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AbStract. In this paper, we investigate uniqueness problems of meromorphic functions that share a small function with one of their derivatives, and give some results to improve some previous results.

## 1. Introduction and results

In this paper, a meromorphic function will mean meromorphic in the whole complex plane. We shall use the standard notations in Nevanlinna value distribution theory of meromorphic functions such as $T(r, f), N(r, f), m(r, f)$ (see e.g., [5], [8]). For any nonconstant meromorphic function $f$, we denote by $S(r, f)$ any quantity satisfying

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S(r, f)}{T(r, f)}=0
$$

possibly outside of a set of finite linear measure in $R_{+}$. A meromorphic function $a(z)$ is said to be a small function of $f$, provided $T(r, a)=S(r, f)$.

We say that two meromorphic functions $f$ and $g$ share a small function $a$ IM (ignoring multiplicities) when $f-a$ and $g-a$ have the same zeros. If $f-a$ and $g-a$ have the same zeros with the same multiplicities, then we say that $f$ and $g$ share $a$ CM (counting multiplicities).

The uniqueness theory of entire and meromorphic functions has grown up to an extensive subfield of the value distribution theory, see e.g. the monograph [8] by Yang and Yi. A widely studied subtopic of the uniqueness theory has been to considering shared value problems relative to a meromorphic function $f$ and its derivative $f^{(k)}$. Some of the basic papers in this direction are due to Rubel and Yang [7], Gundersen [3], Mues and Steinmetz [6] and Yang [9].
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Recently, L. Z. Yang and the present author [10] considered value sharing relative to a power of a meromorphic function $F=f^{n}$ and its derivative $F^{\prime}$, proving the following 2 theorems.

Theorem A. Let $f$ be a nonconstant entire function, $n \geq 7$ be an integer. Denote $F=f^{n}$. If $F$ and $F^{\prime}$ share $1 C M$, then $F=F^{\prime}$, and $f$ assumes the form

$$
f(z)=c e^{\frac{1}{n} z}
$$

where $c$ is a nonzero constant.
Theorem B. Let $f$ be a nonconstant meromorphic function and $n \geq 12$ be an integer. Denote $F=f^{n}$. If $F$ and $F^{\prime}$ share $1 C M$, then $F=F^{\prime}$, and $f$ assumes the form

$$
f(z)=c e^{\frac{1}{n} z}
$$

where $c$ is a nonzero constant.
In this paper, we improve Theorem A and B by obtaining the following results.

Theorem 1.1. Let $f$ be a nonconstant entire function, $n, k$ be positive integers and $a(z)$ be a small meromorphic function of $f$ such that $a(z) \not \equiv 0, \infty$. If $f^{n}-a$ and $\left(f^{n}\right)^{(k)}-a$ share the value $0 C M$ and $n>k+4$, then $f^{n}=\left(f^{n}\right)^{(k)}$, and $f$ assumes the form

$$
f(z)=c e^{\frac{\lambda}{n} z}
$$

where $c$ is a nonzero constant and $\lambda^{k}=1$.
Theorem 1.2. Let $f$ be a nonconstant meromorphic function, $k, n(\geq k)$ be positive integers and $a(z)$ be a small meromorphic function of $f$ such that $a(z) \not \equiv 0, \infty$. If $f^{n}-a$ and $\left(f^{n}\right)^{(k)}-a$ share the value $0 C M$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(n-k-1)(n-k-4)>3 k+6, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f^{n}=\left(f^{n}\right)^{(k)}$, and $f$ assumes the form

$$
f(z)=c e^{\frac{\lambda}{n} z}
$$

where $c$ is a nonzero constant and $\lambda^{k}=1$.
Corollary 1.3. Let $f$ be a nonconstant entire function and $n \geq 6$ be an integer. Denote $F=f^{n}$. If $F$ and $F^{\prime}$ share $1 C M$, then $F=F^{\prime}$, and $f$ assumes the form

$$
f(z)=c e^{\frac{1}{n} z}
$$

where $c$ is a nonzero constant.
Corollary 1.4. Let $f$ be a nonconstant meromorphic function and $n \geq 7$ be an
integer. Denote $F=f^{n}$. If $F$ and $F^{\prime}$ share $1 C M$, then $F=F^{\prime}$, and $f$ assumes the form

$$
f(z)=c e^{\frac{1}{n} z}
$$

where $c$ is a nonzero constant.
Remark. Obviously, Corollary 1.3 and Corollary 1.4 improve Theorem A and Theorem B respectively.

