## NOTES ON A NON-ASSOCIATIVE ALGEBRAS WITH EXPONENTIAL FUNCTIONS III

SEUL HEE CHOI

ABSTRACT. For  $\mathbb{F}[e^{\pm x}]_{\{\partial\}}$ , all the derivations of the evaluation algebra  $\mathbb{F}[e^{\pm x}]_{\{\partial\}}$  is found in the paper (see [16]). For  $M=\{\partial_1,\partial_1^2\}$ ,  $Der_{non}(\mathbb{F}[e^{\pm x}]_M)$ ) of the evaluation algebra  $\mathbb{F}[e^{\pm x},e^{\pm y}]_M$  is found in the paper (see [2]). For  $M=\{\partial_1^2,\partial_2^2\}$ , we find  $Der_{non}(\mathbb{F}[e^{\pm x},e^{\pm y}]_M)$ ) of the evaluation algebra  $\mathbb{F}[e^{\pm x},e^{\pm y}]_M$  in this paper.

## 1. Preliminaries

Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a field of characteristic zero (not necessarily algebraically closed). Throughout this paper,  $\mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}$  will denote the non-negative integers and the integers, respectively. Let A be an associative algebra and  $M = \{\delta \mid \delta \text{ is a mapping from } A \text{ to itself } \}$ . The evaluation algebra  $A_M = \{a\delta \mid a \in A, \delta \in M\}$  with the obvious addition and the multiplication \* is defined as follows:

$$a_1\delta_1*a_2\delta_2=a_1\delta_1(a_2)\delta_2$$

for any  $a_1\delta_1, a_2\delta_2 \in A_M$  (see [1], [3], [4], and [13]). For  $A_M$ , if  $M = \{id\}$ , then the ring  $A_M = A$  where id is the identity map of A. Note that  $A_M = \langle A_M, +, * \rangle$  is not an associative ring generally (see [15]). Using the commutator [,] of  $A_M$ , we can define the semi-Lie ring (see [1]). If the Jacobi identity holds in  $A_{M[,]}$ , then  $A_{M[,]}$  is a Lie ring (see [14]). Generally,  $A_{M[,]}$  is not a Lie ring, because of the Jacobi identity. Let  $\mathbb{F}[e^{\pm x_1}, e^{\pm x_2}, \dots, e^{\pm x_n}]$  be a ring in the formal power series ring  $\mathbb{F}[[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]]$  (see [6] and [7]). If we take the subalgebra  $\mathbb{F}[e^{\pm x}, e^{\pm y}]$  in  $\mathbb{F}[[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]]$  and the map  $M = \{\partial_1^2, \partial_2^2\}$ , then we define the simple evaluation algebra  $\mathbb{F}[e^{\pm x}, e^{\pm y}]_M$  (see [5], [9], [10], [11], and [12]). It is well known that the non-associative algebras  $\mathbb{F}[e^{\pm x}, e^{\pm y}]_{\{\partial_1^2, \partial_2^2\}}$  and  $\mathbb{F}[e^{\pm x}, e^{\pm y}]_{\{\partial_1, \partial_2^2\}}$  are simple (see [1], [3], and [16]). Note that  $\mathbb{F}[e^{\pm x}, e^{\pm y}]_{\partial_1^2, \partial_2^2}$  can be decomposed as follows:

(1) 
$$\mathbb{F}[e^{\pm x}, e^{\pm y}]_{\partial_1^2, \partial_2^2} = A_1 \oplus A_2$$

Received May 16, 2006.

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 17B40, 17B56.

Key words and phrases. simple, Witt algebra, graded, radical homogeneous equivalent component, order, derivation invariant.

where  $A_1$  (resp.  $A_2$ ) is the subalgebra spanned by  $\{e^{ax}e^{iy}\partial_1^2|a,i\in\mathbb{Z}\}$  (resp.  $\{e^{bx}e^{jy}\partial_2^2|b,j\in\mathbb{Z}\}$ ) in  $\mathbb{F}[e^{\pm x},e^{\pm y}]_{\partial_1^2,\partial_2^2}$ .

