

SHIODA-TATE FORMULA FOR AN ABELIAN FIBERED VARIETY AND APPLICATIONS

KEIJI OGUIISO

ABSTRACT. We give an explicit formula for the Mordell-Weil rank of an abelian fibered variety and some of its applications for an abelian fibered hyperkähler manifold. As a byproduct, we also give an explicit example of an abelian fibered variety in which the Picard number of the generic fiber in the sense of scheme is different from the Picard number of generic closed fibers.

1. Introduction

The aim of this note is to give an explicit formula for the Mordell-Weil rank of an abelian fibered variety (Theorem 1.1) and some of its applications for an abelian fibered hyperkähler manifold (Theorem 3.1). Our formula is a formal generalization of the famous formula for an elliptic surface due to Shioda and Tate ([20, 21, 22]) and some formula for a Calabi-Yau fiber space by Kawamata [11]. *Our formula is also very close to a result of Bruno Kahn [9], which was recently posted on the ArXiv.*

For the precise statement, we introduce a few notations. By an *abelian fibered variety*, we mean a proper surjective morphism $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ with rational section O , from a normal projective variety X to a normal projective variety Y such that the generic fiber $A := X_\eta$ is a positive-dimensional abelian variety defined over $\mathbb{C}(Y)$ (with origin O). Here, by a *rational section*, we mean a subvariety $S \subset X$ such that $\varphi|_S : S \rightarrow Y$ is a birational morphism. We assume that:

- (1) X has only \mathbb{Q} -factorial rational singularities;
- (2) Y has only \mathbb{Q} -factorial rational singularities;
- (3) φ is equi-dimensional in codimension 1 in the sense that there is no prime divisor D on X such that $\dim \varphi(D) \leq \dim Y - 2$, and
- (4) $h^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = h^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$.

Let $K = \mathbb{C}(Y)$. The *Mordell-Weil group* $MW(\varphi)$ is, by definition, the group $A(K)$ of K -rational points of A . In more geometric terms, $MW(\varphi)$ is a group of

Received March 20, 2007.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14C22, 14D06, 14J28, 14J40.

Key words and phrases. Mordell-Weil group.

The author was supported by JSPS.

rational sections of φ , which we can naturally regard as an abelian subgroup of $\text{Bir}(X)$, the group of the birational transformations of X . The Néron-Severi group $\text{NS}(A_K)$ of A is the group of algebraic equivalence classes of divisors defined over K .

Let $\Delta \subset Y$ be the discriminant divisor of φ and let $\Delta = \cup_{i=1}^k \Delta_i$ and $\varphi^*(\Delta_i)_{\text{red}} = \cup_{j=0}^{m_i-1} D_{ij}$ be the irreducible decomposition of Δ and $\varphi^*(\Delta_i)_{\text{red}}$. Note that m_i is the number of prime divisors on X lying over Δ_i .

Our main result is as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *Under the assumption (1)-(4) and the notation above, the Mordell-Weil group $\text{MW}(\varphi)$ is a finitely generated abelian group of rank*

$$\text{rank MW}(\varphi) = \rho(X) - \rho(Y) - \text{rank NS}(A_K) - \sum_{i=1}^k (m_i - 1).$$

Here $\rho(X)$ (resp. $\rho(Y)$) is the Picard number of X (resp. of Y). In particular,

$$\text{rank MW}(\varphi) \leq \rho(X) - 2.$$

We believe that our assumptions (1)-(3) are not too restrictive in the view of minimal model theory in higher dimension. In our formulation, some condition like (4) is necessary for finite generation. For instance, in the product case $\text{pr}_2 : A \times Y \rightarrow Y$, the Mordell-Weil group $\text{MW}(\text{pr}_2)$ is far from being finitely generated. See [9] for a more unified treatment including such cases.

Theorem 1.1 is proved in Section 2. An application for an abelian fibered hyperkähler manifold will be given in Section 3 (Theorem 3.1). This part was inspired by a series of work of Matsushita [13, 14, 15], a work of Sawon [18] and also by the author's previous work [17]. As one of byproducts of our Theorem 1.1, we shall give an explicit example of an abelian fibered hyperkähler manifold $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^d$ of dimension $2d \geq 4$ such that all the smooth fibers X_t are isomorphic to E_ζ^d , the self-product of the elliptic curve E_ζ of period $\zeta = e^{2\pi i/3}$, so that $\rho(X_t) \geq 2$, but $\text{rank NS}(A_K) = 1$ for the generic fiber A_K in the sense of scheme (Theorem 3.1(2)). This example shows that the Picard number of the generic fiber in the sense of scheme is not always the same as the Picard number of generic closed fibers. It might be interesting to compare this with Example 3.5, a more "moderate" example.

