#### FUZZY PAIRWISE STRONG PRECONTINUOUS MAPPINGS

Kuo Duok Park, Joo Sung Lee\* and Young Bin Im

ABSTRACT. We define a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -fuzzy strongly preopen set on a fuzzy bitopological space and characterize a fuzzy pairwise strong precontinuous mapping and a fuzzy pairwise strong preopen mapping(a fuzzy pairwise strong preclosed mapping) on a fuzzy bitopological space.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 54A40

Key words and phrases: Fuzzy  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -fuzzy strongly preopen set,  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -fuzzy strongly preinterior, fuzzy pairwise strong precontinuous mapping and fuzzy pairwise strong preopen mapping.

## 1. Introduction

Singal and Prakash [8] introduced a fuzzy preopen set and studied characteristic properties of a fuzzy precontinuous mapping on a fuzzy topological space. Later, Sampath Kumar [7] defined a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -fuzzy preopen set and characterized a fuzzy pairwise precontinuous mapping on a fuzzy bitopological space as a natural generalization of a fuzzy topological space.

Krsteska [3, 4] also defined a fuzzy strongly preopen set and studied a fuzzy strong precontinuous mapping (a fuzzy strong preopen mapping) on a fuzzy topological space.

In this paper, we define a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -fuzzy strongly preopen set and study their properties. And we investigate relationships between the fuzzy pairwise strong precontinuous mappings (the fuzzy pairwise strong preopen mappings) and the

Received August 11, 2008. Revised February 12, 2009. Accepted February 25, 2009. \* Corresponding author.

<sup>© 2009</sup> Korean SIGCAM and KSCAM

fuzzy pairwise precontinuous mappings(the fuzzy pairwise preopen mappings) on a fuzzy bitopological space. Then we characterize a fuzzy pairwise strong precontinuous mapping and a fuzzy pairwise strong preopen(preclosed) mapping on a fuzzy bitopological space.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let X be a set and let  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  be fuzzy topologies on X. Then we call  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  a fuzzy bitopological space [fbts].

A mapping  $f:(X,\tau_1,\tau_2)\to (Y,\tau_1^*,\tau_2^*)$  is fuzzy pairwise continuous [fpc] if the induced mapping  $f:(X,\tau_k)\to (Y,\tau_k^*)$  is fuzzy continuous for k=1,2.

A mapping  $f:(X,\tau_1,\tau_2)\to (Y,\tau_1^*,\tau_2^*)$  is fuzzy pairwise open [fp open] (fuzzy pairwise closed [fp closed]) if the induced mapping  $f:(X,\tau_k)\to (Y,\tau_k^*)$  is fuzzy open (fuzzy closed) for k=1,2.

**Notations.** (1) Throughout this paper, we take an ordered pair  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$  with  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$  and  $i \neq j$ .

(2) For simplicity, we abbreviate a  $\tau_i$ -fuzzy open set  $\mu$  and a  $\tau_j$ -fuzzy closed set  $\mu$  with a  $\tau_i - fo$  set  $\mu$  and a  $\tau_j - fc$  set  $\mu$  respectively. Also, we denote the interior and the closure of  $\mu$  for a fuzzy topology  $\tau_i$  with  $\tau_i$  – Int  $\mu$  and  $\tau_i$  – Cl  $\mu$  respectively.

**Definition 2.1.** [7] Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy set on a fbts X. Then we call  $\mu$ ; (1) a  $(\tau_i, \tau_i)$ -fuzzy preopen  $[(\tau_i, \tau_i) - fpo]$  set on X if

$$\mu \le \tau_i - \operatorname{Int}(\tau_j - \operatorname{Cl}\mu)$$
 and

(2) a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -fuzzy preclosed  $[(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fpc]$  set on X if

$$\tau_i - \operatorname{Cl}(\tau_j - \operatorname{Int} \mu) \le \mu.$$

**Definition 2.2.** [7] Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy set on a fbts X.

(1) The  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -preinterior of  $\mu$ ,  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - pInt \mu$  is

$$\bigvee \Big\{ \nu \, | \, \nu \leq \mu, \, \, \nu \text{ is a } (\tau_i, \tau_j) - fpo \text{ set} \Big\}.$$

(2) The  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -preclosure of  $\mu$ ,  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - pCl\mu$  is

**Definition 2.3.** [7] Let  $f:(X,\tau_1,\tau_2)\to (Y,\tau_1^*,\tau_2^*)$  be a mapping. Then f is called a fuzzy pairwise precontinuous [fppc] mapping if  $f^{-1}(\nu)$  is a  $(\tau_i,\tau_j)-fpo$  set on X for each  $\tau_i^*-fo$  set  $\nu$  on Y.