## 2. Derivations of $\mathbb{F}[e^{\pm x}, e^{\pm y}]_M$

From now on, M denotes the set  $\{\partial_1^2, \partial_2^2\}$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** For any  $D \in Der_{non}(\mathbb{F}[e^{\pm x}, e^{\pm y}]_M)$  if  $D(\partial_1^2) = 0$  and  $D(\partial_2^2) = 0$ , then we have the followings:

$$D(e^x e^y \partial_r^2) = (a_{1,1,0} + b_{2,0,1})e^x e^y \partial_r^2,$$

where  $r \in \{1, 2\}$  with appropriate scalars.

*Proof.* Let D be the derivation of  $\mathbb{F}[e^{\pm x}, e^{\pm y}]_M$  in the lemma. Since  $\partial_2^2$  is in the left annihilator of  $e^x\partial_1^2$ , we have that  $\partial_2^2*D(e^x\partial_1^2)=0$ . This implies that  $D(e^x\partial_1^2)=\sum_i a_{1,i,0}e^{ix}\partial_1^2+\sum_i a_{2,i,0}e^{ix}\partial_2^2$  with appropriate scalars. Since  $\partial_1^2$  is a left (multiplicative) identity of  $e^x\partial_1^2$  and by assumption, we have that

(2) 
$$\partial_1^2 * (\sum_i a_{1,i,0} e^{ix} \partial_1^2 + \sum_i a_{2,i,0} e^{ix} \partial_2^2) = \sum_i a_{1,i,0} e^{ix} \partial_1^2 + \sum_i a_{2,i,0} e^{ix} \partial_2^2$$

with appropriate scalars. By (2), we have that  $i = \pm 1$ , i.e.,

$$D(e^x \partial_1^2) = a_{1,1,0} e^x \partial_1^2 + a_{1,-1,0} e^{-x} \partial_1^2 + a_{2,1,0} e^x \partial_2^2 + a_{2,-1,0} e^{-x} \partial_2^2$$

Similarly, we can prove that

$$D(e^{y}\partial_{2}^{2}) = b_{1,0,1}e^{y}\partial_{1}^{2} + b_{1,0,-1}e^{-y}\partial_{1}^{2} + b_{2,0,1}e^{y}\partial_{2}^{2} + b_{2,0,-1}e^{-y}\partial_{2}^{2}$$

with appropriate scalars. Since  $e^y \partial_2^2$  is in the right annihilator of  $e^x \partial_1^2$ , we have that  $a_{2,1,0} = a_{2,-1,0} = 0$ , i.e.,

$$D(e^x \partial_1^2) = a_{1,1,0} e^x \partial_1^2 + a_{1,-1,0} e^{-x} \partial_1^2.$$

Symmetrically, we can also prove that  $D(e^y\partial_2^2) = b_{2,0,1}e^y\partial_2^2 + b_{2,0,-1}e^{-y}\partial_2^2$ . By  $D(e^{-x}\partial_1^2 * e^x\partial_1^2) = 0$ , we have that  $D(e^{-x}\partial_1^2) * e^x\partial_1^2 = -a_{1,1,0}\partial_1^2 - a_{1,-1,0}e^{-2x}\partial_1^2$ . This implies that

(3) 
$$D(e^{-x}\partial_1^2) = -a_{1,1,0}e^{-x}\partial_1^2 - a_{1,-1,0}e^{-3x}\partial_1^2 + \sum_{i,j} c_{2,i,j}e^{ix}e^{jy}\partial_2^2$$

with appropriate scalars. Since  $\partial_1^2$  is a left identity of  $e^{-x}\partial_1^2$ , we have that  $a_{1,-1,0}=0$ , i.e.,  $D(e^x\partial_1^2)=a_{1,1,0}e^x\partial_1^2$ . Similarly, we can also prove that  $D(e^y\partial_2^2)=b_{2,0,1}e^y\partial_2^2$ . Since  $\partial_2^2$  is in the left annihilator of  $e^{-x}\partial_1^2$ , we have that either j=0 or  $c_{2,i,j}=0$ . On the other hand, since  $\partial_1^2$  is a left identity of  $e^{-x}\partial_1^2$ , we can prove that  $i\in\{1,-1\}$ . If  $c_{2,i,j}=0$  holds for all  $i,j\in\mathbb{Z}$ , then we have that  $D(e^{-x}\partial_1^2)=-a_{1,1,0}e^{-x}\partial_1^2$ . If  $c_{2,i,j}\neq 0$  for some  $i,j\in\mathbb{Z}$ , then j=0 and we have the following two cases, Case I: i=1 and Case II: i=-1.

