

SOLUTION OF A VECTOR VARIABLE BI-ADDITIVE FUNCTIONAL EQUATION

WON-GIL PARK AND JAE-HYEONG BAE

ABSTRACT. We investigate the relation between the vector variable bi-additive functional equation $f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i, \sum_{i=1}^n y_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n f(x_i, y_j)$ and the multi-variable quadratic functional equation

$$g\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} g(x_i - x_j) = n \sum_{i=1}^n g(x_i).$$

Furthermore, we find out the general solution of the above two functional equations.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, let n be a positive integer greater than 1 and let X and Y be vector spaces.

Definition 1. A mapping $f : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ is called *bi-additive* if f satisfies the system of equations

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} f(x + y, z) &= f(x, z) + f(y, z), \\ f(x, y + z) &= f(x, y) + f(x, z) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$.

When $X = Y = \mathbb{R}$, the function $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $f(x, y) := cxy$ is a solution of (1). In particular, letting $x = y$, we get a quadratic function $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ in one variable given by $g(x) := f(x, x) = cx^2$.

For a mapping $f : X \times X \rightarrow Y$, consider the bi-additive functional equation:

$$(2) \quad f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i, \sum_{j=1}^n y_j\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n f(x_i, y_j).$$

Received February 16, 2007.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 39B52, 39B62, 38B82.

Key words and phrases. solution, stability, vector variable bi-additive mapping.

For a mapping $g : X \rightarrow Y$, consider the quadratic functional equation:

$$(3) \quad g\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} g(x_i - x_j) = n \sum_{i=1}^n g(x_i).$$

J.-H. Bae and K.-W. Jun [2] proved the stability in Banach spaces of the equation (3). Recently, J.-H. Bae and W.-G. Park [3] proved the stability in Banach Modules over a C^* -algebra of the same equation. There are numerous results about various functional equations ([1, 4, 5, 6, 7]).

In this paper, we investigate the relation between (2) and (3). And we find out the general solution of (2) and (3).

2. Results

Theorem 2.1. *Let $f : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying (2) and let $g : X \rightarrow Y$ be the mapping given by*

$$(4) \quad g(x) := f(x, x)$$

for all $x \in X$. If

$$(5) \quad f(x, y) = \frac{1}{4}[g(x + y) - g(x - y)]$$

for all $x, y \in X$, then g satisfies (3).

Proof. Letting $x_1 = \cdots = x_n = y_1 = \cdots = y_n = 0$ in (2) and then using (4), we have $g(0) = 0$. Putting $y = x$ in (5) and then using (4), we get

$$(6) \quad g(2x) = 4g(x)$$

for all $x \in X$. Setting $y_1 = \cdots = y_n = 0$ in (2), we have

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i, 0\right) = n \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i, 0)$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$. Taking $x_2 = \cdots = x_n = 0$ in the above equality, we get $f(x_1, 0) = 0$ for all $x_1 \in X$. Similarly, $f(0, y_1) = 0$ for all $y_1 \in X$. Letting $x_1 = x$, $x_2 = y$, $x_3 = \cdots = x_n = 0$ and $y_1 = z$, $y_2 = w$, $y_3 = \cdots = y_n = 0$ in (2), we have

$$(7) \quad f(x + y, z + w) = f(x, z) + f(x, w) + f(y, z) + f(y, w)$$

for all $x, y, z, w \in X$. By (7) and (5), we obtain

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} & g(x + y + z + w) - g(x + y - z - w) \\ &= g(x + z) - g(x - z) + g(x + w) - g(x - w) \\ & \quad + g(y + z) - g(y - z) + g(y + w) - g(y - w) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z, w \in X$. Putting $x = y = z = 0$ and then replacing w by x in (8), we see that

$$(9) \quad g(-x) = g(x)$$

for all $x \in X$. Setting $z = x$ and $w = y$ in (8) and then using (6) and (9), we see that

$$(10) \quad g(x + y) + g(x - y) = 2g(x) + 2g(y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. By (2) and (5), we obtain

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} & g\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \sum_{j=1}^n y_j\right) - g\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - \sum_{j=1}^n y_j\right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \left[g(x_i + y_j) - g(x_i - y_j) \right] \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n \in X$. Taking $y_1 = x_1, \dots, y_n = x_n$ in (11) and then using (6) and (9), we see that

$$2g\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right) = 2\sum_{i=1}^n g(x_i) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} g(x_i + x_j) - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} g(x_i - x_j)$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n \in X$. By (10) and the above equality, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} 2g\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right) &= 2\sum_{i=1}^n g(x_i) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} [2g(x_i) + 2g(x_j) - g(x_i - x_j)] \\ &\quad - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} g(x_i - x_j) \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$g\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} g(x_i - x_j) = \sum_{i=1}^n g(x_i) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} [g(x_i) + g(x_j)]$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$. Hence g satisfies (3). □

