

## AUTOMORPHISM GROUP OF THE TERNARY TETRACODE

YOUNG HO PARK

ABSTRACT. We study the group structure of the automorphism group of the ternary self-dual tetracode of length 4.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $R$  be a ring. A *linear code* of length  $n$  over  $R$  is a  $R$ -submodule of  $R^n$ . We define an inner product on  $R^n$  by  $(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i$  where  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ . The *dual code*  $C^\perp$  of a code  $C$  of length  $n$  is defined to be  $C^\perp = \{y \in R^n \mid (y, x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in C\}$ .  $C$  is *self-orthogonal* if  $C \subset C^\perp$  and *self-dual* if  $C = C^\perp$ .

When considering code classification, a notion of equivalence is necessary. An  $n \times n$  matrix with coefficients in  $R$  is said to be *monomial* if there is exactly one nonzero entry in each row and column. The set of all invertible monomial transformations is denoted by  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_n(R)$ . A monomial matrix is called a *permutation matrix* if the only nonzero entry in each row and column is 1. Any monomial matrix  $M_n$  can be uniquely written as  $M = PD$  or  $M = DP$ , where  $P$  is a permutation matrix and  $D$  is a diagonal matrix. A monomial matrix  $M$  acts on the elements  $x \in R^n$  as  $x \mapsto xM$  and hence on codes. Two codes  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are *permutation equivalent* if there is a permutation matrix  $P$  such that  $C_1 P = C_2$ . There is a more general equivalence. Two codes  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are *(monomially) equivalent* if there exists an invertible monomial matrix  $M$  such that  $C_1 M = C_2$ . Note that if  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are monomially equivalent codes over  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  and if  $C_1$  is self-orthogonal, then so is  $C_2$ . The *automorphism group* of a code  $C$  of length  $n$  over  $R$  is the set of all

---

Received October 16, 2008. Revised November 29, 2008.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 95A.

Key words and phrases: self-dual code, tetra code, automorphism group.

monomial transformations  $M$  such that  $CM = C$ :

$$\text{Aut}(C) = \{M \in \mathcal{M} \mid CM = M\}$$

As described in [11], self-dual codes are an important class of linear codes, both theoretically and for practical reasons. Self-dual codes have received an enormous research effort. One of the most fundamental problem on self-dual codes is to classify them. See [2] for recent results. Such classification heavily relies on the knowledge of the so-called *mass formula*, i.e., counting formula for self-dual codes, and the sizes of automorphism groups. For example, the following mass formula for ternary codes of length  $n$  is well-known ([9, 10, 4]).

**THEOREM 1.1.** *There exists a ternary self-dual code of length  $n$  if and only if  $n$  is divisible by 4. In this case, the number of self-dual code of length  $n$  is given by*

$$2 \prod_{i=1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} (3^i + 1).$$

Suppose that  $C_1, \dots, C_r$  are all inequivalent ternary self-dual codes of length  $n$ . Then

$$(1) \quad 2 \prod_{i=1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} (3^i + 1) = \sum_{j=1}^r \frac{|\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{Z}_3)|}{|\text{Aut}(C_i)|}.$$

Thus the classification comes down to constructing inequivalent self-dual codes  $C_1, \dots, C_r$  which meets the equality (1). See [7] for details.

Recently, codes over  $\mathbb{Z}_m$  are studied in many places (see [8],[1], [6]). Classification of self-dual codes over these rings requires not just the size of automorphism groups of codes over the fields  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  but also the knowledge of their subgroups. This will be exploited in the forthcoming papers. However, the automorphism group  $\text{Aut}(T)$  of the tetracode  $T$  is given incorrectly in [7] and [11], which motivated this article. The results of this article can be used in classifying ternary self-dual codes of length a multiple of 4 over the rings  $\mathbb{Z}_{3m}$ .

### 2. Ternary tetracode

The tetracode is a ternary code  $T$  with generator matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to see that  $T$  is a self-dual code with 9 elements

$$T = \{0000, 0112, 0221, 1011, 1120, 1202, 2022, 2101, 2210\}$$

and any self-dual code of length 4 is equivalent to  $T$ . The automorphism group  $\text{Aut}(T)$  will be denoted by  $G$ . The mass formula (1) with  $n = 4$  gives

$$(2) \quad 2 \times (3 + 1) = \sum_{j=1}^r \frac{2^4 \cdot (4!)}{|\text{Aut}(C_j)|}.$$

Since there exists a unique inequivalent ternary code  $T$  of length 4, we have that

$$8 = \frac{2^4 \cdot (4!)}{|\text{Aut}(T)|}$$

which gives that  $G = \text{Aut}(T)$  has order 48. See [5] for the classification of ternary self-dual codes of small length.

