

## Real Hypersurfaces in Complex Hyperbolic Space with Commuting Ricci Tensor

U-HANG KI

*The National Academy of Sciences, Seoul 137-044, Korea*

*e-mail*: uhangki2005@yahoo.co.kr

YOUNG JIN SUH

*Department of Mathematics, Kyungpook National University, Daegu 702-701, Korea*

*e-mail*: yjsuh@knu.ac.kr

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper we consider a real hypersurface  $M$  in complex hyperbolic space  $H_n\mathbb{C}$  satisfying  $S\phi A = \phi AS$ , where  $\phi$ ,  $A$  and  $S$  denote the structure tensor, the shape operator and the Ricci tensor of  $M$  respectively. Moreover, we give a characterization of real hypersurfaces of type A in  $H_n\mathbb{C}$  by such a commuting Ricci tensor.

### 0. Introduction

A complex  $n$ -dimensional Kaehler manifold of constant holomorphic sectional curvature  $c$  is called a complex space form, which is denoted by  $M_n(c)$ . As is well-known, a connected complete and simply connected complex space form is complex analytically isometric to a complex projective space  $P_n\mathbb{C}$ , a complex Euclidean space  $\mathbb{C}^n$  or a complex hyperbolic space  $H_n\mathbb{C}$  according as  $c > 0$ ,  $c = 0$  or  $c < 0$ .

Let  $M$  be a real hypersurface in  $M_n(c)$ . Then  $M$  has an almost contact metric structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  induced from the Kaehler structure  $J$  and the Kaehlerian metric  $G$  of  $M_n(c)$ . The structure vector field  $\xi$  is said to be *principal* if  $A\xi = \alpha\xi$  holds on  $M$ , where  $A$  denotes the shape operator of  $M$  in  $M_n(c)$  and  $\alpha = \eta(A\xi)$ . A real hypersurface is said to be a *Hopf hypersurface* if the structure vector field  $\xi$  of  $M$  is principal. For examples of such kind of Hopf hypersurfaces in  $P_n\mathbb{C}$  we give some homogeneous real hypersurfaces which are represented as orbits under certain subgroup of the projective unitary group  $PU(n+1)$  ([8]).

Berndt [1] showed that all real hypersurfaces with constant principal curvature of a complex hyperbolic space  $H_n\mathbb{C}$  are realized as the tubes of constant radius over certain submanifolds when the structure vector field  $\xi$  is principal. Nowadays in

---

Received October 11, 2007.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C40, 53C15.

Key words and phrases: real hypersurface, Ricci tensor, Hopf hypersurface.

The second author was supported by grant Proj. No. R17-2008-001-01001 from Korea Science & Engineering Foundation and by grant Proj. No. KRF-2007-313-C00058 from Korea Research Foundation

$H_n\mathbb{C}$  they said to be of type  $(A_0)$ ,  $(A_1)$ ,  $(A_2)$  and  $(B)$ . He proved the following :

**Theorem B ([1]).** Let  $M$  be a real hypersurface in  $H_n\mathbb{C}$ . Then  $M$  has constant principal curvatures and  $\xi$  is principal if and only if  $M$  is locally congruent to one of the following :

- $(A_0)$  a self-tube, that is, a horosphere,
- $(A_1)$  a geodesic hypersphere or a tube over a hyperplane  $H_{n-1}\mathbb{C}$ ,
- $(A_2)$  a tube over a totally geodesic  $H_k\mathbb{C}$  ( $1 \leq k \leq n-2$ ),
- $(B)$  a tube over a totally real hyperbolic space  $H_n\mathbb{R}$ .

On the other hand, we remark that every homogeneous real hypersurface in  $P_n\mathbb{C}$  was proved a Hopf hypersurface (cf. [2], [8]). However, in  $H_n\mathbb{C}$  there exists some kinds of homogeneous real hypersurfaces, called ruled real hypersurfaces, which are not Hopf hypersurfaces (see [6]).