For any $a \in \mathbf{C} \bigcup\{\infty\}$, we denote by $E_{l)}(a, f)$ the set of $a$-points of $f$ with the multiplicity $m \leq l$, counting multiplicities.

Obviously, if $E_{l)}(a, f)=E_{l)}(a, g)$ and $l=\infty$, then $f$ and $g$ share $a$ CM. It is natural to ask what happens if $F-a$ and $F^{\prime}-a$ share 0 CM is replaced by $E_{l)}(0, F-a)=E_{l)}\left(0, F^{\prime}-a\right)$ in Theorem A and B? Corresponding to this question, we obtain the following results.

Theorem 1.5. Let $f$ be a nonconstant entire function, $n, k$ be positive integers and $a(z)$ be a small meromorphic function of $f$ such that $a(z) \not \equiv 0, \infty$. If $E_{3)}\left(0, f^{n}-a\right)=$ $E_{3)}\left(0,\left(f^{n}\right)^{(k)}-a\right)$ and $n>k+4$, then $f^{n}=\left(f^{n}\right)^{(k)}$, and $f$ assumes the form

$$
f(z)=c e^{\frac{\lambda}{n} z},
$$

where $c$ is a nonzero constant and $\lambda^{k}=1$.
From Theorem 1.5, we can easily get Theorem 1.1.
Theorem 1.6. Let $f$ be a nonconstant meromorphic function, $n, k$ be positive integers and $a(z)$ be a small meromorphic function of $f$ such that $a(z) \not \equiv 0, \infty$. If $E_{3)}\left(0, f^{n}-a\right)=E_{3)}\left(0,\left(f^{n}\right)^{(k)}-a\right)$ and

$$
n \geq\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
8 & \text { if } k=1  \tag{1.2}\\
10 & \text { if } k=2 \\
{\left[\frac{3 k}{2}\right]+8} & \text { if } k \geq 3
\end{array}\right.
$$

then $f^{n}=\left(f^{n}\right)^{(k)}$, and $f$ assumes the form

$$
f(z)=c e^{\frac{\lambda}{n} z}
$$

where $c$ is a nonzero constant and $\lambda^{k}=1$.

## 2. Some lemmas

Let $F$ and $G$ be two non-constant meromorphic functions. We denote by $N_{E}^{1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)$ the counting function of common simple 1-points of $F$ and $G$.

Lemma 2.1([11], Lemma 3). Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\left(\frac{F^{\prime \prime}}{F^{\prime}}-\frac{2 F^{\prime}}{F-1}\right)-\left(\frac{G^{\prime \prime}}{G^{\prime}}-\frac{2 G^{\prime}}{G-1}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F$ and $G$ are two nonconstant meromorphic functions. If $H \neq 0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{E}^{1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) \leq N(r, H)+S(r, F)+S(r, G) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $p$ be a positive integer and $a \in \mathbf{C} \bigcup\{\infty\}$. We denote by $N_{p)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)$ the counting function of the zeros of $f-a$ with the multiplicities less than or equal to $p$, and by $N_{(p+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)$ the counting function of the zeros of $f-a$ with the multiplicities larger than $p$. And we use $\bar{N}_{p)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)$ and $\bar{N}_{(p+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)$ to denote the corresponding reduced counting functions (ignoring multiplicities). However, $N_{p}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)$ denotes the counting function of the zeros of $f-a$ where $m$-fold zeros are counted $m$ times if $m \leq p$ and $p$ times if $m>p$.

