

## On a Class of Meromorphic Functions Defined by Certain Linear Operators

SHANMUGAM SIVAPRASAD KUMAR\*

*Department of Applied Mathematics, Delhi College of Engineering, Delhi - 110 042, India*

*e-mail*: spkumar@dce.ac.in

HARISH CHANDER TANEJA

*Department of Applied Mathematics, Delhi College of Engineering, Delhi - 110 042, India*

*e-mail*: hctaneja@dce.ac.in

ABSTRACT. In the present investigation, we introduce new classes of  $p$ -valent meromorphic functions defined by Liu-Srivastava linear operator and the multiplier transform and study their properties by using certain first order differential subordination and superordination.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the class of functions analytic in  $\Delta := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$  and  $\mathcal{H}(a, n)$  be the subclass of  $\mathcal{H}$  consisting of functions of the form  $f(z) = a + a_n z^n + a_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \dots$  and set  $\mathcal{H}_1 := \mathcal{H}(1, 1)$ . Let  $\Sigma_p$  denote the class of all analytic functions of the form

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (z \in \Delta^* := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < |z| < 1\}; p \in \mathbb{N})$$

and set  $\Sigma := \Sigma_1$ .

For two functions  $f(z)$  given by (1.1) and  $g(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} b_k z^k$ , the Hadamard product (or convolution) of  $f$  and  $g$  is defined by

$$(1.2) \quad (f * g)(z) := \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k =: (g * f)(z).$$

For  $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{C}$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, l$ ) and  $\beta_j \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ), the generalized hypergeometric function  ${}_1F_m(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m; z)$  is defined by the

---

\* Corresponding author.

Received August 29, 2008; accepted December 11, 2008.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 30C45, Secondary 30C80.

Key words and phrases: hypergeometric functions, subordination, linear operator, multiplier transform, convolution, Differential superordinations, subordinant, dominant.

infinite series

$${}_lF_m(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m; z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1)_n \cdots (\alpha_l)_n}{(\beta_1)_n \cdots (\beta_m)_n} \frac{z^n}{n!}$$

$$(l \leq m + 1; l, m \in \mathbb{N}_0 := \{0, 1, 2, \dots\})$$

where  $(a)_n$  is the Pochhammer symbol defined by

$$(a)_n := \frac{\Gamma(a+n)}{\Gamma(a)} = \begin{cases} 1, & (n=0); \\ a(a+1)(a+2)\cdots(a+n-1), & (n \in \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}). \end{cases}$$

Corresponding to the function

$$h_p(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m; z) := z^{-p} {}_lF_m(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m; z),$$

the *Liu-Srivastava operator* [7]  $\mathcal{H}_p^{(l,m)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m) : \Sigma_p \mapsto \Sigma_p$  is defined by the Hadamard product

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_p^{(l,m)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m)f(z) &:= h_p(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m; z) * f(z) \\ &= \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{n=1-p}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1)_{n+p} \cdots (\alpha_l)_{n+p}}{(\beta_1)_{n+p} \cdots (\beta_m)_{n+p}} \frac{a_n z^n}{(n+p)!}. \end{aligned}$$

To make the notation simple, we write

$$\mathcal{H}_p^{l,m}[\alpha_1]f(z) := \mathcal{H}_p^{(l,m)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m)f(z).$$

Special cases of the Liu-Srivastava linear operator includes the meromorphic analogue of the Carlson-Shaffer linear operator

$$(1.3) \quad \mathcal{L}_p(a, c) := \mathcal{H}_p^{(2,1)}(a, 1; c)$$

considered by Liu [6] and the operator

$$(1.4) \quad \mathcal{D}^{n+p-1} := \mathcal{L}_p(n+p, 1)$$

investigated by Yang [14]. When  $p = 1$ , the operator was first introduced by Ganigi and Uralegaddi [5] and then generalized by Yang [13] to an operator analogous to the Ruscheweyh derivative operator, and the operator  $\mathcal{J}_{c,p} = \mathcal{L}_p(c, c+1)$  was studied, for example, by Uralegaddi and Somanatha [12]. Note that

$$\mathcal{J}_{c,p}f = \frac{c}{z^{c+p}} \int_0^z t^{c+p-1} f(t) dt \quad (c > 0).$$

Motivated by the operator studied by Aouf and Hossen [1] (see also [4], [6], [10]), we define the operator  $\mathcal{I}_p(n, \lambda)$  on  $\Sigma_p$  by the following infinite series

$$(1.5) \quad \mathcal{I}_p(n, \lambda)f(z) := \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} \left( \frac{k+\lambda}{\lambda-p} \right)^n a_k z^k \quad (\lambda > p, n \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

A function  $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$  is said to be in the class  $\Omega_p^{l,m}(\alpha_1; A, B)$  if it satisfies the following subordination:

$$\frac{(\mathcal{H}_p^{l,m}[\alpha_1 + 1]f(z))'}{(\mathcal{H}_p^{l,m}[\alpha_1]f(z))'} \prec 1 - \frac{p(A - B)z}{\alpha_1(1 + Bz)}$$

$$(z \in \Delta; \alpha_1 \in \mathbb{C}; -1 < B < A \leq 1; p, l, m \in \mathbb{N})$$

This class  $\Omega_p^{l,m}(\alpha_1; A, B)$  was introduced by Liu and Srivastava [7] and they have proved the following:

**Theorem 1.1**([7, Theorem 1, p.23]). *Let  $\alpha_1$  be real number. If*

$$\alpha_1 \geq \frac{p(A - B)}{1 + B} \quad (-1 < B < A \leq 1; p \in \mathbb{N}),$$

then

$$(1.6) \quad \Omega_p^{l,m}(\alpha_1 + 1; A, B) \subset \Omega_p^{l,m}(\alpha_1; A, B).$$

**Theorem 1.2**([7, Theorem 2, p.25]). *Let  $\lambda$  be a complex number such that*

$$\Re(\lambda) > \frac{p(A - B)}{1 + B} \quad (-1 < B < A \leq 1; p \in \mathbb{N}).$$

If  $f \in \Omega_p^{l,m}(\alpha_1; A, B)$ , then the function

$$F(z) = \frac{\lambda}{z^{\lambda+p}} \int_0^z t^{\lambda+p-1} f(t) dt$$

also belongs to the class  $\Omega_p^{l,m}(\alpha_1; A, B)$ .

