RANDOMLY ORTHOGONAL FACTORIZATIONS OF (0, mf - (m - 1)r)-GRAPHS

Sizhong Zhou and Minggang Zong

ABSTRACT. Let G be a graph with vertex set V(G) and edge set E(G), and let g, f be two nonnegative integer-valued functions defined on V(G)such that $g(x) \leq f(x)$ for every vertex x of V(G). We use $d_G(x)$ to denote the degree of a vertex x of G. A (g, f)-factor of G is a spanning subgraph F of G such that $g(x) \leq d_F(x) \leq f(x)$ for every vertex x of V(F). In particular, G is called a (g, f)-graph if G itself is a (g, f)-factor. A (g, f)factorization of G is a partition of E(G) into edge-disjoint (g, f)-factors. Let $F = \{F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_m\}$ be a factorization of G and H be a subgraph of G with mr edges. If $F_i, 1 \leq i \leq m$, has exactly r edges in common with H, we say that F is r-orthogonal to H. If for any partition $\{A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_m\}$ of E(H) with $|A_i| = r$ there is a (g, f)-factorization $F = \{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_m\}$ of G such that $A_i \subseteq E(F_i), 1 \leq i \leq m$, then we say that G has (g, f)factorizations randomly r-orthogonal to H. In this paper it is proved that every (0, mf - (m-1)r)-graph has (0, f)-factorizations randomly r-orthogonal to any given subgraph with mr edges if $f(x) \ge 3r - 1$ for any $x \in V(G)$.

1. Introduction

In this paper we consider finite undirected simple graphs. Let G be a graph with vertex set V(G) and edge set E(G). The degree of a vertex x is denoted by $d_G(x)$. Let g and f be two nonnegative integer-valued functions defined on V(G) such that $g(x) \leq f(x)$ for every vertex x of V(G). Then a (g, f)-factor of G is a spanning subgraph F of G satisfying that $g(x) \leq d_F(x) \leq f(x)$ for every vertex x of V(F). In particular, G is called a (g, f)-graph if G itself is a (g, f)factor. A subgraph H of G is called an m-subgraph if H has m edges in total. A (g, f)-factorization $F = \{F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_m\}$ of a graph G is a partition of E(G)into edge-disjoint (g, f)-factors F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_m . If g(x) = a and f(x) = b, where a and b are nonnegative integers, then a (g, f)-factorization of G is called an [a, b]-factorization of G. Let H be an mr-subgraph of G. A (g, f)-factorization $F = \{F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_m\}$ is r-orthogonal to H if $|E(H) \cap E(F_i)| = r$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$.

©2008 The Korean Mathematical Society

Received February 16, 2007; Revised January 1, 2008.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 05C70.

Key words and phrases. graph, subgraph, factor, orthogonal factorization.

This research was supported by Jiangsu Provincial Educational Department(07KJD-110048).

If for any partition $\{A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_m\}$ of E(H) with $|A_i| = r$ there is a (g, f)-factorization $F = \{F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_m\}$ of G such that $A_i \subseteq E(F_i), 1 \leq i \leq m$, then we say that G has (g, f)-factorizations randomly r-orthogonal to H. Other definitions and terminologies can be found in [1].

Recently Tokuda [9] studied the connected factors in $K_{1,n}$ -free graphs containing an [a, b]-factor. Kano [3] obtained some sufficient conditions for a graph to have [a, b]-factorizations. Liu [5, 6] proved that every (mg + m -1, mf - m + 1)-graph has a (g, f)-factorization orthogonal to a star or a matching. Liu [7] showed that every bipartite (mg + m - 1, mf - m + 1)-graph has (g, f)-factorizations randomly k-orthogonal to any km-subgraph. Feng [2] proved that every (0, mf - m + 1)-graph has a (0, f)-factorization orthogonal to any given m-subgraph. Now we consider the r-orthogonal factorizations of graphs. The purpose of this paper is to prove that for any mr-subgraph H of (0, mf - (m - 1)r)-graph G, there exist (0, f)-factorizations of G which are randomly r-orthogonal to H, where $f(x) \geq 3r - 1$ for each $x \in V(G)$. In the following we give the main theorem in this paper.

Theorem 1. Let G be a (0, mf - (m - 1)r)-graph, and let f be an integervalued function defined on V(G) such that $f(x) \ge 3r - 1$ for all $x \in V(G)$, and let H be an mr-subgraph of G. Then G has a (0, f)-factorization randomly r-orthogonal to H.