**Definition 2.4.** [7] Let  $f:(X,\tau_1,\tau_2)\to (Y,\tau_1^*,\tau_2^*)$  be a mapping. Then f is called:

- (1) a fuzzy pairwise preopen [fpp open] mapping if  $f(\mu)$  is a  $(\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) fpo$  set on Y for each  $\tau_i fo$  set  $\mu$  on X and
- (2) a fuzzy pairwise preclosed [fpp closed] mapping if  $f(\mu)$  is a  $(\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) fpc$  set on Y for each  $\tau_i fc$  set  $\mu$  on X.

**Proposition 2.5.** [7] Let  $f:(X,\tau_1,\tau_2)\to (Y,\tau_1^*,\tau_2^*)$  be a mapping. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) f is fppc.
- (2)  $f((\tau_i, \tau_j) pCl\mu) \le \tau_i^* Cl(f(\mu))$  for each fuzzy set  $\mu$  on X.
- (3)  $f^{-1}(\tau_i^* Cl\nu) \le (\tau_i, \tau_j) pCl(f^{-1}(\nu))$  for each fuzzy set  $\nu$  on Y.
- (4)  $f^{-1}(\tau_i^* Int\nu) \le (\tau_i, \tau_j) pInt(f^{-1}(\nu))$  for each fuzzy set  $\nu$  on Y.

**Proposition 2.6.** [7] Let  $f:(X,\tau_1,\tau_2)\to (Y,\tau_1^*,\tau_2^*)$  be a bijection. Then f is fppc if and only if for each fuzzy set  $\mu$  on X,

$$\tau_i^* - Int(f(\mu)) \le f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - pInt\mu).$$

**Proposition 2.7.** [7] Let  $f:(X,\tau_1,\tau_2)\to (Y,\tau_1^*,\tau_2^*)$  be a mapping. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) f is fpp open.
- (2)  $f(\tau_i Int\mu) \leq (\tau_i^*, \tau_i^*) pInt(f(\mu))$  for each fuzzy set  $\mu$  on X.
- (3)  $\tau_i Int(f^{-1}(\nu)) \le f^{-1}((\tau_i, \tau_j) pInt\nu)$  for each fuzzy set  $\nu$  on Y.

**Proposition 2.8.** [7] A mapping  $f:(X,\tau_1,\tau_2)\to (Y,\tau_1^*,\tau_2^*)$  is fpp closed if and only if for each fuzzy set  $\mu$  on X,

$$(\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - pCl(f(\mu)) \le f(\tau_i - Cl\mu).$$

**Proposition 2.9.** [7] Let  $f:(X,\tau_1,\tau_2)\to (Y,\tau_1^*,\tau_2^*)$  be a bijection. Then f is fpp closed if and only if for each fuzzy set  $\nu$  on Y,

$$f^{-1}\left((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - pCl\nu\right) \le \tau_i - Cl(f^{-1}(\nu)).$$

# 3. Fuzzy pairwise strong precontinuous mappings

In this section, we introduce a fuzzy pairwise strong precontinuous mapping and a fuzzy pairwise strong preopen mapping which are stronger than a fuzzy pairwise precontinuous mapping and a fuzzy pairwise preopen mapping respectively. And we characterize a fuzzy pairwise strong precontinuous mapping and a fuzzy pairwise preopen mapping.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy set on a fbts X. Then we call  $\mu$ ; (1) a  $(\tau_i, \tau_i)$ -fuzzy strongly preopen  $[(\tau_i, \tau_i) - fspo]$  set on X if

$$\mu \le \tau_i - \operatorname{Int}((\tau_j, \tau_i) - \operatorname{pCl}\mu)$$
 and

(2) a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -fuzzy strongly preclosed  $\left[(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fspc\right]$  set on X if

$$\tau_i - \mathrm{Cl}\Big((\tau_j, \tau_i) - \mathrm{pInt}\,\mu\Big) \le \mu.$$

It is clear that a  $\tau_i - fo$  set is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fspo$  set and a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fspo$  set is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fpo$  set on a  $fbts \ X$ . But the converses are not true in general as the following example shows.