Lemma 2.2([12], Lemma 3). Suppose that $f$ is a nonconstant meromorphic function and $k, p$ are positive integers. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& N_{p}\left(r, 1 / f^{(k)}\right) \leq T\left(r, f^{(k)}\right)-T(r, f)+N_{p+k}(r, 1 / f)+S(r, f)  \tag{2.3}\\
& N_{p}\left(r, 1 / f^{(k)}\right) \leq k \bar{N}(r, f)+N_{p+k}(r, 1 / f)+S(r, f) \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 2.3. Suppose that $f$ is a nonconstant meromorphic function and $a$ is $a$ small meromorphic function of $f$ such that $a(z) \not \equiv 0, \infty$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=\left(\frac{F^{\prime}}{F-1}-\frac{F^{\prime}}{F}\right)-\left(\frac{G^{\prime}}{G-1}-\frac{G^{\prime}}{G}\right), \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F=\frac{f^{n}}{a}, G=\frac{\left(f^{n}\right)^{(k)}}{a}$ and $n, k$ are positive integers. If $V=0$ and $n \geq 2$, then $F=G$.
Proof. From $V=0$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-\frac{1}{F}=B-\frac{B}{G} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B$ is a non-zero constant. We discuss the following two cases.
Case 1. Suppose that the counting function of poles of $f$ is not $S(r, f)$. Then there exists a $z_{0}$ which is not a zero or pole of $a$ such that $\frac{1}{f\left(z_{0}\right)}=0$, thus $\frac{1}{F\left(z_{0}\right)}=$ $\frac{1}{G\left(z_{0}\right)}=0$. We get $B=1$ from (2.6).
Case 2. Suppose that the counting function of poles of $f$ is $S(r, f)$. If $B \neq 1$, then
$N\left(r, \frac{1}{F-\frac{1}{1-B}}\right)=S(r, f)$. From the second fundamental theorem, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(r, F) & \leq \bar{N}(r, F)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-\frac{1}{1-B}}\right)+S(r, F) \\
& \leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+S(r, f)
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a contradiction since $n \geq 2$. Therefore $B=1$. Thus $F=G$, completing the proof of Lemma 2.3.

Lemma 2.4. Let $V$ be given by (2.5) and suppose that $V \neq 0$. Then the poles of $f$ are the zeros of $V$, and

$$
(n-1) \bar{N}(r, f) \leq N(r, V)+S(r, f)
$$

Proof. We get from (2.5) that

$$
V=\frac{F^{\prime}}{F(F-1)}-\frac{G^{\prime}}{G(G-1)}
$$

Suppose that $z_{0}$ is a pole of $f$ with the multiplicity $p$ such that $a\left(z_{0}\right) \neq 0$ and $a\left(z_{0}\right) \neq \infty$. Then $z_{0}$ is a zero of $\frac{F^{\prime}}{F(F-1)}$ with the multiplicity $n p-1$ and a zero of $\frac{G^{\prime}}{G(G-1)}$ with the multiplicity $n p+k-1$. So $z_{0}$ is zero of $V$ with the multiplicity at least $n-1$. Noting that $m(r, V)=S(r, f)$, we have

$$
(n-1) \bar{N}(r, f) \leq N\left(r, \frac{1}{V}\right)+S(r, f) \leq T(r, V)+S(r, f) \leq N(r, V)+S(r, f)
$$

Lemma 2.5. Let $H$ be given by (2.1), where $F$ and $G$ are given by Lemma 2.3. If $H=0$ and $n>k+2$, then $F=G$, and $f$ assumes the form

$$
f(z)=c e^{\frac{\lambda}{n} z}
$$

where $c$ is a nonzero constant and $\lambda^{k}=1$.
Proof. By integration, we get from (2.1) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{F-1}=\frac{A}{G-1}+B \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A(\neq 0)$ and B are constants. From (2.7) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(r, F)=N(r, G)=N(r, f)=S(r, f) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=\frac{(B+1) G+(A-B-1)}{B G+(A-B)}, \quad G=\frac{(B-A) F+(A-B-1)}{B F-(B+1)} . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We discuss the following three cases.
Case 1. Suppose that $B \neq 0,-1$. From (2.9) we have $\bar{N}\left(r, 1 /\left(F-\frac{B+1}{B}\right)\right)=$ $\bar{N}(r, G)$. From (2.8) and the second fundamental theorem, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
n T(r, f) & \leq T(r, F)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq \bar{N}(r, F)+\bar{N}(r, 1 / F)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-\frac{B+1}{B}}\right)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq \bar{N}(r, 1 / f)+\bar{N}(r, F)+\bar{N}(r, G)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq T(r, f)+S(r, f)
\end{aligned}
$$