2. Preliminary results

Let S and T be two disjoint subsets of V(G). We denote by $E_G(S,T)$ the set of edges with one end in S and the other in T, and by $e_G(S,T)$ the cardinality of $E_G(S,T)$. For $S \subset V(G)$ and $A \subset E(G)$, G-S is a subgraph obtained from G by deleting the vertices in S together with the edges to which the vertices in S incident, and G-A is a subgraph obtained from G by deleting the edges in A, and G[S] (rep. G[A]) is a subgraph of G induced by S (rep. A). For a subset X of V(G), we write $f(X) = \sum_{x \in X} f(x)$ for any function f defined on V(G), and define $f(\emptyset) = 0$. Especially, $d_G(X) = \sum_{x \in X} d_G(x)$.

Let g and f be two nonnegative integer-valued functions defined on V(G), and C a component (i.e., a maximal connected subgraph) of $G - (S \cup T)$. If there is a vertex $x \in V(C)$ such that $g(x) \neq f(x)$, we call C a neutral component; otherwise, i.e., g(x) = f(x) for all $x \in V(C)$, then we call C an even or odd component according to whether $e_G(T, V(C)) + f(C)$ is even or odd. We denoted by $h_G(S,T)$ the number of the odd components of $G - (S \cup T)$. In 1970 Lovász [8] used the symbol $\delta_G(S,T;g,f)$ for the expression $d_{G-S}(T) - g(T) - h_G(S,T) + f(S)$, and found that $\delta_G(S,T;g,f) \geq 0$ is a necessary and sufficient condition for a graph G to have a (g, f)-factor.

Lemma 2.1 ([8]). Let G be a graph, and g and f be two integer-valued functions defined on V(G) such that $g(x) \leq f(x)$ for each $x \in V(G)$. Then G has a (g, f)-factor if and only if

$$\delta_G(S,T;g,f) \ge 0$$

for any two disjoint subsets S and T of V(G).

Note that if g(x) < f(x) for all $x \in V(G)$, then all components of $G - (S \cup T)$ are neutral. Hence for any two disjoint subsets S and T of V(G), $h_G(S,T) = 0$ provided g(x) < f(x) for all $x \in V(G)$. Thus in the following $\delta_G(S,T;g,f) = d_{G-S}(T) - g(T) + f(S)$ for any two disjoint subsets S and T of V(G).

Let S and T be two disjoint subsets of V(G), and E_1 and E_2 be two disjoint subsets of E(G). Let $D = V(G) - (S \cup T)$, and

$$E(S) = \{xy \in E(G) : x, y \in S\}, \quad E(T) = \{xy \in E(G) : x, y \in T\}, \\ E'_1 = E_1 \cap E(S), \qquad E''_1 = E_1 \cap E_G(S, D), \\ E'_2 = E_2 \cap E(T), \qquad E''_2 = E_2 \cap E_G(T, D), \\ r_S(E_1) = 2|E'_1| + |E''_1|, \qquad r_T(E_2) = 2|E'_2| + |E''_2|.$$

It is easily seen that $r_S(E_1) \leq d_{G-T}(S), r_T(E_2) \leq d_{G-S}(T)$.

The following lemma has been obtained independently by Yuan and Yu [10] and Li and Liu [4], respectively.

Lemma 2.2 ([4, 10]). Let G be a graph, and g and f be two nonnegative integer-valued functions defined on V(G) such that $0 \le g(x) < f(x)$ for all $x \in V(G)$, and E_1 and E_2 be two disjoint subsets of E(G). Then G has a (g, f)-factor F such that $E_1 \subseteq E(F)$ and $E_2 \cap E(F) = \emptyset$ if and only if

$$\delta_G(S,T;g,f) = d_{G-S}(T) - g(T) + f(S) \ge r_S(E_1) + r_T(E_2)$$

for any two disjoint subsets S and T of V(G).

Lemma 2.3 ([2]). Let G be a (0, mf - m + 1)-graph, and let f be one integervalued function defined on V(G) such that $f(x) \ge 0$, and let H be an msubgraph of G. Then G has a (0, f)-factorization orthogonal to H.

