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#### Abstract

Consider the nonparametric regression model $Y_{n i}=g\left(x_{n i}\right)+$ $\epsilon_{n i}(1 \leq i \leq n)$, where $g(\cdot)$ is an unknown regression function, $x_{n i}$ are known fixed design points, and the correlated errors $\left\{\epsilon_{n i}, 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$ have the same distribution as $\left\{V_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$, here $V_{t}=\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_{j} e_{t-j}$ with $\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}\left|\psi_{j}\right|<\infty$ and $\left\{e_{t}\right\}$ are negatively associated random variables Under appropriate conditions, we derive a Berry-Esseen type bound for the estimator of $g(\cdot)$. As corollary, by choice of the weights, the BerryEsseen type bound can attain $O\left(n^{-1 / 4}(\log n)^{3 / 4}\right)$.


## 1. Introduction

Consider the nonparametric regression model

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{n i}=g\left(x_{n i}\right)+\epsilon_{n i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, n, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g$ is an unknown regression function defined on $A, x_{n i}$ are known fixed design points, and $\epsilon_{n i}$ are random errors. As an estimate of $g$, we consider the following weighted regression estimator:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{n}(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{n i} Y_{n i}, x \in A, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w_{n i}=w_{n i}(x)$ are weight functions.
The above estimator was first proposed by Georgiev [8] and subsequently have been studied by many authors. For instance, when $\epsilon_{n i}$ are assumed to be independent, consistency and asymptotic normality have been studied by Georgiev and Greblicki [10], Georgiev [9] and Müller [17] among others. Results for the case when $\epsilon_{n i}$ are dependent have also been studied by various authors. Fan [7] extended the work of Georgiev [9] and Müller [17] in the estimation of the regression model to the case where $\left\{\epsilon_{n i}\right\}$ form an $L^{q}$-mixingale sequence for some $1 \leq q \leq 2$. Roussas [19] discussed strong consistency and quadratic mean consistency for $g_{n}(x)$ under mixing conditions. Roussas et al. [22] established

[^0]asymptotic normality of $g_{n}(x)$ assuming that the errors are from a strictly stationary stochastic process and satisfy the strong mixing condition. Tran et al [26] discussed again asymptotic normality of $g_{n}(x)$ assuming that the errors form a linear time series, more precisely, a weakly stationary linear process based on a martingale difference sequence.

In this paper, we consider the model (1.1) and assume the following form for $\left\{\epsilon_{n i}\right\}$ :
(A1) For each $n,\left\{\epsilon_{n i}, 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$ have the same distribution as $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{n}$, where $V_{t}=\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_{j} e_{t-j}$ and $\left\{e_{t}\right\}$ are identically distributed, negatively associated random variables with $E e_{i}=0$. Here $\left\{\psi_{j}\right\}$ is a sequence of real numbers with $\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}\left|\psi_{j}\right|<\infty$.
Here, a finite family of random variables $\left\{X_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$ is said to be negatively associated (NA) if, for every pair of disjoint subsets $A$ and $B$ of $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Cov}\left(f_{1}\left(X_{i}, i \in A\right), f_{2}\left(X_{j}, j \in B\right)\right) \leq 0
$$

whenever $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are coordinatewise increasing provided the covariance exists. An infinite family of random variables is said to be NA, if every finite subfamily is NA.

The notion of negative association was first introduced by Alam and Saxena [1]. Joag-Dev and Proschan [11] showed that many well known multivariate distributions possess the NA property. Examples include (a) multinomial, (b) convolution of different multinomials, (c) multivariate hypergeometric, (d) Dirichlet, (e) Dirichlet compound multinomial, (f) negatively correlated normal distribution, (g) permutation distribution, (h) random sampling without replacement, and (i) joint distribution of ranks. The significance of NA, however, seems to come from the perception that it is an appropriate model when several species compete for the same limited resources. Because of its wide applications in multivariate statistical analysis and systems reliability, the notion of NA has recently received considerable attention. We refer to Joag-Dev and Proschan [11] for fundamental properties, Matula [16] for the three series theorem, Shao [23] for the Rosenthal-type inequality and the Kolmogorov exponential inequality, and Su et al. [25] for a moment inequality and weak convergence, Shao and $\mathrm{Su}[24]$ for the law of the iterated logarithm, Liang and $\mathrm{Su}[15]$ and Liang [12] as well as Baek et al. [2] for complete convergence, Liang and Baek [13] for some strong law, Roussas [20] studied the central limit theorems for weak stationary NA random fields. Asymptotic properties of estimates related to NA samples have also been studied by some authors. Cai and Roussas [3] gave uniform strong consistency, convergence rates and the asymptotic distribution of the Kaplan-Meier estimator for observations under randomly censored failure times. In Cai and Roussas [4], they established Berry-Esseen bounds for a smooth estimate of the distribution function; Roussas [21] derived the asymptotic normality of the kernel estimate of the probability density function; Chen et al. [6] studied strong consistency of estimator in heteroscedastic
regression model under NA samples; Yang [27] studied uniformly asymptotic normality of the regression weighted estimator for NA samples; Liang and Jing [14] discussed the asymptotic properties of $g_{n}(x)$ under NA setting. In particular, Liang and Jing [14] studied the asymptotic normality of $g_{n}(x)$ under assumption (A1) for $V_{t}=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \psi_{j} e_{t-j}$ setting.

In this paper, we further investigate model (1.1) and derive a Berry-Esseen type bound for the estimator $g_{n}(x)$ of $g(\cdot)$ under the errors $\left\{\epsilon_{n i}\right\}$ satisfy assumption (A1). By choice of the weights, the Berry-Esseen type bound can attain $O\left(n^{-1 / 4}(\log n)^{3 / 4}\right)$.

The layout of the paper is as follows. The main result is presented in Section 2. In Section 3, some preliminary lemmas are given. The proofs of the main result and preliminary lemmas are provided in Sections 4 and 5, respectively.

In the sequel, let $C, c, c_{1}, \ldots$ denote generic finite positive constants, whose values are unimportant and may change from line to line. For random variables $X$ and $Y, X \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=} Y$ means that the distribution of $X$ is the same as that of $Y$. All limits are taken as the sample size $n$ tends to $\infty$, unless specified otherwise.