Let  $M$  be a real hypersurface of type  $(A_0)$ ,  $(A_1)$  or  $(A_2)$  in a complex hyperbolic space  $H_n\mathbb{C}$ . Now, hereafter unless otherwise stated, such hypersurfaces are said to be of *type A* for our convenience sake. Now we introduce a theorem due to Montiel and Romero [7] as follows:

**Theorem MR ([7]).** *If the shape operator  $A$  and the structure operator  $\phi$  commute to each other, then a real hypersurface of a complex hyperbolic space  $H_n\mathbb{C}$  is locally congruent to be of type A.*

Now let us denote by  $S$  the Ricci tensor of  $M$  in a complex space form  $M_n(c)$ . Then in a paper due to Kwon and the second author [3], they considered a real hypersurface  $M$  in a complex space form  $M_n(c)$  with  $\mathcal{L}_\xi S = \nabla_\xi S$ , where  $\mathcal{L}_\xi$  and  $\nabla_\xi$  respectively denotes the Lie derivative and the covariant derivative along the direction of the structure vector  $\xi$  of  $M$ . Then it was proved that  $\mathcal{L}_\xi S = \nabla_\xi S$  is equivalent to the condition  $S\phi A = \phi AS$ .

In such a case we say that  $M$  has *commuting Ricci tensor*. That is, the Ricci tensor  $S$  of  $M$  in  $M_n(c)$  commutes with the tensor  $\phi A$ .

Now let us consider a real hypersurface  $M$  in  $M_n(c)$  with  $S\phi A - \phi AS = 0$ . Then we have (see [5])

$$\|S\phi - \phi S\|^2 + \frac{3}{2}c\|\phi A\xi\|^2 = 0.$$

From this naturally  $M$  becomes a Hopf hypersurface if  $c > 0$ . In the case where  $c < 0$ , by using the method of  $A^2\xi \equiv 0 \pmod{\xi, A\xi}$ , Kwon and the second author ([3]) proved the following :

**Theorem KS ([3]).** *Let  $M$  be a real hypersurface in  $H_n\mathbb{C}$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , with commuting Ricci tensor. If the structure vector field  $\xi$  is principal, then  $M$  is locally congruent to of type A.*

Then we want to make a generalization of Theorem KS without the assumption that the structure vector field  $\xi$  is principal. In this paper we have introduced

a certain vector  $U$  defined by  $U = \nabla_\xi \xi$  and have applied such a vector to the expression of  $A^2 \xi \equiv 0 \pmod{\xi, A\xi}$ , and finally proved that the structure vector  $\xi$  is principal. Namely, we prove the following

**Theorem.** *Let  $M$  be a real hypersurface in a complex hyperbolic space  $H_n \mathbb{C}$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , with commuting Ricci tensor. Then  $M$  becomes a Hopf hypersurface. Further,  $M$  is locally congruent to one of the following spaces :*

- (A<sub>0</sub>) a self-tube, that is, a horosphere,
- (A<sub>1</sub>) a geodesic hypersphere or a tube over a hyperplane  $H_{n-1} \mathbb{C}$ ,
- (A<sub>2</sub>) a tube over a totally geodesic  $H_k \mathbb{C}$  ( $1 \leq k \leq n - 2$ ).

### 1. Preliminaries

Let  $M$  be a real hypersurface immersed in a complex space form  $(M_n(c), G)$  with almost complex structure  $J$  of constant holomorphic sectional curvature  $c$ , and let  $C$  be a unit normal vector field on  $M$ . The Riemannian connection  $\tilde{\nabla}$  in  $M_n(c)$  and  $\nabla$  in  $M$  are related by the following formulas for any vector fields  $X$  and  $Y$  on  $M$  :