**Example 3.2.** Let  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3$  and  $\mu_4$  be fuzzy sets on  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  with

$$\begin{split} &\mu_1(a) = 0.9, \mu_1(b) = 0.9, \mu_1(c) = 0.9, \\ &\mu_2(a) = 0.7, \mu_2(b) = 0.7, \mu_2(c) = 0.7, \\ &\mu_3(a) = 0.6, \mu_3(b) = 0.6, \mu_3(c) = 0.6 \text{ and } \\ &\mu_4(a) = 0.5, \mu_4(b) = 0.5, \mu_4(c) = 0.5. \end{split}$$

Let  $\tau_1 = \{0_X, \mu_3, 1_X\}, \tau_2 = \{0_X, \mu_2, 1_X\}$  be fuzzy topologies on X. Then  $\mu_1$  is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fspo$  set but not a  $\tau_i - fo$  set. And  $\mu_4$  is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fspo$  set but not a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fspo$  set.

**Proposition 3.3.** (1) A union of  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$  – fspo sets is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$  – fspo set. (2) An intersection of  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$  – fspc sets is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$  – fspc set.

*Proof.* (1) Let  $\{\mu_{\lambda}\}$  be a family of  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fspo$  sets on a  $fbts\ X$ . Since  $\mu_{\lambda} \leq \tau_i - \operatorname{Int}\left((\tau_j, \tau_i) - \operatorname{pCl}\mu_{\lambda}\right)$  for each  $\lambda$ , we have

$$\bigvee \mu_{\lambda} \leq \bigvee \Big(\tau_i - \operatorname{Int}((\tau_j, \tau_i) - \operatorname{pCl}\mu_{\lambda})\Big) \leq \tau_i - \operatorname{Int}\Big((\tau_j, \tau_i) - \operatorname{pCl}(\bigvee \mu_{\lambda})\Big).$$

Hence  $\bigvee \mu_{\lambda}$  is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fspo$  set.

(2) The proof follows easily from complements of (1).  $\Box$ 

An intersection of two  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fspo$  sets need not be a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fspo$  set. And a union of two  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fspc$  sets need not be a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fspo$  set as the following example shows.

**Example 3.4.** Let  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4$  and  $\mu_5$  be fuzzy sets on  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  with

$$\begin{split} &\mu_1(a)=0.9, \mu_1(b)=0.5, \mu_1(c)=0.9,\\ &\mu_2(a)=0.5, \mu_2(b)=0.7, \mu_2(c)=0.5,\\ &\mu_3(a)=0.8, \mu_3(b)=0.5, \mu_3(c)=0.8,\\ &\mu_4(a)=0.8, \mu_4(b)=0.5, \mu_4(c)=0.7 \text{ and}\\ &\mu_5(a)=0.3, \mu_5(b)=0.4, \mu_3(c)=0.3. \end{split}$$

Let  $\tau_1 = \{0_X, \mu_4, \mu_5, 1_X\}, \tau_2 = \{0_X, \mu_3, \mu_5, 1_X\}$  be fuzzy topologies on X. Then  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  are  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fspo$  sets but  $\mu_1 \wedge \mu_2$  is not a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fspo$  set. And  $\mu_1^c$  and  $\mu_2^c$  are  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fspc$  sets but  $\mu_1^c \vee \mu_2^c$  is not a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fspc$  set.  $\square$ 

**Definition 3.5.** Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy set on a fbts X.

(1) The  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -strongly preinterior of  $\mu$ ,  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$  –  $spInt \mu$  is

$$\bigvee \Big\{ \nu \,|\, \nu \le \mu, \ \nu \text{ is a } (\tau_i, \tau_j) - fspo \text{ set} \Big\}.$$

(2) The  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -strongly preclosure of  $\mu$ ,  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - spCl\mu)$  is

$$\bigwedge \Big\{ \nu \mid \nu \ge \mu, \ \nu \text{ is a } (\tau_i, \tau_j) - fspc \text{ set} \Big\}.$$

Obviously,  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$  – spCl $\mu$  is the smallest  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$  – fspc set which contains  $\mu$ , and  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$  – spInt $\mu$  is the largest  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$  – fspo set which is contained in  $\mu$ . Therefore,  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$  – spCl $\mu = \mu$  for every  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$  – fspc set  $\mu$  and  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$  – spInt $\mu = \mu$  for every  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$  – fspo set  $\mu$ .