## 2. The main result

In order to formulate the main result, we now give the following assumptions.
(A2) The weights satisfy $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|w_{n i}(x)\right| \leq C, w_{n}:=\max _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left|w_{n i}(x)\right|=$ $O\left(\sigma_{n}^{2}(x)\right)$, where $\sigma_{n}^{2}(x):=\operatorname{Var}\left(g_{n}(x)\right)>0$.
(A3) There exist positive integers $p:=p(n)$ and $q:=q(n)$ such that for sufficiently large $n, p+q \leq 3 n, q p^{-1} \leq c<\infty$.
Let $\gamma_{i n} \rightarrow 0(i=1,2,3)$ and $u(q) \rightarrow 0$, where $\gamma_{1 n}=n q p^{-1} w_{n}, \gamma_{2 n}=$ $p w_{n}, \gamma_{3 n}=n\left(\sum_{|j|>n}\left|\psi_{j}\right|\right)^{2}$ and $u(q)=\sup _{j \geq 1} \sum_{|i-j| \geq q}\left|\operatorname{Cov}\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right)\right|$.

Our main result is as follows.
Theorem 2.1. Suppose that (A1)-(A3) are satisfied. If $E\left|e_{0}\right|^{2+\delta}<\infty$ for some $\delta>0$, then, for each $x \in A$, we have

$$
\sup _{u}\left|P\left(\sigma_{n}^{-1}(x)\left\{g_{n}(x)-E g_{n}(x)\right\} \leq u\right)-\Phi(u)\right|=O\left(a_{n}\right),
$$

where $a_{n}=\left(\gamma_{1 n}^{1 / 2}+\gamma_{2 n}^{1 / 2}\right)(\log n)^{1 / 2}+\gamma_{2 n}^{\delta / 2}+\gamma_{3 n}^{1 / 3}+u^{1 / 3}(q)+n^{-1}+\left(\left(n q p^{-1}\right)^{-\delta / 2}+\right.$ $\left.p^{-\delta / 2}\right)(\log n)^{(\delta-2) / 2} \rightarrow 0, \Phi(u)$ represents the standard normal distribution function.

Corollary 2.1. Suppose that (A1)-(A2) are satisfied. Let

$$
\sup _{n \geq 1} n^{7 / 8}(\log n)^{-9 / 8} \sum_{|j|>n}\left|\psi_{j}\right|<\infty
$$

and $u(n)=O\left(n^{9 / 4} e^{-3 n / 4}\right)$. If $E\left|e_{0}\right|^{3}<\infty$ and $w_{n}=O\left(n^{-1}\right)$, then for each $x \in A$, we have

$$
\sup _{u}\left|P\left(\sigma_{n}^{-1}(x)\left\{g_{n}(x)-E g_{n}(x)\right\} \leq u\right)-\Phi(u)\right|=O\left(n^{-1 / 4}(\log n)^{3 / 4}\right)
$$

Corollary 2.2. Suppose that (A1) is satisfied, and that spectral density function $\tau(w)$ of $V_{i}$ is bounded away from zero and infinity, i.e., $0<c_{1} \leq \tau(w)<$ $\infty$, for $w \in(\pi, \pi]$. Let $\sup _{n \geq 1} n^{7 / 8}(\log n)^{-9 / 8} \sum_{|j|>n}\left|\psi_{j}\right|<\infty$ and $u(n)=$ $O\left(n^{9 / 4} e^{-3 n / 4}\right)$. If $E\left|e_{0}\right|^{3}<\infty, w_{n}=O\left(n^{-1}\right)$ and $n \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{n i}^{2}(x) \geq c_{0}>0$, then, for each $x \in A$, we have

$$
\sup _{u}\left|P\left(\sigma_{n}^{-1}(x)\left\{g_{n}(x)-E g_{n}(x)\right\} \leq u\right)-\Phi(u)\right|=O\left(n^{-1 / 4}(\log n)^{3 / 4}\right)
$$

Remark 2.1. (a) In Theorem 2.1, we use $u(q) \rightarrow 0$, which is easily satisfied.
For example:
(i) If $u(1)<\infty$ (cf. Roussas [21]), then $u(q) \rightarrow 0$ as $q \rightarrow \infty$.
(ii) For stationary NA sequence, Cai and Roussas [4] use the covariance coefficient: $u^{\prime}(n):=\sum_{j=n}^{\infty}\left|\operatorname{cov}\left(e_{1}, e_{j+1}\right)\right|^{1 / 3}$ and $u^{\prime}(1)<\infty$. In this case, we have $\left|\operatorname{cov}\left(e_{1}, e_{j+1}\right)\right|=o\left(j^{-3}\right)$. Hence

$$
u(q):=\sum_{j=q}^{\infty}\left|\operatorname{cov}\left(e_{1}, e_{j+1}\right)\right|=O\left(\sum_{j=q}^{\infty}\left|\operatorname{cov}\left(e_{1}, e_{j+1}\right)\right|^{1 / 3} j^{-2}\right)=O\left(q^{-2}\right)
$$

(b) In Roussas et al. [22], the weights are required to satisfy the conditions: $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|w_{n i}(x)\right| \leq C, \max _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left|w_{n i}(x)\right|=O\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{n i}^{2}(x)\right)$, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{n i}^{2}(x)=O\left(\sigma_{n}^{2}(x)\right)$. Clearly, these conditions imply Assumption (A2).