$$(1.1) \quad \tilde{\nabla}_Y X = \nabla_Y X + g(AY, X)C,$$

$$(1.2) \quad \tilde{\nabla}_X C = -AX,$$

where  $g$  denotes the Riemannian metric on  $M$  induced from that  $G$  of  $M_n(c)$  and  $A$  is the shape operator of  $M$  in  $M_n(c)$ . A characteristic vector  $X$  of the shape operator of  $A$  is called a principal curvature vector. Also an eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $A$  is called a principal curvature. It is known that  $M$  has an almost contact metric structure induced from the almost complex structure  $J$  on  $M_n(c)$ , that is, we define a tensor field  $\phi$  of type (1,1), a vector field  $\xi$ , a 1-form  $\eta$  on  $M$  by  $g(\phi X, Y) = G(JX, Y)$  and  $g(\xi, X) = \eta(X) = G(JX, C)$ . Then we have

$$(1.3) \quad \phi^2 X = -X + \eta(X)\xi, \quad g(\xi, \xi) = 1, \quad \phi\xi = 0.$$

From (1.1) we see that

$$(1.4) \quad (\nabla_X \phi)Y = \eta(Y)AX - g(AX, Y)\xi,$$

$$(1.5) \quad \nabla_X \xi = \phi AX.$$

Since the ambient space is of constant holomorphic sectional curvature  $c$ , equations of the Gauss and Codazzi are respectively given by

$$(1.6) \quad R(X, Y)Z = \frac{c}{4} \{g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y + g(\phi Y, Z)\phi X - g(\phi X, Z)\phi Y - 2g(\phi X, Y)\phi Z\} + g(AY, Z)AX - g(AX, Z)AY,$$

$$(1.7) \quad (\nabla_X A)Y - (\nabla_Y A)X = \frac{c}{4} \{\eta(X)\phi Y - \eta(Y)\phi X - 2g(\phi X, Y)\xi\}$$

for any vector fields  $X, Y$  and  $Z$  on  $M$ , where  $R$  denotes the Riemannian curvature tensor of  $M$ . We shall denote the Ricci tensor of type (1,1) by  $S$ . Then it follows from (1.6) that

$$(1.8) \quad SX = \frac{c}{4}\{(2n+1)X - 3\eta(X)\xi\} + hAX - A^2X,$$

where  $h = \text{trace } A$ .

To write our formulas in convention forms, we denote  $\alpha = \eta(A\xi)$ ,  $\beta = \eta(A^2\xi)$ ,  $\gamma = \eta(A^3\xi)$ ,  $\delta = \eta(A^4\xi)$ ,  $\mu^2 = \beta - \alpha^2$  and  $\nabla f$  by the gradient vector field of a function  $f$  on  $M$ . In the following, we use the same terminology and notation as above unless otherwise stated.

If we put  $U = \nabla_\xi \xi$ , then  $U$  is orthogonal to the structure vector field  $\xi$ . Then using (1.3) and (1.5), we see that

$$(1.9) \quad \phi U = -A\xi + \alpha\xi,$$

which shows that  $g(U, U) = \beta - \alpha^2$ . By the definition of  $U$ , (1.3) and (1.5) it is verify that

$$(1.10) \quad g(\nabla_X \xi, U) = g(A^2\xi, X) - \alpha g(A\xi, X).$$

Now, differentiating (1.9) covariantly along  $M$  and using (1.4) and (1.5), we find

$$(1.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \eta(X)g(AU + \nabla\alpha, Y) + g(\phi X, \nabla_Y U) \\ = g((\nabla_Y A)X, \xi) - g(A\phi AX, Y) + \alpha g(A\phi X, Y), \end{aligned}$$

which enables us to obtain

$$(1.12) \quad (\nabla_\xi A)\xi = 2AU + \nabla\alpha$$

because of (1.7). From (1.11) we also have

$$(1.13) \quad \nabla_\xi U = 3\phi AU + \alpha A\xi - \beta\xi + \phi\nabla\alpha,$$

where we have used (1.3), (1.5) and (1.10).

