

## A NOTE ON $f$ -DERIVATIONS OF BCI-ALGEBRAS

MALIK ANJUM JAVED AND MUHAMMAD ASLAM

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we investigate some fundamental properties and establish some results of  $f$ -derivations of BCI-algebras. Also, we prove  $\text{Der}(X)$ , the collection of all  $f$ -derivations, form a semigroup under certain binary operation.

### 1. Introduction and preliminaries

BCI-algebra has been developed from BCI-logic on the similar way as Boolean algebra was developed from Boolean logic which have a lot of application in computer sciences ([14]). Recently greater interest has been developed in the derivation of BCI-algebras, introduced by Y. B. Jun and X. L. Xin [8], which was motivated from a lot of work done on derivations of rings and Near rings (see [9, 11]). The notion was further explored in the form of  $f$ -derivations of BCI-algebras by J. M. Zhan and Y. L. Liu [15]. In this paper, we prove some results on  $f$ -derivations of BCI-algebras. First, we show that an  $f$ -derivation of BCK-algebra is regular. However, we are able to show that under certain conditions namely, for  $a \in X$ ,  $f(a) * d_f(x) = 0$  or  $d_f(x) * f(a) = 0$ , for all  $x \in X$  the  $f$ -derivation,  $d_f$ , of a BCI-algebra  $X$  is regular and  $X$  is a BCK-algebra. Also, we study derivations in a p-semisimple BCI-algebra and show that if  $d_f, d'_f$  are  $f$ -derivations in  $X$ , then  $d_f \circ d'_f$  is also a  $f$ -derivation and  $d_f \circ d'_f = d'_f \circ d_f$ . Consequently it is shown that  $(f \circ d'_f) \bullet (d_f \circ f) = (d_f \circ f) \bullet (f \circ d'_f)$ . Now, we include necessary preliminaries required for the sequel.  $(X, *, 0)$  with a binary operation  $*$  and distinguished element  $0$  is called a BCI-algebra, if it satisfies the following axioms for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

(BCI-1)  $((x * y) * (x * z)) \leq (z * y)$ .

(BCI-2)  $(x * (x * y)) \leq y$ .

(BCI-3)  $x \leq x$ .

(BCI-4)  $x \leq y$  and  $y \leq x$  imply  $x = y$ ,

where  $\leq$  is defined as  $x \leq y$  if and only if  $x * y = 0$ .

Also,  $(X, \leq)$  is a partially ordered set. A BCI-algebra  $X$  satisfying  $0 \leq x$ , for all  $x \in X$ , is called a BCK-algebra. If  $A$  is a branch of  $X$ , then  $X$  is said to

---

Received April 19, 2008; Revised October 30, 2008.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 06F35, 03G25.

*Key words and phrases.*  $f$ -derivation, p-semisimple, BCI-algebras.

be commutative on  $A$  if  $x \wedge y = y \wedge x$  for all  $x, y \in A$ , where  $x \wedge y = y * (y * x)$ . In any BCI-algebra  $X$ , the following properties are valid (see [1, 7]) for all  $x, y, z \in X$ :

- (1)  $x * 0 = x$ .
- (2)  $(x * y) * z = (x * z) * y$ .
- (3)  $x \leq y$  implies that  $x * z \leq y * z$ ,  $z * y \leq z * x$ .
- (4)  $(x * z) * (y * z) \leq x * y$ .
- (5)  $x * (x * (x * y)) = x * y$ .
- (6)  $0 * (x * y) = (0 * x) * (0 * y)$ .
- (7)  $x * 0 = 0$  implies  $x = 0$ .

For a BCI-algebra  $X$ , we define  $X_+ = \{x \in X : 0 \leq x\}$ , the BCK-part of  $X$ ,  $G(X) = \{x \in X : 0 * x = x\}$ , the BCI-G part of  $X$ . If  $X_+ = \{0\}$ , then  $X$  is called a p-semisimple BCI-algebra. If  $X$  is a p-semisimple BCI-algebra, then the following properties are valid for all  $x, y, z \in X$  [3, 4, 5, 11].