**THEOREM 2.1.**  *$G$  can be generated by two elements*

$$\mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{c} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

*Proof.* Note that the actions of  $\mathbf{b}$  and  $\mathbf{c}$  are given by

$$(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4)\mathbf{b} = (a_2, a_3, a_4, -a_1), \quad (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4)\mathbf{c} = (a_3, a_2, a_4, a_1)$$

and  $T$  is invariant under  $\mathbf{b}$  and  $\mathbf{c}$ . It is easy to check that  $\mathbf{b}^4 = -I_4$ ,  $|\mathbf{b}| = 8$  and  $|\mathbf{c}| = 3$ . Therefore, the subgroup  $H$  generated by  $\mathbf{b}$  and  $\mathbf{c}$  contains at least 24 distinct elements  $\mathbf{b}^i \mathbf{c}^j$  where  $0 \leq i \leq 7, 0 \leq j \leq 2$ . Note that  $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4)\mathbf{c}\mathbf{b} = (a_2, a_4, a_1, -a_3)$  and that  $\mathbf{b}^i \mathbf{c}^j$  acts on  $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4)$  by a permutation of coordinates and the sign changes. Only when  $i = 1$  or  $7$ , the action of  $\mathbf{b}^i \mathbf{c}^j$  contains exactly one sign change. Now it is straightforward to check that  $\mathbf{c}\mathbf{b} \neq \mathbf{b}^i \mathbf{c}^j$  for  $i = 1, 7$  and  $j = 0, 1, 2$ . Hence  $H$  contains more than 24 elements and thus  $H = G$ .  $\square$

We used Theorem 2.1 to identify all elements of  $G$  given in Table 1. We also computed the conjugacy classes of  $G$  given in Table 2.



TABLE 2. Conjugacy classes of  $G$

Class	Elements	$ C_i $	$ g  (g \in C_i)$
$C_1$	1	1	1
$C_2$	2,4,11,14,20,30,31,39	8	3
$C_3$	3,5,6,7,17,23,26,32,42,43,44,46	12	2
$C_4$	8,13,24,28,33,40	6	8
$C_5$	9,16,21,25,36,41	6	8
$C_6$	10,18,19,29,35,38,45,47	8	6
$C_7$	12,15,22,27,34,37	6	4
$C_8$	48	1	2

### 3. Group Structure of $G$

Table 1 gives the class equation for  $G$ :

$$(3) \quad 48 = 1 + 1 + 6 + 6 + 6 + 8 + 8 + 12.$$

THEOREM 3.1. *The center of  $G$  is  $\{I_4, -I_4\}$*

*Proof.* This follows from the table of conjugacy classes. □

LEMMA 3.2. [3] *Suppose  $H$  is a  $p$ -subgroup of any group  $G$ . Then*

$$[N_G(H) : H] \equiv [G : H] \pmod{p}.$$

Take any subgroup  $H$  of order 8 of  $G$ . By the previous lemma

$$[N_G(H) : H] \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$$

Since the possibilities for  $|N_G(H)|$  are 8, 16, or 24, this implies that  $|N_G(H)| = 16$ . This gives the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.3. *Let  $H$  be any subgroup of order 8. Then any normalizer of  $H$  has order 16, and hence it is a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $G$ .*

The number  $N_2$  of Sylow 2-subgroups satisfies  $N_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ ,  $N_2 \mid 3$  so that the possibilities are  $N_2 = 1, 3$ . Using Theorem 3.3, we can obtain three Sylow 2-subgroups as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_1 &= N_G(\langle 8 \rangle) = \{1, 3, 8, 12, 15, 17, 22, 24, 25, 27, 32, 34, 37, 41, 46, 48\}, \\
 P_2 &= N_G(\langle 13 \rangle) = \{1, 5, 9, 12, 13, 15, 22, 23, 26, 27, 34, 36, 37, 40, 44, 48\}, \\
 P_3 &= N_G(\langle 28 \rangle) = \{1, 6, 7, 12, 15, 16, 21, 22, 27, 28, 33, 34, 37, 42, 43, 48\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since there are 8 elements of order 3, we see that Sylow 3-subgroups are the following four subgroups:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 &= \{1, 2, 4\}, & Q_2 &= \{1, 11, 31\}, \\ Q_3 &= \{1, 14, 20\}, & Q_4 &= \{1, 30, 39\}. \end{aligned}$$

We summarize the results about Sylow subgroups.