For two analytic functions  $f$  and  $F$ , we say that  $F$  is *superordinate* to  $f$  if  $f$  is subordinate to  $F$ . Recently Miller and Mocanu [9] considered certain second order differential subordinations. Using the results of Miller and Mocanu [9], Bulboaca [3] has considered certain classes of first order differential subordinations and Bulboaca [2] considered certain superordination-preserving integral operators.

In recent years, many results of various interesting subclasses of the class  $\Sigma_p$  of meromorphically  $p$ -valent functions were investigated extensively by (among others) Aouf *et al.* [1], Liu and Srivasava [7], Ravichandran *et al.* [11], Uralegaddi and Somanatha [12] and Yang [14]. In this paper, we generalize the above-stated classes of Liu and Srivasava [7] to a more general classes of meromorphic  $p$ -valent functions which we define below using differential subordination and superordination.

**Definition 1.1.** A function  $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$  is said to be in  $\Omega_p(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m; \varphi)$  if it satisfies the following subordination:

$$(1.7) \quad \frac{(\mathcal{H}_p^{l,m}[\alpha_1 + 1]f(z))'}{(\mathcal{H}_p^{l,m}[\alpha_1]f(z))'} \prec \varphi(z) \quad (z \in \Delta),$$

and is said to be in  $\tilde{\Omega}_p(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m; \varphi)$  if  $f$  satisfies the following superordination:

$$(1.8) \quad \varphi(z) \prec \frac{(\mathcal{H}_p^{l,m}[\alpha_1 + 1]f(z))'}{(\mathcal{H}_p^{l,m}[\alpha_1]f(z))'} \quad (z \in \Delta),$$

where  $\varphi(z)$  is analytic in  $\Delta$  and  $\varphi(0) = 1$ .

To make the notation simple, we write

$$\Omega_p(\alpha_1; \varphi) := \Omega_p(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m; \varphi)$$

and

$$\tilde{\Omega}_p(\alpha_1; \varphi) := \tilde{\Omega}_p(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m; \varphi).$$

Also we define the class  $\Omega_p(\alpha_1; \varphi_1, \varphi_2)$  by the following:

$$\Omega_p(\alpha_1; \varphi_1, \varphi_2) := \tilde{\Omega}_p(\alpha_1; \varphi_1) \cap \Omega_p(\alpha_1; \varphi_2).$$

For

$$\varphi(z) = 1 - \frac{p(A - B)z}{\alpha_1(1 + Bz)} \quad (z \in \Delta; \alpha_1 \in \mathbb{C}; -1 < B < A \leq 1; p \in \mathbb{N}),$$

the class  $\Omega_p(\alpha_1; \varphi)$  reduces to the class  $\Omega_p^{l,m}(\alpha_1; A, B)$ , introduced and studied by Liu and Srivastava [7].

**Definition 1.2.** A function  $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$  is said to be in the class  $\mathcal{M}_p(n, \lambda; \varphi)$  if it satisfies the following subordination:

$$(1.9) \quad \frac{(\mathcal{I}_p(n+1, \lambda)f(z))'}{(\mathcal{I}_p(n, \lambda)f(z))'} \prec \varphi(z) \quad (f(z) \in \Sigma_p),$$

and is said to be in  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_p(n, \lambda; \varphi)$  if  $f$  satisfies the following superordination:

$$(1.10) \quad \varphi(z) \prec \frac{(\mathcal{I}_p(n+1, \lambda)f(z))'}{(\mathcal{I}_p(n, \lambda)f(z))'} \quad (f(z) \in \Sigma_p),$$

where  $\varphi(z)$  is analytic in  $\Delta$  and  $\varphi(0) = 1$ . Also we define the class  $\mathcal{M}_p(n, \lambda; \varphi_1, \varphi_2)$  by the following:

$$\mathcal{M}_p(n, \lambda; \varphi_1, \varphi_2) := \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_p(n, \lambda; \varphi_1) \cap \mathcal{M}_p(n, \lambda; \varphi_2).$$

## 2. Preliminaries

In order to prove our main results we will need to use the next definition and lemmas.

**Definition 2.1**([9, Definition 2, p.817]). Denote by  $\mathcal{Q}$ , the set of all functions  $f(z)$  that are analytic and injective on  $\bar{\Delta} - E(f)$ , where

$$E(f) = \{\zeta \in \partial\Delta : \lim_{z \rightarrow \zeta} f(z) = \infty\},$$

and are such that  $f'(\zeta) \neq 0$  for  $\zeta \in \partial\Delta - E(f)$ .

**Lemma 2.1**([8]). Let  $q(z)$  be univalent in the unit disk  $\Delta$  and  $\vartheta$  and  $\varphi$  be analytic in a domain  $\mathbb{D}$  containing  $q(\Delta)$  with  $\varphi(w) \neq 0$  when  $w \in q(\Delta)$ . Set  $Q(z) := zq'(z)\varphi(q(z))$ ,  $h(z) := \vartheta(q(z)) + Q(z)$ . Suppose that either (i)  $h(z)$  is convex, or (ii)  $Q(z)$  is starlike univalent in  $\Delta$ . In addition, assume that

$$\Re \frac{zh'(z)}{Q(z)} > 0 \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

If  $p(z)$  is analytic in  $\Delta$ , with  $p(0) = q(0)$ ,  $p(\Delta) \subset \mathbb{D}$  and

$$(2.1) \quad \vartheta(p(z)) + zp'(z)\varphi(p(z)) \prec \vartheta(q(z)) + zq'(z)\varphi(q(z)) = h(z),$$

then  $p(z) \prec q(z)$  and  $q(z)$  is the best dominant.