- (8)  $(x * z) * (y * z) = x * y$ .
- (9)  $0 * (0 * x) = x$  for all  $x \in X$ .
- (10)  $(x * (0 * y)) = y * (0 * x)$ .
- (11)  $x * y = 0$  implies  $x = y$ .
- (12)  $x * a = x * b$  implies  $a = b$ .
- (13)  $a * x = b * x$  implies  $a = b$ .
- (14)  $a * (a * x) = x$ .

**Theorem 1.1** ([8, Theorem 3.4]). *Let  $X$  be a BCI-algebra.  $X$  is commutative if and only if it is branch wise commutative.*

On commutative BCI-algebras, we refer to [2, 7, 9, 12, 13].

**Definition 1.2** ([8]). Let  $X$  be a BCI-algebra and  $f \in \text{Hom}(X)$ . By a  $(l, r)$ - $f$ -derivation of  $X$ , we mean a self map  $d_f$  of  $X$  satisfying the identity  $d_f(x * y) = d_f(x) * f(y) \wedge f(x) * d_f(y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

If  $X$  satisfies the identity  $d_f(x * y) = f(x) * d_f(y) \wedge d_f(x) * f(y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ , then we say that  $d_f$  is a  $(r, l)$ - $f$ -derivation of  $X$ . Moreover, if  $d_f$  is both a  $(l, r)$  and a  $(r, l)$ - $f$ -derivation, we say that  $d_f$  is an  $f$ -derivation of  $X$ .

**Definition 1.3** ([15]). A self map  $d_f$  of a BCI-algebra  $X$  is said to be regular if  $d_f(0) = 0$ .

**Proposition 1.4** ([15]). *Let  $d_f$  be a regular derivation of a BCI-algebra  $X$ . Then the following hold.*

- (i)  $d_f(x) \leq f(x) \quad \forall x \in X$ .
- (ii)  $d_f(x) * f(y) \leq f(x) * d_f(y) \quad \forall x, y \in X$ .
- (iii)  $d_f(x * y) = d_f(x) * f(y) \leq d_f(x) * d_f(y) \quad \forall x, y \in X$ .
- (iv)  $\ker d_f$  is a subalgebra of  $X$ . Especially, if  $f$  is monic, then  $\ker d_f \subseteq X_+$ .

## 2. Some results on derivations

First, we study  $f$ -derivations on BCK-algebras.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Every  $(r, l)$ - $f$ -derivation ( $(l, r)$ - $f$ -derivation) of a BCK-algebra is regular.*

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be a BCK-algebra and  $d_f$  a  $(r, l)$ - $f$ -derivation of  $X$ . Then for all  $x \in X$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} d_f(0) &= d_f(0 * x) = f(0) * d_f(x) \wedge d_f(0) * f(x) \\ &= 0 * d_f(x) \wedge d_f(0) * f(x) = 0 \wedge d_f(0) * f(x) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $d_f$  be a  $(l, r)$ - $f$ -derivation of  $X$ . Then for all  $x \in X$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} d_f(0) &= d_f(0 * x) = d_f(0) * f(x) \wedge f(0) * d_f(x) \\ &= d_f(0) * f(x) \wedge 0 * d_f(x) = d_f(0) * f(x) \wedge 0 = 0. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $f \in \text{Epi}(X)$ ,  $d_f$  be a  $f$ -derivation of a BCI-algebra  $X$  and  $a \in X$  such that  $d_f(x) * a = 0$  and  $d_f(x) * f(a) = 0$  for all  $x \in X$ . Then  $d_f$  is a regular  $f$ -derivation of  $X$ . Moreover,  $X$  is a BCK algebra.*

*Proof.* Let  $d_f$  be a  $f$ -derivation of a BCI-algebra  $X$  and let  $a \in X$  such that  $d_f(x) * a = 0$  and  $d_f(x) * f(a) = 0$  for all  $x \in X$ . Since  $d_f$  is  $(l, r)$ - $f$ -derivation, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= d_f(x * a) * a = (d_f(x) * f(a) \wedge f(x) * d_f(a)) * a \\ &= (0 \wedge f(x) * d_f(a)) * a = 0 * a, \end{aligned}$$

this implies that  $0 \leq a$ , and therefore,  $a \in X_+$ . This shows that

$$\begin{aligned} d_f(0) &= d_f(0 * a) = d_f(0) * f(a) \wedge f(0) * d_f(a) \\ &= d_f(0) * f(a) \wedge 0 * d_f(a) = 0 \wedge 0 * d_f(a) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $d_f$  is a regular  $f$ -derivation of  $X$ . So by Proposition 1.4 [15], we have  $d_f(x) \leq f(x)$  for all  $x \in X$  and so

$$0 * f(x) \leq 0 * d_f(x) = (d_f(x) * a) * d_f(x) = (d_f(x) * d_f(x)) * a = 0 * a = 0.$$

