq (m - i + 1)T(r, f), \end{aligned}$$

where λ_l satisfies $a_m \lambda_l^{m-i} + \dots + a_i = 0$. From the above result, we deduce that f and g satisfy the algebraic equation $R(f, g) \equiv 0$, where $R(w_1, w_2) = w_1^{n+i} (a_m w_1^{m-i} + \dots + a_i) - w_2^{n+i} (a_m w_2^{m-i} + \dots + a_i) = w_1^n P(w_1) - w_2^n P(w_2)$.

(iii) If $a_j = 0$ ($0 \leq j < m$) and $a_m \neq 0$, then

$$F = a_m f^{n+m}, \quad G = a_m g^{n+m}.$$

Since $\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) = \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) < T(r, f)$ and $n + m > (5k + 7)(m + 1)$, we obtain that

$$(3.9) \quad \Theta(0, F) \geq 1 - \frac{1}{m + n} > \frac{5k + 6}{5k + 7},$$

$$(3.10) \quad \Theta(0, G) \geq 1 - \frac{1}{m + n} > \frac{5k + 6}{5k + 7}.$$

Therefore by Lemma 2.4 we deduce that

$$F(z)^{(k)}G(z)^{(k)} \equiv 1 \quad \text{or} \quad F(z) \equiv G(z).$$

Next we consider two cases.

Case1. $F(z)^{(k)}G(z)^{(k)} \equiv 1$, that is $[a_m f^{n+m}]^{(k)} [a_m g^{n+m}]^{(k)} \equiv 1$. Since $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ are two nonconstant entire functions, we see that

$$[a_m f^{n+m}]^{(k)} \neq 0, \quad [a_m g^{n+m}]^{(k)} \neq 0.$$

Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem D, we obtain the desired result, that is $f(z) = c_1 e^{cz}$, $g(z) = c_2 e^{-cz}$, where c_1, c_2 and c are three constants satisfying

$$(-1)^k a_m^2 (c_1 c_2)^{n+m} [(n + m)c]^{2k} = 1.$$

Case2. $F(z) \equiv G(z)$, that is $f^{n+m} = g^{n+m}$. Hence, we get that $f(z) \equiv tg(z)$, where t is a constant satisfying $t^{n+m} = 1$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem D, Theorem E and Theorem 1.2, we can get the conclusion of Theorem 1.1. □

Acknowledgment. The authors would like to thank the referee for his/her valuable suggestions.

References

- [1] M. L. Fang, *Uniqueness and value-sharing of entire functions*, Comput. Math. Appl., **44**(2002), 828-831.
- [2] M. L. Fang and X. H. Hua, *Entire functions that share one value*, J. Nanjing Univ. Math. Biquarterly, **13**(1)(1996), 44-48.
- [3] W. K. Hayman, *Picard values of meromorphic functions and their derivatives*, Ann. of Math., **70**(1959), 9-42.
- [4] W. K. Hayman, *Meromorphic Functions*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1964.
- [5] C. Meng, *On unicity of entire functions concerning differential polynomials*, International Journal of Modern Mathematics, **4**(2)(2009), in press.
- [6] C. Meng, *Value sharing of entire functions*, Appl. Math. E-Notes, **8**(2008), 179-185.
- [7] Y. Xu and H. L. Qiu, *Entire functions sharing one value IM*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **31**(2000), 849-855.
- [8] C. C. Yang, *On deficiencies of differential polynomials II*, Math. Z., **125**(1972), 107-112.
- [9] C. C. Yang and X. H. Hua, *Uniqueness and value-sharing of meromorphic functions*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math., **22**(2)(1997), 395-406.
- [10] L. Yang, *Value Distribution Theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
- [11] X. Y. Zhang and W. C. Lin, *Uniqueness and value-sharing of entire functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **343**(2008), 938-950.