Acknowledgement. An initial idea of this note has been grown up during my stay at KIAS August 2005 and finalized in the present form at KIAS March 2007. I would like to express my thanks to Professors B. Kahn, F. Campana, J. Keum, B. Kim, J. M. Hwang, J. Sawon, D.-Q. Zhang for several valuable discussions. After acceptance of this note, I was also informed from Amilcar Pacheco that they have also obtained a similar result when the source and target are both smooth [8].

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we shall prove Theorem 1.1.

By $Z(X)$ (resp. $N(X)$), we denote the free abelian group of Weil divisors on X (resp. the abelian group of the linear equivalence classes of Weil divisors on X). By $\text{Div } X$, we denote the free abelian group of Cartier divisors on X . $\text{Pic } X$ is nothing but the group of linear equivalence classes of Cartier divisors. Since X is normal and projective, we have a natural inclusion, $\text{Pic } X \subset N(X)$.

Let us start with the following lemma due to Kawamata [10]:

Lemma 2.1. *The quotient group $N(X)/\text{Pic } X$ is a finite abelian group.*

Proof. Let $\pi : X' \rightarrow X$ be a resolution of singularities of X . By [10, Proof of Lemma (1.1)], $\text{Pic } X'/\pi^*\text{Pic } X$ is a finitely generated abelian group. Note that $\text{Pic } X' = N(X')$, because X' being smooth. Thus, the natural surjective homomorphism $N(X') \rightarrow N(X)$, together with the projection formula, induces a surjective homomorphism $\text{Pic } X'/\pi^*\text{Pic } X \rightarrow N(X)/\text{Pic } X$. Therefore $N(X)/\text{Pic } X$ is also a finitely generated abelian group. On the other hand, $N(X)/\text{Pic } X$ is a torsion group, because X is \mathbb{Q} -factorial. Combining these two, we obtain the result. \square

Lemma 2.2. *The quotient group $\text{Pic } X/\varphi^*\text{Pic } Y$ is a finitely generated abelian group of rank $\rho(X) - \rho(Y)$.*

Proof. Since $\varphi_*\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_Y$, the homomorphism φ^* is injective. So is its restriction $(\varphi^*)^0 : \text{Pic}^0 Y \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0 X$. Here $\text{Pic}^0 Y$ (resp. $\text{Pic}^0 X$) is an abelian variety of dimension $h^1(\mathcal{O}_Y)$ (resp. $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X)$). This is because Y (resp. X) has only rational singularities. Since $h^1(\mathcal{O}_Y) = h^1(\mathcal{O}_X)$, the homomorphism $(\varphi^*)^0$ is then an isomorphism. Thus, $\varphi^* : \text{NS}(Y) \rightarrow \text{NS}(X)$ is an injective homomorphism and $\text{Pic } X/\varphi^*\text{Pic } Y \simeq \text{NS}(X)/\varphi^*\text{NS}(Y)$. This implies the result. \square

From now, we need some elementary properties of abelian varieties defined over non-closed fields, for which we refer to the reader an excellent account of Milne in [6, Chapter V].

Let $\text{Pic } A_K$ be the Picard group of $A = A_K$, i.e., the group of linear equivalence classes of divisors defined over K , and let $c : \text{Pic } A_K \rightarrow \text{NS}(A_K)$ be the natural map. By definition, c is a surjective homomorphism and its kernel is the group $\text{Pic}^0 A_K$, i.e., the group of divisors defined over K , which are algebraically equivalent to 0 modulo linearly equivalent to 0. We have $\text{Pic}^0 A_K = \hat{A}_K(K)$, where \hat{A}_K is the dual abelian variety of $A = A_K$, both of which are defined over K .

Let D be a Weil divisor on X . Then $D|X \setminus \text{Sing } X$ is Cartier, and therefore, we have a natural surjective homomorphism:

$$r_{N(X),A} : N(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic } A_K ; \text{cl}(D) \mapsto \text{cl}(D|A).$$

Here $\text{cl}(D)$ is the linear equivalence class of D . Since $r_{N(X),A}(\varphi^*\text{Pic } Y) = \{0\}$, the homomorphism $r_{N(X),A}$ induces a homomorphism

$$r_A : \text{Pic } X / \varphi^*\text{Pic } Y \longrightarrow \text{Pic } A_K.$$

By Lemma 2.1, $r_{N(X),A}(\text{Pic } X)$ is of finite index in $\text{Pic } A_K$. Thus the image $\text{Im } r_A$ is a subgroup of finite index, of $\text{Pic } A_K$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.2, $\text{Pic } A_K$ is also a finitely generated abelian group of rank $\rho(X) - \rho(Y)$. Now subgroups of $\text{Pic } A_K$ are all finitely generated abelian groups. So, we may speak of their rank. We denote the \mathbb{Q} -linear extension of r_A by

$$(r_A)_{\mathbb{Q}} : (\text{Pic } X / \text{Pic } Y)_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow (\text{Pic } A_K)_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

$(r_A)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is a surjective linear map.

