4-6)

한국치위생교육학회지: 제8권 제4호, 2008

J Korean Acad Dental Hygiene Education Vol.8, No.4, 2008

# 영향을 미치는 요인

## 1. 서론

2000

18

1). 가 가 3)

가 가

가 가

,

.

.

.

## 2. 연구대상 및 방법

2.1 연구대상

5, 6 , 1123 , 394 729

2.2 연구도구

## 丑 1. General characteristics

characteristics	Categories Number		%
Gender	Male	342	46.9
	Female	387	53.1
Grade	5th	297	40.7
Grade	6th	432	59.3
Experience in visiting	Yes	721	98.9
dental clinic	No	8	1.1
Purpose of visiting dental	Prevention	253	18.3
clinic*	Treatment	546	39.6
	Examination	581	42.1
		729	100.0

<sup>\*</sup> multiple response

, <sup>8-11)</sup> 가 가 ? . ,

2 , 2 , 5 , 11 , 5 , 7 , 7 , Cronbach's = .686 .

## 2.3 자료수집 및 분석방법

2007 9 3 9 29 , , , , , , , , , ,

SPSS Windows(Version12.0)

,

t-test

Table 2

## 3. 연구성적

## 3.2 연구변수의 서술적 통계

## 3.1 연구대상자의 일반적 특성

Table 1 . 342 (46.9%), 387 , 5 297 (40.7%), 6 (53.1%)432 (59.3%)

721 (98.9%), 8

(1.1%)253 (18.3%), 546 (39.6%),581 (42.1%)

5 3.51

3.82 가 3.43 , 3.40 , 3.39

3.88 가 1.79 가 3.51 , 3.43 , 3.28 ,

2.77

#### 丑 2. Statistics of variables

Variables	Mean	SD
previous oral health related behaviors	2.77	0.82
perceived oral health status	3.28	0.81
perceived benefit	3.88	0.68
perceived barriers	1.79	0.67
self-esteem	3.51	0.84
self-efficacy	3.43	0.72
oral health promotion behavior	3.51	0.60
Preventive care	3.43	1.06
Used of Fluoride	3.39	1.02
Tooth brushing method	3.40	0.86
Behavior of alimentation	3.82	0.67

## 3. 일반적 특성에 따른 연구변수간의 차이

가 Table 3 . 가 2.99 , 가 3.18 가 (p < 0.01),가 3.45 , 가 3.56 가 (p<0.05). 가 가 5 3.23 , 6 가 2.30

#### 丑 3. Difference of variables by general characteristics

Mean ±SD

Variables	Ge	nder		Grade		
	male(n=342)	female(n=387)		5(n=297)	6(n=432)	ı
previous oral health related behaviors	2.99±.64	3.18±.64	-3.82	3.23±.68	2.30±.60	4.79
perceived oral health status	3.29±.80	3.26±.80	0.52	3.32±.78	3.24±.81	1.33
perceived benefit	3.85±.57	3.85±.60	0.02	3.97±.60	3.77±.55	4.49
perceived barriers	2.15±.58	2.09±.58	1.38	2.03±.61	2.18±.54	-3.63~
self-esteem	3.30±.67	3.28±.78	0.37	3.39±.77	3.22±.69	3.04
self-efficacy	3.44±.61	3.38±.63	-0.65	3.57±.64	3.39±.60	3.78
oral health promotion behavior	3.45±.61	3.56±.59	-2.50	3.70±.58	3.37±.59	7.56

<sup>\*</sup>p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01

丑 4. Difference of variables by the purpose of visiting dental clinic

Mea n±SD

Variables	Purpose of visiting dental clinic					
	Prevention (n=253)	t	Treatment (n=546)	t	Examination (n=581)	t
previous oral health related behaviors	3.17±.66	2.49*	3.08±.61	0.52	3.12±.66	1.09
perceived oral health status	3.30±.81	0.42	3.20±.78	-0.65	3.30±.79	0.38
perceived benefit	3.94±.59	<b>3</b> .11*	3.85±.56	1.69	3.87±.60	-0.38
perceived barriers	2.12±.57	-0.00	2.14±.56	-1.73	2.09±.57	-0.21
self-esteem	3.36±.74	1.90	3.30±.70	1.37	3.30±.75	0.26
self-efficacy	3.50±.65	1.47	3.45±.61	1.88	3.48±.63	0.51
oral health promotion behavior	3.58±.81	2.18*	3.50±.59	0.50	3.54±.60	0.64

p<0.05

丑 5. Multiple regression by dependent variables of oral health promotion behavior

variables	В	SE	Beta	t	Sig	R²
previous oral health related behaviors	0.35	0.03	0.38	11.64	0.00**	0.49
perceived oral health status	0.10	0.02	0.13	4.51	0.00**	
perceived benefit	0.15	0.03	0.14	4.84	0.00**	
perceived barriers	-0.12	0.03	0.14	4.84	0.00**	
self-esteem	0.06	0.30	0.73	2.04	0.04*	
self-efficacy	0.13	0.03	0.13	3.53	0.00**	

<sup>\*</sup>p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01

(p<0.01).