In the following, we always assume that G is a (0, mf - (m-1)r)-graph, where $m \ge 1$ and $r \ge 1$ are two integers. Define

$$g(x) = \max\{0, d_G(x) - ((m-1)f(x) - (m-2)r)\},\$$

$$\triangle_1(x) = \frac{1}{m}d_G(x) - g(x),\$$

$$\triangle_2(x) = f(x) - \frac{1}{m}d_G(x).$$

By the definitions of g(x), $\triangle_1(x)$ and $\triangle_2(x)$, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4. For all $x \in V(G)$, the following inequalities hold:

- (1) If $m \ge 2$, then $0 \le g(x) < f(x)$,
- (2) If $g(x) = d_G(x) ((m-1)f(x) (m-2)r)$, then $\Delta_1(x) \ge \frac{r}{m}$,

(3)
$$\Delta_2(x) \ge \frac{(m-1)r}{m}$$

Proof. (1) Note that G is a (0, mf - (m - 1)r)-graph, where $m \ge 2$ is an integer. Then $0 \le mf - (m - 1)r$ implies that $f(x) \ge \frac{(m-1)r}{m}$. Note that f(x) is a nonnegative integer-valued function. Then $f(x) \ge 1$.

If
$$g(x) = 0$$
, then $0 \le g(x) < f(x)$.
If $g(x) = d_G(x) - ((m-1)f(x) - (m-2)r)$, then
 $f(x) - g(x) = f(x) - d_G(x) + (m-1)f(x) - (m-2)r$
 $= mf(x) - (m-2)r - d_G(x)$
 $\ge mf(x) - (m-2)r - (mf(x) - (m-1)r) = r \ge 1.$

Hence we obtain that

$$0 \le g(x) < f(x).$$
(2) If $g(x) = d_G(x) - ((m-1)f(x) - (m-2)r)$, then

$$\Delta_1(x) = \frac{1}{m} d_G(x) - g(x)$$

$$= \frac{1}{m} d_G(x) - [d_G(x) - ((m-1)f(x) - (m-2)r)]$$

$$= \frac{1-m}{m} d_G(x) + (m-1)f(x) - (m-2)r$$

$$\ge \frac{1-m}{m} (mf(x) - (m-1)r) + (m-1)f(x) - (m-2)r$$

$$= (1-m)f(x) + (m-1)r - \frac{(m-1)r}{m} + (m-1)f(x) - (m-2)r$$

$$= \frac{r}{m}.$$

(3) Obviously, we have

$$\Delta_2(x) = f(x) - \frac{1}{m} d_G(x) \ge f(x) - \frac{1}{m} (mf(x) - (m-1)r)$$

= $f(x) - f(x) + \frac{(m-1)r}{m} = \frac{(m-1)r}{m}.$

This completes the proof.

3. Proof of the main result

In this section, we are going to prove our main theorem.

Let E_1 be an arbitrary subset of E(H) with $|E_1| = r$. Put $E_2 = E(H) \setminus E_1$. Then $|E_2| = (m-1)r$. For any two disjoint subsets $S \subseteq V(G)$ and $T \subseteq V(G)$, let $T_0 = \{x | x \in T, g(x) = 0\}$ and $T_1 = T \setminus T_0$, it is easily seen that $T = T_0 \cup T_1$ and $T_0 \cap T_1 = \emptyset$. Let $g(x), E'_1, E''_1, E'_2, E''_2, r_S(E_1)$ and $r_T(E_2)$ be defined as in Section 2. It follows instantly from the definitions of $r_S(E_1)$ and $r_T(E_2)$ that

$$r_{S}(E_{1}) \leq \min\{2r, r|S|\},\$$

$$r_{T}(E_{2}) \leq \min\{2(m-1)r, (m-1)r|T|\},\$$

$$r_{T_{1}}(E_{2}) \leq \min\{2(m-1)r, (m-1)r|T_{1}|\},\$$

$$r_T(E_2) = r_{T_0}(E_2) + r_{T_1}(E_2),$$

$$r_{T_0}(E_2) \le d_{G-S}(T_0).$$

The proof of theorem relies heavily on the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. Let G be a (0, mf - (m-1)r)-graph with $m \ge 2$ and $f(x) \ge 3r-1$ with $r \ge 2$. Then G admits a (g, f)-factor F_1 such that $E_1 \subseteq E(F_1)$ and $E_2 \cap E(F_1) = \emptyset$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.4(1), it suffices to show that for any two disjoint subsets S and T of V(G), we have

$$\delta_G(S, T; g, f) \ge r_S(E_1) + r_T(E_2).$$

For S and T, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \delta_G(S,T;g,f) \\ &= d_{G-S}(T) - g(T) + f(S) \\ &= d_{G-S}(T_1) - g(T_1) + f(S) + d_{G-S}(T_0) - g(T_0) \\ &\geq d_{G-S}(T_1) - g(T_1) + f(S) + r_{T_0}(E_2) \\ &= \frac{1}{m} d_G(T_1) - g(T_1) + f(S) - \frac{1}{m} d_G(S) + \frac{m-1}{m} d_{G-S}(T_1) \\ &+ \frac{1}{m} d_{G-T_1}(S) + r_{T_0}(E_2) \\ &= \Delta_1 (T_1) + \Delta_2 (S) + \frac{m-1}{m} d_{G-S}(T_1) + \frac{1}{m} d_{G-T_1}(S) + r_{T_0}(E_2) \end{split}$$