Lemma 2.3. *MW(φ) is a finitely generated abelian group and satisfies*

$$\text{rank MW}(\varphi) = \text{rank Pic } A_K - \text{rank NS}(A_K).$$

Proof. Since c is surjective and its kernel is $\text{Pic}^0 A_K$, we have

$$\text{rank Pic}^0 A_K = \text{rank Pic } A_K - \text{rank NS}(A_K).$$

As well-known, \hat{A}_K and A_K are mutually isogenous over K . Thus, $\text{MW}(\varphi) = A(K)$ is also a finitely generated abelian group of the same rank as $\text{Pic}^0 A_K = \hat{A}_K(K)$. Combining this with the formula above, we obtain the desired equality. \square

Lemma 2.4. (1) $\sum_{i=1}^k (m_i - 1)$ divisor classes

$$D_{ij} \ (1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq m_i - 1)$$

are \mathbb{Q} -linearly independent in $(\text{Pic } X / \varphi^*\text{Pic } Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

$$(2) \dim \text{Ker}((r_A)_{\mathbb{Q}}) = \sum_{i=1}^k (m_i - 1).$$

Proof. Let us show (1). There is a large sufficiently divisible number M such that MD_{ij} are all Cartier. We suffice to show that there is no non-trivial relation among $1 + \sum_{i=1}^k (m_i - 1)$ Cartier divisor classes

$$\varphi^*L \ (L \in \text{Pic } Y), MD_{ij} \ (1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq m_i - 1).$$

Since Y is projective and \mathbb{Q} -factorial, we may assume that L is the class of $H_1 - H_2$, where H_i are effective Cartier divisors. Consider the equation in $\text{Pic } X$ with integral coefficients:

$$c\varphi^*H_1 + \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{m_i-1} c_{ij}D_{ij} = c\varphi^*H_2.$$

Let $C \subset Y$ be a smooth curve which is a complete intersection of $\dim Y - 1$ sufficiently general very ample divisors. Pulling back the above equation to the induced fibration $X_C = X \times_C Y \longrightarrow C$, one obtains the same equation as above, but with $\dim C = 1$. Let $S \subset X_C$ be a normal projective surface which is a complete intersection of $\dim X_C - 2$ sufficiently general very ample divisors.

By pulling back the above equation further to the induced fibration $S \rightarrow C$, one obtains the same equation as above, but now $\dim S = 2$ and $\dim C = 1$. Hence $c_{ij} = 0$ by the Zariski lemma (see eg. [1, Chap. III, Lemma 8.3]). This implies $cL = 0$ in $\text{Pic}(Y)$ as well.

Let us show (2). It is clear that the \mathbb{Q} -divisor classes generated by the divisors in (1) are in the kernel. Let us show the other inclusion. The argument below is quite close to the original argument in Shioda-Tate formula (see [20, 22]).

Let D be an integral Cartier divisor on X such that $\text{cl}(D|A) = 0$. It suffices to show that the linear equivalence class of D is proportional to the sum of divisors in (1) modulo $\varphi^*\text{Pic} Y$. (Indeed, X is \mathbb{Q} -factorial.)

By $\text{cl}(D|A) = 0$, there is an element $f \in K(A) \setminus \{0\}$ such that $D|A = \text{div} f$ as divisors on A . Since $K(A) = \mathbb{C}(X)$ and since K is the function field of Y , regarding f as an element of $\mathbb{C}(X)$, one can also write this equality as $(D - \text{div} f)|A = 0$. This is again an equality of Weil divisors, but here we regard $\text{div} f$ as a divisor on X . Then the support of $D - \text{div} f$ does not dominate Y . So, by replacing D by a suitable linearly equivalent divisor, we may (and will) assume that $\text{Supp} D$ does not dominate Y . By $\text{Supp} D = \cup D_i$, we denote the irreducible decomposition of $\text{Supp} D$. Since φ is equi-dimensional in codimension 1 and $\varphi(D_i) \neq Y$, it follows that $\varphi(D_i)$ are Weil divisors on Y . Again, since both X and Y are \mathbb{Q} -factorial and since φ is equi-dimensional in codimension 1, there are positive integers n_i and n'_{ij} such that each $n_i D_i$ is either an element of $\varphi^*\text{Pic} Y$, or one of $n'_{ij} D_{ij}$ ($1 \leq i \leq k, 0 \leq j \leq m_i - 1$). Since $\sum_{j=0}^{m_i-1} c_{ij} D_{ij} \in \varphi^*\text{Pic} Y$ for suitably chosen $c_{ij} > 0$, the result follows. \square

By Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\text{rank Pic } A_K = \rho(X) - \rho(Y) - \sum_{i=1}^k (m_i - 1).$$

Substituting this into the equality in Lemma 2.3, we obtain the desired formula in Theorem 1.1.

3. Applications for an abelian fibered hyperkähler manifold

In this section, we shall work in the category of complex analytic spaces. Especially, unless stated otherwise, the term *generic point* means a closed point being in the complement of the union of countably many proper closed analytic subvarieties.

By a *hyperkähler manifold* we mean a compact simply-connected complex Kähler manifold M having everywhere non-degenerate holomorphic 2-form σ_M such that $H^0(M, \Omega_M^2) = \mathbb{C}\sigma_M$. We note that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M$ is even.

For example, a K3 surface S is a 2-dimensional hyperkähler manifold and its Hilbert scheme of 0-dimensional closed subscheme of length d

$$S^{[d]} := \text{Hilb}^d S$$

is a hyperkähler manifold of dimension $2d$ ([2]).

By a result of Matsushita [13, 14], any abelian fibered hyperkähler manifold satisfies the conditions (1)-(4) required in Theorem 1.1 except perhaps the projectivity. See also Proposition 3.2 about the projectivity of a fibered hyperkähler manifold.

We refer to the readers an excellent account [7, Part III] for basic properties about hyperkähler manifolds.

In this section, we shall show the following:

Theorem 3.1. (1) For each positive integer $d \geq 2$ and each integer ρ with $2 \leq \rho \leq 20$, there is an abelian fibered hyperkähler manifold $f_{d,\rho} : M_{d,\rho} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^d$ of dimension $2d$ such that $\rho(M_{d,\rho}) = \rho$ and $\text{rank MW}(f_{d,\rho}) = \rho - 2$.

(2) For each positive integer $d \geq 2$, there is an abelian fibered hyperkähler manifold $f_d : M_d \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^d$ of dimension $2d$ such that the Picard number of all smooth closed fibers are greater than 1 but the Picard number of the generic fiber over $\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{P}^d)$ is 1. More geometrically, all the smooth fibers are isomorphic to E_ζ^d but the generic fiber $A = M_\eta$ is simple over $\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{P}^d)$. Here E_ζ is the elliptic curve of period $\zeta = e^{2\pi i/3}$ and E_ζ^d is the d -th self-product of E_ζ .

In the rest, we shall prove Theorem 3.1.

Let us start with the following projectivity criterion due to F. Campana:

Proposition 3.2. Let $f : M \rightarrow B$ be a surjective morphism from a hyperkähler manifold to a normal projective variety B with connected fibers. Assume that $0 < \dim B < \dim M = 2d$ and M has a subvariety S such that $f(S) = B$ and $\dim S = \dim B$. Then M is projective and the generic fiber M_t is an abelian variety.

Proof. By definition, M is Kähler. By Matsushita [13], any generic fiber $M_t = f^{-1}(t)$ is a smooth Lagrangian submanifold, i.e., $\sigma_M|_{M_t} = 0$ and $\dim M_t = \dim M/2 = d$. (Note that in [13], M is also assumed to be projective. However, the argument there works for non-projective M if one uses a Kähler class of M instead of an ample class of M used there.) This implies that

$$\Omega_{M_t}^1 \simeq N_{M_t/M} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{M_t}^{\oplus d}$$

and therefore, that M_t is a d -dimensional complex torus. Moreover, by Voisin's lemma [5], M_t is also projective. Thus M_t is an abelian variety. Since B is projective and $f(S) = B$, we have

$$d = \dim B = a(B) \leq a(S) \leq \dim S = d.$$

Here $a(S)$ (resp. $a(B)$) is the algebraic dimension of S (resp. B). Thus, $a(S) = \dim S = d$. Hence S is bimeromorphic to a projective variety. In particular,