**Lemma 2.2**([3]). Let  $q(z)$  be univalent in the unit disk  $\Delta$  and  $\vartheta$  and  $\varphi$  be analytic in a domain  $\mathbb{D}$  containing  $q(\Delta)$ . Suppose that

- (1)  $\Re [\vartheta'(q(z))/\varphi(q(z))] > 0$  for  $z \in \Delta$ ,
- (2)  $Q(z) := zq'(z)\varphi(q(z))$  is starlike univalent in  $\Delta$ .

If  $p(z) \in \mathcal{H}[q(0), 1] \cap \mathcal{Q}$ , with  $p(\Delta) \subset \mathbb{D}$ , and  $\vartheta(p(z)) + zp'(z)\varphi(p(z))$  is univalent in  $\Delta$ , then

$$(2.2) \quad \vartheta(q(z)) + zq'(z)\varphi(q(z)) \prec \vartheta(p(z)) + zp'(z)\varphi(p(z)),$$

implies  $q(z) \prec p(z)$  and  $q(z)$  is the best subdominant.

### 3. The classes $\Omega_p(\alpha_1; \varphi)$ and $\tilde{\Omega}_p(\alpha_1; \varphi)$

By making use of Lemma 2.1, we first prove the following result:

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $\psi(z)$  be univalent in  $\Delta$ ,  $\psi(0) = 1$  and  $\psi(z) \neq 0$ . Assume that  $z\psi'/\psi$  is starlike in  $\Delta$  and  $\Re \{\alpha_1\psi(z)\} > 0$ . Let  $\chi(z)$  be defined by

$$(3.1) \quad \chi(z) := \frac{1}{\alpha_1 + 1} \left[ \alpha_1\psi(z) + 1 + \frac{z\psi'(z)}{\psi(z)} \right].$$

If  $f(z) \in \Omega_p(\alpha_1 + 1; \chi)$ , then  $f(z) \in \Omega_p(\alpha_1; \psi)$ . If  $f(z) \in \tilde{\Omega}_p(\alpha_1 + 1; \chi)$ ,

$$(3.2) \quad 0 \neq \frac{(\mathcal{H}_p^{l,m}[\alpha_1 + 1]f(z))'}{(\mathcal{H}_p^{l,m}[\alpha_1]f(z))'} \in \mathcal{H}_1 \cap \mathcal{Q} \text{ and } \frac{(\mathcal{H}_p^{l,m}[\alpha_1 + 2]f(z))'}{(\mathcal{H}_p^{l,m}[\alpha_1 + 1]f(z))'}$$
 is univalent in  $\Delta$ ,

then  $f(z) \in \tilde{\Omega}_p(\alpha_1; \psi)$ .

*Proof.* First of all consider the following identity

$$(3.3) \quad z(\mathcal{H}_p^{l,m}[\alpha_1]f(z))' = \alpha_1 \mathcal{H}_p^{l,m}[\alpha_1 + 1]f(z) - (\alpha_1 + p)\mathcal{H}_p^{l,m}[\alpha_1]f(z),$$

which upon differentiation, yields

$$(3.4) \quad z(\mathcal{H}_p^{l,m}[\alpha_1]f(z))'' = \alpha_1(\mathcal{H}_p^{l,m}[\alpha_1 + 1]f(z))' - (\alpha_1 + p + 1)(\mathcal{H}_p^{l,m}[\alpha_1]f(z))'.$$

Now define the function  $q(z)$  by

$$(3.5) \quad q(z) := \frac{(\mathcal{H}_p^{l,m}[\alpha_1 + 1]f(z))'}{(\mathcal{H}_p^{l,m}[\alpha_1]f(z))'}.$$

Then, clearly,  $q(z)$  is analytic in  $\Delta$ .

By logarithmic differentiation of (3.5) with respect to  $z$  and using (3.4), we obtain

$$(3.6) \quad \frac{(\mathcal{H}_p^{l,m}[\alpha_1 + 2]f(z))'}{(\mathcal{H}_p^{l,m}[\alpha_1 + 1]f(z))'} = \frac{1}{\alpha_1 + 1} \left( \alpha_1 q(z) + 1 + \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} \right).$$

Since  $f(z) \in \Omega_p(\alpha_1 + 1; \chi)$ , we have from (3.6) that

$$\alpha_1 q(z) + \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} \prec \alpha_1 \psi(z) + \frac{z\psi'(z)}{\psi(z)}$$

and this can be written as (2.1), by defining

$$\vartheta(w) := \alpha_1 w \text{ and } \varphi(w) := \frac{1}{w}.$$

Note that  $\varphi(w) \neq 0$  and  $\vartheta(w)$ ,  $\varphi(w)$  are analytic in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ . Set

$$(3.7) \quad Q(z) := \frac{z\psi'(z)}{\psi(z)}$$

$$(3.8) \quad h(z) := \vartheta(\psi(z)) + Q(z) = \alpha_1 \psi(z) + \frac{z\psi'(z)}{\psi(z)}.$$

In light of the hypothesis of our Theorem 3.1, we see that  $Q(z)$  is starlike and

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{zh'(z)}{Q(z)} \right\} = \Re \left\{ \alpha_1 \psi(z) + 1 + \frac{z\psi''(z)}{\psi'(z)} - \frac{z\psi'(z)}{\psi(z)} \right\} > 0.$$

By an application of Lemma 2.1, we obtain that  $q(z) \prec \psi(z)$  or

$$\frac{(\mathcal{H}_p^{l,m}[\alpha_1 + 1]f(z))'}{(\mathcal{H}_p^{l,m}[\alpha_1]f(z))'} \prec \psi(z),$$

which shows that  $f(z) \in \Omega_p(\alpha_1; \psi)$ .

