

Real Hypersurfaces in Complex Projective Space Whose Structure Jacobi Operator Is Cyclic-Ryan Parallel

JUAN DE DIOS PEREZ*

Departamento de Geometria y Topologia, Universidad de Granada, 18071 Granada, Spain

e-mail: jdperez@ugr.es

FLORENTINO GARCIA SANTOS

Departamento de Geometria y Topologia, Universidad de Granada, 18071 Granada, Spain

e-mail: florenti@ugr.es

ABSTRACT. We classify real hypersurfaces in complex projective space whose structure Jacobi operator satisfies a certain cyclic condition.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{C}P^m$, $m \geq 3$, be a complex projective space endowed with the metric g of constant holomorphic sectional curvature 4. Let M be a connected real hypersurface of $\mathbb{C}P^m$ without boundary. Let J denote the complex structure of $\mathbb{C}P^m$ and N a locally defined unit normal vector field on M . Then $-JN = \xi$ is a tangent vector field to M called the structure vector field on M . We also call \mathbb{D} the maximal holomorphic distribution on M , that is, the distribution on M given by all vectors orthogonal to ξ at any point of M .

The study of real hypersurfaces in nonflat complex space forms is a classical topic in Differential Geometry. The classification of homogeneous real hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{C}P^m$ was obtained by Takagi, see [14], [15], [16], and is given by the following list: A_1 : Geodesic hyperspheres. A_2 : Tubes over totally geodesic complex projective spaces. B : Tubes over complex quadrics and $\mathbb{R}P^m$. C : Tubes over the Segre embedding of $\mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^n$, where $2n + 1 = m$ and $m \geq 5$. D : Tubes over the Plucker embedding of the complex Grassmann manifold $G(2, 5)$. In this case $m = 9$. E : Tubes over the canonical embedding of the Hermitian symmetric space $SO(10)/U(5)$. In this case $m = 15$.

Other examples of real hypersurfaces are ruled real ones, that were introduced by Kimura, [5]: Take a regular curve γ in $\mathbb{C}P^m$ with tangent vector field X . At each

* Corresponding author.

Received 20 June 2007; accepted 30 September 2007.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C15, 53B25.

Key words and phrases: complex projective space, real hypersurface, structure Jacobi operator, cyclic Ryan parallelness.

point of γ there is a unique complex projective hyperplane cutting γ so as to be orthogonal not only to X but also to JX . The union of these hyperplanes is called a ruled real hypersurface. It will be an embedded hypersurface locally although globally it will in general have self-intersections and singularities. Equivalently a ruled real hypersurface is such that \mathbb{D} is integrable or $g(A\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{D}) = 0$, where A denotes the shape operator of the immersion. For further examples of ruled real hypersurfaces see [7].

Except these real hypersurfaces there are very few examples of real hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{C}P^n$.

On the other hand, Jacobi fields along geodesics of a given Riemannian manifold (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}) satisfy a very well-known differential equation. This classical differential equation naturally inspires the so-called Jacobi operator. That is, if \tilde{R} is the curvature operator of \tilde{M} , and X is any tangent vector field to \tilde{M} , the Jacobi operator (with respect to X) at $p \in \tilde{M}$, $\tilde{R}_X \in \text{End}(T_p\tilde{M})$, is defined as $(\tilde{R}_X Y)(p) = (\tilde{R}(Y, X)X)(p)$ for all $Y \in T_p\tilde{M}$, being a selfadjoint endomorphism of the tangent bundle $T\tilde{M}$ of \tilde{M} . Clearly, each tangent vector field X to \tilde{M} provides a Jacobi operator with respect to X .

The study of Riemannian manifolds by means of their Jacobi operators has been developed following several ideas. For instance, in [1], it is pointed out that (locally) symmetric spaces of rank 1 (among them complex space forms) satisfy that all the eigenvalues of \tilde{R}_X have constant multiplicities and are independent of the point and the tangent vector X .

Let M be a real hypersurface in a complex projective space and let ξ be the structure vector field on M . We will call the Jacobi operator on M with respect to ξ the structure Jacobi operator on M . Then the structure Jacobi operator $R_\xi \in \text{End}(T_p M)$ is given by $(R_\xi(Y))(p) = (R(Y, \xi)\xi)(p)$ for any $Y \in T_p M$, $p \in M$, where R denotes the curvature operator of M in $\mathbb{C}P^m$. Some papers devoted to study several conditions on the structure Jacobi operator of a real hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}P^m$ are [2], [3], [4].