Theorem 2.2. *Let $g : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying (3) and let $f : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ be the mapping given by (5) for all $x, y \in X$. Then f satisfies (2) and (4).*

Proof. Letting $x_1 = \dots = x_n = 0$ in (3), we have $g(0) = 0$. Putting $x_1 = x, x_2 = y$ and $x_3 = \dots = x_n = 0$ in (3), we obtain that g satisfies (10) and so satisfies (6) and (9). Setting $y = x$ in (5) and then using (6), the equality (4) holds. By (3), we see that

$$(12) \quad g\left[\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i + y_i)\right] = n \sum_{i=1}^n g(x_i + y_i) - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} g[(x_i + y_i) - (x_j + y_j)]$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n \in X$. By (10), we have that

$$(13) \quad g(x + y) - g(x - y) = 2[g(x + y) - g(x) - g(y)]$$

for all $x, y \in X$. By (10), (12) and (13),

$$\begin{aligned}
(14) \quad & g\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \sum_{j=1}^n y_j\right) - g\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - \sum_{j=1}^n y_j\right) \\
&= g\left[\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i + y_i)\right] - g\left[\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - y_i)\right] \\
&= n \sum_{i=1}^n [g(x_i + y_i) - g(x_i - y_i)] \\
&\quad - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left(g[(x_i + y_i) - (x_j + y_j)] - g[(x_i - y_i) - (x_j - y_j)] \right) \\
&= n \sum_{i=1}^n [g(x_i + y_i) - g(x_i - y_i)] \\
&\quad - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left(g[(x_i + y_i) - (x_j + y_j)] - g[(x_i + y_j) - (x_j + y_i)] \right) \\
&= 2n \sum_{i=1}^n [g(x_i + y_i) - g(x_i) - g(y_i)] \\
&\quad - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left[\left(2g(x_i + y_i) + 2g(x_j + y_j) - g[(x_i + y_i) + (x_j + y_j)] \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \left(2g(x_i + y_j) + 2g(x_j + y_i) - g[(x_i + y_j) + (x_j + y_i)] \right) \right] \\
&= 2n \sum_{i=1}^n [g(x_i + y_i) - g(x_i) - g(y_i)] \\
&\quad - 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} [g(x_i + y_i) + g(x_j + y_j) - g(x_i + y_j) - g(x_j + y_i)]
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n \in X$. Note that

$$(15) \quad \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (a_i + a_j) = (n-1) \sum_{i=1}^n a_i$$

for all $a_1, \dots, a_n \in Y$. By (10), (13) and (15),

$$\begin{aligned}
(16) \quad & 2n \sum_{i=1}^n [g(x_i + y_i) - g(x_i) - g(y_i)] \\
&\quad - 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} [g(x_i + y_i) + g(x_j + y_j) - g(x_i + y_j) - g(x_j + y_i)]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= 2n \sum_{i=1}^n [g(x_i + y_i) - g(x_i) - g(y_i)] \\
 &\quad + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} [g(x_i + y_j) + g(x_j + y_i)] - 2(n-1) \sum_{i=1}^n g(x_i + y_i) \\
 &= n \sum_{i=1}^n [g(x_i + y_i) - g(x_i - y_i)] \\
 &\quad + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} [g(x_i + y_j) + g(x_j + y_i)] - 2(n-1) \sum_{i=1}^n g(x_i + y_i) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n [g(x_i + y_i) - g(x_i - y_i)] + (n-1) \sum_{i=1}^n [g(x_i + y_i) - g(x_i - y_i)] \\
 &\quad + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} [g(x_i + y_j) + g(x_j + y_i)] - 2(n-1) \sum_{i=1}^n g(x_i + y_i) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n [g(x_i + y_i) - g(x_i - y_i)] - (n-1) \sum_{i=1}^n [g(x_i + y_i) + g(x_i - y_i)] \\
 &\quad + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} [g(x_i + y_j) + g(x_j + y_i)] \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n [g(x_i + y_i) - g(x_i - y_i)] - 2(n-1) \sum_{i=1}^n [g(x_i) + g(y_i)] \\
 &\quad + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} [g(x_i + y_j) + g(x_j + y_i)] \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n [g(x_i + y_i) - g(x_i - y_i)] \\
 &\quad + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left([g(x_i + y_j) - g(x_i) - g(y_j)] + [g(x_j + y_i) - g(x_j) - g(y_i)] \right) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n [g(x_i + y_i) - g(x_i - y_i)] \\
 &\quad + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left([g(x_i + y_j) - g(x_i - y_j)] + [g(x_j + y_i) - g(x_j - y_i)] \right) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n g(x_i + y_i) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} g(x_i + y_j) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} g(x_j + y_i) \\
 &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^n g(x_i - y_i) - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} g(x_i - y_j) - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} g(x_j - y_i)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n g(x_i + y_j) - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n g(x_i - y_j) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \left[g(x_i + y_j) - g(x_i - y_j) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n \in X$. By (14) and (16), we obtain that g satisfies (11). By (5) and (11), we see that f satisfies (2). \square

