Journal of the Korean Society for Nondestructive Testing Vol. 29, No. 6 (2009. 12)

Recent Development in Ultrasonic Guided Waves for Aircraft and Composite Materials

Joseph L. Rose

Abstract Emphasis in the paper is placed on describing guided wave successes and challenges for applications in aircraft and composite materials inspection. Guided wave imaging methods discussed includes line of sight, tomography, guided wave C-scan, phased array, and ultrasonic vibration methods. Applications outlined encircles lap splice, bonded repair patch, fuselage corrosion, water loaded structures, delamination, and ice detection and de-icing of various structures.

Keywords: Ultrasonic, Guided Waves, Aircraft Inspection, Composite Materials

1. Introduction

Ultrasonic guided waves for aircraft and composite material inspections have come a long way the past decade or so. Many successes have come about but many challenges remain, not half of which are outlined in this paper. Emphases in the paper is on recent developments evolving from research work at Penn State University.

Basic theoretical elements of ultrasonic guided waves can be found in (Rose, 1999), this work serving as a bases for many of the recent developments this past decade. Starting with fundamental understanding of the early popular acoustic-ultrasonic method, work in (Ditri et al., 1994) for paved the way fundamental understanding of the guided wave generation process. In (Ditri and Rose, 1994), guided wave excitation potential in anisotropic layers was introduced, providing a bases for further work in line of sight analysis with optimal wave structure selection, tomography, and phased array work. Significant advancements in adhesive bond

inspection were presented in (Pilarski and Rose, 1988) showing the benefits of getting shear wave energy into the interface of a bonded structure. Oblique incidence was used. This led to the introduction of guided waves to direct shear energy into the interface as discussed in (Hansch et al., 1995) and in other papers. Skin to honeycomb core bond inspection was also a critical subject with a possible practical solution outlined in (Hay et al., 2003). A generalized approach of guided waves for aircraft components is highlighted in (Rose and Soley, 2000). In a move to structural health monitoring (SHM), from nondestructive testing, a need for small inexpensive sensors came about. See (Ayhan et al., 2007) and (Gao et al., 2005). Ultrasonic guided wave tomography entered the inspection community in (Gao et al., 2005). With these new sensors and SHM, new techniques of inspection were introduced. Line of sight, tomographic, and phased array methods were introduced. See (Gao et al., 2007). Methods for mode and frequency selections are summarized

Received: October 27, 2009, Revised: December 17, 2009, Accepted: December 22, 2009. Paul Morrow Professor, Chief Scientist at FBS, Inc, The Pennsylvania State University, 212 Earth and Engineering Science Building, University Park, PA 16802, U.S.A,. (E-mail: jlr9@psu.edu)

in (Gao and Rose), highlighting work on this subject for over a decade. Real time guided wave phased array methods are reported in (Rose and Yan, 2007) and (Rose and Yan, 2009). Recently, a new ultrasonic vibration method was studied that bridges the gap from transient ultrasonic guided wave analysis to modal vibration methods, achieving benefits of both methods. Novel guided wave applications in aircraft were also introduced in ice detection (Gao and Rose, 2009) and de-icing(Palacios et al., 2008).

2. Successes

Successes in guided waves, in general, over the past decade, are listed in Table 1, in composite materials in Table 2, and in Aircraft Application in Table 3. The topics speak for themselves but aspects of some of the items will be reported in this paper.

Table 1 Successes - guided waves in general

Computational developments					
Understanding basic principles					
Focusing developments in plates and pipes					
FEM method for wave animations and guided wave focus demonstrations					
Demonstration of optimal mode and frequency selections for penetration power, water loading influences, and defect detection sensitivities					

Table 2	2	Successes	-	composite	materials
---------	---	-----------	---	-----------	-----------

Understanding guided wave behavior in anisotropic media						
Using slowness profile variations and skew angle in inspections						
Development of ultrasonic guided wave tomographic imaging methods						
Phased array methods developed for plates						
Comb sensor designs for optimal mode and frequency selection (linear comb and annular arrays)						
Implementation of time delay comb type transducers for composite inspection						

Table 3 Successes - aircraft applications

Demonstration of feasibility studies in lap splice, tear strap, skin to core delamination, corrosion detection and other applications.