Case I. Let us assume that i = 1 holds. We have that

$$D(e^{-x}\partial_1^2) = -a_{1,1,0}e^{-x}\partial_1^2 + c_{2,1,0}e^x\partial_2^2.$$

Since  $e^x \partial_1^2$  is in the left annihilator of  $e^{-x} \partial_1^2$ , we can prove that  $c_{2,1,0} = 0$ .

Case II. Let us assume that i = -1 holds. We have that

$$D(e^{-x}\partial_1^2) = -a_{1,1,0}e^{-x}\partial_1^2 + c_{2,-1,0}e^{-x}\partial_2^2.$$

By  $D(e^x \partial_1^2 * e^{-x} \partial_1^2) = 0$ , we prove that  $c_{2,-1,0} = 0$ .

Thus, by the cases I and II, we have that  $D(e^{-x}\partial_1^2) = -a_{1,1,0}e^{-x}\partial_1^2$ . Similarly, we can prove that

(4) 
$$D(e^{x}\partial_{2}^{2}) = a_{1,1,0}e^{x}\partial_{2}^{2}$$

$$D(e^{-x}\partial_{2}^{2}) = -a_{1,1,0}e^{-x}\partial_{2}^{2}.$$

By  $D(e^x e^y \partial_1^2 * e^{-x} \partial_2^2) = D(e^y \partial_2^2)$ , we have that

$$D(e^x e^y \partial_1^2) * e^{-x} \partial_2^2 = a_{1,1,0} e^y \partial_2^2 + b_{2,0,1} e^y \partial_2^2.$$

This implies that

(5) 
$$D(e^x e^y \partial_1^2) = (a_{1,1,0} + b_{2,0,1})e^x e^y \partial_1^2 + \sum_{i,j} t_{2,i,j} e^{ix} e^{jy} \partial_2^2$$

with appropriate scalars. Since  $e^y\partial_2^2$  is in the right annihilator of  $e^xe^y\partial_1^2$ , we also have that  $\sum_{i,j}t_{2,i,j}e^{ix}e^{(j+1)y}\partial_2^2=0$ . This implies that  $t_{2,i,j}=0$  for  $i,j\in\mathbb{Z}$ . Thus, we have that

(6) 
$$D(e^x e^y \partial_1^2) = (a_{1,1,0} + b_{2,0,1})e^x e^y \partial_1^2.$$

By  $D(e^{-x}\partial_2^2 * e^x e^y \partial_2^2) = D(e^y \partial_2^2)$ , we have that

$$e^{-x}\partial_2^2 * D(e^x e^y \partial_2^2) = (a_{1,1,0} + b_{2,0,1})e^y \partial_2^2.$$

This implies that

(7) 
$$D(e^x e^y \partial_2^2) = (a_{1,1,0} + b_{2,0,1})e^x e^y \partial_2^2 + \sum_i u_{1,i,0} e^{ix} \partial_1^2 + \sum_i u_{2,i,0} e^{ix} \partial_2^2$$

with appropriate scalars. Since  $\partial_2^2$  is a left identity of  $e^x e^y \partial_2^2$ , we have that

(8) 
$$D(e^x e^y \partial_2^2) = (a_{1,1,0} + b_{2,0,1})e^x e^y \partial_2^2$$

(6) and (8) are the required forms in the lemma. Therefore we have proven the lemma.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 2.2.** For any  $D \in Der_{non}(\mathbb{F}[e^{\pm x}, e^{\pm y}]_M)$  and  $e^{ix}e^{jy}\partial_r^2 \in \mathbb{F}[e^{\pm x}, e^{\pm y}]_M$ , if  $D(\partial_1^2) = 0$  and  $D(\partial_2^2) = 0$ , then we have the following equality

$$D(e^{ix}e^{jy}\partial_r^2) = (ia_{1,1,0} + jb_{2,0,1})e^{ix}e^{jy}\partial_r^2$$

holds where  $r \in \{1,2\}$  and  $a_{1,1,0},b_{2,0,1} \in \mathbb{F}$ .