By Lemma 2.4, we have $\int (C T T f)$

(1)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{r_{i}}{m} |T_{1}| + \frac{(m-1)r_{i}}{m} |S| + \frac{m-1}{m} d_{G-S}(T_{1}) + \frac{1}{m} d_{G-T_{1}}(S) + r_{T_{0}}(E_{2}).$$

Now let us distinguish among four cases.

Case 1. $S = \emptyset, T_1 = \emptyset$. Thus we have $r_S(E_1) = 0$ and $r_{T_1}(E_2) = 0$. In view of (1), we have $\delta_G(S, T; g, f)$ $\geq \frac{r}{m} |T_1| + \frac{(m-1)r}{m} |S| + \frac{m-1}{m} d_{G-S}(T_1) + \frac{1}{m} d_{G-T_1}(S) + r_{T_0}(E_2)$ $= r_{T_0}(E_2) = r_S(E_1) + r_{T_1}(E_2) + r_{T_0}(E_2) = r_S(E_1) + r_T(E_2).$

Case 2. $S = \emptyset, T_1 \neq \emptyset$.

Thus we have $r_S(E_1) = 0$. By the definition of T_1 , it is easy to see that q(x) > 1

for all $x \in T_1$.

Note that $g(x) = \max\{0, d_G(x) - ((m-1)f(x) - (m-2)r)\}$. For all $x \in T_1$, we have

$$g(x) = d_G(x) - ((m-1)f(x) - (m-2)r) \ge 1.$$

Thus, we obtain

(2)
$$d_G(x) \ge (m-1)f(x) - (m-2)r + 1$$
$$\ge (m-1)(3r-1) - (m-2)r + 1$$
$$= 2mr - m - r + 2$$

for all $x \in T_1$.

In view of (1), (2), $m \ge 2$ and $r \ge 2$, we have

$$\begin{split} \delta_G(S,T;g,f) &\geq \frac{r}{m} |T_1| + \frac{m-1}{m} d_G(T_1) + r_{T_0}(E_2) \\ &\geq \frac{r}{m} |T_1| + \frac{m-1}{m} (2mr - m - r + 2)|T_1| + r_{T_0}(E_2) \\ &= \frac{r}{m} |T_1| + (m-1)r|T_1| + \frac{m-1}{m} (mr - m - r + 2)|T_1| \\ &+ r_{T_0}(E_2) \\ &\geq \frac{r}{m} |T_1| + (m-1)r|T_1| + \frac{m-1}{m} [2(m-1) - m + 2]|T_1| \\ &+ r_{T_0}(E_2) \\ &= \frac{r}{m} |T_1| + (m-1)r|T_1| + (m-1)|T_1| + r_{T_0}(E_2) \\ &\geq (m-1)r|T_1| + r_{T_0}(E_2) \\ &\geq (m-1)r|T_1| + r_{T_0}(E_2) \\ &\geq r_{T_1}(E_2) + r_{T_0}(E_2) = r_T(E_2) \\ &= r_S(E_1) + r_T(E_2). \end{split}$$

Case 3. $S \neq \emptyset, T_1 = \emptyset.$

Thus we have $r_{T_1}(E_2) = 0$. According to $r_{T_0}(E_2) \le d_{G-S}(T_0)$ and $g(T_0) = 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \delta_G(S,T;g,f) &= d_{G-S}(T) - g(T) + f(S) \\ &= d_{G-S}(T_1) - g(T_1) + f(S) + d_{G-S}(T_0) - g(T_0) \\ &\geq d_{G-S}(T_1) - g(T_1) + f(S) + r_{T_0}(E_2) \\ &= f(S) + r_{T_0}(E_2) \\ &\geq (3r-1)|S| + r_{T_0}(E_2) \\ &\geq r_|S| + r_{T_0}(E_2) \\ &\geq r_S(E_1) + r_{T_0}(E_2) \\ &= r_S(E_1) + r_{T_0}(E_2) + r_{T_1}(E_2) \\ &= r_S(E_1) + r_T(E_2). \end{split}$$

Case 4. $S \neq \emptyset, T_1 \neq \emptyset$. Note that $d_{G-T_1}(S) \ge r_S(E_1)$.