We put

$$(1.14) \quad A\xi = \alpha\xi + \mu W,$$

where  $W$  is a unit vector field orthogonal to  $\xi$ . Then from (1.9) it is seen that  $U = \mu\phi W$  and hence  $g(U, U) = \mu^2$ , and  $W$  is also orthogonal to  $U$ . Thus, we see, making use of (1.5), that

$$(1.15) \quad \mu g(\nabla_X W, \xi) = g(AU, X).$$

**2. Real hypersurfaces satisfying  $A^2\xi \equiv 0 \pmod{\xi, A\xi}$**

Let  $M$  be a real hypersurface of a complex space form  $M_n(c)$ ,  $c \neq 0$ . If it satisfies  $A^2\xi \equiv 0 \pmod{\xi, A\xi}$ . So we can put

$$(2.1) \quad A^2\xi = \rho A\xi + (\beta - \rho\alpha)\xi$$

for a certain scalar  $\rho$ .

Hereafter, unless otherwise stated, let us assume that  $\mu \neq 0$  on  $M$ , that is,  $\xi$  is not a principal curvature vector field and we put  $\Omega = \{p \in M | \mu(p) \neq 0\}$ . Then  $\Omega$  is an open subset of  $M$ , and from now on we discuss our arguments on  $\Omega$ .

From (1.14) and (2.1), we see that

$$(2.2) \quad AW = \mu\xi + (\rho - \alpha)W$$

and hence

$$(2.3) \quad A^2W = \rho AW + (\beta - \rho\alpha)W$$

because  $\mu \neq 0$ .

Now, differentiating (2.2) covariantly along  $\Omega$ , we find

$$(2.4) \quad (\nabla_X A)W + A\nabla_X W = (X\mu)\xi + \mu\nabla_X \xi + X(\rho - \alpha)W + (\rho - \alpha)\nabla_X W.$$

By taking an inner product with  $W$  in the last equation, we obtain

$$(2.5) \quad g((\nabla_X A)W, W) = -2g(AX, U) + X\rho - X\alpha$$

since  $W$  is a unit vector field orthogonal to  $\xi$ . We also have by applying  $\xi$  to (2.4)

$$(2.6) \quad \mu g((\nabla_X A)W, \xi) = (\rho - 2\alpha)g(AU, X) + \mu(X\mu),$$

where we have used (1.15), which together with the Codazzi equation (1.7) gives

$$(2.7) \quad \mu(\nabla_W A)\xi = (\rho - 2\alpha)AU - \frac{c}{2}U + \mu\nabla\mu,$$

$$(2.8) \quad \mu(\nabla_\xi A)W = (\rho - 2\alpha)AU - \frac{c}{4}U + \mu\nabla\mu.$$

Replacing  $X$  by  $\xi$  in (2.4) and taking account of (2.8), we find

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} (\rho - 2\alpha)AU - \frac{c}{4}U + \mu\nabla\mu + \mu\{A\nabla_\xi W - (\rho - \alpha)\nabla_\xi W\} \\ = \mu(\xi\mu)\xi + \mu^2U + \mu(\xi\rho - \xi\alpha)W. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, from  $\phi U = -\mu W$  we have

$$g(AU, X)\xi - \phi\nabla_X U = (X\mu)W + \mu\nabla_X W.$$

Replacing  $X$  by  $\xi$  in this and using (1.9) and (1.13), we get

$$(2.10) \quad \mu \nabla_{\xi} W = 3AU - \alpha U + \nabla \alpha - (\xi \alpha) \xi - (\xi \mu) W,$$

which implies

$$(2.11) \quad W \alpha = \xi \mu.$$

From the last three equations, it follows that

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} 3A^2U - 2\rho AU + A\nabla \alpha + \frac{1}{2}\nabla \beta - \rho \nabla \alpha + (\alpha \rho - \beta - \frac{c}{4})U \\ = 2\mu(W\alpha)\xi + \mu(\xi\rho)W - (\rho - 2\alpha)(\xi\alpha)\xi, \end{aligned}$$