Next we obtain the solutions of the equations (2) and (3).

Theorem 2.3. *A mapping $f : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies (1) if and only if it satisfies (2).*

Proof. If f satisfies (1), then

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i, \sum_{j=1}^n y_j\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n f\left(x_i, \sum_{j=1}^n y_j\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n f(x_i, y_j)$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$.

Conversely, assume that f satisfies (2). Choosing $x_1 = \dots = x_n = y_1 = \dots = y_n = 0$ in (2), $f(0, 0) = 0$. Letting $x_1 = x$ and $x_2 = \dots = x_n = y_1 = \dots = y_n = 0$ in (2), we have $f(x, 0) = 0$ for all $x \in X$. Putting $x_1 = x$, $x_2 = y$, $y_1 = z$ and $x_3 = \dots = x_n = y_2 = \dots = y_n = 0$ in (2), we get

$$f(x + y, z) = f(x, z) + f(y, z)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Setting $y_1 = y$ and $x_1 = \dots = x_n = y_2 = \dots = y_n = 0$ in (2), we obtain $f(0, y) = 0$ for all $y \in X$. Taking $x_1 = x$, $y_1 = y$, $y_2 = z$ and $x_2 = \dots = x_n = y_3 = \dots = y_n = 0$ in (2), we see that

$$f(x, y + z) = f(x, y) + f(x, z)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. \square

Theorem 2.4. *A function $g : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies (3) if and only if there exists a symmetric bi-additive function $S : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ such that $g(x) = S(x, x)$ for all $x \in X$.*

Proof. Define $f : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ by (5) for all $x, y \in X$. By Theorem 2.2, we obtain that f satisfies (2) and (4). Using Theorem 2.3, we see that f also satisfies (1). So f is bi-additive. Define $S : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$S(x, y) := \frac{1}{2}[f(x, y) + f(y, x)]$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then S is symmetric and bi-additive. By (4), we obtain that $g(x) = S(x, x)$ for all $x \in X$.

Conversely, assume that there exists a symmetric bi-additive function $S : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ such that $g(x) = S(x, x)$ for all $x \in X$. Note that

$$\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (a_i + b_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (n-i)a_i + \sum_{j=2}^n (j-1)b_j$$

for all $a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, b_2, \dots, b_n \in Y$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & g\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} g(x_i - x_j) \\ &= S\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i, \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} S(x_i - x_j, x_i - x_j) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n S(x_i, x_j) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} [S(x_i, x_i) - 2S(x_i, x_j) + S(x_j, x_j)] \\ &= \left[\sum_{i=1}^n S(x_i, x_i) + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} S(x_i, x_j) \right] \\ &\quad + \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (n-i)S(x_i, x_i) - 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} S(x_i, x_j) + \sum_{j=2}^n (j-1)S(x_j, x_j) \right] \\ &= S(x_n, x_n) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (1+n-i)S(x_i, x_i) + \sum_{j=2}^n (j-1)S(x_j, x_j) \\ &= S(x_n, x_n) + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} [(1+n-i) + (i-1)]S(x_i, x_i) \\ &\quad + nS(x_1, x_1) + (n-1)S(x_n, x_n) \\ &= n \sum_{i=1}^n S(x_i, x_i) = n \sum_{i=1}^n g(x_i) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$. □