The second half of the Theorem 3.1 follows by a similar application of Lemma 2.2.  $\square$

**Remark 3.1.** The subordination result of Theorem 3.1 also holds if we replace the condition  $\Re \{ \alpha_1 \psi(z) \} > 0$  by

$$\Re \left\{ \alpha_1 \psi(z) + 1 + \frac{z\psi''(z)}{\psi'(z)} - \frac{z\psi'(z)}{\psi(z)} \right\} > 0$$

and hence we obtain as its special case the following results using (1.3) and (1.4) respectively:

- (1) Let  $\psi(z)$  be univalent in  $\Delta$ ,  $\psi(0) = 1$  and  $\psi(z) \neq 0$ . Assume that  $z\psi'/\psi$  is starlike in  $\Delta$  and

$$\Re \left\{ a\psi(z) + 1 + \frac{z\psi''(z)}{\psi'(z)} - \frac{z\psi'(z)}{\psi(z)} \right\} > 0.$$

Let  $\chi(z)$  be defined by

$$\chi(z) := \frac{1}{a+1} \left[ a\psi(z) + 1 + \frac{z\psi'(z)}{\psi(z)} \right].$$

If  $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$  and

$$\frac{[\mathcal{L}_p(a+2, c)f(z)]'}{[\mathcal{L}_p(a+1, c)f(z)]'} \prec \chi(z)$$

then

$$\frac{[\mathcal{L}_p(a+1, c)f(z)]'}{[\mathcal{L}_p(a, c)f(z)]'} \prec \psi(z)$$

and  $\psi(z)$  is the best dominant.

- (2) Let  $\psi(z)$  be univalent in  $\Delta$ ,  $\psi(0) = 1$  and  $\psi(z) \neq 0$ . Assume that  $z\psi'/\psi$  is starlike in  $\Delta$  and

$$\Re \left\{ (n+p)\psi(z) + 1 + \frac{z\psi''(z)}{\psi'(z)} - \frac{z\psi'(z)}{\psi(z)} \right\} > 0.$$

Let  $\chi(z)$  be defined by

$$\chi(z) := \frac{1}{n+p+1} \left[ (n+p)\psi(z) + 1 + \frac{z\psi'(z)}{\psi(z)} \right].$$

If  $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$  and

$$\frac{[\mathcal{D}^{n+p+1}f(z)]'}{[\mathcal{D}^{n+p}f(z)]'} \prec \chi(z)$$

then

$$\frac{[\mathcal{D}^{n+p}f(z)]'}{[\mathcal{D}^{n+p-1}f(z)]'} \prec \psi(z)$$

and  $\psi(z)$  is the best dominant.

Using Theorem 3.1, we obtain the following “sandwich result”:

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $\psi_i(z) \neq 0$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) be univalent in  $\Delta$ . Further assume that  $z\psi'_i(z)/\psi_i(z)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) is starlike univalent in  $\Delta$  and  $\Re\{\alpha_1\psi_i(z)\} > 0$ . If  $f(z) \in \Omega_p(\alpha_1 + 1; \chi_1, \chi_2)$  satisfies (3.2), then  $f(z) \in \Omega_p(\alpha_1; \psi_1, \psi_2)$ , where*

$$\chi_i(z) := \frac{1}{\alpha_1 + 1} \left[ \alpha_1\psi_i(z) + 1 + \frac{z\psi'_i(z)}{\psi_i(z)} \right] \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $\psi$  be univalent in  $\Delta$ ,  $\psi(0) = 1$ , and  $\lambda$  be a complex number. Assume that  $z\psi'/(\lambda - p - \alpha_1 + \alpha_1\psi)$  is starlike in  $\Delta$  and  $\Re\{\lambda - p - \alpha_1 + \alpha_1\psi(z)\} > 0$ . Define the functions  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $h$  by*

$$(3.9) \quad \mathcal{F}(z) := \frac{\lambda - p}{z^\lambda} \int_0^z t^{\lambda-1} f(t) dt,$$

$$h(z) := \psi(z) + \frac{z\psi'(z)}{\lambda - p - \alpha_1 + \alpha_1\psi(z)}.$$

If  $f(z) \in \Omega_p(\alpha_1; h)$ , then  $\mathcal{F} \in \Omega_p(\alpha_1; \psi)$ . If  $f(z) \in \tilde{\Omega}_p(\alpha_1; h)$ ,

$$(3.10) \quad 0 \neq \frac{\mathcal{H}_p^{l,m}[\alpha_1 + 1]\mathcal{F}(z)}{\mathcal{H}_p^{l,m}[\alpha_1]\mathcal{F}(z)} \in \mathcal{H}_1 \cap \mathcal{Q} \text{ and } \frac{(\mathcal{H}_p^{l,m}[\alpha_1 + 1]f(z))'}{(\mathcal{H}_p^{l,m}[\alpha_1]f(z))'}$$

then the function  $\mathcal{F} \in \tilde{\Omega}_p(\alpha_1; \psi)$ .

*Proof.* From the definition of  $\mathcal{F}(z)$  and (3.4), we obtain that

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} (\lambda - p)\mathcal{H}_p^{l,m}[\alpha_1]f(z) &= \lambda\mathcal{H}_p^{l,m}[\alpha_1]\mathcal{F}(z) + z(\mathcal{H}_p^{l,m}[\alpha_1]\mathcal{F}(z))' \\ &= \alpha_1\mathcal{H}_p^{l,m}[\alpha_1 + 1]\mathcal{F}(z) + (\lambda - p - \alpha_1)\mathcal{H}_p^{l,m}[\alpha_1]\mathcal{F}(z). \end{aligned}$$

Define the function  $q(z)$  by

$$(3.12) \quad q(z) := \frac{(\mathcal{H}_p^{l,m}[\alpha_1 + 1]\mathcal{F}(z))'}{(\mathcal{H}_p^{l,m}[\alpha_1]\mathcal{F}(z))'}.$$

Then, clearly,  $q(z)$  is analytic in  $\Delta$ . Using (3.11) and (3.12), we have

$$(3.13) \quad (\lambda - p) \frac{(\mathcal{H}_p^{l,m}[\alpha_1]f(z))'}{(\mathcal{H}_p^{l,m}[\alpha_1]\mathcal{F}(z))'} = \lambda - p - \alpha_1 + \alpha_1 q(z).$$