Proof. Let D be the derivation of  $\mathbb{F}[e^{\pm x}, e^{\pm y}]_M$  in the lemma. Since  $D(e^x\partial_1^2 * e^x\partial_1^2) = D(e^{2x}\partial_1^2)$ , we have that  $D(e^x\partial_1^2) * e^x\partial_1^2 + e^x\partial_1^2 * D(e^x\partial_1^2) = D(e^{2x}\partial_1^2)$ . Thus we have that  $D(e^{2x}\partial_1^2) = 2a_{1,1,0}e^{2x}\partial_1^2$ . By  $D(e^x\partial_1^2 * e^{2x}\partial_1^2) = 2D(e^{3x}\partial_1^2)$ , we can prove that  $D(e^{3x}\partial_1^2) = 3a_{1,1,0}e^{3x}\partial_1^2$ . By induction on i of  $e^{ix}\partial_1^2$ , we also

prove that  $D(e^{ix}\partial_1^2) = ia_{1,1,0}e^{ix}\partial_1^2$ . Similarly, we can prove that  $D(e^{jy}\partial_2^2) = jb_{2,0,1}e^{jx}\partial_2^2$ . By  $D(e^{(i-1)x}\partial_1^2 * e^x e^y \partial_1^2) = D(e^{ix}e^y\partial_1^2)$ , we have that

$$D(e^{ix}e^{y}\partial_{1}^{2}) = D(e^{(i-1)x}\partial_{1}^{2}) * e^{x}e^{y}\partial_{1}^{2} + e^{(i-1)x}\partial_{1}^{2} * D(e^{x}e^{y}\partial_{1}^{2})$$

$$= (i-1)a_{1,1,0}e^{ix}e^{y}\partial_{1}^{2} + e^{(i-1)x}\partial_{1}^{2} * \{(a_{1,1,0} + b_{2,0,1})e^{x}e^{y}\partial_{1}^{2}\}$$

$$= (ia_{1,1,0} + b_{2,0,1})e^{ix}e^{y}\partial_{1}^{2}.$$

Since  $D(e^{(j-1)y}\partial_2^2 * e^{ix}e^y\partial_1^2) = D(e^{ix}e^{jy}\partial_1^2)$ , we prove that

$$\begin{array}{lll} D(e^{ix}e^{jy}\partial_1^2) & = & D(e^{(j-1)y}\partial_2^2) * e^{ix}e^y\partial_1^2 + e^{(j-1)y}\partial_2^2 * D(e^{ix}e^y\partial_1^2) \\ & = & (j-1)b_{2,0,1}e^{ix}e^{jy}\partial_1^2 + e^{(j-1)y}\partial_2^2 * (ia_{1,1,0} + jb_{2,0,1})e^{ix}e^y\partial_1^2 \\ & = & (ia_{1,1,0} + jb_{2,0,1})e^{ix}e^{iy}\partial_1^2. \end{array}$$

By  $D(e^{(i-1)x}\partial_1^2 * e^x e^y \partial_2^2) = D(e^{ix}e^y \partial_2^2)$ , we also have that

$$D(e^{ix}e^{y}\partial_{2}^{2}) = D(e^{(i-1)x}\partial_{1}^{2}) * e^{x}e^{y}\partial_{2}^{2} + e^{(i-1)x}\partial_{1}^{2} * D(e^{x}e^{y}\partial_{2}^{2})$$

$$= (i-1)a_{1,1,0}e^{(i-1)x}\partial_{1}^{2} * e^{x}e^{y}\partial_{2}^{2}$$

$$+e^{(i-1)x}\partial_{1}^{2} * (a_{1,1,0} + b_{2,0,1})e^{x}e^{y}\partial_{2}^{2}$$

$$= (i-1)a_{1,1,0}e^{ix}e^{y}\partial_{2}^{2} + (a_{1,1,0} + b_{2,0,1})e^{(i)x}e^{y}\partial_{2}^{2}$$

$$= (ia_{1,1,0} + b_{2,0,1})e^{ix}e^{y}\partial_{2}^{2}.$$

Since  $D(e^{(j-1)y}\partial_2^2 * e^{ix}e^y\partial_2^2) = D(e^{ix}e^{jy}\partial_2^2)$ , we can prove that

$$D(e^{ix}e^{jy}\partial_2^2) = D(e^{(j-1)y}\partial_2^2) * e^{ix}e^y\partial_2^2 + e^{(j-1)y}\partial_2^2 * D(e^{ix}e^y\partial_2^2)$$

$$= (j-1)b_{2,0,1}e^{ix}e^{jy}\partial_2^2 + (ia_{1,1,0} + b_{2,0,1})e^{ix}e^{jy}\partial_2^2$$

$$= (ia_{1,1,0} + jb_{2,0,1})e^{ix}e^{jy}\partial_2^2.$$

Therefore we have proven the lemma.