Recently, [9], we have proved the non-existence of real hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{C}P^m$ with parallel structure Jacobi operator. Also in [10], [11], [12], [13] we have studied distinct conditions on the structure Jacobi operator (Lie parallelism, Lie ξ -parallelism, \mathbb{D} -parallelism, and so on).

For any vector fields X, Y tangent to M , $R(X, Y)$ operates as a derivation on the algebra of tensor fields on M . For a tensor field F of type (r, s) , $R(X, Y).F = \nabla_X \nabla_Y F - \nabla_Y \nabla_X F - \nabla_{[X, Y]} F$. In the case of $F = R_\xi$, we get $(R(X, Y).R_\xi)Z = R(X, Y)(R_\xi(Z)) - R_\xi(R(X, Y)Z)$, for any X, Y, Z tangent to M .

The purpose of the present paper is to study a weaker condition than structure Jacobi operator being parallel for a real hypersurface of $\mathbb{C}P^m$. In fact we will study the condition

$$(1.1) \quad (R(X, Y).R_\xi)Z + (R(Y, Z).R_\xi)X + (R(Z, X).R_\xi)Y = 0$$

for any X, Y, Z tangent to M . Due to the literature we propose to call them real hypersurfaces with cyclic-Ryan parallel structure Jacobi operator.

We will obtain the following

Theorem. *Let M be a real hypersurface of $\mathbb{C}P^m$, $m \geq 3$. Then M has cyclic-Ryan parallel structure Jacobi operator if and only if M is locally congruent either to a geodesic hypersphere or to a tube of radius $\pi/4$ over a complex submanifold in $\mathbb{C}P^m$.*

2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, all manifolds, vector fields, etc., will be considered of class C^∞ unless otherwise stated. Let M be a connected real hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}P^m$, $m \geq 2$, without boundary. Let N be a locally defined unit normal vector field on M . Let ∇ be the Levi-Civita connection on M and (J, g) the Kaehlerian structure of $\mathbb{C}P^m$.

For any vector field X tangent to M we write $JX = \phi X + \eta(X)N$, and $-JN = \xi$. Then (ϕ, ξ, η, g) is an almost contact metric structure on M . That is, we have

$$(2.1) \quad \phi^2 X = -X + \eta(X)\xi, \quad \eta(\xi) = 1, \quad g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y)$$

for any tangent vectors X, Y to M . From (2.1) we obtain

$$(2.2) \quad \phi\xi = 0, \quad \eta(X) = g(X, \xi).$$

From the parallelism of J we get

$$(2.3) \quad (\nabla_X \phi)Y = \eta(Y)AX - g(AX, Y)\xi$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad \nabla_X \xi = \phi AX$$

for any X, Y tangent to M , where A denotes the shape operator of the immersion. As the ambient space has holomorphic sectional curvature 4, the equations of Gauss and Codazzi are given, respectively, by

$$(2.5) \quad R(X, Y)Z = g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y + g(\phi Y, Z)\phi X - g(\phi X, Z)\phi Y - 2g(\phi X, Y)\phi Z + g(AY, Z)AX - g(AX, Z)AY,$$

and

$$(2.6) \quad (\nabla_X A)Y - (\nabla_Y A)X = \eta(X)\phi Y - \eta(Y)\phi X - 2g(\phi X, Y)\xi$$

for any tangent vectors X, Y, Z to M , where R is the curvature tensor of M .

In the sequel we need the following results:

Theorem 2.1 ([6]). *A real hypersurface M of $\mathbb{C}P^m$, $m \geq 3$ satisfies $R(X, Y)AZ + R(Y, Z)AX + R(Z, X)AY = 0$, for any X, Y, Z tangent to M if and only if it is*

locally congruent to a geodesic hypersphere.