Upon logarithmic differentiation of (3.13) and using (3.4), and (3.12), we get

$$(3.14) \quad \frac{(\mathcal{H}_p^{l,m}[\alpha_1 + 1]f(z))'}{(\mathcal{H}_p^{l,m}[\alpha_1]f(z))'} = q(z) + \frac{zq'(z)}{\lambda - p - \alpha_1 + \alpha_1 q(z)}.$$



Since  $f(z) \in \Omega_p(\alpha_1; h)$ , we have, from (3.14),

$$q(z) + \frac{zq'(z)}{\lambda - p - \alpha_1 + \alpha_1q(z)} \prec \psi(z) + \frac{z\psi'(z)}{\lambda - p - \alpha_1 + \alpha_1\psi(z)}$$

and this can be written as (2.1), by defining

$$\vartheta(w) := w \text{ and } \varphi(w) := \frac{1}{\lambda - p - \alpha_1 + \alpha_1w}.$$

The first half of Theorem 3.3 now follows by an application of Lemma 2.1 and the second half follows by a similar application of Lemma 2.2.  $\square$

**Remark 3.2.** The subordination result of Theorem 3.3 also holds if we replace the condition  $\Re\{\lambda - p - \alpha_1 + \alpha_1\psi(z)\} > 0$  by

$$\Re\left\{\lambda - p - \alpha_1 + \alpha_1\psi(z) + 1 + \frac{z\psi''(z)}{\psi'(z)} - \frac{\alpha_1z\psi'(z)}{\lambda - p - \alpha_1 + \alpha_1\psi(z)}\right\} > 0$$

and hence we obtain as its special case the following results using (1.3) and (1.4) respectively:

- (1) Let  $\psi$  be univalent in  $\Delta$ ,  $\psi(0) = 1$ , and  $\lambda$  be a complex number. Assume that  $z\psi'/(\lambda - p - a + a\psi)$  is starlike in  $\Delta$  and

$$\Re\left\{\lambda - p - a + a\psi(z) + 1 + \frac{z\psi''(z)}{\psi'(z)} - \frac{az\psi'(z)}{\lambda - p - a + a\psi(z)}\right\} > 0.$$

Let  $F$  be defined as in (3.9) and  $h(z)$  defined by

$$h(z) := \psi(z) + \frac{z\psi'(z)}{\lambda - p - a + a\psi(z)}.$$

If  $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$  and

$$\frac{[\mathcal{L}_p(a+1, c)f(z)]'}{[\mathcal{L}_p(a, c)f(z)]'} \prec h(z)$$

then

$$\frac{[\mathcal{L}_p(a+1, c)F(z)]'}{[\mathcal{L}_p(a, c)F(z)]'} \prec \psi(z)$$

and  $\psi(z)$  is the best dominant.

- (2) Let  $\psi$  be univalent in  $\Delta$ ,  $\psi(0) = 1$ , and  $\lambda$  be a complex number. Assume that  $z\psi'/(\lambda - n + (n+p)\psi)$  is starlike in  $\Delta$  and

$$\Re\left\{\lambda - n + (n+p)\psi(z) + 1 + \frac{z\psi''(z)}{\psi'(z)} - \frac{(n+p)z\psi'(z)}{\lambda - n + (n+p)\psi(z)}\right\} > 0.$$

Let  $F$  be defined as in (3.9) and  $h(z)$  defined by

$$h(z) := \psi(z) + \frac{z\psi'(z)}{\lambda - n + (n+p)\psi(z)}.$$

If  $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$  and

$$\frac{[\mathcal{D}^{n+p}f(z)]'}{[\mathcal{D}^{n+p-1}f(z)]'} \prec h(z)$$

then

$$\frac{[\mathcal{D}^{n+p}F(z)]'}{[\mathcal{D}^{n+p-1}F(z)]'} \prec \psi(z)$$

and  $\psi(z)$  is the best dominant.

Using Theorem 3.3, we have the following result:

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $\psi_i$  be univalent in  $\Delta$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) and  $\lambda$  be a complex number. Assume that  $\frac{z\psi_i'}{\lambda - p - \alpha_1 + \alpha_1\psi_i}$  is starlike in  $\Delta$  and  $\Re\{\lambda - p - \alpha_1 + \alpha_1\psi_i(z)\} > 0$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . If  $f(z) \in \Omega_p(\alpha_1; h_1, h_2)$  satisfies (3.10), then the function  $\mathcal{F}$  defined by (3.9) belongs to  $\Omega_p(\alpha_1; \psi_1, \psi_2)$  where*

$$h_i(z) := \psi_i(z) + \frac{z\psi_i'(z)}{\lambda - p - \alpha_1 + \alpha_1\psi_i(z)} \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$  and  $\alpha_1 \neq -1$ . Define  $\mathcal{F}$  by*

$$(3.15) \quad \mathcal{F}(z) := \frac{\alpha_1}{z^{\alpha_1+p}} \int_0^z t^{\alpha_1+p-1} f(t) dt.$$

*Then  $f(z) \in \Omega_p(\alpha_1; \varphi)$  if and only if  $\mathcal{F} \in \Omega\left(\alpha_1 + 1; \frac{1 + \alpha_1\varphi}{1 + \alpha_1}\right)$ . Also  $f(z) \in \tilde{\Omega}_p(\alpha_1; \varphi)$  if and only if  $\mathcal{F} \in \tilde{\Omega}\left(\alpha_1 + 1; \frac{1 + \alpha_1\varphi}{1 + \alpha_1}\right)$ .*

*Proof.* From (3.15), we have

$$(3.16) \quad \alpha_1 f(z) = (\alpha_1 + p)\mathcal{F}(z) + z\mathcal{F}'(z).$$