**Lemma 2.3.** For any  $D \in Der_{non}(\mathbb{F}[e^{\pm x}, e^{\pm y}]_M), D(\partial_1^2) = D(\partial_2^2) = 0$  holds.

Proof. Let D be any derivation of  $\mathbb{F}[e^{\pm x}, e^{\pm y}]_M$ . By  $\partial_1^2 * D(\partial_1^2) = 0$  and  $\partial_2^2 * D(\partial_1^2) = 0$ , we have that  $D(\partial_1^2) = c_1 \partial_1^2 + c_2 \partial_2^2$  for  $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{F}$ . Similarly, we can prove that  $D(\partial_2^2) = c_3 \partial_1^2 + c_4 \partial_2^2$  for  $c_3, c_4 \in \mathbb{F}$ . By  $D(\partial_2^2 * e^x \partial_1^2) = 0$ , we have that  $\partial_2^2 * D(e^x \partial_1^2) = -c_3 e^x \partial_1^2$ . This implies that  $c_3 = 0$ . Symmetrically, we can prove that  $c_2 = 0$ , i.e.,  $D(\partial_1^2) = c_1 \partial_1^2$  and  $D(\partial_2^2) = c_4 \partial_2^2$ . Since  $\partial_1^2$  is a left identity of  $e^x \partial_1^2$ , we have that

(9) 
$$c_1 e^x \partial_1^2 + \partial_1^2 * D(e^x \partial_1^2) = D(e^x \partial_1^2).$$

If  $c_1 \neq 0$ , then there is no element  $D(e^x \partial_1^2)$  which holds (9). This contradiction implies that  $c_1 = 0$ . Similarly, we can also prove that  $c_4 = 0$ . This completes the proof of the lemma.

**Notes.** For any basis element  $e^x e^y \partial_r^2$ ,  $r \in \{1,2\}$ , of  $\mathbb{F}[e^{\pm x}, e^{\pm y}]_M$  and for  $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{F}$ , if we define  $\mathbb{F}$ -linear maps  $D_{c_1, c_2}$  as follows:

$$D_{c_1,c_2}(e^{ix}e^{jy}\partial_r^2) = (ic_1 + jc_2)e^{ix}e^{jy}\partial_r^2$$

then  $D_{c_1,c_2}$  can be linearly extended to a derivation of  $\mathbb{F}[e^{\pm x},e^{\pm y}]_M$ .

**Theorem 2.1.**  $Der_{non}(\mathbb{F}[e^{\pm x}, e^{\pm y}]_M)$  of the non-associative algebra

$$\mathbb{F}[e^{\pm x}, e^{\pm y}]_M$$

is generated by  $D_{c_1,c_2}$ ,  $c_1,c_2 \in \mathbb{F}$ , which are defined in Notes.

*Proof.* Let D be any derivation of  $\mathbb{F}[e^{\pm x}, e^{\pm y}]_M$ . By Lemma 2.3, we have that  $D(\partial_1^2) = D(\partial_2^2) = 0$ . So by Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, by taking appropriate scalars  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ , we have that  $D = D_{c_1,c_2}$  which is defined in Notes. Thus we have proven the theorem.

Corollary 2.1. For any D in  $Der_{non}(\mathbb{F}[e^{\pm x}, e^{\pm y}]_M)$ , D is the sum of two outer derivations  $D_{c_1\partial_1}$  and  $D_{c_2\partial_2}$  where  $\partial_1$  and  $\partial_2$  are the usual partial derivatives of the  $\mathbb{F}$ -algebra  $\mathbb{F}[e^{\pm x}, e^{\pm y}]$  and  $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{F}$ .

Corollary 2.2. For any D in  $Der_{non}(\mathbb{F}[e^{\pm x}, e^{\pm y}]_M)$ ,  $D(A_1) \subset A_1$  and  $D(A_2) \subset A_2$  hold.