Thus  $0 * f(x) \leq 0$  for all  $x \in X$  and so  $0 = (0 * f(x)) * 0 = 0 * f(x)$ . Then we have  $0 \leq f(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . Which implies that  $f(X)$  is a BCK-algebra. As  $f \in \text{Epi}(X)$ , therefore,  $f(X) = X$ .  $\square$

Similarly, we can prove:

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $d_f$  be a  $f$ -derivation of a BCI-algebra  $X$  and  $a \in X$  such that  $a * d_f(x) = 0$  and  $f(a) * d_f(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in X$ . Then  $d_f$  is a regular  $f$ -derivation of  $X$ . Moreover,  $X$  is a BCK-algebra.*

**Example 2.4** ([3, page 8]). Let  $X$  be the set of natural number. For any element  $x, y \in X$  define

$$(x * y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \leq y \\ x - y & \text{if } x > y, \end{cases}$$

then  $X$  is a BCI-algebra.

Define  $f : X \rightarrow X$  by  $f(x) = 2x$  then  $f \in \text{Epi}(X)$ , indeed  $f$  is an BCI-isomorphism. Consider  $x, y \in X$ . If  $x \leq y$ , then  $f(x * y) = f(0) = 2(0) = 0$ ,  $f(x) * f(y) = 2x * 2y = 0$ . Hence  $f(x * y) = f(x) * f(y)$ . If  $x > y$ , then  $f(x * y) = f(x - y) = 2(x - y) = f(x) * f(y)$ . This shows that  $f(x * y) = f(x) * f(y)$  and hence  $f \in \text{Hom}(X)$ . Obviously  $f$  is bijective, therefore  $f \in \text{Epi}(X)$ .

Define  $d_f(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in X$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} d_f(x * y) &= 0, \\ d_f(x * y) &= d_f(x) * f(y) \wedge f(x) * d_f(y) = f(x) * d_f(y) \wedge d_f(x) * f(y) \\ &= 0 * 2y \wedge 2x * 0 \\ &= 0 \wedge 2x = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $d_f$  is  $(l, r)$ -derivation. Similarly we can show that  $d_f$  is  $(r, l)$ -derivation.

Also note that  $d_f(x) * a = 0 * a = 0$ . This implies that  $0 \leq a$  for all  $a \in X$  and hence  $X$  is a BCK-algebra. And  $d_f(x) * f(a) = 0 * f(a) = 0$ , where  $f \in \text{Epi}(X)$ . Moreover, observe that  $X$  is commutative BCK-algebra (see [3]).

Finally, we study  $f$ -derivations of a  $p$ -semisimple BCI-algebras,  $\text{Der}(X)$  denotes the set of all  $f$ -derivations of  $X$ .

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $X$  be a BCI-algebra and  $d_f, d'_f$  be two self maps of  $X$ .

We define  $d_f \circ d'_f : X \rightarrow X$  as:  $(d_f \circ d'_f)(x) = d_f(d'_f(x))$  for all  $x \in X$ .

**Proposition 2.6.** Let  $X$  be a  $p$ -semisimple BCI-algebra,  $d'_f$  and  $d_f$  are the  $(l, r)$ - $f$ -derivations of  $X$ . Then  $d_f \circ d'_f$  is also a  $(l, r)$ - $f$ -derivation of  $X$ .