Introduction of Ultrasonic Modal Analysis Testing (Linking guided waves to modal vibration analysis)

Guided wave tomographic tests on real aircraft structures

Guided wave ice detection and deicing studies initiated

3. Physical Concepts and Methodologies

When working with composite materials, beyond phase velocity variation with launch angle direction, is the intriguing concept of a skew angle. See Fig. 1. The stacking sequence in a layered composite material often leads to a skew angle. The wave does not follow the launch angle direction as illustrated in Fig. 1. Even mild anisotropy leads to significant skewing effects. One goal is therefore to make this complexity become a benefit. The skew effect must be considered in the design of a sensor and inspection test protocol.

Let's now consider various guided wave imaging methods as presented in Table 4. Of primary concern in any guided wave problem is the selection of an appropriate mode and frequency to consider for optimal sensitivity to a certain kind of defect. Wave structure choice to induce certain kinds of energy at special regions across the thickness of a layered structure provides the key to success. Consider for example the phase velocity dispersion curve

Table 4 Guided wave imaging methods

Line of Sight
Tomography
Guided Wave C-scan
Phased Array
Ultrasonic Vibrations(UMAT)

presented in Fig. 2. Superimposed onto the dispersion curves is the in plane displacement component magnitude, the red color indicating the largest magnitudes over the phase velocity dispersion curve space. In plane displacement was selected as the sensitive feature for interfacial defect detection in a titanium to aluminum bonded structure. The interface defect

simulation was for a kissing bond via a small Teflon patch insertion at the interface. Notice in Fig. 2 that one of the best test points is at a high phase velocity value from 15 to 20 km/s at a frequency of 2.5 MHz for the sample problem discussed here. Tests conducted at this point via an angle beam sensor are shown in Fig. 3. As shown in Fig. 3, the choice was a good one.



Fig. 1 Lamb wave in anisotropic plate - skew angle for the second symmetric mode at fd=1.05 MHz-mm



Fig. 2 Mode and frequency selection for best sensitivity in adhesive bonding inspection. (Interfacial displacement (in-plane) at AI-epoxy interface in Ti-epoxy-AI specimen)



Fig. 3 Through transmission line of sight data in an adhesive bonding inspection sample problem. (Variable angle beam wedge based inspection)

Good vs. bad differentiation of anomalies in the structure looks pretty good.

The ultrasonic guided wave tomographic imaging method is illustrated in Fig. 4. An array of sensors is mounted on a surface randomly surrounding the area of interest whereby each sensor sends energy to all of the others sensors. An image reconstruction process takes place to produce an image in the interest zone that would lead to such an ensemble of waveforms at each receiving sensor. See (Gao, et al., 2005). Since the process is basically a SHM method a baseline is necessary to compute the image. The baseline is the result before any corrosion occurs as illustrated in Fig. 4. The baseline defect free starting point is not affected by a complex structure such as that presented in Fig. 4a. Many features could be used to produce the image including a signal difference coefficient or a physically based feature, for example, like the ratio of a high frequency portion of a spectrum to a low frequency portion. Feature choice depends on problem statement and subsequent mode and frequency choice for solving a problem.

Air coupled ultrasonic sensor development is becoming of age for composite material inspection. They can also be used to produce guided waves if the situation calls for such. Impingement angle choice depends on desired wave structure in the composite material to identify certain defect types. See Fig. 5 where a traditional C-scan result is compared favorably with a guided wave C-scan result.

A real time guided wave phased array method also shows great promise in both isotropic and composite material plate inspection. See (Rose and Yan, 2007). The possibility of steering an ultrasonic guided wave beam around a plate is depicted in Fig. 6. A circular array of small sensors at the center of the plate is pulsed in such a way that appropriate time delay profiles can be used to steer the beam in any predetermined direction. Note that side lobes are present but array designs can be introduced to reduce the side lobe energies. Sample results in an aluminum plate are shown in Fig. 7. All five defects were easily found. When used in composite structures, multi-mode beam steering might be necessary to account for skew angle influences.

A novel ultrasonic guided wave modal analysis technique(UMAT) is being studied to bridge the gap between ultrasonic transient wave analysis and long time steady state vibration modal analyses. Benefits of both methods are merged as indicated in Table 5.

The structural resonant frequency and modal pattern becomes a function of the selected ultrasonic guided wave loading function and not just a loading frequency. The ultrasonic loading function is based on mode and frequency selection in the phase velocity dispersion curve as a result of wave structure choice for solving a particular problem. It is shown in (Rose, et al., 2010) that wave structures can be preserved after countless reflection factors leading to similar sensitivity in the resulting modal analysis problem via resonant frequency and modal pattern observation.

One interesting result is examined in Fig. 8. Two different ultrasonic guided wave loading functions are selected, one particularly insensitive to a surface corrosion defect, S0 at 500 kHz, and one sensitive to the defect A0 at 500 kHz, via energy wave structure sensitivity to the surface defect. In this case the modal pattern change is sufficient for finding the defect.