which enables us to obtain

$$(2.13) \quad \xi \beta = 2\alpha(\xi \alpha) + 2\mu(W\alpha).$$

Differentiating (2.1) covariantly and making use of (1.5), we get

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{aligned} (\nabla_X A)A\xi + A(\nabla_X A)\xi + A^2\phi AX - \rho A\phi AX \\ = (X\rho)A\xi + \rho(\nabla_X A)\xi + X(\beta - \rho\alpha)\xi + (\beta - \rho\alpha)\phi AX, \end{aligned}$$

which together with (1.7) implies that

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{c}{4}\{u(Y)\eta(X) - u(X)\eta(Y)\} + \frac{c}{2}(\rho - \alpha)g(\phi Y, X) - g(A^2\phi AX, Y) \\ + g(A^2\phi AY, X) + 2\rho g(\phi AX, AY) - (\beta - \rho\alpha)\{g(\phi AY, X) - g(\phi AX, Y)\} \\ = g(AY, (\nabla_X A)\xi) - g(AX, (\nabla_Y A)\xi) + (Y\rho)g(A\xi, X) - (X\rho)g(A\xi, Y) \\ + Y(\beta - \rho\alpha)\eta(X) - X(\beta - \rho\alpha)\eta(Y), \end{aligned}$$

where we have defined a 1-form  $u$  by  $u(X) = g(U, X)$  for any vector field  $X$ . If we replace  $X$  by  $\mu W$  to the both sides of (2.15) and take account of (1.12), (2.2), (2.3), (2.6) and (2.7), we obtain

$$(2.16) \quad \begin{aligned} (3\alpha - 2\rho)A^2U + 2(\rho^2 + \beta - 2\rho\alpha + \frac{c}{4})AU + (\rho - \alpha)(\beta - \rho\alpha - \frac{c}{2})U \\ = \mu A \nabla \mu + (\alpha \rho - \beta) \nabla \alpha - \frac{1}{2}(\rho - \alpha) \nabla \beta + \mu^2 \nabla \rho \\ - \mu(W\rho)A\xi - \mu W(\beta - \rho\alpha)\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Using (1.14), we can write the equation (2.14) as

$$\begin{aligned} A(\nabla_X A)\xi + (\alpha - \rho)(\nabla_X A)\xi + \mu(\nabla_X A)W \\ = (X\rho)A\xi + X(\beta - \rho\alpha)\xi + (\beta - \rho\alpha)\phi AX + \rho A\phi AX - A^2\phi AX. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, from this, by replacing  $X$  by  $\alpha\xi + \mu W$  and making use of (1.5), (1.12), (1.14) and (2.5)  $\sim$  (2.7), we find

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.17) \quad & 2\rho A^2U + 2(\alpha\rho - \beta - \rho^2 - \frac{c}{4})AU + (\rho^2\alpha - \rho\beta + \frac{c}{2}\rho - \frac{3}{4}c\alpha)U \\
 & = g(A\xi, \nabla\rho)A\xi - \frac{1}{2}A\nabla\beta + \frac{1}{2}(\rho - 2\alpha)\nabla\beta + \beta\nabla\alpha \\
 & \quad - \mu^2\nabla\rho + g(A\xi, \nabla(\beta - \rho\alpha))\xi.
 \end{aligned}$$

**3. Real hypersurfaces in  $H_n\mathbb{C}$  with commuting Ricci tensor**

Let us consider a real hypersurface  $M$  in complex hyperbolic space  $H_n\mathbb{C}$  with negative constant holomorphic sectional curvature  $c < 0$ . If  $M$  satisfies  $S\phi A - \phi AS = 0$ , we say that  $M$  has *commuting Ricci tensor*. In this section we consider a real hypersurface  $M$  in  $H_n\mathbb{C}$  with commuting Ricci tensor. Then by (1.8) we have

$$(3.1) \quad h(A\phi A - \phi A^2) + \phi A^3 - A^2\phi A + \frac{3}{4}c\eta \otimes U = 0,$$

where we have used (1.5). Taking the transpose of this, we find

$$(3.2) \quad h(A\phi A - A^2\phi) + A^3\phi - A\phi A^2 + \frac{3}{4}cU \otimes \xi = 0.$$