In addition, if we choose

$$
w_{n i}(x)=\frac{x_{n, i}-x_{n, i-1}}{h_{n}} K\left(\frac{x-x_{n, i}}{h_{n}}\right),
$$

where $\left\{h_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence of positive constants tending to 0 and $n h_{n} \rightarrow$ $\infty$, and the design points satisfy $0=x_{n, 0} \leq x_{n, 1} \leq \cdots \leq x_{n, n}=1$, this weight also was used by Tran et al. [26]. Assume that
(iii) there exist positive constants $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ such that $c_{1} n^{-1} \leq x_{n, i}-$ $x_{n, i-1} \leq c_{2} n^{-1}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$,
(iv) $K(x)$ is nonnegative, bounded and continuous almost everywhere on $R$ and has a majorant; that is, $K(x) \leq H(x)$ all $x \in R$, where $H$ is symmetric, bounded, nonincreasing on $[0, \infty)$ with $\int H(y) d y<$ $\infty$, where the integral is over $R$.
(v) $\left\{\epsilon_{n i}\right\}$ are stationary with $E \epsilon_{n i}=0$ and its spectral density function $f(w)$ is bounded away from zero and infinity, i.e., $0<c_{3} \leq$ $f(w)<\infty$ for $w \in(\pi, \pi]$.
Note that (v) implies that

$$
\sigma_{n}^{2}(x)=E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{n i}(x) \epsilon_{n i}\right]^{2}=\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(w)\left|\sum_{k=1}^{n} w_{n k}(x) e^{-i k w}\right|^{2} d w \geq c_{4} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{n i}^{2}(x) .
$$

While, by using Lemma 3.1 in Tran et al. [26], (iii) and (iv) imply $\max _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left|w_{n i}(x)\right| \leq C / n h_{n}, \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{n i}(x) \leq C$ and

$$
\frac{1}{h_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{n, i}-x_{n, i-1}\right) K^{2}\left(\frac{x-x_{n, i}}{h_{n}}\right) \rightarrow \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K^{2}(u) d u>0 .
$$

Thus $\sigma_{n}^{2}(x) \geq c_{4} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{n i}^{2}(x) \geq \frac{c_{5}}{n h_{n}}$. Hence $\max _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left|w_{n i}(x)\right|=$ $o\left(\sigma_{n}^{2}(x)\right)$, which shows that (A2) is mild.
(c) In Roussas et al. [22] (cf. (2.21) there), they assume that

$$
n q p^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{n i}^{2}(x) \rightarrow 0, p^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{n i}^{2}(x) \rightarrow 0
$$

Obviously, the limits above are stronger than $\gamma_{1 n} \rightarrow 0$ and $\gamma_{2 n} \rightarrow$ 0 here, which were also used by Yang [27]. Under some regularity conditions, $\gamma_{3 n} \rightarrow 0$ holds with the usual AR, MA and ARMA processes which are extensively used to model serially correlated data.

## 3. Some preliminary lemmas

We write $\sigma_{n}^{2}=\sigma_{n}^{2}(x)$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{n} & :=\sigma_{n}^{-1}\left\{g_{n}(x)-E g_{n}(x)\right\} \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=} \sigma_{n}^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{n i} V_{i} \\
& =\sigma_{n}^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{n i} \sum_{j=-n}^{n} \psi_{j} e_{i-j}+\sigma_{n}^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{n i} \sum_{|j|>n} \psi_{j} e_{i-j} \\
& :=S_{1 n}+S_{2 n} . \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{1 n} & =\sigma_{n}^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{n i} \sum_{j=-n}^{n} \psi_{j} e_{i-j}=\sum_{l=1-n}^{2 n} \sigma_{n}^{-1}\left(\sum_{i=\max \{1, l-n\}}^{\min \{n, l+n\}} w_{n i} \psi_{i-l}\right) e_{l} \\
& :=\sum_{l=1-n}^{2 n} \sigma_{n}^{-1} t_{n l} e_{l} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Set $Z_{n l}=\sigma_{n}^{-1} t_{n l} e_{l}, l=1-n, 2-n, \ldots, 2 n$. Then $S_{1 n}=\sum_{l=1-n}^{2 n} Z_{n l}$. Let $k=\left[\frac{3 n}{p+q}\right]$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{1 n}=S_{1 n}^{\prime}+S_{1 n}^{\prime \prime}+S_{1 n}^{\prime \prime \prime} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{cases}S_{1 n}^{\prime}=\sum_{m=1}^{k} y_{n m}, & y_{n m}=\sum_{i=k_{m}}^{k_{m}+p-1} Z_{n i}, \\ S_{1 n}^{\prime \prime}=\sum_{m=1}^{k} y_{n m}^{\prime}, & y_{n m}^{\prime}=\sum_{i=l_{m}}^{l_{m}+q-1} Z_{n i}, \\ S_{1 n}^{\prime \prime \prime}=y_{n k+1}^{\prime}, & y_{n k+1}^{\prime}=\sum_{i=k(p+q)-n+1}^{2 n} Z_{n i},\end{cases}
$$

$k_{m}=(m-1)(p+q)+1-n, l_{m}=(m-1)(p+q)+p+1-n, m=1, \ldots, k$. From (3.3) and (3.4) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n}=S_{1 n}^{\prime}+S_{1 n}^{\prime \prime}+S_{1 n}^{\prime \prime \prime}+S_{2 n} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.1. Suppose that (A1)-(A3) are satisfied. If $E e_{0}^{2}<\infty$, then

$$
E\left(S_{1 n}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2} \leq C \gamma_{1 n}, E\left(S_{1 n}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)^{2} \leq C \gamma_{2 n}, E\left(S_{2 n}\right)^{2} \leq C \gamma_{3 n}
$$

Lemma 3.2. Suppose that (A1)-(A3) are satisfied. Set $s_{n}^{2}=\sum_{m=1}^{k} \operatorname{Var}\left(y_{n m}\right)$. If $E e_{0}^{2}<\infty$, then

$$
\left|s_{n}^{2}-1\right| \leq C\left(\gamma_{1 n}^{1 / 2}+\gamma_{2 n}^{1 / 2}+\gamma_{3 n}^{1 / 2}+u(q)\right)
$$

Let $\eta_{n m}, m=1,2, \ldots, k$ be independent random variables and $\eta_{n m} \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=} y_{n m}$ for $m=1,2, \ldots, k$. Put $T_{n}=\sum_{m=1}^{k} \eta_{n m}$.