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be a  $p$ -semisimple BCI-algebra and  $d'_f$  and  $d_f$  are  $(l, r)$ - $f$ -derivations of  $X$ . Then by (14) and Proposition 1.4(ii), we get for all  $x, y \in X$ :

$$\begin{aligned} (d_f \circ d'_f)(x * y) &= d_f(d'_f(x) * f(y) \wedge f(x) * d'_f(y)) = d_f(d'_f(x) * f(y)) \\ &= d_f(d'_f(x)) * f(y) \wedge f(d'_f(x)) * d_f(f(y)) = d_f(d'_f(x)) * f(y) \\ &= (f(x) * d_f(d'_f(y))) * (f(x) * d_f(d'_f(y))) * (d_f(d'_f(x)) * f(y)) \\ &= (d_f \circ d'_f)(x) * f(y) \wedge f(x) * (d_f \circ d'_f)(y). \end{aligned}$$

Which implies that  $d_f \circ d'_f$  is a  $(l, r)$ - $f$ -derivation of  $X$ . □

Similarly, we can prove:

**Proposition 2.7.** Let  $X$  be a  $p$ -semisimple BCI-algebra and  $d_f, d$  are  $(r, l)$ - $f$ -derivations of  $X$ . Then  $d_f \circ d'_f$  is also a  $(r, l)$ - $f$ -derivation of  $X$ .

Combining Propositions 2.6 and 2.7, we get:

**Theorem 2.8.** Let  $X$  be a  $p$ -semisimple BCI-algebra and  $d'_f$  and  $d_f$  be  $f$ -derivations of  $X$ . Then  $d_f \circ d'_f$  is also a  $f$ -derivation of  $X$ .

**Proposition 2.9.** *Let  $X$  be a  $p$ -semisimple BCI-algebra and  $d_f, d'_f$  be  $f$ -derivations of  $X$  such that  $f \circ d_f = d_f \circ f$ ,  $d'_f \circ f = f \circ d'_f$ . Then  $d_f \circ d'_f = d'_f \circ d_f$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be a  $p$ -semisimple BCI-algebra and  $d_f, d'_f$  the  $f$ -derivations of  $X$ . Since  $d'_f$  is a  $(l, r)$ - $f$ -derivation of  $X$ , then for all  $x, y \in X$ :

$$\begin{aligned} d_f \circ d'_f(x * y) &= d_f(d'_f(x * y)) = d_f(d'_f(x) * f(y) \wedge f(x) * d'_f(y)) \\ &= d_f(d'_f(x) * f(y)). \end{aligned}$$

But  $d_f$  is a  $(r, l)$ - $f$ -derivation of  $X$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} (d_f \circ d'_f)(x * y) &= d_f(d'_f(x) * f(y)) \\ &= f(d'_f(x)) * d_f(f(y)) \wedge d_f(d'_f(x)) * f(y) \\ &= f(d'_f(x)) * d_f(f(y)) \\ &= f \circ d'_f(x) * d_f \circ f(y) \end{aligned}$$

thus we have for all  $x, y \in X$ :

$$(2.1) \quad (d_f \circ d'_f)(x * y) = f \circ d'_f(x) * d_f \circ f(y).$$

Also, since  $d_f$  is a  $(r, l)$ - $f$ -derivation of  $X$ , then for all  $x, y \in X$ :

$$\begin{aligned} (d'_f \circ d_f)(x * y) &= d'_f(f(x) * d_f(y) \wedge d_f(x) * f(y)) \\ &= d'_f(f(x) * d_f(y)). \end{aligned}$$

But  $d'_f$  is a  $(l, r)$ - $f$ -derivation of  $X$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} (d'_f \circ d_f)(x * y) &= d'_f(f(x) * d_f(y)) \\ &= d'_f(f(x)) * f(d_f(y)) \wedge f^2(x) * d'_f(d_f(y)) \\ &= d'_f(f(x)) * f(d_f(y)) \\ &= d'_f \circ f(x) * f \circ d_f(y) \\ &= f \circ d'_f(x) * d_f \circ f(y). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have for all  $x, y \in X$ :

$$(2.2) \quad (d'_f \circ d_f)(x * y) = f \circ d'_f(x) * d_f \circ f(y).$$

From (2.1) and (2.2) we get for all  $x, y \in X$ :

$$(d_f \circ d'_f)(x * y) = (d'_f \circ d_f)(x * y).$$

By putting  $y = 0$  we get for all  $x \in X$ :

$$(d_f \circ d'_f)(x) = (d'_f \circ d_f)(x).$$

Which implies that  $d_f \circ d'_f = d'_f \circ d_f$ . □

**Definition 2.10.** Let  $X$  be a BCI-algebra and  $d_f, d'_f$ , two self maps of  $X$ . We define  $d_f \bullet d'_f : X \rightarrow X$  as:

$$(d_f \bullet d'_f)(x) = d_f(x) \bullet d'_f(x) \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