which contradicts the assumption $n \geq 2$.
Case 2. Suppose that $B=0$. From (2.9) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=\frac{G+(A-1)}{A}, \quad G=A F-(A-1) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $A \neq 1$, from (2.10) we obtain $\bar{N}\left(r, 1 /\left(F-\frac{A-1}{A}\right)\right)=\bar{N}(r, 1 / G)$. By (2.4), (2.8) and the second fundamental theorem, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
n T(r, f) & \leq T(r, F)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq \bar{N}(r, F)+\bar{N}(r, 1 / F)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-\frac{A-1}{A}}\right)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq \bar{N}(r, 1 / f)+\bar{N}(r, F)+\bar{N}(r, 1 / G)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq \bar{N}(r, 1 / f)+N_{1}(r, 1 / G)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq(k+2) \bar{N}(r, 1 / f)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq(k+2) T(r, f)+S(r, f)
\end{aligned}
$$

which contradicts the assumption $n>k+2$. Thus $A=1$. From (2.10) we have $F=G$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{n}=\left(f^{n}\right)^{(k)} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim that 0 is a Picard exceptional value of $f$. In fact, if $z_{0}$ is a zero of $f$ with the multiplicity $p$, then $z_{0}$ is a zero of $f^{n}$ with the multiplicity $n p$ and a zero of $\left(f^{n}\right)^{(k)}$ with the multiplicity $n p-k$, which is impossible from (2.11). Then from (2.11), we have

$$
f(z)=c e^{\frac{\lambda}{n} z}
$$

where $c$ is a nonzero constant and $\lambda^{k}=1$.
Case 3. Suppose that $B=-1$. From (2.9) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=\frac{A}{-G+(A+1)}, \quad G=\frac{(A+1) F-A}{F} . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $A \neq-1$, we obtain from (2.12) that $\bar{N}\left(r, 1 /\left(F-\frac{A}{A+1}\right)\right)=\bar{N}(r, 1 / G)$. By the same reasoning discussed in Case 2, we obtain a contradiction. Hence $A=-1$. From (2.12), we get $F \cdot G=1$, that is

$$
f^{n} \cdot\left(f^{n}\right)^{(k)}=a^{2} .
$$

From above equation, we have

$$
N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+N(r, f)=S(r, f)
$$

and so $T\left(r, \frac{f^{(k)}}{f}\right)=S(r, f)$. From above two equations, we obtain
$2 T\left(r, \frac{f^{n}}{a}\right)=T\left(r, \frac{f^{2 n}}{a^{2}}\right)=T\left(r, \frac{a^{2}}{f^{2 n}}\right)+O(1)=T\left(r, \frac{\left(f^{n}\right)^{(k)}}{f^{n}}\right)+O(1)=S(r, f)$.
So $T(r, f)=S(r, f)$, which is impossible. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.5.

## 3. Proofs of results

Proof of Theorem 1.6. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=\frac{f^{n}}{a}, \quad G=\frac{\left(f^{n}\right)^{(k)}}{a} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the conditions of Theorem 1.6, we know that $E_{3)}(1, F)=E_{3)}(1, G)$ possibly except at the zeros and poles of $a(z)$. From (3.1), we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
T(r, F)=n(T(r, f))+S(r, f),  \tag{3.2}\\
\bar{N}(r, F)=\bar{N}(r, G)+S(r, f)=\bar{N}(r, f)+S(r, f) . \tag{3.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let $H$ be defined by (2.1). Suppose that $H \neq 0$. By Lemma 2.1 we know that (2.2) holds. From (2.1) and (3.3), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
N(r, H) & \leq \bar{N}_{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+\bar{N}_{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+\bar{N}(r, G) \\
3.4) & +\bar{N}_{(4}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+\bar{N}_{(4}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right)+N_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{F^{\prime}}\right)+N_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{G^{\prime}}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $N_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{F^{\prime}}\right)$ denotes the counting function corresponding to the zeros of $F^{\prime}$ which are not the zeros of $F$ and $F-1$, and correspondingly for $G^{\prime}$. From the second fundamental theorem, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
T(r, F)+T(r, G) & \leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+\bar{N}(r, F)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+\bar{N}(r, G) \\
(3.5) & +\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right)-N_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{F^{\prime}}\right)-N_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{G^{\prime}}\right)+S(r, f) \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Noting that $E_{3)}(1, F)=E_{3)}(1, G)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) & +\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) \\
& =2 N_{E}^{1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+\bar{N}_{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+\bar{N}_{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining with (2.2) and (3.4), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) & +\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) \\
& \leq \bar{N}_{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+\bar{N}_{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+\bar{N}(r, G)+\bar{N}_{(4}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) \\
& +\bar{N}_{(4}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right)+N_{E}^{1}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+\bar{N}_{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) \\
& +\bar{N}_{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right)+N_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{F^{\prime}}\right)+N_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{G^{\prime}}\right)+S(r, f) . \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