Lemma 3.3. Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.1, we have

$$
\sup _{u}\left|P\left(T_{n} / s_{n} \leq u\right)-\Phi(u)\right| \leq C \gamma_{2 n}^{\delta / 2}
$$

Lemma 3.4. Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.1, we have

$$
\sup _{u}\left|P\left(S_{1 n}^{\prime} \leq u\right)-P\left(T_{n} \leq u\right)\right| \leq C\left\{\gamma_{2 n}^{\delta / 2}+u^{1 / 3}(q)\right\}
$$

Lemma 3.5. Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.1, we have

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
(3.6) & P\left(\left|S_{1 n}^{\prime \prime}\right|>c \mu_{n}\right) \leq C\left\{n^{-1}+\left(n q p^{-1}\right)^{-\delta / 2}(\log n)^{(\delta-2) / 2}\right\} \\
(3.7) & P\left(\left|S_{1 n}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right|>c \nu_{n}\right) \leq C\left\{n^{-1}+p^{-\delta / 2}(\log n)^{(\delta-2) / 2}\right\} \\
(3.8) & P\left(\left|S_{2 n}\right|>\tau_{n}\right) \leq C \gamma_{3 n}^{1 / 3}  \tag{3.8}\\
\text { where } \mu_{n}=\gamma_{1 n}^{1 / 2}(\log n)^{1 / 2}, \nu_{n}=\gamma_{2 n}^{1 / 2}(\log n)^{1 / 2}, \tau_{n}=\gamma_{3 n}^{1 / 3}
\end{array}
$$

Lemma 3.6. Let $X$ and $Y$ be random variables. Then for any $a>0$

$$
\sup _{u}|P(X+Y \leq u)-\Phi(u)| \leq \sup _{u}|P(X \leq u)-\Phi(u)|+\frac{a}{\sqrt{2 \pi}}+P(|Y|>a)
$$

The proof of Lemma 3.6 can be found in Chang and Rao [5].

## 4. Proof of Theorem 2.1

We observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{u}\left|P\left(S_{1 n}^{\prime} \leq u\right)-\Phi(u)\right| \\
\leq & \sup _{u}\left|P\left(S_{1 n}^{\prime} \leq u\right)-P\left(T_{n} \leq u\right)\right|+\sup _{u}\left|P\left(T_{n} \leq u\right)-\Phi\left(\frac{u}{s_{n}}\right)\right| \\
& \left.+\sup _{u} \left\lvert\, \Phi\left(\frac{u}{s_{n}}\right)-\Phi(u)\right.\right) \mid \\
:= & J_{1 n}+J_{2 n}+J_{3 n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From Lemma 3.4 we have $J_{1 n} \leq C\left(\gamma_{2 n}^{\delta / 2}+u^{1 / 3}(q)\right)$, Lemma 3.3 yields that $J_{2 n} \leq$ $C \gamma_{2 n}^{\delta / 2}$, according to Lemma 3.2 we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{3 n} & \left.=\mid \Phi\left(u / s_{n}\right)-\Phi(u)\right) \mid \\
& \leq C\left|s_{n}^{2}-1\right| / s_{n}^{2} \\
& \leq C\left|s_{n}^{2}-1\right| \leq C\left(\gamma_{1 n}^{1 / 2}+\gamma_{2 n}^{1 / 2}+\gamma_{3 n}^{1 / 2}+u(q)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\sup _{u}\left|P\left(S_{1 n}^{\prime} \leq u\right)-\Phi(u)\right| \leq C\left\{\gamma_{1 n}^{1 / 2}+\gamma_{2 n}^{1 / 2}+\gamma_{2 n}^{\delta / 2}+\gamma_{3 n}^{1 / 2}+u^{1 / 3}(q)\right\}
$$

and from Lemmas 3.5 and 3.6 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{u}\left|P\left(S_{n} \leq u\right)-\Phi(u)\right| \\
\leq & \sup _{u}\left|P\left(S_{1 n}^{\prime}+S_{1 n}^{\prime \prime}+S_{1 n}^{\prime \prime \prime}+S_{2 n} \leq u\right)-\Phi(u)\right| \\
\leq & \sup _{u}\left|P\left(S_{1 n}^{\prime} \leq u\right)-\Phi(u)\right|+\left(\mu_{n}+\nu_{n}+\tau_{n}\right) / \sqrt{2 \pi} \\
& +P\left(\left|S_{1 n}^{\prime \prime}+S_{1 n}^{\prime \prime \prime}+S_{2 n}\right|>\mu_{n}+\nu_{n}+\tau_{n}\right) \\
\leq & C\left\{\gamma_{1 n}^{1 / 2}+\gamma_{2 n}^{1 / 2}+\gamma_{2 n}^{\delta / 2}+\gamma_{3 n}^{1 / 2}+u^{1 / 3}(q)\right\}+\mu_{n}+\nu_{n}+\tau_{n} \\
& +P\left(\left|S_{1 n}^{\prime \prime}\right|>\mu_{n}\right)+P\left(\left|S_{1 n}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right|>\nu_{n}\right)+P\left(\left|S_{2 n}\right|>\tau_{n}\right) \\
= & O\left(\left(\gamma_{1 n}^{1 / 2}+\gamma_{2 n}^{1 / 2}\right)(\log n)^{1 / 2}+\gamma_{2 n}^{\delta / 2}+\gamma_{3 n}^{1 / 3}+u^{1 / 3}(q)+n^{-1}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\left(n q p^{-1}\right)^{-\delta / 2}+p^{-\delta / 2}\right)(\log n)^{(\delta-2) / 2}\right) \\
= & O\left(a_{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof of Corollary 2.1. In Theorem 2.1, taking $\delta=1, p=\left[n^{1 / 2}(\log n)^{1 / 2}\right]$, $q=[\log n]$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
u(q) & =O\left(n^{-3 / 4}(\log n)^{9 / 4}\right) \text { by } u(n)=O\left(n^{9 / 4} e^{-3 n / 4}\right) ; \\
\gamma_{3 n}^{1 / 3} & =n^{-1 / 4}(\log n)^{3 / 4}\left(n^{7 / 8}(\log n)^{-9 / 8} \sum_{|j|>n}\left|\psi_{j}\right|\right)^{2 / 3} \\
& =O\left(n^{-1 / 4}(\log n)^{3 / 4}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

by $\sup _{n \geq 1} n^{7 / 8}(\log n)^{-9 / 8} \sum_{|j|>n}\left|\psi_{j}\right|<\infty ; \gamma_{1 n}^{1 / 2}=\gamma_{2 n}^{1 / 2}=n^{-1 / 4}(\log n)^{1 / 4}$ by $w_{n}=O\left(n^{-1}\right)$.