**Proposition 2.11.** Let  $X$  be a  $p$ -semisimple BCI-algebra,  $f \in \text{Epi}(X)$  and  $d_f, d'_f$  are  $f$ -derivations of  $X$ . Then  $(f \circ d'_f) \bullet (d_f \circ f) = (d_f \circ f) \bullet (f \circ d'_f)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $X$  is a  $p$ -semisimple BCI-algebra and  $d_f, d'_f$  be  $f$ -derivations of  $X$ . Since  $d'_f$  is a  $(l, r)$ - $f$ -derivation of  $X$ , then for all  $x, y \in X$ :

$$(d_f \circ d'_f)(x \bullet y) = d_f(d'_f(x) \bullet f(y) \wedge f(x) \bullet d'_f(y)) = d_f(d'_f(x) \bullet f(y)).$$

But  $d_f$  is a  $(r, l)$ - $f$ -derivation of  $X$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} d_f(d'_f(x) \bullet f(y)) &= f(d'_f(x)) \bullet d_f(f(y)) \wedge d_f(d'_f(x)) \bullet f(y) \\ &= f(d'_f(x)) \bullet d_f(f(y)) \\ &= f \circ d'_f(x) \bullet d_f \circ f(y) \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$(2.3) \quad (d_f \circ d'_f)(x \bullet y) = f \circ d'_f(x) \bullet d_f \circ f(y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

Also, we have that  $d'_f$  is a  $(r, l)$ - $f$ -derivation of  $X$ , then for all  $x, y \in X$ :

$$(d_f \circ d'_f)(x \bullet y) = d_f(f(x) \bullet d'_f(y) \wedge d'_f(x) \bullet f(y)) = d_f(f(x) \bullet d'_f(y)).$$

But  $d_f$  is a  $(l, r)$ - $f$ -derivation of  $X$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} d_f(f(x) \bullet d'_f(y)) &= d_f(f(x)) \bullet f(d'_f(y)) \wedge f^2(x) \bullet d_f(d'_f(y)) \\ &= d_f(f(x)) \bullet f(d'_f(y)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(2.4) \quad (d_f \circ d'_f)(x \bullet y) = d_f \circ f(x) \bullet f \circ d'_f(y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

From (2.3) and (2.4) we get:

$$f \circ d'_f(x) \bullet d_f \circ f(y) = d_f \circ f(x) \bullet f \circ d'_f(y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

By putting  $x = y$  we get for all  $x \in X$ :

$$\begin{aligned} f \circ d'_f(x) \bullet d_f \circ f(x) &= d_f \circ f(x) \bullet f \circ d'_f(x), \\ (f \circ d'_f \bullet d_f \circ f)(x) &= (d_f \circ f \bullet f \circ d'_f)(x). \end{aligned}$$

Which implies that  $(f \circ d'_f) \bullet (d_f \circ f) = (d_f \circ f) \bullet (f \circ d'_f)$ . □

### 3. $\text{Der}(X)$ , set of all $f$ -Derivations

$\text{Der}(X)$  denotes the set of all  $f$ -derivations on  $X$ .

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $d_f, d'_f \in \text{Der}(X)$ . Define the binary operation  $\wedge$  as:

$$(d_f \wedge d'_f)(x) = d_f(x) \wedge d'_f(x).$$

**Proposition 3.2.** Let  $X$  be a  $p$ -semisimple BCI algebra and  $d_f, d'_f$  are  $(l, r)$ - $f$ -derivations of  $X$ . Then  $d_f \wedge d'_f$  is also a  $(l, r)$ - $f$ -derivation of  $X$ .