Moreover, we have

$$\tau_i - \operatorname{Int} \mu \le (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \operatorname{spInt} \mu \le (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \operatorname{pInt} \mu \le \mu,$$
  
$$\mu \le (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \operatorname{pCl} \mu \le (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \operatorname{spCl} \mu \le \tau_i - \operatorname{Cl} \mu.$$

We also have the following lemma from the above definition, which will be used later.

**Lemma 3.6.** Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy set on a fbts X. Then

$$(\tau_i, \tau_j) - spInt(\mu^c) = ((\tau_i, \tau_j) - spCl\mu)^c$$

and

$$(\tau_i, \tau_j) - spCl(\mu^c) = ((\tau_i, \tau_j) - spInt\mu)^c.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy set on a fbts X. Then

$$(\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}) - \operatorname{spCl}\mu = \bigwedge \left\{ \nu^{c} \mid \nu^{c} \geq \mu, \ \nu \text{ is a } (\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}) - fspo \text{ set} \right\}$$
$$= \left( \bigvee \{ \mu^{c} \mid \mu^{c} \geq \mu, \ \nu \text{ is a } (\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}) - fspo \text{ set} \} \right)^{c}$$
$$= \left( (\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}) - \operatorname{spInt}(\mu^{c}) \right)^{c}.$$

Hence  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{spInt}(\mu^c) = ((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{spCl}\mu)^c$ . Similarly we can prove the second equality.  $\square$ 

**Definition 3.7.** Let  $f:(X,\tau_1,\tau_2)\to (Y,\tau_1^*,\tau_2^*)$  be a mapping. Then f is called a fuzzy pairwise strong precontinuous [fpspc] mapping if  $f^{-1}(\nu)$  is a  $(\tau_i,\tau_j)-fspo$  set on X for each  $\tau_i^*-fo$  set  $\nu$  on Y.

It is clear that every fpc mapping is a fpspc mapping and every fpspc mapping is a fppc mapping on fbts. But the converses are not true in general as the following example shows.

**Example 3.8.** Let  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3$  and  $\mu_4$  be fuzzy sets on  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  with

$$\begin{split} &\mu_1(a)=0.9, \mu_1(b)=0.9, \mu_1(c)=0.9,\\ &\mu_2(a)=0.7, \mu_2(b)=0.7, \mu_2(c)=0.7,\\ &\mu_3(a)=0.6, \mu_3(b)=0.6, \mu_3(c)=0.6 \text{ and }\\ &\mu_4(a)=0.5, \mu_4(b)=0.5, \mu_4(c)=0.5. \end{split}$$

Let

$$\tau_1 = \{0_X, \mu_3, 1_X\}, \quad \tau_2 = \{0_X \mu_2, 1_X\} \text{ and }$$

$$\tau_1^* = \{0_X, \mu_1, 1_X\}, \quad \tau_2^* = \{0_X, 1_X\}.$$

be fuzzy topologies on X.

Then we can show that the identity mapping  $i_X: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \to (X, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*)$  is fpspc but not fpc and  $\mu_1$  is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fspo$  set but not a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fpo$  set.

**Example 3.9.** Let  $\mu_1$ ,  $\mu_2$ ,  $\mu_3$  and  $\mu_4$  be fuzzy sets on  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  defined as in Example 3.8. And let

$$\tau_1 = \{0_X, \mu_3, 1_X\}, \tau_2 = \{0_X \mu_2, 1_X\} \text{ and }$$

$$\tau_1^* = \{0_X, \mu_4, 1_X\}, \tau_2^* = \{0_X, 1_X\}.$$

be fuzzy topologies on X.

Then we can show that the identity mapping  $i_X : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \to (X, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*)$  is fppc but not fpspc and  $\mu_4$  is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fpo$  set but not a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fspo$  set.

**Theorem 3.10.** Let  $f:(X,\tau_1,\tau_2)\to (Y,\tau_1^*,\tau_2^*)$  be a mapping. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) f is fpspc.
- (2) The inverse image of  $\tau_i^*$  fc set on Y is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$  fspc set on X.
- (3)  $\tau_i Cl((\tau_j, \tau_i) pInt(f^{-1}(\nu))) \le f^{-1}(\tau_i^* Cl\nu)$  for each fuzzy set  $\nu$  on Y.
  - (4)  $f(\tau_i Cl(\tau_j, \tau_i) pInt\mu) \le \tau_i^* Cl(f(\mu))$  for each fuzzy set  $\mu$  on X.