**THEOREM 3.4.**  *$G$  has four Sylow 3-subgroups of order 3 and three Sylow 2-subgroups of order 16. Thus no Sylow subgroups are normal.*

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{i} = g_{22} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \mathbf{j} = g_{34} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \mathbf{c} = g_{20} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \mathbf{d} = g_{46} &= \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

We have the following relations about these elements.

$$\begin{aligned} (4) \quad & \mathbf{i}^2 = \mathbf{j}^2 = -I, \quad \mathbf{ji} = \mathbf{i}^3\mathbf{j}, \\ (5) \quad & \mathbf{c}^3 = I, \quad \mathbf{ci} = \mathbf{jc}, \quad \mathbf{cj} = \mathbf{ijc} \\ (6) \quad & \mathbf{d}^2 = I, \quad \mathbf{di} = \mathbf{ijd}, \quad \mathbf{dj} = \mathbf{i}^2\mathbf{jd}, \quad \mathbf{dc} = \mathbf{ijc}^2\mathbf{d} \end{aligned}$$

The equation (4) shows that the subgroup

$$K = \langle \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \rangle = \{\mathbf{i}^i\mathbf{j}^j \mid 0 \leq i \leq 3, 0 \leq j \leq 1\}$$

is isomorphic to the quaternion group of order 8. It turns out that

$$K = C1 \cup C8 \cup C7$$

and hence  $K$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ . The equation (5) shows that the set

$$(7) \quad N = \{\mathbf{i}^i\mathbf{j}^j\mathbf{c}^c \mid 0 \leq i \leq 3, 0 \leq j \leq 1, 0 \leq c \leq 2\}$$

is closed and hence a subgroup of  $G$  of order 24, which must be normal.

**THEOREM 3.5.**  *$N$  is the unique subgroup of order 24 and*

$$N = C1 \cup C8 \cup C2 \cup C6 \cup C7.$$

*Proof.* A normal subgroup is a union of conjugacy classes including  $C1$ . The only way to get a normal subgroup  $N'$  of order 24 is by the summation  $24 = 1 + 1 + 6 + 8 + 8$ . Thus  $N'$  is a union of  $N_1 = C1 \cup C8 \cup C2 \cup C6$  and one of  $C4$ ,  $C5$  or  $C7$ . We find that  $g_{48}g_{40} = g_9 \in (C8)(C4) \cap C5$  and  $g_{48}g_{41} = g_8 \in (C8)(C5) \cap C4$ . These mean that

$N_1 \cup C4$  and  $N_1 \cup C5$  are not closed, and hence not a subgroup. Therefore,  $N'$  must be  $N_1 \cup C7$  and it is the unique subgroup of order 24, namely  $N' = N$ .  $\square$

In [7] and [11], it is incorrectly given that  $G = 2.S_4$ , where  $S_4$  is the symmetric group of 4 letters. To see this, just notice that the center of  $N$  is  $\{g_1, g_{48}\}$ , while  $S_4$  has the trivial center.

Finally we give a convenient presentation of  $G$  by generators and relations. Note that  $\mathbf{d} \in C3$  so that  $\mathbf{d} \notin N$ . Thus  $G = N \cup N\mathbf{d}$ . This together with the equation (7) gives the following theorem.

**THEOREM 3.6.** *Let  $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}$  as above equations (4), (5) and (6). Then*

$$G = \{\mathbf{i}^i \mathbf{j}^j \mathbf{c}^c \mathbf{d}^d \mid 0 \leq i \leq 4, 0 \leq j \leq 1, 0 \leq c \leq 2, 0 \leq d \leq 1\}.$$

## References

- [1] J. Balmaceda, R. Betty and F. Nemenzo, *Mass formula for self-dual codes over  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$* , Discrete Math, Vol 308, 2008, 2984–3002
- [2] W. C Huffman, *On the classification and enumeration of self-dual codes*, Finite fields and their appl., Vol. 11, 451–490, 2005
- [3] Hungerford, *Algebra*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1974,
- [4] F. J. MacWilliams and N. J. A. Sloane, *The theory of error-correcting codes*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1977.
- [5] C. L. Mallows, V. Pless and N. J. A Sloane, *Self-dual codes over  $GF(3)$* , Siam J. Appl. Math., Vol 31, 1976.
- [6] K. Nagata, F. Nemenzo and H. Wada, *The number of self-dual codes over  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^3}$* , Des. Codes Cryptogr., Vol 50, 2009, 291–303
- [7] G. Nebe, E. Rains, and N.J.A. Sloane, *Self-dual codes and Invariant Theory*, Springer, Berlin, 2006
- [8] Y. H. Park, *Modular independence and generator matrices for codes over  $\mathbb{Z}_m$* , Des. Codes Cryptogr, Vol 50, 2009, 147–162.
- [9] V. Pless, *The number of isotropic subspaces in a finite geometry*, Rend. Cl. Scienze fisiche, Vol 39, 1965, 418–421.
- [10] V. Pless, *On the uniqueness of the Golay codes*, J. Comb. Theory, Vol 5, 1968, 215–228
- [11] E. Rains and N. J. A. Sloane, *Self-dual codes*, in the Handbook of Coding Theory, V. S. Pless and W. C. Huffman, eds., Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1998, 177–294.

Department of Mathematics  
Kangwon National University  
*E-mail:* yhpark@kangwon.ac.kr