	Defect detection size	Sender positions	Speed
Ultrasonic Guided Wave	Very Small √	Many X	Slow X
Vibration Modal Analysis	Large X	One √	Fast √
UMAT	Small to intermediate $$	One √	Fast √

Table 5 A brief comparison of the ultrasonics, modal analysis, and UMAT techniques



(a) Sensor network on inside surface of complex structure



(b) Result before corrosion



(c) Results after corrosion

Fig. 4 Sample ultrasonic guided wave tomographic results for corrosion detection on the wing of an aircraft



(a) Guided wave air coupled scanning system



(b) Bulk wave C-scan on left and guided wave



Fig. 5 Air coupled inspection of impact delaminations in an orthotropic plate



Fig. 6 Guided wave beam steering of a 16 element circular array mounted to the center of the plate(partial scan)



Fig. 7 Experimental result of a phased array scanning image obtained by using the wave number domain back-propagation signal synthesis. A 16 element circular array mounted to the center of the plate was used. All defects were detected and located quite well



15% depth surface defect

Surface defect

(a) (Left) FE model geometry for the 500 kHz S0 mode ultrasonic loading function. (Middle) Steady state out-of-plane displacement distribution on the defect free plate. (Right) Steady state out-of-plane displacement distribution on the plate with a 15% through thickness surface defect. Almost no differences can be seen between the defect free result and the defect result



(b) (Left) FE model geometry for the 500 kHz A0 mode ultrasonic loading function. (Middle) Steady state out-of-plane displacement distribution on the defect free plate. (Right) Steady state out-of-plane displacement distribution on the plate with a 15% through thickness surface defect. Significant variations from the defect free result to the defect result can be observed

Fig. 8 FEM UMAT results for ultrasonic loading functions at the same frequency

Table 6 Challenges - guided waves in general

Long numerical solution computation times often necessary with FEM (especially for high frequency, and solutions at long distances from the actuator)

Modeling accuracy is critically dependent on accurate input parameters – (especially for anisotropic and viscoelastic properties, interface conditions, and defect characteristics.)

Signal interpretations often difficult (due to multimode propagation and mode conversion, along with special test structure geometric features)

Mode and frequency selection for optimal penetration power and defect detection sensitivity for certain defects and structures

Low frequency limitation for thick structures

Equipment cost and reliability

Sensor robustness to environmental situations like temperature and humidity

Sensor robustness to high stress, mechanical vibrations, shock and radiation

Adhesive bonding challenges for mounting sensors and sustainability

Sensor type and development for achieving the desired guided wave mode and frequency (especially considering source influence, side lobes, and multimode generation potential)

Guided wave propagation in structures with variations in cross-sectional areas, (horn shaped pipe, plates with variations in thickness, etc.)

Development of regulatory codes

Educational level and inspector training

Lack of realistic mock-up tests specimens for training and test developments

Table 7 Challenges - composite materials

Dealing with complex anisotropy and wave velocity and skew angle as a function of direction

Viscoelastic influences

Penetration power due to anisotropy, viscoelasticity, and inhomogeneity

Optimal mode and frequency selection and the corresponding transducer designs for certain defects and structures

Differentiating critical composite damage such as delamination defects from structural variability during fabrication (including minor fiber misalignments, ply-drops, inaccurate fiber volume fraction, and so on)

Guided wave inspection of composites with unknown material properties.

Table 8 Challenges - aircraft applications

Sensor and instrumentation weight considerations

Cabling and wireless potential and energy harvesting

Adhesive bonding inspection reliability (especially kissing bonds)

Robustness of guided wave sensors under in-flight conditions

High temperature environment possibilities

Many wave scattering sources such as rivets, fasteners, stiffeners, and joints

Influences of aircraft paint and embedded metallic mesh in composite airframes for lightning protection

Ultrasonic guided waves can also be used for ice detection on aircraft. Energy travelling over the surface can be used to distinguish ice formation from a fluid by the amount of energy leakage, following a suitable mode and frequency choice.

De-icing potential with ultrasonic guided wave is also underway where a mode and frequency choice from the phase velocity dispersion curve is based generally a maximum shear stress occurring at the ice-wing skin interface.

4. Challenges

Finally, although many promising methods are evolving into promising inspection tools, numerous challenges remain. Many of the challenges are focused on technology transfer work tasks to a realistic practical environment. Challenges are listed in Table 6 for guided waves in general, in Table 7 for composite materials and in Table 8 for aircraft applications. The topics are self explanatory and can even be used to define future work efforts. See Palacios et al 2008.