*Proof.* (1) implies (2): Let  $\nu$  be a  $\tau_i^* - fc$  set on Y. Then  $\nu^c$  is a  $\tau_i^* - fo$  set on Y. Thus  $f^{-1}(\nu^c)$  is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fspo$  set on X. But  $f^{-1}(\nu^c) = (f^{-1}(\nu))^c$ . Therefore,  $f^{-1}(\nu)$  is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fspc$  set on X.

(2) implies (3): Let  $\nu$  be a fuzzy set on Y. Then  $f^{-1}(\tau_i^* - \operatorname{Cl}\nu)$  ia a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$  – fspc set on X. Hence

$$\tau_i - \operatorname{Cl}\left((\tau_j, \tau_i) - \operatorname{pInt}(f^{-1}(\nu))\right) \le \tau_i - \operatorname{Cl}\left((\tau_j, \tau_i) - \operatorname{pInt}(f^{-1}(\tau_i^* - \operatorname{Cl}\nu))\right)$$

$$\le f^{-1}(\tau_i^* - \operatorname{Cl}\nu).$$

(3) implies (4): Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy set on X. Then

$$\tau_i - \operatorname{Cl}\left((\tau_j, \tau_i) - \operatorname{pInt}(f^{-1}(f(\mu)))\right) \le f^{-1}\left(\tau_i^* - \operatorname{Cl}(f(\mu))\right).$$

This implies that  $f(\tau_i - \text{Cl}((\tau_j, \tau_i) - \text{pInt}\mu)) \leq \tau_i^* - \text{Cl}(f(\mu)).$ 

(4) implies (1): Let  $\nu$  be a  $\tau_i^* - fo$  set on Y. Then  $\nu^c$  is a  $\tau_i^* - fc$  set. Hence

$$f\left(\tau_{i} - \operatorname{Cl}((\tau_{j}, \tau_{i}) - \operatorname{pInt}(f^{-1}(\nu^{c})))\right) \leq \tau_{i}^{*} - \operatorname{Cl}\left(f(f^{-1}(\nu^{c}))\right)$$
$$\leq \tau_{i}^{*} - \operatorname{Cl}(\nu^{c})$$
$$= \nu^{c}.$$

Thus  $\tau_i - \text{Cl}((\tau_j, \tau_i) - \text{pInt}(f^{-1}(\nu^c))) = (f^{-1}(\nu))^c$  and therefore,  $f^{-1}(\nu)$  is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fspo$  set on X.  $\square$ 

**Theorem 3.11.** Let  $f:(X,\tau_1,\tau_2)\to (Y,\tau_1^*,\tau_2^*)$  be a mapping. Then the following statements are equivalent:

(1) f is fpspc.

(2) 
$$f(\tau_i, \tau_j) - spCl\mu \le \tau_i^* - Cl(f(\mu))$$
 for each fuzzy set  $\mu$  on  $X$ .

(3) 
$$(\tau_i, \tau_j) - spCl(f^{-1}(\nu)) \le f^{-1}(\tau_i^* - Cl\nu)$$
 for each fuzzy set  $\nu$  on  $Y$ .

(4) 
$$f^{-1}(\tau_i^* - Int\nu) \leq (\tau_i, \tau_j) - spInt(f^{-1}(\nu))$$
 for each fuzzy set  $\nu$  on  $Y$ .

*Proof.* (1) implies (2): Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy set on X. Then  $f^{-1}(\tau_i^* - \text{Cl}(f(\mu)))$  is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fspc$  set on X. Thus

$$(\tau_i, \tau_j) - \operatorname{spCl}\mu \le (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \operatorname{spCl}\left(f^{-1}(f(\mu))\right)$$
$$\le (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \operatorname{spCl}\left(f^{-1}(\tau_i^* - \operatorname{Cl}(f(\mu)))\right)$$
$$= f^{-1}\left(\tau_i^* - \operatorname{Cl}(f(\mu))\right).$$