Therefore, the conclusion follows from Theorem 2.1.
Proof of Corollary 2.2. Note that

$$
\sigma_{n}^{2}(x)=E\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} w_{n k} V_{k}\right)^{2}=\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \tau(w)\left|\sum_{k=1}^{n} w_{n k} e^{-i k w}\right|^{2} d w \geq C \sum_{k=1}^{n} w_{n k}^{2}
$$

Hence, from $n \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{n i}^{2}(x) \geq c_{0}>0$ and $w_{n}=O\left(n^{-1}\right)$, it follows that

$$
w_{n} \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{n i}^{2}(x)=O\left(\sigma_{n}^{2}(x)\right) \text { and } \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|w_{n i}(x)\right| \leq C
$$

i.e., (A2) is satisfied. The rest proofs are the same as the proof of Corollary 2.1.

## 5. Proofs of lemmas

Lemma 5.1. Let $\left\{X_{j}, 1 \leq j \leq n\right\}$ be $N A$ random variables with $E X_{j}=0$ and $E\left|X_{j}\right|^{p}<\infty$ for some $p>1$, and let $\left\{a_{j}, j \geq 1\right\}$ be a sequence of real numbers. Then, there exist $A_{p}>0$ and $B_{p}>0$ such that $E \max _{1 \leq k \leq n}\left|\sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{j} X_{j}\right|^{p} \leq$ $A_{p} \sum_{j=1}^{n} E\left|a_{j} X_{j}\right|^{p}$ for $1<p \leq 2$ and
$E \max _{1 \leq k \leq n}\left|\sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{j} X_{j}\right|^{p} \leq B_{p}\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n} E\left|a_{j} X_{j}\right|^{p}+\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} E\left(a_{j} X_{j}\right)^{2}\right)^{p / 2}\right\} \quad$ for $\quad p>2$.
From Theorem 2 of Shao [23], it is easy to prove Lemma 5.1.
Lemma 5.2 (Yang [27]). Suppose that $\left\{X_{j}, j \geq 1\right\}$ is a sequence of NA random variables.
(a) Let $\left\{a_{j}, j \geq 1\right\}$ be a sequence of real numbers, $1=m_{0}<m_{1}<\cdots<$ $m_{k}=n$. Denote by $Y_{l}:=\sum_{j=m_{l-1}+1}^{m_{l}} a_{j} X_{j}$ for $1 \leq l \leq k$. Then

$$
\left|E \exp \left\{i t \sum_{l=1}^{k} Y_{l}\right\}-\prod_{l=1}^{k} \exp \left\{i t Y_{l}\right\}\right| \leq 4 t^{2} \sum_{1 \leq s<j \leq n}\left|a_{s} a_{j}\right|\left|\operatorname{Cov}\left(X_{s}, X_{j}\right)\right|
$$

(b) Let $E X_{j}=0$ and $\left|X_{j}\right| \leq b$ a.s. Set $\Delta_{n}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} E X_{j}^{2}$. Then, for any $\epsilon>0$,

$$
P\left(\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{j}\right| \geq \epsilon\right) \leq 2 \exp \left\{-\frac{\epsilon^{2}}{2\left(2 \Delta_{n}+2 b \epsilon\right)}\right\}
$$

Proof of Lemma 3.1. According to the definition of $S_{1 n}^{\prime \prime}$, from Lemma 5.1 and (A1)-(A2) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left(S_{1 n}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2} & =E\left(\sum_{m=1}^{k} \sum_{i=l_{m}}^{l_{m}+q-1} Z_{n i}\right)^{2} \\
& \leq C \sum_{m=1}^{k} \sum_{i=l_{m}}^{l_{m}+q-1} E\left(Z_{n i}^{2}\right) \\
& =C \sum_{m=1}^{k} \sum_{i=l_{m}}^{l_{m}+q-1} \sigma_{n}^{-2} t_{n i}^{2} E e_{i}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq C \sum_{m=1}^{k} \sum_{i=l_{m}}^{l_{m}+q-1} \sigma_{n}^{-2}\left(\sum_{j=\max \{1, i-n\}}^{\min \{n, i+n\}} w_{n j} \psi_{j-i}\right)^{2} \\
& \leq C \sum_{m=1}^{k} \sum_{i=l_{m}}^{l_{m}+q-1} w_{n}\left(\sum_{j=\max \{1, i-n\}}^{\min \{n, i+n\}}\left|\psi_{j-i}\right|\right)^{2} \\
& \leq C k q w_{n}\left(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}\left|\psi_{j}\right|\right)^{2} \\
& \leq C r q p^{-1} w_{n}=C \gamma_{1 n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left(S_{1 n}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)^{2} & =E\left(\sum_{i=k(p+q)-n+1}^{2 n} Z_{n i}\right)^{2} \\
& \leq C \sum_{i=k(p+q)-n+1}^{2 n} \sigma_{n}^{-2} t_{n i}^{2} E e_{i}^{2} \\
& \leq C \sum_{i=k(p+q)-n+1}^{2 n} w_{n}\left(\sum_{j=\max \{1, i-n\}}^{\min \{n, i+n\}}\left|\psi_{j-i}\right|\right)^{2} \\
& \leq C[3 n-k(p+q)] w_{n}\left(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}\left|\psi_{j}\right|\right)^{2} \\
& \leq C p w_{n}=C \gamma_{2 n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

As to $S_{2 n}$, (A2) and $E e_{0}^{2}<\infty$ yield that

$$
\begin{aligned}
E S_{2 n}^{2} & =E\left|\sigma_{n}^{-1} \sum_{i_{1}=1}^{n} w_{n i_{1}} \sum_{\left|j_{1}\right|>n} \psi_{j_{1}} e_{i_{1}-j_{1}}\right|\left|\sigma_{n}^{-1} \sum_{i_{2}=1}^{n} w_{n i_{2}} \sum_{\left|j_{2}\right|>n} \psi_{j_{2}} e_{i_{2}-j_{2}}\right| \\
& \leq C E\left\{\sum_{i_{1}=1}^{n}\left|w_{n i_{1}}\right| \sum_{i_{2}=1}^{n}\left|\sum_{\left|j_{1}\right|>n} \psi_{j_{1}} e_{i_{1}-j_{1}}\right|\left|\sum_{\left|j_{2}\right|>n} \psi_{j_{2}} e_{i_{2}-j_{2}}\right|\right\} \\
& \leq C n\left(\sum_{|j|>n}\left|\psi_{j}\right|\right)^{2}=C \gamma_{3 n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof of Lemma 3.2. Let $\Gamma_{n}=\sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq k} \operatorname{Cov}\left(y_{n i}, y_{n j}\right)$, then $s_{n}^{2}=E\left(S_{1 n}^{\prime}\right)^{2}-$ $2 \Gamma_{n}$. Note that $E S_{n}^{2}=1$, so