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be a  $p$ -semisimple BCI-algebra and  $d_f, d'_f$  are  $(l, r)$ - $f$ -derivations of  $X$ . Then by (14) and Proposition 1.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (d_f \wedge d'_f)(x * y) \\ &= d_f(x * y) \wedge d'_f(x * y) \\ &= \{((d_f(x) * f(y)) \wedge (f(x) * d_f(y)))\} \wedge \{(d'_f(x) * f(y)) \wedge (f(x) * d'_f(y))\}, \\ & (d_f \wedge d'_f)(x * y) \\ &= (d_f(x) * f(y)) \wedge (d'_f(x) * f(y)) \\ &= d_f(x) * f(y) \\ &= (d'_f(x) * (d'_f(x) * d_f(x))) * f(y) \\ &= (d_f(x) \wedge d'_f(x)) * f(y) \\ &= (d_f \wedge d'_f)(x) * f(y) \\ &= (f(x) * (d_f \wedge d'_f)(y)) * \{(f(x) * (d_f \wedge d'_f)(y)) * ((d_f \wedge d'_f)(x)) * f(y)\} \\ &= ((d_f \wedge d'_f)(x) * f(y)) \wedge (f(x) * (d_f \wedge d'_f)(y)) = (d_f \wedge d'_f)(x * y). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $(d_f \wedge d'_f)$  is a  $(l, r)$ - $f$ -derivation of  $X$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

In the similar fashion, we can establish the following.

**Proposition 3.3.** Let  $X$  be a  $p$ -semisimple BCI-algebra and  $d_f, d'_f$  are  $(r, l)$ - $f$ -derivations of  $X$ . Then  $d_f \wedge d'_f$  is also a  $(r, l)$ - $f$ -derivation of  $X$ .

By using the Propositions 3.2, 3.3, we conclude the following.

**Proposition 3.4.** If  $d_f, d'_f \in \text{Der}(X)$ , then  $d_f \wedge d'_f \in \text{Der}(X)$ . Also,  $(d_f \wedge (d'_f \wedge d''_f))(x * y) = ((d_f \wedge d'_f) \wedge d''_f)(x * y)$ .

Let  $d_f, d'_f, d''_f \in \text{Der}(X)$ . Then by definition,

$$\begin{aligned} & ((d_f \wedge d'_f) \wedge d''_f)(x * y) \\ &= (d_f \wedge d'_f)(x * y) \wedge d''_f(x * y) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (d'_f(x * y)) * (d''_f(x * y) * (d_f \wedge d'_f)(x * y)) \\
&= (d_f \wedge d'_f)(x * y) \\
&= d_f(x * y) \wedge d'_f(x * y) \\
&= (d_f(x) * f(y) \wedge f(x) * d_f(y)) \wedge (d'_f(x) * f(y) \wedge f(x) * d'_f(y)) \\
&= d_f(x) * f(y) \wedge d'_f(x) * f(y) \\
&= d_f(x) * f(y).
\end{aligned}$$

Also consider the following,

$$\begin{aligned}
&(d_f \wedge (d'_f \wedge d''_f))(x * y) \\
&= d_f(x * y) \wedge (d'_f \wedge d''_f)(x * y) \\
&= d_f(x * y) \wedge ((d'_f(x * y) \wedge d''_f(x * y))) \\
&= d_f(x * y) \wedge d'_f(x * y) \\
&= (d_f(x) * f(y) \wedge f(x) * d_f(y)) \wedge (d'_f(x) * f(y) \wedge f(x) * d'_f(y)) \\
&= d_f(x) * f(y) \wedge d'_f(x) * f(y) \\
&= d_f(x) * f(y).
\end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $(d_f \wedge d'_f) \wedge d''_f = d_f \wedge (d'_f \wedge d''_f)$ . Thus  $\text{Der}(X)$  forms a semigroup.

**Corollary 3.5.** *If  $X$  is a  $p$ -semisimple BCI-algebra, then  $(\text{Der}(X), \wedge)$  is a semigroup.*

Let we take  $f$  as an identity map, i.e.,  $d_f = d_I = d$  in the following examples.

**Example 3.6.** If  $X = \{0, a, b\}$  and binary operation  $*$  is defined as

$*$	0	a	b
0	0	b	a
a	a	0	b
b	b	a	0

then it forms a  $p$ -semisimple BCI-algebra (see [3]). Moreover if  $I$  is an identity mapping,  $I \in \text{Epi}(X)$ , then  $\text{Der}(X)$  associated to  $I$  is only  $\{I\}$ , which is indeed a semigroup in view of Definition 3.1.