By convoluting (3.16) with  $h_p(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m; z)$  and using the fact that  $z(f * g)'(z) = f(z) * zg'(z)$ , we obtain

$$\alpha_1 \mathcal{H}_p^{l,m}[\alpha_1]f(z) = (\alpha_1 + p)\mathcal{H}_p^{l,m}[\alpha_1]\mathcal{F}(z) + z(\mathcal{H}_p^{l,m}[\alpha_1]\mathcal{F}(z))'$$

and by using (3.4), we get

$$(3.17) \quad \mathcal{H}_p^{l,m}[\alpha_1]f(z) = \mathcal{H}_p^{l,m}[\alpha_1 + 1]\mathcal{F}(z)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha_1 \mathcal{H}_p^{l,m}[\alpha_1 + 1]f(z) &= z(\mathcal{H}_p^{l,m}[\alpha_1]f(z))' + (\alpha_1 + p)\mathcal{H}_p^{l,m}[\alpha_1]f(z) \\
 &= z(\mathcal{H}_p^{l,m}[\alpha_1 + 1]\mathcal{F}(z))' + (\alpha_1 + p)\mathcal{H}_p^{l,m}[\alpha_1 + 1]\mathcal{F}(z) \\
 &= (\alpha_1 + 1)\mathcal{H}_p^{l,m}[\alpha_1 + 2]\mathcal{F}(z) - (\alpha_1 + p + 1)\mathcal{H}_p^{l,m}[\alpha_1 + 1]\mathcal{F}(z) \\
 &\quad + (\alpha_1 + p)\mathcal{H}_p^{l,m}[\alpha_1 + 1]\mathcal{F}(z) \\
 (3.18) \qquad &= (\alpha_1 + 1)\mathcal{H}_p^{l,m}[\alpha_1 + 2]\mathcal{F}(z) - \mathcal{H}_p^{l,m}[\alpha_1 + 1]\mathcal{F}(z).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from (3.17) and (3.18), we have

$$\frac{(\mathcal{H}_p^{l,m}[\alpha_1 + 2]\mathcal{F}(z))'}{(\mathcal{H}_p^{l,m}[\alpha_1 + 1]\mathcal{F}(z))'} = \frac{1}{\alpha_1 + 1} \left[ 1 + \alpha_1 \frac{(\mathcal{H}_p^{l,m}[\alpha_1 + 1]f(z))'}{(\mathcal{H}_p^{l,m}[\alpha_1]f(z))'} \right],$$

and the desired results follow at once. □

Using (1.3), we have the following result:

**Example 3.1.** Let  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$  and  $a \neq -1$ . Define  $F$  as in (3.15). Then

$$\frac{[\mathcal{L}_p(a + 1, c)f(z)]'}{[\mathcal{L}_p(a, c)f(z)]'} \prec \varphi(z) \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \frac{[\mathcal{L}_p(a + 2, c)F(z)]'}{[\mathcal{L}_p(a + 1, c)F(z)]'} \prec \frac{1 + a\varphi}{1 + a}.$$

Also

$$\varphi(z) \prec \frac{[\mathcal{L}_p(a + 1, c)f(z)]'}{[\mathcal{L}_p(a, c)f(z)]'} \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \frac{1 + a\varphi}{1 + a} \prec \frac{[\mathcal{L}_p(a + 2, c)F(z)]'}{[\mathcal{L}_p(a + 1, c)F(z)]'}.$$

Using Theorem 3.5, we have

**Corollary 3.6.** Let  $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$  and  $\alpha_1 \neq -1$ . Then  $f(z) \in \Omega_p(\alpha_1; \varphi_1, \varphi_2)$  if and only if  $\mathcal{F}$  given by (3.15) is in  $\Omega\left(\alpha_1 + 1; \frac{1 + \alpha_1\varphi_1}{1 + \alpha_1}, \frac{1 + \alpha_1\varphi_2}{1 + \alpha_1}\right)$ .

#### 4. The classes $\mathcal{M}_p(n, \lambda; \varphi)$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_p(n, \lambda; \varphi)$

By making use of Lemma 2.1, we prove the following result:

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $\psi(z)$  be univalent in  $\Delta$ ,  $\psi(0) = 1$ ,  $\Re\psi(z) > 0$  and  $z\psi'/\psi$  be starlike in  $\Delta$ . Let  $\chi(z)$  be defined by

$$\chi(z) := \frac{1}{\lambda - p} \left[ (\lambda - p)\psi(z) + \frac{z\psi'(z)}{\psi(z)} \right].$$

If  $f(z) \in \mathcal{M}_p(n + 1, \lambda; \chi)$ , then  $f(z) \in \mathcal{M}_p(n, \lambda; \psi)$ . If  $f(z) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_p(n + 1, \lambda; \chi)$ ,

$$(4.1) \quad 0 \neq \frac{(\mathcal{I}_p(n + 1, \lambda)f(z))'}{(\mathcal{I}_p(n, \lambda)f(z))'} \in \mathcal{H}_1 \cap \mathcal{Q} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{(\mathcal{I}_p(n + 2, \lambda)f(z))'}{(\mathcal{I}_p(n + 1, \lambda)f(z))'} \text{ is univalent in } \Delta,$$

then  $f(z) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_p(n, \lambda; \psi)$ .