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left(S_{1 n}^{\prime}\right)^{2} & =E\left[S_{n}-\left(S_{1 n}^{\prime \prime}+S_{1 n}^{\prime \prime \prime}+S_{2 n}\right)\right]^{2} \\
& =1+E\left(S_{1 n}^{\prime \prime}+S_{1 n}^{\prime \prime \prime}+S_{2 n}\right)^{2}-2 E S_{n}\left(S_{1 n}^{\prime \prime}+S_{1 n}^{\prime \prime \prime}+S_{2 n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, from Lemma 3.1 we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|E\left(S_{1 n}^{\prime}\right)^{2}-1\right| \\
\leq & E\left(S_{1 n}^{\prime \prime}+S_{1 n}^{\prime \prime \prime}+S_{2 n}\right)^{2}+2\left|E S_{n}\left(S_{1 n}^{\prime \prime}+S_{1 n}^{\prime \prime \prime}+S_{2 n}\right)\right| \\
\leq & E\left(S_{1 n}^{\prime \prime}+S_{1 n}^{\prime \prime \prime}+S_{2 n}\right)^{2}+2\left(E S_{n}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left[E\left(S_{1 n}^{\prime \prime}+S_{1 n}^{\prime \prime \prime}+S_{2 n}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2} \\
\leq & \left\{\left[E\left(S_{1 n}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}+\left[E\left(S_{1 n}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}+\left(E S_{2 n}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\}^{2}  \tag{5.9}\\
& +2\left\{\left[E\left(S_{1 n}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}+\left[E\left(S_{1 n}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}+\left(E S_{2 n}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\} \\
\leq & \left(\gamma_{1 n}^{1 / 2}+\gamma_{2 n}^{1 / 2}+\gamma_{3 n}^{1 / 2}\right)^{2}+2\left(\gamma_{1 n}^{1 / 2}+\gamma_{2 n}^{1 / 2}+\gamma_{3 n}^{1 / 2}\right) \\
\leq & C\left(\gamma_{1 n}^{1 / 2}+\gamma_{2 n}^{1 / 2}+\gamma_{3 n}^{1 / 2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand,
(5.10)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\Gamma_{n}\right| \\
& =\left|\sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq k} \operatorname{Cov}\left(y_{n i}, y_{n j}\right)\right| \\
& \leq \sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq k} \sum_{s=k_{i}}^{k_{i}+p-1} \sum_{t=k_{j}}^{k_{j}+p-1}\left|\operatorname{Cov}\left(Z_{n s}, Z_{n t}\right)\right| \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{s=k_{i}}^{k_{i}+p-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{k} \sum_{t=k_{j}}^{k_{j}+p-1} \sum_{u=\max \{1, s-n\}}^{\min \{n, s+n\}} \sum_{v=\max \{1, t-n\}}^{\min \{n, t+n\}} \sigma_{n}^{-2}\left|w_{n u} w_{n v} \|\left|\psi_{u-s} \psi_{v-t}\right|\right| \operatorname{Cov}\left(e_{s}, e_{t}\right) \mid \\
& \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{s=k_{i}}^{k_{i}+p-1} \sum_{u=\max \{1, s-n\}}^{\min \{n, s+n\}}\left|\psi_{u-s}\right|\left|w_{n u}\right| \sum_{j=i+1}^{k} \sum_{t=k_{j}}^{k_{j}+p-1}\left|\operatorname{Cov}\left(e_{s}, e_{t}\right)\right| \sum_{v=\max \{1, t-n\}}^{\min \{n, t+n\}}\left|\psi_{v-t}\right| \\
& \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{s=k_{i}}^{k_{i}+p-1} \sum_{u=\max \{1, s-n\}}^{\min \{n, s+n\}}\left|\psi_{u-s}\right|\left|w_{n u}\right| \sum_{t:|t-s| \geq q}\left|\operatorname{Cov}\left(e_{s}, e_{t}\right)\right| \\
& \leq C u(q) \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{s=k_{i}}^{k_{i}+p-1} \sum_{u=1}^{n}\left|w_{n u} \| \psi_{u-s}\right| \\
& \leq C u(q) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, (5.9) and (5.10) follow that

$$
\left|s_{n}^{2}-1\right| \leq C\left(\gamma_{1 n}^{1 / 2}+\gamma_{2 n}^{1 / 2}+\gamma_{3 n}^{1 / 2}+u(q)\right)
$$

Proof of Lemma 3.3. By using Berry-Esseen inequality (cf. Petrov [18], p. 154, Theorem 5.7) we have

$$
\sup _{u}\left|P\left(T_{n} / s_{n} \leq u\right)-\Phi(u)\right| \leq C \sum_{m=1}^{k} E\left|\eta_{n m}\right|^{2+\delta} / s_{n}^{2+\delta}
$$