However, we can also observe from the following example that if  $X$  is not a  $p$ -semisimple BCI-algebra, then  $\text{Der}(X)$  may also form a semigroup.

**Example 3.7.** Let  $X = \{0, a, b\}$  be a commutative BCK-algebra with binary operation  $*$  as defined in the following table:

$*$	0	a	b
0	0	0	0
a	a	0	a
b	b	b	0



Let  $\text{Der}(X)$  set of all derivations of  $X$ . If  $d \in \text{Der}(X)$ , then  $d(0) = 0$  since  $X$  is a BCK-algebra.

Now 0 can be associated under  $d$  in one way. Now  $d(0) = 0$  and  $X$  is a commutative BCK-algebras therefore  $d(x * y) = d(x) * y$  and  $d(x) \leq x$ . This shows that  $a$  and  $b$  can be associated under  $d$  in 2 ways each and hence  $|\text{Der}(X)| \leq 1 \times 2 \times 2 = 4$ , which are the following:

$$\begin{aligned} d_1(x) &= 0 \text{ for all } x \in X, d_1 \in \text{Der}(X). \\ d_2(0) &= 0, d_2(a) = 0, d_2(b) = b, \\ d_3(0) &= 0, d_3(a) = a, d_3(b) = 0, \\ d_4(0) &= 0, d_4(a) = a, d_4(b) = b, \\ \text{Der}(X) &= \{d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $X$  is a commutative BCK-algebra therefore  $\text{Der}(X) = \{d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4\}$  form a commutative semigroup as shown in the following table:

$\wedge$	$d_1$	$d_2$	$d_3$	$d_4$
$d_1$	$d_1$	$d_1$	$d_1$	$d_1$
$d_2$	$d_1$	$d_2$	$d_1$	$d_2$
$d_3$	$d_1$	$d_1$	$d_3$	$d_3$
$d_4$	$d_1$	$d_2$	$d_3$	$d_4$

*Remark 3.8.* If  $X$  is a commutative BCI-algebra, then from the Definition 1.2 if  $f$  is considered as identity map, then it follows that

$$d(x) \leq x * d(0).$$

This shows that  $d(x), x * d(0)$  always lies in the same branch. If  $d(0) = 0$ , then  $d(x) \leq x$ . This shows that  $d(x)$  and  $x$  lies in the same branch. We will use these observation in the following example.

The following example reflect that if  $X$  is a commutative BCI-algebra which is not a p-semisimple BCI-algebra, then under the binary operation defined in Definition 3.1,  $\text{Der}(X)$  does not form a semigroup. However, it is a groupoid.

**Example 3.9.** If  $X = \{0, a, b\}$  and binary operation  $*$  is defined as

$*$	0	$a$	$b$
0	0	0	$b$
$a$	$a$	0	$b$
$b$	$b$	$b$	0

$d(0) = 0$  and  $d(0) = b$ , then in the light of above said remarks

Case 1:  $d(0) = 0$ , then  $d(x) \leq x * d(0)$  implies that  $d(x) \leq x$ , so

- (i)  $d(a) \leq a \Rightarrow$  Either  $d(a) = 0$  or  $d(0) = a$ ,
- (ii)  $d(b) \leq b * 0 = b$ .

Then possible derivations are

$$\begin{aligned}d_1(0) &= 0, \quad d_1(a) = 0, \quad d_1(b) = b, \\d_2(0) &= 0, \quad d_2(a) = a, \quad d_2(b) = b, \\D_1(X) &= \{d_1, d_2\}.\end{aligned}$$

Case 2:  $d(0) = b$ , so,

- (i)  $d(a) \leq a * d(0) = a * b = b$   
 $\Rightarrow d(a) \leq b$   
 $\Rightarrow d(a) = b,$
- (ii)  $d(b) \leq b * b = 0.$

Then possible derivation is

$$\begin{aligned}f_1(0) &= b, \quad f_1(a) = b, \quad f_1(b) = 0, \\D_2(X) &= \{f_1\}, \\D(X) &= D_1(X) \cup D_2(X) = \{d_1, d_2, f_1\},\end{aligned}$$