Hence

$$f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \operatorname{spCl}\mu) \le f(f^{-1}(\tau_i^* - \operatorname{Cl}(f(\mu))))$$
  
 
$$\le \tau_i^* - \operatorname{Cl}(f(\mu)).$$

(2) implies (3): Let  $\nu$  be a fuzzy set on Y. Then

$$f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \operatorname{spCl}(f^{-1}(\nu))) \le \tau_i^* - \operatorname{Cl}(f(f^{-1}(\nu))) \le \tau_i^* - \operatorname{Cl}\nu.$$

Hence

$$(\tau_i, \tau_j) - \operatorname{spCl}(f^{-1}(\nu)) \le f^{-1} \Big( (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \operatorname{spCl}(f^{-1}(\nu)) \Big)$$
  
$$\le f^{-1} (\tau_i^* - \operatorname{Cl}\nu).$$

(3) implies (4): Let  $\nu$  be a fuzzy set on Y. Then

$$(\tau_i, \tau_j) - \operatorname{spCl}(f^{-1}(\nu^c)) \le f^{-1}(\tau_i^* - \operatorname{Cl}(\nu^c)).$$

Hence, by Lemma 3.6,

$$f^{-1}(\tau_i^* - \operatorname{Int}\nu) = f^{-1}\left((\tau_i^* - \operatorname{Cl}(\nu^c)^c\right)$$

$$\leq \left((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \operatorname{spCl}(f^{-1}(\nu^c))\right)^c$$

$$= (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \operatorname{spInt}(f^{-1}(\nu)).$$

(4) implies (1): Let  $\nu$  be a  $\tau_i^*-fo$  set on Y. Then

$$f^{-1}(\nu) = f^{-1}(\tau_i^* - \text{Int}\nu) \le (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{spInt}(f^{-1}(\nu)).$$

Hence  $f^{-1}(\nu)$  is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fspo$  set on X and therefore, f is fpspc.  $\square$ 

**Theorem 3.12.** Let  $f:(X,\tau_1,\tau_2)\to (Y,\tau_1^*,\tau_2^*)$  be a bijection. f is fpspc if and only if  $\tau_i^*-Int(f(\mu))\leq f\Big((\tau_i,\tau_j)-spInt\mu\Big)$  for each fuzzy set  $\mu$  on X.

*Proof.* Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy set on X. Then, by Theorem 3.11,

$$f^{-1}\Big(\tau_i^* - \operatorname{Int}(f(\mu))\Big) \le (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \operatorname{spInt}\Big(f^{-1}(f(\mu))\Big).$$

Since f is a bijection,

$$\tau_i^* - \operatorname{Int}(f(\mu)) = f\left(f^{-1}(\tau_i^* - \operatorname{Int}(f(\mu)))\right) \le f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \operatorname{spInt}\mu).$$

Conversely, let  $\nu$  be a fuzzy set on Y. Then

$$\tau_i^* - \operatorname{Int}\left(f(f^{-1}(\nu))\right) \le f\left((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \operatorname{spInt}(f^{-1}(\nu))\right).$$

Recall that f is a bijection. Hence

$$\tau_i^* - \operatorname{Int}\nu = \tau_i^* - \operatorname{Int}\left(f(f^{-1}(\nu))\right) \le f\left((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \operatorname{spInt}(f^{-1}(\nu))\right).$$

and

$$f^{-1}(\tau_i^* - \operatorname{Int}\nu) \le f^{-1} \Big( f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \operatorname{spInt}(f^{-1}(\nu))) \Big)$$
$$= (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \operatorname{spInt}(f^{-1}(\nu)).$$

Therefore, by Theorem 3.11, f is fpspc.  $\square$ 

**Definition 3.13.** Let  $f:(X,\tau_1,\tau_2)\to (Y,\tau_1^*,\tau_2^*)$  be a mapping. Then f is called;

- (1) a fuzzy pairwise strong preopen [fpspopen] mapping if  $f(\mu)$  is a  $(\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*)$  fspo set on Y for each  $\tau_i$  fo set  $\mu$  on X and
- (2) a fuzzy pairwise strong preclosed [fpspclosed] mapping if  $f(\mu)$  is a  $(\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*)$  fspc set on Y for each  $\tau_i fc$  set  $\mu$  on X.

It is clear that every  $fp \ open(fp \ closed)$  mapping is a  $fpsp \ open(fpsp \ closed)$  mapping and every  $fpsp \ open(fpsp \ closed)$  mapping is a  $fpsp \ open(fpsp \ closed)$  mapping on fbts. But the converses are not true in general as the following example shows.