It is easy to see that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} N_{E}^{1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) & +\bar{N}_{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+\bar{N}_{(4}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} N\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} T(r, F)+O(1)  \tag{3.7}\\
\frac{1}{2} N_{E}^{1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) & +\bar{N}_{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right)+\bar{N}_{(4}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} N\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} T(r, G)+O(1) \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

From (3.5) to (3.8) and (3.3), we have

$$
\frac{1}{2} T(r, F)+\frac{1}{2} T(r, G) \leq N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+3 \bar{N}(r, f)+S(r, f)
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(r, F)+T(r, G) \leq 2 N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+2 N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+6 \bar{N}(r, f)+S(r, f) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.1), (3.9) and by using Lemma 2.2, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 T(r, F) & \leq 2 N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+N_{2+k}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{n}}\right)+6 \bar{N}(r, f)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq 2 N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+2 N_{2+k}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{n}}\right)+(6+k) \bar{N}(r, f)+S(r, f)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(r, F) & \leq N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+N_{2+k}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{n}}\right)+\left(3+\frac{k}{2}\right) \bar{N}(r, f)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq(k+4) \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+\left(3+\frac{k}{2}\right) \bar{N}(r, f)+S(r, f)
\end{aligned}
$$

From (3.2) and above inequality, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
n T(r, f) \leq(k+4) \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+\left(3+\frac{k}{2}\right) \bar{N}(r, f)+S(r, f) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now divide the discussion in two cases:
Case 1. Suppose first that $k \geq 3$. We can get a contradiction from (1.2) and (3.10).
Case 2. Suppose next that $k \leq 2$. Let $V$ be given by (2.5). If $V=0$, we get $F=G$ from Lemma 2.3. From the proof of Lemma 2.5, we obtain the conclusions of Theorem 1.6. Next, we suppose that $V \neq 0$. Since $E_{3)}(1, F)=E_{3)}(1, G)$, by Lemma 2.4 and (2.5), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
(n-1) \bar{N}(r, f) \leq & N(r, V)+S(r, f) \\
\leq & \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+\bar{N}_{(4}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) \\
& +\bar{N}_{(4}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right)+S(r, f) \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{N}_{(4}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) & \leq \frac{1}{3} N\left(r, \frac{F}{F^{\prime}}\right) \leq \frac{1}{3} N\left(r, \frac{F^{\prime}}{F}\right)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{3} \bar{N}(r, 1 / F)+\frac{1}{3} \bar{N}(r, F)+S(r, f) \\
\bar{N}_{(4}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) & \leq \frac{1}{3} N\left(r, \frac{G}{G^{\prime}}\right) \leq \frac{1}{3} N\left(r, \frac{G^{\prime}}{G}\right)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{3} \bar{N}(r, 1 / G)+\frac{1}{3} \bar{N}(r, G)+S(r, f)
\end{aligned}
$$