While, according to Lemma 5.1, from (A1) and (A2) it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{m=1}^{k} E\left|\eta_{n m}\right|^{2+\delta} \\
\leq & C \sum_{m=1}^{k}\left\{\left.\left.\sum_{j=k_{m}}^{k_{m}+p-1}\right|_{i=\max \{1, j-n\}} ^{\min \{n, j+n\}} \sum_{n}^{-1} w_{n i} \psi_{i-j}\right|^{2+\delta} E\left|e_{j}\right|^{2+\delta}\right. \\
& \left.+\left[\sum_{j=k_{m}}^{k_{m}+p-1}\left(\sum_{i=\max \{1, j-n\}}^{\min \{n, j+n\}} \sigma_{n}^{-1} w_{n i} \psi_{i-j}\right)^{2} E e_{j}^{2}\right]^{1+\delta / 2}\right\} \\
\leq & C \sigma_{n}^{-(2+\delta)} \sum_{m=1}^{k} \sum_{j=k_{m}}^{k_{m}+p-1} \min \{n, j+n\} \\
& +C \sum_{m=1}^{k}\left[\sum_{j=k_{m}}^{k_{m}+p-1}\left(\sum_{i=\max \{1, j-n\}}^{\min \{n, j+n\}} \sigma_{n}^{-1} w_{n i} \psi_{i-j}\right)^{2} \cdot\left(\sum_{j=k_{m}}^{k_{m}+p-1}\left(w_{i=\max \{1, j-n\}}^{\min \{n, j+n\}} \sigma_{n}^{-1} w_{n i} \psi_{i-j}\right)^{2}\right)^{\delta / 2}\right] \\
\leq & C\left\{\left.w_{n}^{\delta / 2} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|w_{n i}\right|\left(\sum_{m=1}^{k} \sum_{j=k_{m}}^{k_{m}+p-1}\left|\psi_{i-j}\right|\right)^{\min \{n, j+n\}} \sum_{i=\max \{1, j-n\}} w_{n i} \psi_{i-j}\right|^{1+\delta}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(p w_{n}\right)^{\delta / 2} \sum_{m=1}^{k}\left[\sum_{j=k_{m}}^{k_{m}+p-1}\left(\sum_{i=\max \{1, j-n\}}^{\min \{n, j+n\}}\left|w_{n i} \psi_{i-j}\right|\right) \cdot\left(\sum_{i=\max \{1, j-n\}}^{\min \{n, j+n\}} \sigma_{n}^{-2}\left|w_{n i} \psi_{i-j}\right|\right)\right]\right\} \\
\leq & C\left\{w_{n}^{\delta / 2}+\left(p w_{n}\right)^{\delta / 2}\right\} \\
\leq & C\left(p w_{n}\right)^{\delta / 2}=C \gamma_{2 n}^{\delta / 2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that Lemma 3.2 implies $s_{n}^{2} \rightarrow 1$ and therefore

$$
\sup _{u}\left|P\left(T_{n} / s_{n} \leq u\right)-\Phi(u)\right| \leq C \gamma_{2 n}^{\delta / 2}
$$

Proof of Lemma 3.4. Assume that $\varphi(t)$ and $\psi(t)$ are the characteristic function of $S_{n}^{\prime}$ and $T_{n}$, respectively. By Esseen inequality (cf. Petrov [18], p. 146, Theorem 5.3), for any $T>0$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sup _{u}\left|P\left(S_{n}^{\prime} \leq u\right)-P\left(T_{n} \leq u\right)\right| \\
\leq & \int_{-T}^{T}\left|\frac{\varphi(t)-\psi(t)}{t}\right| d t  \tag{5.11}\\
& +T \sup _{u} \int_{|u| \leq \frac{C}{T}}\left|P\left(T_{n} \leq u+y\right)-P\left(T_{n} \leq u\right)\right| d y \\
:= & I_{1 n}+I_{2 n} .
\end{align*}
$$

According to Lemma 5.2(a), following the line as in (5.10) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\frac{\varphi(t)-\psi(t)}{t}\right| \\
= & \mid E \exp \left\{i t S_{1 n}^{\prime}\right\}-\Pi_{m=1}^{k} E \exp \left\{\text { ity } y_{n m}\right\} \mid \\
\leq & 4 t^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{s=k_{i}}^{k_{i}+p-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{k} \sum_{t=k_{j}}^{k_{j}+p-1} \sum_{u=\max \{1, s-n\}}^{\min \{n, s+n\}} \sum_{v=\max \{1, t-n\}}^{\min \{n, t+n\}} \sigma_{n}^{-2}\left|w_{n u} w_{n v}\left\|\psi_{u-s} \psi_{v-t}\right\| \operatorname{Cov}\left(e_{s}, e_{t}\right)\right| \\
\leq & C t^{2} u(q)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $I_{1 n} \leq C u(q) T^{2}$. On the other hand, from Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{u}\left|P\left(T_{n} \leq u+y\right)-P\left(T_{n} \leq u\right)\right| \\
\leq & \sup _{y}\left|P\left(T_{n} / s_{n} \leq(u+y) / s_{n}\right)-\Phi\left((u+y) / s_{n}\right)\right| \\
& +\sup _{u}\left|P\left(T_{n} / s_{n} \leq u / s_{n}\right)-\Phi\left(u / s_{n}\right)\right|+\sup _{u}\left|\Phi\left((u+y) / s_{n}\right)-\Phi\left(u / s_{n}\right)\right| \\
\leq & C\left\{\gamma_{2 n}^{\delta / 2}+|y| / s_{n}\right\} \leq C\left\{\gamma_{2 n}^{\delta / 2}+|y|\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $I_{2 n} \leq C\left(\gamma_{2 n}^{\delta / 2}+1 / T\right)$ and choosing $T=u^{-1 / 3}(q)$ from (5.11) we obtain that

$$
\sup _{u}\left|P\left(S_{n}^{\prime} \leq u\right)-P\left(T_{n} \leq u\right)\right| \leq C\left(\gamma_{2 n}^{\delta / 2}+u^{1 / 3}(q)\right)
$$

Proof of Lemma 3.5. Note that

$$
S_{1 n}^{\prime \prime}=\sum_{m=1}^{k} \sum_{j=l_{m}}^{l_{m}+q-1} \sigma_{n}^{-1} t_{n j} e_{j}=\sum_{m=1}^{k} \sum_{j=l_{m}}^{l_{m}+q-1} \sigma_{n}^{-1}\left(t_{n j}^{+}-t_{n j}^{-}\right) e_{j},
$$

where $a^{+}=\max \{0, a\}, a^{-}=\min \{0,-a\}$, so, without loss of generality, we assume that $t_{n j} \geq 0$ for $j \geq 1$ and $n \geq 1$. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{n j}=-b_{n} I\left(Z_{n j}<-b_{n}\right)+Z_{n j} I\left(\left|Z_{n j}\right| \leq b_{n}\right)+b_{n} I\left(Z_{n j}>b_{n}\right), \\
& \alpha_{n j}^{\prime}=\left(Z_{n j}+b_{n}\right) I\left(Z_{n j}<-b_{n}\right)+\left(Z_{n j}-b_{n}\right) I\left(Z_{n j}>b_{n}\right), \\
& \beta_{n j}=\alpha_{n j}-E \alpha_{n j}, \quad \beta_{n j}^{\prime}=\alpha_{n j}^{\prime}-E \alpha_{n j}^{\prime},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $b_{n}>0$, which will be specialized later. It is clear that $\left\{\beta_{n j}, j \geq 1\right\}$ and $\left\{\beta_{n j}^{\prime}, j \geq 1\right\}$ are mean zero NA sequences from the definition of $\alpha_{n j}$ and $\alpha_{n j}^{\prime}$.