5 3.97 , 6 3.77 , 5 2.03 , 6 2.18 , 5 3.39 , 6 3.22 , 5 3.57 , 6 3.39 , 7 5 3.70 , 6 3.37 7 5 5

가 (p<0.05).

.

## 5. 구강건강즁진행위에 영향을 미치는 요인

<Table 5>

가

## 4. 치과이용목적에 따른 연구변수간의 차이

가

,

, <Table 4>

, 49% .

3.17 ,

3.94 , 3.58

```
4. 종괄 및 고안
                                                    가 2.99 ,
                                 3.18
                                                    가
              가
                               (p<0.01),
                                                    가 3.45 ,
                                가 3.56
                                                 가 (p<0.05),
                               가
                                                          가
                                                          가 5
                                           2.30 가
                                  3.23 , 6
                                            5 3.97 , 6 3.77
         6).
 가
                                            5 2.03 , 6 2.18
                                          5 3.39 , 6 3.22 ,
                                       5 3.57 , 6 3.39 ,
                                       가 5 3.70 , 6 3.37
                                       가
                                             (p < 0.01).
                                                      가
          5
                 3.51
                                    5
                                             6
                                       가
                3.82 ,
      3.43 ,
3.40 ,
           3.39
                 가 가
                                                       가
                                                가
               , 가
            가
                                               가
                       3.88
 가
                          1.79
 가
                          3.51
                               가
           3.43 ,
3.28 ,
                     2.77
```

12-14)

.  $7 \label{eq:continuous}$  19), Slade $^{15)}$ 

가

가 .

**5. 결 론** 

4 5, 6 729

. , 5 가 . ,

・ フト <sup>18)</sup>,

가 3.43 , 3.28 , 2.77 ,

3.88

3.51

1.79 . 2. 7

가 , 가 : 8 4 , 2008

, , , , ,

3. 가

,

, 가

4.

, ,

, 49% .

.

## 참고문헌

- 1. WHO: Report of a joint WHO/FAO expert consultation 2003.
- 2. ,

2003 . 3.

2007.

5. , , , 3 .

2005;29(2):121-130.

6. , , 3 . 가.

2006;30(3): 231-242.

7. . : . . .

2006.

9. . 6 . 1998;61(12): 995-1003.

- 12. Pender NJ. Health Promotion in Nursing Practice, 3rd Edition. Appleton and Lange Stamford Connecticut. 1996.

14. 1999;5(2):347-358.

15. Slade GD. Assessment of Oral Health-Related Quality of Life. In Oral Health-Related Quality of Life. Inglehart MR, Bagramin RA, eds. 2002;29-46, Quintessence Publishing Co, inc 2002;29-46.

- 16. Becker MH. The health belief model and personal health behavior; Charles B. Slack. 1974.
- 17.

2001.

- 18. Hanner ME. Factors related to promotion of health-seeking behaviors in the aged. Unpublished doctoral dissertation. 1986.
- Bandura A. Self-efficacy; Toward a unifying theory of behavioral change. Psychological Review 1997;84(2):191-215.

### **Abstract**

## The Factors to Affect the Oral Health Promotion Behavior of Elementary School Students

## Soo-Kyung Kim

Department of Dantal Hygiene, Shinheung College

Key words: Elementary School Students, oral health promotion behavior

This study set out to identify the factors to affect the oral health promotion behavior of elementary school students and to provide a framework to develop educational programs to promote their oral health promotion behavior.

A survey was conducted to 729 fifth and sixth graders attending four elementary schools in Seoul. The variables were measured with a five-point Likert scale and include previous oral health related behaviors, perceived oral health status, perceived benefit, perceived barriers, self-esteem, self-efficacy, and oral health promotion behavior. First, the subjects scored relatively high 3.51 points out of 5 in oral health promotion behavior. They also scored 3.88 points in perceived benefit, 3.51 in self-esteem, 3.43 in self-efficacy, 3.28 in perceived oral health status, 2.77 in previous oral health related behaviors, and 1.79 in perceived barriers.

Second, a significant difference was observed according to gender in previous oral health related behaviors and oral health promotion behavior. And a significant difference was also found according to grade in previous oral health related behaviors, perceived benefit, perceived barriers, self-esteem, self-efficacy, and oral health promotion behavior. Third, when they had an experience of visiting a dental clinic for preventive purposes, a significant difference was found according to the purposes of going to a dentist in previous oral health related behaviors, perceived benefit, and oral health promotion behavior. And fourth, multiple regression analysis was carried out with oral health promotion behavior as a dependent variable. As a result, all the research variables, which include previous oral health related behaviors, perceived oral health status, perceived benefit, per-

ceived barriers, self-esteem, and self-efficacy, turned out to have significant influences on oral health promotion behavior. And their explanatory power was 49%.

Conclusions: Those factors that were identified to affect the oral health promotion behavior of elementary school children will be put into practical use in developing and applying educational programs to promote their oral health.

Key words: previous oral health related behaviors, perceived oral health status, perceived benefit, perceived barriers, self-esteem, self-efficacy, oral health promotion behavior

-2008.10.16 -2008.11.27 -2008.12.19