**Example 3.14.** In Example 3.8, the identity mapping  $i_X:(X,\tau_1^*,\tau_2^*)\to (X,\tau_1,\tau_2)$  is  $fpsp\ open(fpsp\ closed)$  but not  $fp\ open(fp\ closed)$ .

**Example 3.15.** In Example 3.9, the identity mapping  $i_X:(X,\tau_1^*,\tau_2^*)\to (X,\tau_1^*,\tau_2^*)$  is  $fpp\ open(fpp\ closed)$  but not  $fpsp\ open(fpsp\ closed)$ .

**Theorem 3.16.** Let  $f:(X,\tau_1,\tau_2)\to (Y,\tau_1^*,\tau_2^*)$  be a mapping. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) f is fpsp open.
- (2)  $f(\tau_i^* Int\mu) \le (\tau_i, \tau_j) spInt(f(\mu))$  for each fuzzy set  $\mu$  on X.
- $(3) \ \tau_i^* (f^{-1}(\nu)) \le f^{-1}\Big((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) spInt\nu\Big) \ for \ each \ fuzzy \ set \ \nu \ on \ Y.$

*Proof.* (1) implies (2): Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy set on X. Then  $\tau_i - \text{Int}\mu$  is a  $\tau_i - fo$  set on X. Since f is  $fpsp\ open,\ f(\tau_i - \text{Int}\mu)$  is a  $(\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - fspo$  set on Y. We also have  $f(\tau_i - \text{Int}\mu) \leq f(\mu)$ . Hence

$$f(\tau_i - \operatorname{Int}\mu) = (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \operatorname{spInt}\left(f(\tau_i - \operatorname{Int}\mu)\right)$$
  
$$\leq (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \operatorname{spInt}(f(\mu)).$$

(2) implies (3): Let  $\nu$  be a fuzzy set on Y. Then  $f^{-1}(\nu)$  is a fuzzy set on X. Thus

$$f\left(\tau_{i} - \operatorname{Int}(f^{-1}(\nu))\right) \leq (\tau_{i}^{*}, \tau_{j}^{*}) - \operatorname{spInt}\left(f(f^{-1}(\nu))\right)$$
$$\leq (\tau_{i}^{*}, \tau_{i}^{*}) - \operatorname{spInt}\nu.$$

Hence

$$\tau_i - \operatorname{Int}(f^{-1}(\nu)) \le f^{-1} \Big( f(\tau_i - \operatorname{Int}(f^{-1}(\nu))) \Big)$$
$$\le f^{-1} \Big( (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \operatorname{spInt}\nu \Big).$$

(3) implies (1): Let  $\mu$  be a  $\tau_i - fo$  set on X. Then  $\tau_i - \text{Int}\mu = \mu$  and  $f(\mu)$  is a fuzzy set on Y. Since

$$\mu = \tau_i - \operatorname{Int} \mu \le \tau_i - \operatorname{Int} \left( f^{-1}(f(\mu)) \right)$$
$$\le f^{-1} \left( (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \operatorname{spInt}(f(\mu)) \right),$$

we have

$$f(\mu) \le f\Big(f^{-1}\Big((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \operatorname{spInt}(f(\mu))\Big)\Big) \le (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \operatorname{spInt}(f(\mu)).$$

Hence  $f(\mu)$  is a  $(\tau_i^*, \tau_i^*) - fspo$  set on Y and therefore, f is fpsp open.  $\square$ 

**Theorem 3.17.** A mapping  $f:(X,\tau_1,\tau_2)\to (Y,\tau_1^*,\tau_2^*)$  is fpsp closed if and only if  $(\tau_i^*,\tau_i^*)-spCl(f(\mu))\leq f(\tau_i-Cl\mu)$  for each fuzzy set  $\mu$  on X.