Concluding Remarks:

This paper highlights recent work at The Pennsylvania State University on guided wave mechanics and inspection associated with energy and composite materials. Hundreds of other works are also advancing the state of the art in guided waves on such applications. The lists of success and challenges provides us with a starting point to a complete evaluation of the state of ultrasonic guided wave inspection today.

Acknowledgments

Thanks are given to all of my PhD students over the years for their tremendous work efforts and contributions to Ultrasonics and Ultrasonic Guided Wave mechanics and inspection. Working with them has been a glorious experience.

References

Ayhan, B., Gao, H., Kwan, C., Rose, J. L., Yan,
F., Zhang, G. and Zhao, X. (2007) Active
Health Monitoring of an Aircraft Wing with
Embedded Piezoelectric Sensor/Actuator Network:
I. Defect Detection, Localization and Growth
Monitoring, *Smart Materials and Structures*,
Vol. 16, pp. 1208-1217

Ditri, J. J., Pilarski, A. and Rose, J. L. (1994) Wave Mechanics in Acousto-Ultrasonic Nondestructive Evaluation, *Journal of Acoustic Emission*, Vol. 12, pp. 23-26

Ditri, J. J. and Rose, J. L. (1994) Excitation of Guided Waves in Generally Anisotropic Layers Using Finite Sources, *ASME Journal of Applied Mechanics*, Vol. 61, pp. 330-338

Hansch, M. K. T., Rajana, K. M. and Rose, J. L. (1995) Ultrasonic Guided Waves for NDE of Adhesively Bonded Structures, *Journal of Adhesion*, Vol. 50, pp. 71-82

Hay, T. R., Hayashi, T., Luo, W. and Rose, J. L. (2003) Rapid Inspection of Composite Skin-Honeycomb Core Structures with Ultrasonic Guided Waves, *Journal of Composite Materials*, Vol. 37, pp. 929-939

Gao, H., Rose, J. L. and Yan, F. (2007) New Techniques in Composite Testing, *Materials Evaluation*, Vol. 65, No. 8, pp. 801-805

Gao, H. and Rose, J. L. (2009) Ice Detection and Classification on an Aircraft Wing with Ultrasonic Shear Horizontal Guided Waves, *IEEE Transactions on Ultrasonics, Ferroelectrics, and Frequency Control,* Vol. 56, No. 2, pp. 334-344

Gao, H. and Rose, J. L. (to appear) Goodness Dispersion Curves from Ultrasonic Guided Wave Based SHM: A Sample Problem in Corrosion Monitoring, *The Aeronautical Journal of the*

Royal Aeronautical Society

Gao, H., Shi, Y., and Rose, J. L. (2005) Guided Wave Tomography on an Aircraft Wing with Leave in Place Sensors, *Review of Progress in Quantitative Nondestructive Evaluation*, *AIP Conference Proceedings*, Vol. 760, pp. 1788-1794

Palacios, J. L., Rose, J. L., Smith, E. C. and Zhu, Y. (2008) De-Icing of Multi-Layer Composite Plates Uusing Ultrasonic Guided Waves, Collection of Technical Papers – AIAA/ASME/ ASCE/ AHS/ASC, 49th AIAA/ASME/ASCE/ AHS/ASC, Structural Dynamics and Materials Conference

Pilarski, A. and Rose, J. L. (1988) A Transverse-Wave Ultrasonic Oblique-Incidence Technique for Interfacial Weakness Detection in Adhesive Bonds, *Journal of Applied Physics*, Vol. 63, No. 2, pp. 300-307 Rose, J. L. (1999) Ultrasonic Waves in Solid Media, Cambridge University Press

Rose, J. L. and Soley, L. (2000) Ultrasonic Guided Waves for Anomaly Detection in Aircraft Components, *Materials Evaluation*, Vol. 50, pp. 1080-1086

Rose, J. L. and Yan, F. (2007) Guided Wave Phased Array Beam Steering in Composite Plates, *Proc. SPIE*, Vol. 6532: 65320G

Rose, J. L. and Yan, F. (2009) Time Delay Comb Transducers for Aircraft Inspection, *The Aeronautical Journal of the Royal Aeronautical Society*, Vol. 113, No. 1144, pp. 417-427

Rose, J. L., Yan, F. and Zhu, Y. (2010) Ultrasonic Guided Wave Modal Analysis Technique(UMAT) for Defect Reflection – *SEM*, *IMAC XXVIII Proceedings*