Theorem 2.1 ([9]). *There exist no real hypersurfaces M in $\mathbb{C}P^m$, $m \geq 3$, such that the shape operator is given by $A\xi = \xi + \beta U$, $AU = \beta\xi + (\beta^2 - 1)U$, $A\phi U = -\phi U$, $AX = -X$, for any tangent vector X orthogonal to $\text{Span}\{\xi, U, \phi U\}$, where U is a unit vector field in \mathbb{D} and β is a nonvanishing smooth function defined on M .*

3. Proof of the theorem

Bearing in mind Bianchi identity, (1.1) is equivalent to have $R(X, Y)(R_\xi(Z)) + R(Y, Z)(R_\xi(X)) + R(Z, X)(R_\xi(Y)) = 0$. As $R_\xi(Z) = Z - g(Z, \xi)\xi + g(A\xi, \xi)AZ - g(AZ, \xi)A\xi$, we get $R(X, Y)(R_\xi(Z)) = R(X, Y)Z - g(Z, \xi)R(X, Y)\xi + g(A\xi, \xi)R(X, Y)AZ - g(AZ, \xi)R(X, Y)A\xi$. So our condition is equivalent to $-g(Z, \xi)R(X, Y)\xi - g(X, \xi)R(Y, Z)\xi - g(Y, \xi)R(Z, X)\xi + g(A\xi, \xi)[R(X, Y)AZ + R(Y, Z)AX + R(Z, X)AY] - g(AZ, \xi)R(X, Y)A\xi - g(AY, \xi)R(Z, X)A\xi - g(AX, \xi)R(Y, Z)A\xi = 0$. From Gauss equation we obtain

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & -g(Z, \xi)(g(AY, \xi)AX - g(AX, \xi)AY) - g(X, \xi)(g(AZ, \xi)AY - g(AY, \xi)AZ) \\ & -g(Y, \xi)(g(AX, \xi)AZ - g(AZ, \xi)AX) + g(A\xi, \xi)(g(\phi Y, AZ)\phi X \\ & -g(\phi X, AZ)\phi Y - 2g(\phi X, Y)\phi AZ + g(\phi Z, AX)\phi Y - g(\phi Y, AX)\phi Z \\ & -2g(\phi Y, Z)\phi AX + g(\phi X, AY)\phi Z - g(\phi Z, AY)\phi X - 2g(\phi Z, X)\phi AY) \\ & -g(AZ, \xi)(g(\phi Y, A\xi)\phi X - g(\phi X, A\xi)\phi Y - 2g(\phi X, Y)\phi A\xi \\ & +g(AY, A\xi)AX - g(AX, A\xi)AY) - g(AX, \xi)(g(\phi Z, A\xi)\phi Y - g(\phi Y, A\xi)\phi Z \\ & -2g(\phi Y, Z)\phi A\xi + g(AZ, A\xi)AY - g(AY, A\xi)AZ) - g(AY, \xi)(g(\phi X, A\xi)\phi Z \\ & -g(\phi Z, A\xi)\phi X - 2g(\phi Z, X)\phi A\xi + g(AX, A\xi)AZ - g(AZ, A\xi)AX) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for any X, Y, Z tangent to M . First we suppose that M is Hopf, that is, $A\xi = \alpha\xi$, for a certain function α . Then (3.1) becomes

$$(3.2) \quad \alpha(R(X, Y)AZ + R(Y, Z)AX + R(Z, X)AY) = 0$$

for any X, Y, Z tangent to M . Thus if $\alpha \neq 0$, $R(X, Y)AZ + R(Y, Z)AX + R(Z, X)AY = 0$. From Theorem 2.1, M must be locally congruent to a geodesic hypersphere. If $\alpha = 0$, then M is locally congruent to a tube of radius $\pi/4$ over a complex submanifold of $\mathbb{C}P^m$.

From now on we suppose that M is not Hopf. Thus locally we can write $A\xi = \alpha\xi + \beta U$, where U is a unit vector field in \mathbb{D} and β a nonnull function. Introducing this expression into (3.1) we get

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & -\beta g(Z, \xi)(g(Y, U)AX - g(X, U)AY) - \beta g(X, \xi)(g(Z, U)AY - g(Y, U)AZ) \\ & -\beta g(Y, \xi)(g(X, U)AZ - g(Z, U)AX) + \alpha(g((A\phi + \phi A)Y, Z)\phi X \\ & -2g(\phi Y, Z)\phi AX + g((A\phi + \phi A)Z, X)\phi Y - 2g(\phi Z, X)\phi AY) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+g((A\phi + \phi A)X, Y)\phi Z - 2g(\phi X, Y)\phi AZ) - g(AZ, \xi) \\
 &(\beta g(\phi Y, U)\phi X - \beta g(\phi X, U)\phi Y - 2\beta g(\phi X, Y)\phi U \\
 &+g(AY, A\xi)AX - g(AX, A\xi)AY) - g(AX, \xi)(\beta g(\phi Z, U)\phi Y \\
 &-\beta g(\phi Y, U)\phi Z - 2\beta g(\phi Y, Z)\phi U + g(AZ, A\xi)AY \\
 &-g(AY, A\xi)AZ) - g(AY, \xi)(\beta g(\phi X, U)\phi Z - \beta g(\phi Z, U)\phi X \\
 &-2\beta g(\phi Z, X)\phi U + g(AX, A\xi)AZ - g(AZ, A\xi)AX) = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