*Proof.* Let f be a fpsp closed mapping and let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy set on X. Then  $f(\tau_i - \operatorname{Cl}\mu)$  is a  $(\tau_i^*, \tau_i^*) - fspc$  set such that  $f(\mu) \leq f(\tau_i - \operatorname{Cl}\mu)$ . Hence

$$(\tau_i^*, \tau_i^*) - \operatorname{spCl}(f(\mu)) \le (\tau_i^*, \tau_i^*) - \operatorname{spCl}(f(\tau_i - \operatorname{Cl}\mu)) = f(\tau_i - \operatorname{Cl}\mu).$$

Conversely, let  $\mu$  be a  $\tau_i - fc$  set on X. Then

$$f(\mu) \le (\tau_i^*, \tau_i^*) - \operatorname{spCl}(f(\mu)) \le f(\tau_i - \operatorname{Cl}\mu).$$

This implies that  $f(\mu) = (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \operatorname{spCl}(f(\mu))$ . Hence  $f(\mu)$  is a  $(\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - f\operatorname{spc}$  set on Y. Therefore, f is  $f\operatorname{psp}$  closed.  $\square$ 

**Theorem 3.18.** Let  $f:(X,\tau_1,\tau_2)\to (Y,\tau_1^*,\tau_2^*)$  be a bijection. f is fpsp closed if and only if  $f^{-1}((\tau_i^*,\tau_j^*)-spCl\nu)\leq \tau_iCl(f^{-1}(\nu))$  for each fuzzy set  $\nu$  on Y.

*Proof.* Let  $\nu$  be a fuzzy set on Y. Then, by Theorem 3.17,

$$(\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \operatorname{spCl}\nu \le f(\tau_i - \operatorname{Cl}(f^{-1}(\nu))).$$

Since f is a bijection,

$$f^{-1}\left((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \operatorname{spCl}\nu\right) = f^{-1}\left((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \operatorname{spCl}(f(^{-1}(\nu)))\right)$$
$$\leq f^{-1}\left(f(\tau_i - \operatorname{Cl}(f^{-1}(\nu)))\right)$$
$$= \tau_i - \operatorname{Cl}(f^{-1}(\nu)).$$

Conversely, let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy set on X. Then

$$(\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \operatorname{spCl}(f(\mu)) = f\Big(f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \operatorname{spCl}(f(\mu)))\Big)$$

$$\leq f\Big(\tau_i - \operatorname{Cl}(f^{-1}(f(\mu)))\Big)$$

$$= f(\tau_i - \operatorname{Cl}\mu).$$

Therefore, by Theorem 3.11, f is fpsp closed.  $\square$ 

#### References

- 1. Y. B. Im, Fuzzy pairwise  $\gamma$ -irresoluteness, International J. fuzzy and Intelligent Systems, 7(2007), 188–192.
- 2. Y. B. Im, Fuzzy pairwise pre-irresolute mappings, Far East J. Math. Sci. 13(2004), 109-118.
- 3. B. Krsteska, Fuzzy strongly preopen sets and fuzzy strong precontinuity, Mat. Vesnik, 50(1998), 111-123.
- 4. B. Krsteska, Some fuzzy sp-topological properties, Mat. Vesnik, 51(1999), 39-51.
- H. Y. Lee, J. S. Lee and Y. B. Im, Fuzzy pairwise γ-irresolute homeomorphisms, J. Appl. Math. & Informatics, 26(2008), 757-766.
- Y. B. Im, E. P. Lee and S. W. Park, Fuzzy pairwise γ-continuous mappings J. Fuzzy Math. 10(2002), 695–709.
- 7. S. Sampath Kumar, On fuzzy pairwise  $\alpha$ -continuity and fuzzy pairwise pre-continuity, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 62(1994), 231–238.
- M, K. Singal and N. Prakash, Fuzzy preopen sets and fuzzy preseparation axioms, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 44(1991), 345-351.

Kuo Duok Park received his B.S. and Ph.D. at Yonsei University. Since 1979 he has been a professor at Dongguk University. His research interests are fuzzy topological space and fuzzy measure.

Dept. of Mathematics, Dongguk University, Seoul 100-715, Korea e-mail: kdpark@dongguk.edu

Joo Sung Lee received his B.S. from Dongguk University and Ph.D. at University of Florida under the direction of Professor B. Brechner. Since 1995 he has been a professor at Dongguk University. His research interests are topological dynamics and fuzzy theory.

Dept. of Mathematics, Dongguk University, Seoul 100-715, Korea e-mail: jsl@dongguk.edu

Young Bin Im received his B.S. and Ph.D. at Dongguk University under the direction of Professor K. D. Park. Since 2009 he has been a professor at Dongguk University. His research interests are fuzzy topological space and fuzzy matrix.

Office of General Education, Dongguk University, Seoul 100-715, Korea e-mail: philpen@naver.com