*Proof.* First of all consider the following identity

$$(4.2) \quad (\lambda - p)\mathcal{I}_p(n + 1, \lambda)f(z) = z[\mathcal{I}_p(n, \lambda)f(z)]' + \lambda\mathcal{I}_p(n, \lambda)f(z),$$

which yields up on differentiation

$$(4.3) \quad z[\mathcal{I}_p(n, \lambda)f(z)]'' = (\lambda - p)[\mathcal{I}_p(n + 1, \lambda)f(z)]' - (\lambda + 1)[\mathcal{I}_p(n, \lambda)f(z)]'.$$

Now define the function  $q(z)$  by

$$(4.4) \quad q(z) := \frac{(\mathcal{I}_p(n + 1, \lambda)f(z))'}{(\mathcal{I}_p(n, \lambda)f(z))'}.$$

Then, clearly,  $q(z)$  is analytic in  $\Delta$ . By logarithmic differentiation of (4.4) and using (4.3), we obtain

$$(4.5) \quad \frac{(\mathcal{I}_p(n + 2, \lambda)f(z))'}{(\mathcal{I}_p(n + 1, \lambda)f(z))'} = \frac{1}{\lambda - p} \left( (\lambda - p)q(z) + \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} \right).$$

Since  $f(z) \in \mathcal{M}_p(n + 1, \lambda; \chi)$  and in view of (4.5), we have

$$(\lambda - p)q(z) + \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} \prec (\lambda - p)\psi(z) + \frac{z\psi'(z)}{\psi(z)}.$$

The first result follows by an application of Lemma 2.1. Similarly the second result follows from Lemma 2.2.  $\square$

**Remark 4.1.** The subordination result of Theorem 4.1 also holds if we replace the condition  $\Re\psi(z) > 0$  by

$$\Re \left\{ (\lambda - p)\psi(z) + 1 + \frac{z\psi''(z)}{\psi'(z)} - \frac{z\psi'(z)}{\psi(z)} \right\} > 0.$$

Using Theorem 4.1, we obtain the following “sandwich result”:

**Corollary 4.2.** Let  $\psi_i(z)$  be univalent in  $\Delta$ ,  $\Re\psi_i(z) > 0$  and  $z\psi'_i(z)/\psi_i(z)$  be starlike univalent in  $\Delta$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Define

$$\chi_i(z) := \frac{1}{\lambda - p} \left[ (\lambda - p)\psi_i(z) + \frac{z\psi'_i(z)}{\psi_i(z)} \right] \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

If  $f(z) \in \mathcal{M}_p(n + 1, \lambda; \chi_1, \chi_2)$  satisfies (4.1), then  $f(z) \in \mathcal{M}_p(n, \lambda; \psi_1, \psi_2)$ .

**Theorem 4.3.** Let  $\psi$  be univalent in  $\Delta$ ,  $\psi(0) = 1$ ,  $\delta$  be a complex number,  $z\psi'/(\delta -$

$\lambda + (\lambda - p)\psi$  be starlike in  $\Delta$  and  $\Re\{\delta - \lambda + (\lambda - p)\psi(z)\} > 0$ . Define the function  $\mathcal{F}$  by

$$(4.6) \quad \mathcal{F}(z) := \frac{\delta - p}{z^\delta} \int_0^z t^{\delta-1} f(t) dt,$$

$$h(z) := \psi(z) + \frac{z\psi'(z)}{\delta - \lambda + (\lambda - p)\psi(z)}.$$

If  $f(z) \in \mathcal{M}_p(n, \lambda; h)$ , then  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{M}_p(n, \lambda; \psi)$ . If  $f(z) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_p(n, \lambda; h)$ ,

$$(4.7) \quad 0 \neq \frac{(\mathcal{I}_p(n+1, \lambda)\mathcal{F}(z))'}{(\mathcal{I}_p(n, \lambda)\mathcal{F}(z))'}(z) \in \mathcal{H}_1 \cap \mathcal{Q} \text{ and } \frac{(\mathcal{I}_p(n+1, \lambda)f(z))'}{(\mathcal{I}_p(n, \lambda)f(z))'}$$

is univalent in  $\Delta$ , then  $\mathcal{F} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_p(n, \lambda; \psi)$ .

*Proof.* From the definition of  $\mathcal{F}(z)$  and

$$(4.8) \quad z(\mathcal{I}_p(n, \lambda)\mathcal{F}(z))' = (\lambda - p)\mathcal{I}_p(n+1, \lambda)\mathcal{F}(z) - \lambda\mathcal{I}_p(n, \lambda)\mathcal{F}(z),$$

we have

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{aligned} (\delta - p)\mathcal{I}_p(n, \lambda)f(z) &= \delta\mathcal{I}_p(n, \lambda)\mathcal{F}(z) + z(\mathcal{I}_p(n, \lambda)\mathcal{F}(z))' \\ &= (\lambda - p)\mathcal{I}_p(n+1, \lambda)\mathcal{F}(z) + (\delta - \lambda)\mathcal{I}_p(n, \lambda)\mathcal{F}(z). \end{aligned}$$

Define the function  $q(z)$  by

$$(4.10) \quad q(z) := \frac{(\mathcal{I}_p(n+1, \lambda)\mathcal{F}(z))'}{(\mathcal{I}_p(n, \lambda)\mathcal{F}(z))'}.$$

Then, clearly,  $q(z)$  is analytic in  $\Delta$ . Using (4.9) and (4.10), we have

$$(4.11) \quad (\delta - p) \frac{(\mathcal{I}_p(n, \lambda)f(z))'}{(\mathcal{I}_p(n, \lambda)\mathcal{F}(z))'} = \delta - \lambda + (\lambda - p)q(z).$$

Upon logarithmic differentiation of (4.11) and using (4.3), (4.8) and (4.10), we get

$$(4.12) \quad \frac{(\mathcal{I}_p(n+1, \lambda)f(z))'}{(\mathcal{I}_p(n, \lambda)f(z))'} = q(z) + \frac{zq'(z)}{\delta - \lambda + (\lambda - p)q(z)}.$$

For  $f(z) \in \mathcal{M}_p(n, \lambda, h)$ , we have from (4.11),

$$q(z) + \frac{zq'(z)}{\delta - \lambda + (\lambda - p)q(z)} \prec \psi(z) + \frac{z\psi'(z)}{\delta - \lambda + (\lambda - p)\psi(z)}.$$

The first part of our result now follows by an application of Lemma 2.1. Similarly the second part follows from Lemma 2.2. □

**Remark 4.2.** The subordination result of Theorem 4.3 also holds if we replace the condition  $\Re\{\delta - \lambda + (\lambda - p)\psi(z)\} > 0$  by

$$\Re\left\{\delta - \lambda + (\lambda - p)\psi(z) + 1 + \frac{z\psi''(z)}{\psi'(z)} - \frac{(\lambda - p)z\psi'(z)}{\delta - \lambda + (\lambda - p)\psi(z)}\right\} > 0.$$