for any X, Y, Z tangent to M . From now on we will call \mathbb{D}_U the subspace of TM orthogonal to the subspace spanned by $\xi, U, \phi U$. Taking $Z = \xi, Y = U, X = \phi U$ in (3.3) we obtain $\beta g(A\phi U, U) = 0$. Thus

$$(3.4) \quad g(AU, \phi U) = 0.$$

Taking $Z = \xi, Y = U, X \in \mathbb{D}_U$ in (3.3) we have

$$(3.5) \quad g(AU, X) = 0$$

for any $X \in \mathbb{D}_U$. From(3.4) and (3.5) we obtain $AU = \beta\xi + g(AU, U)U$. If we take $Z = U, Y = \phi U, X \in \mathbb{D}_U$ in (3.3) we get $-\alpha g(AU, U)\phi X - \alpha g(A\phi U, \phi U)\phi X + 2\alpha\phi AX - \alpha g(A\phi U, X)U + \alpha g(A\phi X, \phi U)\phi U + \beta^2\phi X = 0$. If $\alpha = 0$ this yields $\beta^2\phi X = 0$ which is impossible. Thus $\alpha \neq 0$. Taking the scalar product with ϕU ,

$$(3.6) \quad g(A\phi X, \phi U) = 0$$

for any $X \in \mathbb{D}_U$. Thus ϕU is principal and the above expression reduces to $-\alpha g(AU, U)\phi X - \alpha g(A\phi U, \phi U)\phi X + 2\alpha\phi AX + \beta^2\phi X = 0$, for any $X \in \mathbb{D}_U$. If we apply ϕ we obtain $\alpha g(AU, U)X + \alpha g(A\phi U, \phi U)X - 2\alpha AX - \beta^2 X = 0$ for any $X \in \mathbb{D}_U$. It follows

$$(3.7) \quad AX = ((g(AU, U) + g(A\phi U, \phi U))/2) - (\beta^2/2\alpha)X$$

for any $X \in \mathbb{D}_U$. If we take $X \in \mathbb{D}_U, Y = \phi X, Z = U$ in (3.3) and its scalar product with ϕU we get

$$(3.8) \quad \alpha(g(A\phi X, \phi X) + g(AX, X) - 2g(AU, U)) + 2\beta^2 = 0$$

for any $X \in \mathbb{D}_U$. From (3.7) and (3.8) we obtain

$$(3.9) \quad g(AU, U) = g(A\phi U, \phi U) + (\beta^2/\alpha).$$

Taking $X \in \mathbb{D}_U, Y = \phi X, Z = \phi U$ in (3.3) and its scalar product with U it follows

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} g(A\phi U, \phi U) &= g(AX, X), \\ g(AU, U) &= g(AX, X) + (\beta^2/\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

for any $X \in \mathbb{D}_U$. If we call $A\phi U = \gamma\phi U$, then $g(AU, U) = \gamma + (\beta^2/\alpha)$.

Consider two orthonormal vector fields $X, Y \in \mathbb{D}_U$. Codazzi equation gives $(\nabla_X A)Y - (\nabla_Y A)X = -2g(\phi X, Y)\xi$. That is, $X(\gamma)Y - Y(\gamma)X + \gamma[X, Y] - A[X, Y] = -2g(\phi X, Y)\xi$. Taking the scalar product of this expression and ξ we get

$$(3.11) \quad (\gamma - \alpha)g([X, Y], \xi) - \beta g([X, Y], U) = -2g(\phi X, Y).$$

And its scalar product with U gives

$$(3.12) \quad \alpha g([X, Y], \xi) + \beta g([X, Y], U) = 0.$$

As $g([X, Y], \xi) = g(X, \nabla_Y \xi) - g(Y, \nabla_X \xi) = g(X, \phi AY) - g(Y, \phi AX) = -2\gamma g(\phi X, Y)$, from (3.11) and (3.12) we have

$$(3.13) \quad \gamma^2 = 1.$$

Now if we take $X \in \mathbb{D}_U$, $Y = U$, $Z = \xi$ in (3.3) we obtain $(1 + \gamma\alpha)\beta\gamma X = 0$. This yields

$$(3.14) \quad 1 + \alpha\gamma = 0.$$

From (3.13) and (3.14) we have two possibilities: i) $\gamma = -1$, $\alpha = 1$ or ii) $\gamma = 1$, $\alpha = -1$.