Transforming (3.1) by  $A$  to the left, and (3.2) to the right respectively, and combining to these two equations, we obtain

$$\eta \otimes AU + \xi \otimes \eta(A\phi A) = 0,$$

which implies

$$(3.3) \quad AU = 0.$$

If we take an inner product (3.2) with  $\xi$  and make use of (3.3), then we have

$$(3.4) \quad A\phi A^2\xi = 0.$$

Taking an inner product (3.1) with  $\xi$  and using (3.3) and the last equation, we also find

$$\phi(A^3\xi - hA^2\xi) + \frac{3}{4}cU = 0.$$

If we apply this by  $\phi$  and take account of (1.9), then we get

$$A^3\xi - hA^2\xi = (\gamma - \beta h + \frac{3}{4}c\alpha)\xi - \frac{3}{4}cA\xi,$$

which tells us that

$$(3.5) \quad A^4\xi - hA^3\xi = (\gamma - \beta h + \frac{3}{4}c\alpha)A\xi - \frac{3}{4}cA^2\xi.$$

Next, applying (3.1) by  $A\xi$  and making use of (3.3) and (3.4), we have

$$\phi(A^4\xi - hA^3\xi) = \frac{3}{4}c\alpha U,$$

which implies that

$$A^4\xi - hA^3\xi = -\frac{3}{4}c\alpha(A\xi - \alpha\xi) + (\delta - h\gamma)\xi.$$

This, together with (3.5) implies that

$$(3.6) \quad \frac{3}{4}cA^2\xi = (\gamma - \beta h + \frac{3}{2}c\alpha)A\xi + (h\gamma - \delta - \frac{3}{4}c\alpha^2)\xi.$$

Thus, it follows that

$$(3.7) \quad \frac{3}{4}c(\beta - \alpha^2) = \alpha(\gamma - \beta h) + h\gamma - \delta.$$

Therefore (3.6) is reformed as

$$(3.8) \quad A^2\xi = \rho A\xi + (\beta - \rho\alpha)\xi,$$

where the function  $\rho$  is defined in such a way that

$$(3.9) \quad \frac{3}{4}c\rho = \gamma - \beta h + \frac{3}{2}c\alpha.$$

Accordingly the formulas stated in Section 2 are established.

Now, we are going to prove our Main Theorem.

Transforming (2.12) by  $U$  and using (3.3), we find

$$(3.10) \quad \frac{1}{2}U\beta - \rho(U\alpha) = (\beta - \rho\alpha + \frac{c}{4})\mu^2.$$

Similarly, from (2.16) and (2.17) we have respectively

$$(3.11) \quad (\alpha\rho - \beta)U\alpha - \frac{1}{2}(\rho - \alpha)U\beta + (\beta - \alpha^2)U\rho = (\rho - \alpha)(\beta - \rho\alpha - \frac{c}{2})\mu^2,$$

$$(3.12) \quad \frac{1}{2}(\rho - 2\alpha)U\beta + \beta(U\alpha) - (\beta - \alpha^2)U\rho = (\rho^2\alpha - \rho\beta + \frac{c}{2}\rho - \frac{3}{4}c\alpha)\mu^2.$$

Differentiating (3.3) covariantly along  $\Omega$ , we find

$$(\nabla_X A)U + A\nabla_X U = 0.$$

If we put  $X = \xi$  in this and take account of (1.13) and (3.3), we obtain

$$(\nabla_\xi A)U + \alpha A^2\xi - \beta A\xi + \alpha A\phi\nabla\alpha = 0,$$



which shows that

$$\phi(\nabla_\xi A)U = (\beta - \rho\alpha)U - \alpha\phi A\phi\nabla\alpha,$$

where we have used (3.8). From this and (1.7), it follows that

$$(3.13) \quad \phi(\nabla_U A)\xi = (\beta - \rho\alpha + \frac{c}{4})U - \alpha\phi A\phi\nabla\alpha.$$