S is covered by complete algebraic curves. Thus, any two generic points in M can be connected by a chain of complete algebraic curves. Therefore, M is Moishezon, i.e., $\dim M = a(M)$, by a result of Campana [3] (see also [4] for a different approach). Since M is Kähler, M is then projective by Moishezon's criterion [16]. \square

Proposition 3.3. *Assume that there is an abelian fibered (projective) hyperkähler manifold $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^d$ having holomorphic zero section O and r holomorphic sections, say S_i ($1 \leq i \leq r$), which are linearly independent in $\text{MW}(f)$. Then, there is an abelian fibered projective hyperkähler manifold $f' : M' \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^d$ such that $\dim M' = \dim M$, $\text{rank MW}(f') = r$, and $\rho(M') = r + 2$.*

In general, by a *holomorphic section* of a fiber space $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$, we mean a subvariety $S \subset X$ such that $\varphi|_S : S \rightarrow Y$ is an isomorphism. One can then identify S with a holomorphic map $Y \rightarrow X$ given by $t \mapsto (\varphi|_S)^{-1}(t)$.

Proof. Let $u : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ be the Kuranishi family of M , in which we assume that $0 \in \mathcal{K}$, $M = \mathcal{U}_0 := u^{-1}(0)$. Each fiber $\mathcal{U}_t := u^{-1}(t)$ ($t \in \mathcal{K}$) is a hyperkähler manifold. Let σ_t be an everywhere non-degenerate holomorphic 2-form on \mathcal{U}_t . Then, by choosing a marking $\iota : R^2 u_* \mathbb{Z} \simeq \Lambda \times \mathcal{K}$, we can define the period map $p : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$; $t \mapsto [\iota(\sigma_t)]$. Here

$$\mathcal{P} := \{[\sigma] \in \mathbb{P}(\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}) \mid (\sigma, \sigma) = 0, (\sigma, \bar{\sigma}) > 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}(\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}).$$

By the local Torelli theorem, p is a local isomorphism (see eg. [7, Part III]). Thus we can (and will) identify \mathcal{K} with a small open neighborhood of $p(0)$ in \mathcal{P} , say W , via p .

In what follows, we freely shrink $0 = p(0) \in W$ whenever we need to do so.

Let L be the pullback of the hyperplane on \mathbb{P}^d by f . Then $f = \Phi|_D$. Here $\Phi|_D$ is the morphism associated with the complete linear system $|L|$. Note that O and S_i are Lagrangian submanifolds of M , because they are isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^d and \mathbb{P}^d has no non-zero global holomorphic 2-form. Note also that $H^2(\mathbb{P}^d, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$.

Let us consider the deformation of M , say $W(r) \subset W$, which keeps the class $[L]$ being $(1, 1)$ -class and the Lagrangian submanifolds O, S_i ($1 \leq i \leq r$) being Lagrangian. As in Sawon [18], it follows from [14] and [23] that $W(r)$ is the intersection of $W \subset \mathbb{P}(\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}})$ with $2 + r$ rational hyperplanes, say H_j ($1 \leq j \leq 2 + r$), corresponding to the required conditions on L, O and S_i ($1 \leq i \leq r$). Note that $0 \in W(r)$.

Let $w : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow W(r)$ be the family induced from the universal family $u : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{K} = W$. By construction, the line bundle L and Lagrangian submanifolds O and S_i ($1 \leq i \leq r$) are all extended over $W(r)$, say, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{O} and \mathcal{S}_i . It is shown by [15] (see also [18]) that $h^0(\mathcal{M}_t, \mathcal{L}_t) = d + 1$ and $h^i(\mathcal{M}_t, \mathcal{L}_t) = 0$ ($i \geq 1$) for all $t \in W(r)$. Thus, $w_* \mathcal{L}$ is a locally free sheaf and satisfies the base change property. Thus, by the freeness of $|L|$, the linear system $|\mathcal{L}|$ is w -free

and gives a fibration $\tilde{f} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^d \times W(r)$ with holomorphic sections $\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{S}_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$), over $W(r)$. Here, we also used the fact that \mathbb{P}^d is rigid.

Fiberwisely, \tilde{f} induces an abelian fibration $\tilde{f}_t : \mathcal{M}_t \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^d$ by [13] (see also Proposition 3.2) with holomorphic sections $\mathcal{O}_t := \mathcal{O}|_{\mathcal{M}_t}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{i,t} := \mathcal{S}_i|_{\mathcal{M}_t}$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$) for each $t \in W(r)$. By Proposition 3.2, \mathcal{M}_t ($t \in W(r)$) is projective. We take \mathcal{O}_t as the origin of the Mordell-Weil group $\text{MW}(\tilde{f}_t)$. The sections $\mathcal{S}_{i,t}$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$) are naturally regarded as elements of $\text{MW}(\tilde{f}_t)$.