From Theorem 2.2 case i) cannot occur. So we consider case ii), that is, $A\xi = -\xi + \beta U$, $AU = \beta\xi + (1 - \beta^2)U$, $A\phi U = U$, $AX = X$, for any $X \in \mathbb{D}_U$. Take $X \in \mathbb{D}_U$. Codazzi equation gives $(\nabla_X A)U - (\nabla_U A)X = 0$. This yields $X(\beta)\xi + \beta\phi X + X(1 - \beta^2)U + (1 - \beta^2)\nabla_X U - A\nabla_X U - \nabla_U X + A\nabla_U X = 0$. Taking the scalar product of this equality and U we get

$$(3.15) \quad g(\nabla_U U, X) = 2X(\beta)/\beta,$$

and the scalar product with ξ yields

$$(3.16) \quad g(\nabla_U U, X) = X(\beta)/\beta.$$

From (3.15) and (3.16) we get

$$(3.17) \quad X(\beta) = 0$$

for any $X \in \mathbb{D}_U$. The scalar product of the above expression and X gives

$$(3.18) \quad g(\nabla_X U, X) = 0$$

for any $X \in \mathbb{D}_U$.

If we develop $(\nabla_{X+U} A)\xi - (\nabla_\xi A)(X + U) = -\phi X - \phi U$ and take its scalar product with $X \in \mathbb{D}_U$ we obtain $\beta g(\nabla_X U, X) + \beta g(\nabla_U U, X) + \beta^2 g(\nabla_\xi U, X) = 0$. From (3.17) and (3.18) this yields

$$(3.19) \quad g(\nabla_\xi U, X) = 0$$

for any $X \in \mathbb{D}_U$.

Developing $(\nabla_{X+\phi U}A)\xi - (\nabla_\xi A)(X + \phi U) = -\phi X + U$ and taking its scalar product with U , bearing in mind (3.17), (3.18) and (3.19) we have

$$(3.20) \quad (\phi U)(\beta) + (1 - 2\beta^2) - \beta^2 g(\nabla_\xi \phi U, U) = 0.$$

and taking its scalar product with ξ it follows

$$(3.21) \quad g(\nabla_\xi \phi U, U) = -4.$$

From (3.20) and (3.21) we get

$$(3.22) \quad (\phi U)(\beta) = -(2\beta^2 + 1).$$

If we develop $(\nabla_U A)\xi - (\nabla_\xi A)U = -\phi U$ and take its scalar product with U we obtain

$$(3.23) \quad U(\beta) = -2\beta\xi(\beta)$$

and its scalar product with ξ gives

$$(3.24) \quad \xi(\beta) = 0.$$

From (3.17), (3.22), (3.23) and (3.24) we get

$$(3.25) \quad grad(\beta) = -(2\beta^2 + 1)\phi U.$$

Thus $\nabla_X grad(\beta) = -4\beta X(\beta)\phi U - (2\beta^2 + 1)\nabla_X \phi U$ for any X tangent to M . Therefore, for any Y tangent to M we have $g(\nabla_X grad(\beta), Y) = -4\beta X(\beta)g(\phi U, Y) - (2\beta^2 + 1)g(\nabla_X \phi U, Y)$. Thus $g(\nabla_X grad(\beta), Y) - g(\nabla_Y grad(\beta), X) = 4\beta(Y(\beta)g(\phi U, X) - X(\beta)g(\phi U, Y)) + (2\beta^2 + 1)(g(\nabla_Y \phi U, X) - g(\nabla_X \phi U, Y))$.