On the other hand, from  $\nabla_X\xi = \phi AX$  and  $U = \nabla_\xi\xi$ , we see that

$$\nabla_X U = \phi(\nabla_X A)\xi + \alpha AX - g(A^2 X, \xi)\xi + \phi A\phi AX$$

by virtue of (1.4). Replacing  $X$  by  $U$  in this and making use of (3.3), we obtain  $\nabla_U U = \phi(\nabla_U A)\xi$ , which together with (3.13) implies that

$$\nabla_U U = (\beta - \rho\alpha + \frac{c}{4})U - \alpha\phi A\phi\nabla\alpha.$$

If we take an inner product with  $U$  to the last equation and use (1.9), (3.3) and  $\mu^2 = \beta - \alpha^2$ , then we get

$$(3.14) \quad \frac{1}{2}U\beta - \alpha(U\alpha) = (\beta - \rho\alpha + \frac{c}{4})\mu^2.$$

This, together with (3.10), implies that

$$(3.15) \quad (\rho - \alpha)U\alpha = -2(\beta - \rho\alpha + \frac{c}{4})\mu^2.$$

Combining (3.12) to (3.14), we find

$$(3.16) \quad U\rho = U\alpha - \frac{c}{4}(\rho - \alpha).$$

Substituting (3.14), (3.15) and (3.16) into (3.12), we obtain  $\rho - \alpha = 0$  and hence  $\beta - \alpha^2 + \frac{c}{4} = 0$  by virtue of (3.15). Thus, (3.8) becomes  $A^2\xi = \alpha A\xi - \frac{c}{4}\xi$ , which tells us that  $\gamma = \alpha^3 - \frac{c}{2}\alpha$ . Then it follows

$$\delta = \alpha^4 - \frac{3}{4}c\alpha^2 + (\frac{c}{4})^2.$$

Using above facts, (3.7) turns out to be

$$(3.17) \quad \alpha h = \alpha^2 + \frac{c}{2}.$$

Since  $\rho = \alpha$ , (3.9) becomes  $\gamma - \beta h = -\frac{3}{4}c\alpha$ , which implies that  $\alpha^3 - h(\alpha^2 - \frac{c}{4}) = -\frac{c}{4}\alpha$ . This, together with (3.17), yields  $c = 0$ , a contradiction. Hence  $\Omega = \emptyset$ . Thus, the subset  $\Omega$  (of  $M$ ) on which  $A\xi - \eta(A\xi)\xi \neq 0$  is an empty set, namely in  $H_n\mathbb{C}$  every real hypersurface satisfying  $S\phi A = \phi AS$  is a Hopf hypersurface. Then, by Theorem KS we complete the proof of our Main Theorem.

## References

- [1] J. Berndt, *Real hypersurfaces with constant principal curvatures in a complex hyperbolic space*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **395**(1989), 132-141.
- [2] T. E. Cecil and P.J. Ryan, *Focal sets and real hypersurfaces in complex projective space*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **269**(1982), 481-499.
- [3] J.-H. Kwon and Y.J. Suh, *A new characterization of homogeneous real hypersurfaces in complex space forms*, Nihonkai Math. J. **9**(1998), 77-90.
- [4] M. Kimura, *Real hypersurfaces and complex submanifolds in complex projective space*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **296**(1986), 137-149.
- [5] M. Kimura and S. Maeda, *Lie derivatives on real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space*, Czechoslovak Math. J. **45**(1995), 135-148.
- [6] M. Lohnherr and H. Reckziegel, *On ruled real hypersurfaces in complex space forms*, Geom. Dedicata **74**(1999), 267-286.
- [7] S. Montiel and A. Romero, *On some real hypersurfaces of a complex hyperbolic space*, Geom. Dedicata **20**(1986), 245-261.
- [8] R. Takagi, *On homogeneous real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space*, Osaka J. Math. **10**(1973), 495-506.