**Proposition 2.1.** If  $M_1$  is either  $\{\partial_1\}$  or  $\{\partial_1^2\}$ , then

$$Hom_{non}(\mathbb{F}[e^{\pm x}, e^{\pm y}]_M, \mathbb{F}[e^{\pm x}]_{M_1}) = \{0\},\$$

where 0 is the zero algebra automorphism and  $Hom_{non}(\mathbb{F}[e^{\pm x}, e^{\pm y}]_M, \mathbb{F}[e^{\pm x}]_{M_1})$  is the set of all non-associative algebra homomorphisms from the algebra

$$\mathbb{F}[e^{\pm x}, e^{\pm y}]_M$$

to the algebra  $\mathbb{F}[e^{\pm x}]_{M_1}$ .

*Proof.* Let us assume that there is a non-zero algebra homomorphism  $\theta$  from the algebra  $\mathbb{F}[e^{\pm x}, e^{\pm y}]_M$  to the algebra  $\mathbb{F}[e^{\pm x}]_{M_1}$ . Since  $\theta$  is injective and the right annihilator of  $\mathbb{F}[e^{\pm x}, e^{\pm y}]_M$  is spanned by  $\partial_1^2$  and  $\partial_2^2$ , we can derive a contradiction easily. This completes the proof of the proposition.

**Corollary 2.3.** If  $M_1$  is either  $\{\partial_1\}$  or  $\{\partial_1^2\}$ , then there is no algebra isomorphism from the algebra  $\mathbb{F}[e^{\pm x}, e^{\pm y}]_M$  to the algebra  $\mathbb{F}[e^{\pm x}]_{M_1}$ .

Theorem 2.2. There is no algebra isomorphism from the algebra

$$\mathbb{F}[e^{\pm x}, e^{\pm y}]_{\partial_1, \partial_2^2}$$

to the algebra  $\mathbb{F}[e^{\pm x}, e^{\pm y}]_{\partial_1^2, \partial_2^2}$  as non-associative algebras.

*Proof.* Let us assume that there is an isomorphism  $\theta$  from  $\mathbb{F}[e^{\pm x}, e^{\pm y}]_{\partial_1, \partial_2^2}$  to  $\mathbb{F}[e^{\pm x}, e^{\pm y}]_{\partial_1^2, \partial_2^2}$  as non-associative algebras. We know that  $\theta(\partial_1) = c_1 \partial_1^2 + c_2 \partial_2^2$  where  $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{F}$ . Let us assume that  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are non-zero scalars. This implies that  $\theta(\partial_2) = c_3 \partial_1^2 + c_4 \partial_2^2$  such that  $c_1 c_4 - c_2 c_3 \neq 0$  where  $c_3, c_4 \in \mathbb{F}$ . By  $(c_1 \partial_1^2 + c_2 \partial_2^2) * \theta(e^y \partial_2^1) = 0$ , we have that

(10) 
$$\theta(e^y \partial_1) = \sum_{r,u} C(a_r, i_r, u) e^{a_r x} e^{i_r y} \partial_u^2$$

such that  $c_1a_r + c_2i_r = 0$  for r and  $1 \leq u \leq 2$ . Since  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are nonzero scalars,  $a_r$  and  $i_r$  are non-zeroes. Since  $e^y \partial_1^2$  annihilates itself,  $a_r^2 + i_r^2 =$ 0. Since  $a_r$  and  $i_r$  are integers, we have that  $i_r = 0$ . This contradicts the assumption. Thus either  $c_1$  is zero or  $c_2$  is zero. Let us assume that  $c_2 = 0$ , i.e.,  $\theta(\partial_1) = c_1 \partial_1^2$ . Similarly, we can prove that  $\theta(\partial_2^2) = c_4 \partial_2^2$  for  $c_4 \in \mathbb{F}^{\bullet}$ . Since  $\partial_1$  is a left identity of  $e^x\partial_1$  and it is in the right annihilator of  $\partial_2^2$ , we have that  $\theta(e^x\partial_1) = d_1e^x\partial_1^2 + d_2e^x\partial_2^2$  where  $d_1, d_2 \in \mathbb{F}$ . Similarly, we can prove that  $\theta(e^y\partial_2^2) = d_3e^y\partial_1^2 + d_4e^y\partial_2^2$  where  $d_3, d_4 \in \mathbb{F}$ . Since  $e^x\partial_1$  and  $e^y\partial_2^2$  annihilates each other, we can prove that  $d_2 = d_3 = 0$ . By  $\theta(e^x \partial_1 * e^x \partial_1) = \theta(e^{2x} \partial_1)$ , we have that  $\theta(e^{2x}\partial_1) = d_1^2 e^{2x}\partial_1^2$ . By induction on  $e^{nx}\partial_1$ , we can prove that  $\theta(e^{nx}\partial_1) = d_1^n e^{2x}\partial_1^2$ . By  $\theta(e^{mx}\partial_1 * e^{nx}\partial_1) = n\theta(e^{(m+n)x}\partial_1)$ , we can derive a contradiction easily. Thus there is no isomorphism from  $\mathbb{F}[e^{\pm x}, e^{\pm y}]_{\partial_1, \partial_2^2}$ to  $\mathbb{F}[e^{\pm x}, e^{\pm y}]_{\partial_1^2, \partial_2^2}$  such that  $c_2 = 0$ . Thus let us assume that  $c_1 = 0$ , i.e.,  $\theta(\partial_1) = c_2 \partial_2^2$ . Similarly to the proof of  $c_2 = 0$  case, we can derive a contradiction easily. Thus there is no isomorphism between them. This completes the proof of the theorem.