Claim 3.4. For a generic point $t \in W(r)$, one has:

- (1) $\rho(\mathcal{M}_t) \leq 2 + r$ and
- (2) $\mathcal{S}_{i,t}$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$) are linearly independent in the Mordell-Weil group $\text{MW}(\tilde{f}_t)$.

Proof. By definition of generic point, we may (and will) show (1) and (2) individually.

Let us show (1). Let l be the number of independent rational hyperplanes among H_j ($1 \leq j \leq 2 + r$). Let $V \subset W(r)$ be the set of points $t \in W(r)$ such that the number of independent element $h \in \Lambda$ satisfying that $(h, p(\sigma_t)) = 0$ is exactly l .

Since each rational hyperplane in $\mathbb{P}(\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}})$ is of the form $(h, *) = 0$ for some $h \in \Lambda \setminus \{0\}$, they are countable in number. Thus V is the complement of the union of countably many proper closed analytic subsets of $W(r)$.

Let $t \in V$. Then, by the Lefschetz (1, 1)-Theorem, $\rho(\mathcal{M}_t) = l \leq 2 + r$. This implies (1).

Let us show (2). Let $c := (c_i)_{i=1}^r \in \mathbb{Z}^r \setminus \{0\}$. Consider the subset

$$\mathcal{D}_c := \left\{ t \in W(r) \mid \sum_{i=1}^r c_i \mathcal{S}_{i,t} = \mathcal{O}_t \text{ in } \text{MW}(\tilde{f}_t) \right\},$$

and its Zariski closure $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_c$ in $W(r)$. Then $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_c$ is a closed analytic subset of $W(r)$.

Since $\mathbb{Z}^r \setminus \{0\}$ is a countable set, it now suffices to show that $0 \notin \overline{\mathcal{D}}_c$ for each c .

Choose a fiber F of $f = \tilde{f}_0 : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^d$ such that F is an abelian variety, with origin $\mathcal{O}|_F$, in which $\mathcal{S}_i|_F (= \mathcal{S}_i|_F)$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$) are linearly independent points. By assumption, such a fiber F exists. Choose then a smooth subfamily of \tilde{f} , say,

$$\pi := \tilde{f}_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow W(r)$$

such that $F = \mathcal{F}_0 = \pi^{-1}(0)$ and $\mathcal{F}_t = \pi^{-1}(t)$ is a fiber of \tilde{f}_t , which is an abelian variety with origin $\mathcal{O}|_{\mathcal{F}_t}$. Again such a family exists. Note also that $\mathcal{O}|_{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\mathcal{S}_i|_{\mathcal{F}}$ are holomorphic sections of π . Since an abelian variety contains no rational curve, the sum $\sum_{i=1}^r c_i \mathcal{S}_i|_{\mathcal{F}}$, as well as $\mathcal{O}|_{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\mathcal{S}_i|_{\mathcal{F}}$, defines (not only a rational section but also) a holomorphic section of π .

Regard $\mathcal{O}|\mathcal{F}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^r c_i \mathcal{S}_i|\mathcal{F}$ as holomorphic maps from $W(r)$ to \mathcal{F} , rather than subvarieties of \mathcal{F} . Then, by definition of \mathcal{D}_c , one has

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^r c_i \mathcal{S}_i|\mathcal{F}\right)(t) = (\mathcal{O}|\mathcal{F})(t)$$

for all $t \in \mathcal{D}_c$. Since the sections $\sum_{i=1}^r c_i \mathcal{S}_i|\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{O}|\mathcal{F}$ are both holomorphic, we obtain

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^r c_i \mathcal{S}_i|\mathcal{F}\right)(t') = (\mathcal{O}|\mathcal{F})(t')$$

for all $t' \in \overline{\mathcal{D}_c}$. Thus $0 \notin \overline{\mathcal{D}_c}$. Indeed, otherwise, we would have

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^r c_i \mathcal{S}_i|\mathcal{F}\right)(0) = (\mathcal{O}|\mathcal{F})(0), \text{ i.e., } \sum_{i=1}^r c_i \mathcal{S}_i|F = \mathcal{O}|F,$$

a contradiction to the choice of F . □

Let us return back to the proof of Proposition 3.3. Let $t \in W(r)$ be a generic point in Claim 3.4. Then $\text{rank MW}(f_t) \geq r$. Hence $\rho(\mathcal{M}_t) \geq 2 + r$ by Theorem 1.1. Combining this with Claim 3.4(1), we obtain $\rho(\mathcal{M}_t) = 2 + r$, and therefore, $\text{MW}(f_t) = r$. We may now take this $\tilde{f}_t : \mathcal{M}_t \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^d$ as $f' : M \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^d$. □

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 3.1.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. (1) As well-known (see eg. [17]), there is an elliptic K3 surface $\varphi : S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ with section \mathcal{O} such that $\rho(S) = 20$ and $\text{rank MW}(\varphi) = 18$.