Using Theorem 4.3, we have the following result:

**Corollary 4.4.** Let  $\psi_i$  be univalent in  $\Delta$  and  $\delta$  be a complex number. Assume that  $z\psi'_i/(\delta - \lambda + (\lambda - p)\psi_i)$  is starlike in  $\Delta$  and  $\Re\{\delta - \lambda + (\lambda - p)\psi_i(z)\} > 0$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Define the functions  $h_i$  by

$$h_i(z) := \psi_i(z) + \frac{z\psi'_i(z)}{\delta - \lambda + (\lambda - p)\psi_i(z)} \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

If  $f(z) \in \mathcal{M}_p(n, \lambda; h_1, h_2)$ , then  $\mathcal{F}$  defined by (4.6) belongs to  $\mathcal{M}_p(n, \lambda; \psi_1, \psi_2)$ .

**Theorem 4.5.** Let  $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$ . Then  $f(z) \in \mathcal{M}_p(n, \lambda; \varphi)$  if and only if

$$(4.13) \quad \mathcal{F}(z) := \frac{\lambda - p}{z^\lambda} \int_0^z t^{\lambda-1} f(t) dt \in \mathcal{M}_p(n+1, \lambda; \varphi).$$

Also  $f(z) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_p(n, \lambda; \varphi)$  if and only if  $\mathcal{F} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_p(n+1, \lambda; \varphi)$ .

*Proof.* From (4.13), we have

$$(4.14) \quad (\lambda - p)f(z) = \lambda\mathcal{F}(z) + z\mathcal{F}'(z).$$

By convoluting (4.14) with

$$\phi_p(k, \lambda; z) := \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} \left(\frac{k+\lambda}{\lambda-p}\right)^r z^k$$

and using the fact that  $z(f * g)'(z) = f(z) * zg'(z)$ , we obtain

$$(\lambda - p)\mathcal{I}_p(n, \lambda)f(z) = \lambda\mathcal{I}_p(n, \lambda)\mathcal{F}(z) + z(\mathcal{I}_p(n, \lambda)\mathcal{F}(z))'$$

and by using (4.3), we get

$$(4.15) \quad \mathcal{I}_p(n, \lambda)f(z) = \mathcal{I}_p(n+1, \lambda)\mathcal{F}(z)$$

and

$$(4.16) \quad \begin{aligned} (\lambda - p)\mathcal{I}_p(n+1, \lambda)f(z) &= z(\mathcal{I}_p(n, \lambda)f(z))' + \lambda\mathcal{I}_p(n, \lambda)f(z) \\ &= z(\mathcal{I}_p(n+1, \lambda)\mathcal{F}(z))' + \lambda\mathcal{I}_p(n+1, \lambda)\mathcal{F}(z) \\ &= (\lambda - p)\mathcal{I}_p(n+2, \lambda)\mathcal{F}(z). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from (4.15) and (4.16), we have

$$\frac{(\mathcal{I}_p(n+2, \lambda)\mathcal{F}(z))'}{(\mathcal{I}_p(n+1, \lambda)\mathcal{F}(z))'} = \frac{(\mathcal{I}_p(n+1, \lambda)f(z))'}{(\mathcal{I}_p(n, \lambda)f(z))'},$$

and the desired result follows at once.  $\square$

Using Theorem 4.5, we have

**Corollary 4.6.** *Let  $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$ . Then  $f(z) \in \mathcal{M}_p(n, \lambda; \varphi_1, \varphi_2)$  if and only if  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{M}_p(n+1, \lambda; \varphi_1, \varphi_2)$ .*

## References

- [1] M. K. Aouf and H. M. Hossen, *New criteria for meromorphic  $p$ -valent starlike functions*, Tsukuba J. Math., **17(2)**(1993), 481-486.
- [2] T. Bulboacă, *A class of superordination-preserving integral operators*, Indag. Math. (N. S.), **13(3)**(2002), 301-311.
- [3] T. Bulboacă, *Classes of first-order differential subordinations*, Demonstratio Math., **35(2)**(2002), 287-292.
- [4] N. E. Cho and S. H. Lee, *Certain subclasses of meromorphic functions defined by subordination. II*, Kyungpook Math. J., **36(2)**(1996), 283-291.
- [5] M. R. Ganigi and B. A. Uralegaddi, *New criteria for meromorphic univalent functions*, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. R. S. Roumanie (N. S.), **33(81)(1)**(1989), 9-13.
- [6] J. -L. Liu, *A linear operator and its applications on meromorphic  $p$ -valent functions*, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica, **31(1)**(2003), 23-32.
- [7] J. -L. Liu and H. M. Srivastava, *Classes of meromorphically multivalent functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function*, Math. Comput. Modelling, **39(1)**(2004), 21-34.
- [8] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, *Differential subordinations*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 225, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 2000.
- [9] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, *Subordinants of differential subordinations*, Complex Var. Theory Appl., **48(10)**(2003), 815-826.
- [10] J. Patel and P. Sahoo, *On certain subclasses of meromorphically  $p$ -valent functions*, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc., **93(6)**(2001), 455-464.
- [11] V. Ravichandran, S. Sivaprasad Kumar, and K. G. Subramanian, *Convolution conditions for spirallikeness and convex spirallikeness of certain meromorphic  $p$ -valent functions*, JIPAM. J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math., **5(1)**(2004), Article 11, 7 pp. (electronic).
- [12] B. A. Uralegaddi and C. Somanatha, *New criteria for meromorphic starlike univalent functions*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., **43(1)**(1991), 137-140.

- [13] D. Yang, *On new subclasses of meromorphic  $p$ -valent functions*, J. Math. Res. Exposition, **15(1)**(1995), 7-13.
- [14] D. Yang, *On a class of meromorphic starlike multivalent functions*, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica, **24(2)**(1996), 151-157.