As $g(\nabla_X grad(\beta), Y) - g(\nabla_Y grad(\beta), X) = 0$, it follows

$$(3.26) \quad 4\beta(Y(\beta)g(\phi U, X) - X(\beta)g(\phi U, Y)) + (2\beta^2 + 1)(g(\nabla_Y \phi U, X) - g(\nabla_X \phi U, Y)) = 0$$

for any X, Y tangent to M . Taking $Y = \xi$ in (3.26), for any X tangent to M we get $g(\nabla_\xi \phi U, X) = g(\nabla_X \phi U, \xi)$. Taking $X = U$ we get

$$(3.27) \quad g(\nabla_\xi \phi U, U) = \beta^2 - 1.$$

From (3.21) and (3.27) we obtain $\beta^2 = -3$, which is impossible, finishing the proof.

As a consequence we obtain

Corollary 3.1. *There exist no real hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{C}P^m$, $m \geq 3$ satisfying $R.R_\xi = 0$.*

Proof. As this condition implies that M has cyclic-Ryan parallel structure Jacobi

operator, it must be Hopf. So $A\xi = \alpha\xi$. Then if we develop $R_\xi(R(X, \xi)\xi) = 0$, with $X \in \mathbb{D}$ such that $AX = \lambda X$, we get

$$(3.28) \quad \alpha^2\lambda^2 + 2\alpha\lambda + 1 = 0.$$

If $\alpha = 0$, (3.28) gives a contradiction. Thus M must be locally congruent to a geodesic hypersphere. In this case, $\alpha = 2\cot(2r)$, $\lambda = \cot(r)$, $r \neq \pi/4$, $0 < r < \pi/2$. Thus (3.28) does not hold and we finish the proof. \square

Acknowledgment. First author is partially supported by Mec-FEDER Grant MTM 2007-60731.

References

- [1] Q. S. Chi, *A curvature characterization of certain locally rank-one symmetric spaces*, J. Diff. Geom., **28**(1988), 187-202.
- [2] J. T. Cho and U-H. Ki, *Jacobi operators on real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space*, Tsukuba J. Math., **22**(1998), 145-156.
- [3] J. T. Cho and U-H. Ki, *Real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space in terms of the Jacobi operators*, Acta Math. Hungar., **80**(1998), 155-167.
- [4] U-H. Ki, H.J. Kim and A.A. Lee, *The Jacobi operator of real hypersurfaces in a complex Space form*, Commun. Korean Math. Soc., **13**(1998), 545-600.
- [5] M. Kimura, *Sectional curvatures of holomorphic planes on a real hypersurface in $P^n(\mathbb{C})$* , Math. Ann., **276**(1987), 487-497.
- [6] M. Kimura and S. Maeda, *On real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space III*, Hokkaido Math. J., **22**(1993), 63-78.
- [7] M. Loknherr and H. Reckziegel, *On ruled real hypersurfaces in complex space forms*, Geom. Dedicata, **74**(1999), 267-286.
- [8] M. Okumura, *On some real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space*, Trans. A. M. S., **212**(1975), 355-364.
- [9] M. Ortega, J. D. Perez and F. G. Santos, *Non-existence of real hypersurfaces with parallel structure Jacobi operator in nonflat complex space forms*, Rocky Mountain J. Math., **36**(2006), 1603-1613.
- [10] J. D. Perez and F. G. Santos, *On the Lie derivative of structure Jacobi operator of real hypersurfaces in complex projective space*, Publ. Math. Debrecen, **66**(2005), 269-282.
- [11] J. D. Perez and F. G. Santos, *Real hypersurfaces in complex projective space with recurrent structure Jacobi operator*, Diff. Geom. Appl., **26**(2008), 218-223.
- [12] J. D. Perez, F. G. Santos and Y. J. Suh, *Real hypersurfaces in complex projective space whose structure Jacobi operator is Lie ξ -parallel*, Diff. Geom. Appl., **22**(2005), 181-188.
- [13] J. D. Perez, F. G. Santos and Y. J. Suh, *Real hypersurfaces in complex projective space whose structure Jacobi operator is \mathbb{D} -parallel*, Bull. Belgian Math. Soc. Simon Stevin, **13**(2006), 459-469.

- [14] R. Takagi, *On homogeneous real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space*, Osaka J. Math., **10**(1973), 495-506.
- [15] R. Takagi, *Real hypersurfaces in complex projective space with constant principal curvatures*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **27**(1975), 43-53.
- [16] R. Takagi, *Real hypersurfaces in complex projective space with constant principal curvatures II*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **27**(1975), 507-516.