$\wedge$	$d_1$	$d_2$	$f_1$
$d_1$	$d_1$	$d_1$	$d_1$
$d_2$	$d_1$	$d_2$	$d_1$
$f_1$	$f_1$	$f_1$	$f_1$

**Proposition 3.10.** *Let  $X$  be a  $p$ -semisimple BCI-algebra and  $d_f, d'_f$  are  $f$ -derivations of  $X$ . Then  $d_f(x) * f(x) = d'_f(x) * f(x)$  if  $d_f(x), d'_f(x)$  lie in the same branch.*

*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in X$ , then by (14), Definition 3.1 and Theorem 1.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(3.1) \quad (d_f * d'_f)(x * y) &= d_f(x * y) \wedge d'_f(x * y) \\&= d_f(x) * f(y) \wedge f(x) * d'_f(y) \\&= d_f(x) * f(y).\end{aligned}$$

Now consider

$$\begin{aligned}(3.2) \quad (d_f * d'_f)(x * y) &= d_f(x * y) \wedge d'_f(x * y) \\&= d'_f(x * y) \wedge d_f(x * y) \\&= d'_f(x * y) \\&= d'_f(x) * f(y) \wedge f(x) * d'_f(y) \\&= d'_f(x) * f(y).\end{aligned}$$

From (3.1) and (3.2),  $d'_f(x) * f(y) = d_f(x) * f(y)$ , hence for  $y = x$ ,  $d'_f(x) * f(x) = d_f(x) * f(x)$ .

This completes the proof. □

## References

- [1] H. A. S. Abujabal and N. O. Al-shehri, *Some results on derivations of BCI-algebras*, Jr. of Natural sciences and Mathematics **46** (2006), no. 2, 13–19.
- [2] M. Aslam and A. B. Thaheem, *A note on  $p$ -semisimple BCI-algebras*, Math. Japon. **36** (1991), no. 1, 39–45.
- [3] M. Aslam, *Ideal theory of BCK-algebras*, Quaid-I-Azam University Islamabad, Pakistan. Ph. D. Thesis, 1992.
- [4] M. Daoji, *BCI-algebras and Abelian groups*, Math. Japon. **32** (1987), no. 5, 693–696.
- [5] W. A. Dudek, *On BCI-algebras with condition (s)*, Math. Japon. **31** (1986), no. 1, 26–29.
- [6] C. S. Hoo, *BCI-algebras with condition (s)*, Math. Japon. **32** (1987), no. 5, 749–756.
- [7] K. Iseki, *On BCI-algebras*, Math. Sem. Notes **8** (1980), 125–130.
- [8] Y. B. Jun and X. L. Xin, *On derivations of BCI-algebras*, Inform. Sci. **159** (2004), 167–176.
- [9] P. H. Lee and T. K. Lee, *On derivations of prime rings*, Chinese J. Math. **9** (1981), 107–110.
- [10] J. Meng, Y. B. Jun, and E. H. Roh, *BCI-algebras of order 6*, Math. Japon. **47** (1998), no. 1, 33–43.
- [11] E. Posner, *Derivations in prime rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **8** (1957), 1093–1100.
- [12] L. Tiande and X. Changchang,  *$p$ -Radical in BCI-algebras*, Math. Japon. **30** (1985), no. 4, 511–517.
- [13] X. L. Xin, E. H. Roh, and J. C. Li, *Some results on the BCI  $G$  part of algebras*, Far East J. Math. Sci. SpecialVolume (Part III), (1997), 363–370.
- [14] J. E. Whitesitt, *Boolean algebra and its Applications*, New York, Dover, 1995.
- [15] J. M. Zhan and Y. L. Liu, *On  $f$  derivation of BCI-algebras*, Int. Jour. Math. Mathematical Sciences. **11** (2005), 1675–1684.
- [16] Q. Zhang, *Some other characterizations of  $p$  semisimple BCI-algebras*, Math. Japon. **36** (1991), no. 5, 815–817.

MALIK ANJUM JAVED  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
GC UNIVERSITY  
LAHORE, PAKISTAN  
*E-mail address:* anjum2512@yahoo.com

MUHAMMAD ASLAM  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
GC UNIVERSITY  
LAHORE, PAKISTAN  
*E-mail address:* Aslam298@hotmail.com