We have an abelian fibration

$$\varphi_d : S^{[d]} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^d = \text{Sym}^d \mathbb{P}^1,$$

which is the composition of the Hilbert-Chow morphism $S^{[d]} \rightarrow \text{Sym}^d S$ and the natural map $\text{Sym}^d S \rightarrow \text{Sym}^d \mathbb{P}^1$ induced by φ . Moreover, the 0-section and 18 independent sections of φ give rise to the holomorphic 0-section and 18 independent holomorphic sections of φ_d . Here we also used the fact that $\text{Hilb}^d \mathbb{P}^1 \simeq \mathbb{P}^d$ and there is no non-trivial birational morphism from \mathbb{P}^d to \mathbb{P}^d .

Applying Proposition 3.3 to this φ_d , we obtain Theorem 3.1(1).

(2) Let $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be an elliptic K3 surface defined by the Weierstrass equation

$$y^2 = x^3 - (t^{11} - 1).$$

It is well-known that $\rho(S) = 2$ (see eg. [12]). This follows from the fact that S admits a non-symplectic automorphism g of maximum order 66:

$$g^*(x, y, t) = (\zeta_3 x, -y, \zeta_{11} t).$$

Here $\zeta_n = e^{2\pi i/n}$. Indeed, g then acts on the space of global holomorphic 2-forms as

$$g^* \frac{dx \wedge dt}{y} = \zeta_{66}^{-5} \frac{dx \wedge dt}{y}.$$

This implies that

$$20 = \varphi(66) \mid \text{rank} T(S) = 22 - \rho(S) \leq 21.$$

Here φ is the Euler function and $T(S)$ is the transcendental lattice of S (cf. [1]). Thus, $\rho(S) = 2$. From the Weierstrass equation, we also see that each smooth fiber of f is isomorphic to E_ζ and the singular fibers are all isomorphic to the cuspidal rational curve. Let $f_d : S^{[d]} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^d$ be the abelian fibration induced by f . Each smooth fiber of f_d is then isomorphic to the product abelian variety $E_{\zeta_3}^d$.

Let us consider the generic fiber A of f_d in the sense of scheme. A is an abelian variety defined over $K = \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{P}^d)$. We shall compute the rank of $\text{NS}(A_K)$ by using Theorem 1.1.

By $\rho(S) = 2$, we have

$$\rho(S^{[d]}) = \rho(S) + 1 = 3.$$

Here “+1” comes from the exceptional divisor E of the Hilbert-Chow morphism, which is generically the blow-up of the big diagonal of the Chow variety $\text{Sym}^d S$. Observe that the divisor $f_d^{-1}(\Delta)$, where Δ is the big diagonal of $\mathbb{P}^d = \text{Sym}^d \mathbb{P}^1$, consists of two irreducible components. Indeed, one component is E and the other component is the proper transform of $\overline{f_d}^{-1}(\Delta)$, where $\overline{f_d}$ is the natural morphism from $\text{Sym}^d S$ to $\text{Sym}^d \mathbb{P}^1$. Then, by Theorem 1.1,

$$0 \leq \text{rank MW}(f_d) \leq 3 - 1 - 1 - \text{rank NS}(A_K) = 1 - \text{rank NS}(A_K).$$

Since $\text{rank NS}(A_K) \geq 1$, this implies that $\text{rank NS}(A_K) = 1$. In particular, A is simple, i.e., A is not isogenous to the product of lower dimensional abelian varieties, over $\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{P}^d)$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1. □

It may be interesting to compare Theorem 3.1(2) with the following probably more standard:

Example 3.5. Let $f_i : S_i \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ ($i = 1, 2$) be two relatively minimal rational elliptic surfaces with section, such that discriminant locus $\Delta_i \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ of f_i are disjoint. Then, as is shown by [19], $X := S_1 \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} S_2$ is a smooth Calabi-Yau threefold having an abelian fibration $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ induced by f_1 and f_2 . In this example, assume further that f_i are generic in the sense that all singular fibers are nodal rational curves and that the generic fiber $S_{i,\eta}$ ($i = 1, 2$) are not mutually isogenous. (Most cases are such cases.) Then the Picard number of any closed generic fiber $S_{1,t} \times S_{2,t}$ and the Picard number of the generic fiber $S_{1,\eta} \times_{\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{P}^1)} S_{2,\eta}$ in the sense of scheme are all 2. This follows from the assumption that two direct factors are not mutually isogenous (cf. [19]).