Firstly, we prove (3.6). Taking $b_{n}=\gamma_{1 n}^{1 / 2}(\log n)^{-1 / 2}$. Set

$$
H_{n}=\sum_{m=1}^{k} \sum_{j=l_{m}}^{l_{m}+q-1} \beta_{n j}, \quad H_{n}^{\prime}=\sum_{m=1}^{k} \sum_{j=l_{m}}^{l_{m}+q-1} \beta_{n j}^{\prime} .
$$

Then $S_{1 n}^{\prime \prime}=H_{n}+H_{n}^{\prime}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(\left|S_{1 n}^{\prime \prime}\right|>c \mu_{n}\right) \leq P\left(\left|H_{n}\right|>c \mu_{n} / 2\right)+P\left(\left|H_{n}^{\prime}\right|>c \mu_{n} / 2\right) . \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

To evaluate $P\left(\left|H_{n}\right|>c \mu_{n} / 2\right)$, we shall use Lemma 5.2(b). Note that $\left|\beta_{n j}\right| \leq$ $2 b_{n}$ and the proof of Lemma 3.1 shows that

$$
\Delta_{1 n}:=\sum_{m=1}^{k} \sum_{j=l_{m}}^{l_{m}+q-1} E\left(\beta_{n j}^{2}\right) \leq \sum_{m=1}^{k} \sum_{j=l_{m}}^{l_{m}+q-1} E\left(Z_{n j}^{2}\right) \leq C \gamma_{1 n} .
$$

Then, by using Lemma $5.2(\mathrm{~b})$, for large $c>0$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(\left|H_{n}\right|>\frac{c \mu_{n}}{2}\right) \leq 2 \exp \left\{-\frac{c^{2} \mu_{n}^{2}}{8\left(2 \Delta_{1 n}+b_{n} \mu_{n}\right)}\right\} \leq 2 \exp \left\{-c c_{1} \log n\right\} \leq C n^{-1} \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left(\beta_{n j}^{\prime}\right)^{2} & \leq E\left(\alpha_{n j}^{\prime}\right)^{2} \leq 2 E\left(Z_{n j}^{2}+b_{n}^{2}\right) I\left(\left|Z_{n j}\right|>b_{n}\right) \\
& \leq 4 b_{n}^{-\delta} E\left|Z_{n j}\right|^{2+\delta} \leq C\left(\sigma_{n}^{-1}\left|t_{n j}\right|\right)^{2+\delta} b_{n}^{-\delta} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, by using Lemma 5.1 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
P\left(\left|H_{n}^{\prime}\right|>\frac{c \mu_{n}}{2}\right) & \leq C \frac{E\left(H_{n}^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{\mu_{n}^{2}} \leq C \mu_{n}^{-2} \sum_{m=1}^{k} \sum_{j=l_{m}}^{l_{m}+q-1}\left(\sigma_{n}^{-1}\left|t_{n j}\right|\right)^{2+\delta} b_{n}^{-\delta} \\
& \leq C \frac{\gamma_{1 n} w_{n}^{\delta / 2}}{\mu_{n}^{2} b_{n}^{\delta}} \leq C\left(n q p^{-1}\right)^{-\delta / 2}(\log n)^{(\delta-2) / 2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, (5.13) and (5.14) follow (3.6).
Next we prove (3.7). Following the line as for (3.6). Choosing

$$
b_{n}=\gamma_{2 n}^{1 / 2}(\log n)^{-1 / 2} .
$$

Set $Q_{n}=\sum_{j=k(p+q)-n+1}^{2 n} \beta_{n j}, Q_{n}^{\prime}=\sum_{j=k(p+q)-n+1}^{2 n} \beta_{n j}^{\prime}$. Hence $S_{1 n}^{\prime \prime \prime}=Q_{n}+$ $Q_{n}^{\prime}$. Note that

$$
\Delta_{2 n}:=\sum_{j=k(p+q)-n+1}^{2 n} E \beta_{n j}^{2} \leq \sum_{j=k(p+q)-n+1}^{2 n} E Z_{n j}^{2} \leq C \gamma_{2 n} .
$$

So, by using Lemma 5.2(b), for large $c>0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(\left|Q_{n}\right|>\frac{c \nu_{n}}{2}\right) \leq 2 \exp \left\{-\frac{c^{2} \nu_{n}^{2}}{8\left(2 \Delta_{2 n}+b_{n} \nu_{n}\right)}\right\} \leq C \exp \left\{-c c_{2} \log n\right\} \leq C n^{-1} \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

From $E\left(\beta_{n j}^{\prime}\right)^{2} \leq C\left(\sigma_{n}^{-1}\left|t_{n j}\right|\right)^{2+\delta} b_{n}^{-\delta}$, and using Lemma 5.1 we have

$$
\begin{align*}
P\left(\left|Q_{n}^{\prime}\right|>\frac{c \nu_{n}}{2}\right) & \leq C \frac{E\left(Q_{n}^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{\nu_{n}^{2}} \leq C \nu_{n}^{-2} \sum_{j=k(p+q)-n+1}^{2 n}\left(\sigma_{n}^{-1}\left|t_{n j}\right|\right)^{2+\delta} b_{n}^{-\delta} \\
& \leq C \frac{\gamma_{2 n} w_{n}^{\delta / 2}}{\nu_{n}^{2} b_{n}^{\delta}} \leq C p^{-\delta / 2}(\log n)^{(\delta-2) / 2} \tag{5.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, (5.15) and (5.16) yield (3.7).

As to (3.8), choosing $\tau_{n}=\gamma_{3 n}^{1 / 3}=n^{1 / 3}\left(\sum_{|j|>n}\left|\psi_{j}\right|\right)^{2 / 3}$. In view of Lemma 3.1 we have

$$
P\left(\left|S_{2 n}\right|>\tau_{n}\right) \leq E\left(S_{2 n}\right)^{2} / \tau_{n}^{2} \leq C \gamma_{3 n}^{1 / 3}
$$
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