Let Y be complete and $\varphi : X \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $\psi : X \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be two functions satisfying

$$(17) \quad \tilde{\varphi}(x, y, z) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{2^{j+1}} \varphi(2^j x, 2^j y, z) + \frac{1}{2^j} \varphi(x, y, 2^j z) \right] < \infty$$

and

$$(18) \quad \tilde{\psi}(x, y, z) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{2^{j+1}} \psi(x, 2^j y, 2^j z) + \frac{1}{2^j} \psi(2^j x, y, z) \right] < \infty$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $f : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping such that*

$$(19) \quad \|f(x+y, z) - f(x, z) - f(y, z)\| \leq \varphi(x, y, z)$$

$$(20) \quad \|f(x, y+z) - f(x, y) - f(x, z)\| \leq \psi(x, y, z)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$, and let $f(x, 0) = 0$ and $f(0, y) = 0$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then there exist two bi-additive mappings $F_1, F_2 : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$(21) \quad \|f(x, y) - F_1(x, y)\| \leq \tilde{\varphi}(x, x, y)$$

$$(22) \quad \|f(x, y) - F_2(x, y)\| \leq \tilde{\psi}(x, y, y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. The mappings $F_1, F_2 : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ are given by

$$F_1(x, y) := \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^j} f(2^j x, y), \quad F_2(x, y) := \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^j} f(x, 2^j y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

Proof. Letting $y = x$ in (19), we get

$$(23) \quad \left\| f(x, z) - \frac{1}{2} f(2x, z) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \varphi(x, x, z)$$

for all $x, z \in X$. Thus

$$\left\| \frac{1}{2^j} f(2^j x, z) - \frac{1}{2^{j+1}} f(2^{j+1} x, z) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2^{j+1}} \varphi(2^j x, 2^j x, z)$$

for all $x, z \in X$ and all j . Replacing z by y , we have

$$\left\| \frac{1}{2^j} f(2^j x, y) - \frac{1}{2^{j+1}} f(2^{j+1} x, y) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2^{j+1}} \varphi(2^j x, 2^j x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all j . For given integers l, m ($0 \leq l < m$), we obtain

$$(24) \quad \left\| \frac{1}{2^l} f(2^l x, y) - \frac{1}{2^m} f(2^m x, y) \right\| \leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \frac{1}{2^{j+1}} \varphi(2^j x, 2^j x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. By (17), the sequence $\{\frac{1}{2^j} f(2^j x, y)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x, y \in X$. Since Y is complete, the sequence $\{\frac{1}{2^j} f(2^j x, y)\}$ converges for all $x, y \in X$. Define $F_1 : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$F_1(x, y) := \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^j} f(2^j x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Putting $l = 0$ and taking $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (24), one can obtain the inequality (21). By (19) and (20), we see that

$$\left\| \frac{1}{2^j} f(2^j x + 2^j y, z) - \frac{1}{2^j} f(2^j x, z) - \frac{1}{2^j} f(2^j y, z) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2^j} \varphi(2^j x, 2^j y, z)$$

and

$$\left\| \frac{1}{2^j} f(2^j x, y + z) - \frac{1}{2^j} f(2^j x, y) - \frac{1}{2^j} f(2^j x, z) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2^j} \psi(2^j x, y, z)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and all j . Letting $j \rightarrow \infty$ in the above two inequalities and using (18), we obtain that F_1 is bi-additive.

Next, setting $y = z$ in (20), we get

$$(25) \quad \left\| f(x, y) - \frac{1}{2}f(x, 2y) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\psi(x, y, y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. By the same method as above, F_2 is bi-additive which satisfies (22), where $F_2(x, y) := \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^j} f(x, 2^j y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. \square

References

- [1] J. Aczél and J. Dhombres, *Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- [2] J.-H. Bae and K.-W. Jun, *On the generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of an n -dimensional quadratic functional equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **258** (2001), 183–193.
- [3] J.-H. Bae and W.-G. Park, *On the generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability in Banach modules over a C^* -algebra*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **294** (2004), 196–205.
- [4] ———, *On stability of a functional equation with n variables*, Nonlinear Anal. **64** (2006), 856–868.
- [5] S.-M. Jung, *On the Hyers-Ulam stability of the functional equations that have the quadratic property*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **222** (1998), 126–137.
- [6] C.-G. Park, *Cauchy-Rassias stability of a generalized Trif's mapping in Banach modules and its applications*, Nonlinear Anal. **62** (2005), 595–613.
- [7] S. M. Ulam, *A Collection of Mathematical Problems*, Interscience Publishers, New York, 1968, p.63.

WON-GIL PARK
 NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES
 385-16 DORYONG-DONG, YUSEONG-GU
 DAEJEON 305-340, KOREA
E-mail address: wgpark@nims.re.kr

JAE-HYEONG BAE
 DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS
 KYUNGHEE UNIVERSITY
 YONGIN 449-701, KOREA
E-mail address: jhbae@khu.ac.kr