From (3.11) and (2.4), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(n-1) \bar{N}(r, f) & \leq \frac{4}{3} \bar{N}(r, 1 / F)+\frac{4}{3} \bar{N}(r, 1 / G)+\frac{2}{3} \bar{N}(r, F)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq \frac{4}{3} \bar{N}(r, 1 / f)+\frac{4}{3} N_{1}(r, 1 / G)+\frac{2}{3} \bar{N}(r, f)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq \frac{4}{3} \bar{N}(r, 1 / f)+\frac{4}{3}((k+1) \bar{N}(r, 1 / f)+k \bar{N}(r, f))+\frac{2}{3} \bar{N}(r, f)+S(r, f) \\
& =\frac{4(k+2)}{3} \bar{N}(r, 1 / f)+\frac{2(2 k+1)}{3} \bar{N}(r, f)+S(r, f)
\end{aligned}
$$

and so

$$
\left(n-1-\frac{2(2 k+1)}{3}\right) \bar{N}(r, f) \leq \frac{4(k+2)}{3} \bar{N}(r, 1 / f)+S(r, f)
$$

From (1.2), we can easily get $n-1-\frac{2(2 k+1)}{3}>0$. From (3.10) and above inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
n T(r, f) & \leq\left(k+4+\frac{(2 k+12)(k+2)}{3 n-4 k-5}\right) \bar{N}(r, 1 / f)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq\left(k+4+\frac{(2 k+12)(k+2)}{3 n-4 k-5}\right) T(r, f)+S(r, f)
\end{aligned}
$$

which contradicts the assumption (1.2) of Theorem 1.6. Thus, $H=0$. From (1.2), we have $n>k+2$. By Lemma 2.5, we get the conclusions of Theorem 1.6. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.6.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. The proof of Theorem 1.6 applies, since $f$ is an entire function, we get from (3.10)

$$
n T(r, f) \leq(k+4) \bar{N}(r, 1 / f)+S(r, f)
$$

which contradicts the assumption $n>k+4$. Hence $H=0$. By the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 1.6, we obtain the results of Theorem 1.5, and we complete the proof of Theorem 1.5.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. The proof of Theorem 1.6 applies. Since $f^{n}-a$ and $\left(f^{n}\right)^{(k)}-a$ share the value 0 CM , then $F$ and $G$ share 1 CM except possibly at the zeros and poles of $a(z)$. We obtain

$$
N\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)=N\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right)+S(r, f)
$$

and
$N(r, H) \leq \bar{N}_{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+\bar{N}_{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+\bar{N}(r, F)+N_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{F^{\prime}}\right)+N_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{G^{\prime}}\right)+S(r, f)$.

So

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) & \leq N_{E}^{1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) \\
& \leq N_{E}^{1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+T(r, F)+O(1) \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

From (2.2), (3.5), (3.12) and (3.13), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(r, G) \leq N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+3 \bar{N}(r, f)+S(r, f) \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 2.2 and (3.14), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(r, F) \leq N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+N_{2+k}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{n}}\right)+3 \bar{N}(r, f)+S(r, f) \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $V$ be given by (2.5). If $V=0$, we get $F=G$ by Lemma 2.3. From Case 2 in the proof of Lemma 2.5, we obtain the conclusions of Theorem 1.2. Next, we suppose that $V \neq 0$. Since $F$ and $G$ share 1 CM except at the zeros and poles of $a(z)$, by Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.2, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
(n-1) \bar{N}(r, f) & \leq N(r, V)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq \bar{N}(r, 1 / F)+\bar{N}(r, 1 / G)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq \bar{N}(r, 1 / f)+(k+1) \bar{N}(r, 1 / f)+k \bar{N}(r, f)+S(r, f)
\end{aligned}
$$

that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
(n-k-1) \bar{N}(r, f) \leq(k+2) \bar{N}(r, 1 / f)+S(r, f) \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $n \geq k$, we get from (1.1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
n>\frac{2 k+5+\sqrt{12 k+33}}{2}>k+4 \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining with (3.15) and (3.16), we obtain

$$
n T(r, f) \leq\left(k+4+\frac{3 k+6}{n-k-1}\right) \bar{N}(r, 1 / f)+S(r, f)
$$

which contradicts the assumption (1.1) of Theorem 1.2. Thus, $H=0$. By Lemma 2.5 and (3.17), we obtain the conclusions of Theorem 1.2.
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