**Open Question.** Find all the derivations and all the non-associative algebra automorphisms of the non-associative algebra  $\mathbb{F}[e^{\pm x_1}, e^{\pm x_2}, \dots, e^{\pm x_n}]_{\partial_1^2, \dots, \partial_n^2}$  respectively.

## References

- [1] M. H. Ahmadi, K.-B. Nam, and J. Pakianathan, *Lie admissible non-associative algebras*, Algebra Colloquium **12** (2005), no. 1, 113–120.
- [2] S. H. Choi, Notes on a Non-Associative Algebras with Exponential Functions II, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 44 (2007), no. 2, 241–246.
- [3] S. H. Choi and K.-B. Nam, The derivation of a restricted Weyl type non-associative algebra, Hadronic Journal 28 (2005), no. 3, 287–295.
- [4] \_\_\_\_\_, Derivation of symmetric non-associative algebra I, Algebras, Groups and Geometries 22 (2005), no. 3, 341–352.
- [5] \_\_\_\_\_, Derivations of a restricted Weyl Type Algebra I, Appear, Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics, 2007.
- [6] T. Ikeda, N. Kawamoto, and K.-B. Nam, A class of simple subalgebras of generalized W algebras, Proceedings of the International Conference in 1998 at Pusan (Eds. A. C. Kim), Walter de Gruyter Gmbh Co. KG, 2000, 189–202.
- [7] V. G. Kac, Description of Filtered Lie Algebra with which Graded Lie algebras of Cartan type are Associated, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat. Tom, 38 (1974), 832–834.
- [8] N. Kawamoto, A. Mitsukawa, K.-B. Nam, and M.-O. Wang, The automorphisms of generalized Witt type Lie algebras, Journal of Lie Theory 13 (2003), no. 2, 571–576.
- [9] I. Kaplansky, The Virasoro algebra, Comm. Math. Phys. 86 (1982), no. 1, 49–54.
- [10] K.-B. Nam, On some non-associative algebras using additive groups, Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics 27 (2003), 493–500.
- [11] K.-B. Nam, Y. Kim, and M.-O. Wang, Weyl-type non-associative algebras I, IMCC Proceedings, 2004, SAS Publishers, 147–155.
- [12] K.-B. Nam and M.-O. Wang, *Notes on some non-associative algebras*, Journal of Applied Algebra and Discrete Structured **1** (2003), no. 3, 159–164.
- [13] K.-B. Nam and S. H. Choi, On the derivations of non-associative Weyl-type algebras, Southeast Asian Bull. Math. **31** (2007), 341–348.

- [14] A. N. Rudakov, Groups of automorphisms of infinite-dimensional simple Lie algebras, Math. USSR-Izvestija 3 (1969), 707-722.
- [15] R. D. Schafer, Introduction to nonassociative algebras, Dover, 128–138, 1995.
- [16] M.-O. Wang, J.-G. Hwang, and K.-S. Lee, Some results on non-associative algebras, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 44 (2007), no. 1, 95–102.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF JEONJU
CHON-JU 560-759, KOREA
E-mail address: chois@www.jj.ac.kr