Under the same assumption, by using Theorem 1.1, we also obtain that $\text{rank MW}(f) = 19 - 1 - 2 = 16 = 8 + 8 = \text{rank MW}(f_1) + \text{rank MW}(f_2)$.

References

- [1] W. Barth, K. Hulek, C. Peters, and A. Van de Ven, *Compact Complex Surfaces*, Second edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.
- [2] A. Beauville, *Variétés Kähleriennes dont la première classe de Chern est nulle*, J. Differential Geom. **18** (1983), no. 4, 755–782.
- [3] F. Campana, *Coréduction algébrique d'un espace analytique faiblement kählérien compact*, Invent. Math. **63** (1981), no. 2, 187–223.
- [4] ———, *Reduction d'Albanese d'un morphisme propre et faiblement kahlerien. I, II*, Compositio Math. **54** (1985), no. 3, 373–398; Compositio Math. **54** (1985), no. 3, 399–416.
- [5] ———, *Un critere d'isotrivialite pour les familles de varietes hyperkähleriennes sans facteur algebrique*, math.AG/0408148.
- [6] G. Cornell and J. H. Silverman, *Arithmetic Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986.
- [7] M. Gross, D. Huybrechts, and D. Joyce, *Calabi-Yau Manifolds and Related Geometries*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.
- [8] M. Hindry, A. Pacheco, and R. Wazir, *Fibrations et conjecture de Tate*, J. Number Theory **112** (2005), no. 2, 345–358.
- [9] B. Kahn, *Démonstration géométrique du théorème de Lang-Néron*, math.AG/0703063.
- [10] Y. Kawamata, *Crepan blowing-up of 3-dimensional canonical singularities and its application to degenerations of surfaces*, Ann. of Math. (2) **127** (1988), no. 1, 93–163.
- [11] ———, *On the cone of divisors of Calabi-Yau fiber spaces*, Internat. J. Math. **8** (1997), no. 5, 665–687.
- [12] S. Kondō, *Automorphisms of algebraic K3 surfaces which act trivially on Picard groups*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **44** (1992), no. 1, 75–98.
- [13] D. Matsushita, *On fibre space structures of a projective irreducible symplectic manifold*, Topology **38** (1999), no. 1, 79–83; *Addendum: "On fibre space structures of a projective irreducible symplectic manifold"*, Topology **40** (2001), no. 2, 431–432.
- [14] ———, *Equidimensionality of Lagrangian fibrations on holomorphic symplectic manifolds*, Math. Res. Lett. **7** (2000), no. 4, 389–391.
- [15] ———, *Higher direct images of dualizing sheaves of Lagrangian fibrations*, Amer. J. Math. **127** (2005), no. 2, 243–259.
- [16] B. Moishezon, *On n-dimensional compact complex manifolds having n algebraically independent meromorphic functions. I*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **30** (1966), 133–174.
- [17] K. Oguiso, *Local families of K3 surfaces and applications*, J. Algebraic Geom. **12** (2003), no. 3, 405–433.
- [18] J. Sawon, *Deformations of holomorphic Lagrangian fibrations*, math.AG/0509223.
- [19] C. Schoen, *On fiber products of rational elliptic surfaces with section*, Math. Z. **197** (1988), no. 2, 177–199.
- [20] T. Shioda, *On elliptic modular surfaces*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **24** (1972), 20–59.
- [21] ———, *On the Mordell-Weil lattices*, Comment. Math. Univ. St. Paul. **39** (1990), no. 2, 211–240.
- [22] ———, *Mordell-Weil lattices for higher genus fibration over a curve*, New trends in algebraic geometry (Warwick, 1996), 359–373, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 264, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [23] C. Voisin, *Sur la stabilité des sous-variétés lagrangiennes des variétés symplectiques holomorphes*, Complex projective geometry (Trieste, 1989/Bergen, 1989), 294–303, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 179, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1992.

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMICS
KEIO UNIVERSITY
4-1-1 HIYOSHI KOHOKU-KU
YOKOHAMA 223-8521, JAPAN
AND
SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS
KOREA INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY
SEOUL 130-722, KOREA
E-mail address: oguiso@hc.cc.keio.ac.jp