Subcase 4.1. $|T_1| = 1$.

Thus we have

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} &r_{T_1}(E_2) \leq \min\{2(m-1)r, (m-1)r|T_1|\} = (m-1)r. \end{aligned}$$
In view of (1), (2), $m \geq 2$ and $r \geq 2$, we have

$$\delta_G(S,T;g,f) \geq \frac{r}{m}|T_1| + \frac{(m-1)r}{m}|S| + \frac{m-1}{m}d_{G-S}(T_1) \\ &+ \frac{1}{m}d_{G-T_1}(S) + r_{T_0}(E_2) \\ &= \frac{r}{m}|T_1| + \frac{(m-1)(r-1)}{m}|S| + \frac{m-1}{m}(d_{G-S}(T_1) + |S|) \\ &+ \frac{1}{m}d_{G-T_1}(S) + r_{T_0}(E_2) \\ \geq \frac{r}{m}|T_1| + \frac{(m-1)(r-1)}{m}|S| + \frac{1}{m}d_{G-T_1}(S) \\ &+ \frac{m-1}{m}d_G(x) + r_{T_0}(E_2) \qquad (x \in T_1) \\ \geq \frac{r}{m}|T_1| + \frac{(m-1)(r-1)}{m}|S| + \frac{1}{m}d_{G-T_1}(S) \\ &+ \frac{m-1}{m}(2mr - m - r + 2) + r_{T_0}(E_2) \\ &= \frac{r}{m}|T_1| + \frac{(m-1)(r-1)}{m}|S| + \frac{1}{m}d_{G-T_1}(S) \\ &+ (m-1)r + \frac{(m-1)(mr - m - r + 2)}{m} + r_{T_0}(E_2) \\ \geq \frac{1}{m}d_{G-T_1}(S) + \frac{2r(m-1)}{m} + (m-1)r + \frac{r}{m} + \frac{(m-1)(r-1)}{m} \\ &+ \frac{(m-1)(mr - m - r + 2)}{m} - \frac{2r(m-1)}{m} + r_{T_0}(E_2) \\ \geq \frac{1}{m}r_S(E_1) + \frac{m-1}{m}r_S(E_1) + r_{T_1}(E_2) + r_{T_0}(E_2) \\ &= \frac{r_S(E_1) + r_T(E_2) + \frac{(m-1)[mr - 2r - m + 1] + r}{m} \\ &= r_S(E_1) + r_T(E_2) + \frac{(m-1)[mr - 2r - m + 1] + r}{m} \\ &= r_S(E_1) + r_T(E_2) + \frac{(m-1)[mr - 2r - m + 1] + r}{m} \\ &\geq r_S(E_1) + r_T(E_2) + \frac{(m-1)(m - 3) + r}{m} \\ &\geq r_S(E_1) + r_T(E_2). \end{aligned}$$

Subcase 4.2. $|T_1| \ge 2$. Thus we have

 $r_{T_1}(E_2) \le \min\{2(m-1)r, (m-1)r|T_1|\} = 2(m-1)r$

and $\exists x, y \in T_1$. By (1), (2), $m \ge 2$ and $r \ge 2$, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \delta_G(S,T;g,f) &\geq \frac{r}{m}|T_1| + \frac{(m-1)r}{m}|S| + \frac{m-1}{m}d_{G-S}(T_1) \\ &+ \frac{1}{m}d_{G-T_1}(S) + r_{T_0}(E_2) \\ &\geq \frac{2r}{m} + \frac{(m-1)r}{m}|S| + \frac{m-1}{m}d_{G-S}(T_1 \setminus x) \\ &+ \frac{m-1}{m}d_{G-S}(x) + \frac{1}{m}d_{G-T_1}(S) + r_{T_0}(E_2) \\ &= \frac{2r}{m} + \frac{(m-1)(r-1)}{m}|S| + \frac{m-1}{m}d_{G-S}(x) \\ &+ \frac{m-1}{m}(d_{G-S}(T_1 \setminus x) + |S|) + \frac{1}{m}d_{G-T_1}(S) + r_{T_0}(E_2) \\ &\geq \frac{2r}{m} + \frac{m-1}{m}|S| + \frac{m-1}{m}d_{G-S}(x) \\ &+ \frac{m-1}{m}(d_{G-S}(T_1 \setminus x) + |S|) + \frac{1}{m}d_{G-T_1}(S) + r_{T_0}(E_2) \\ &\geq \frac{2r}{m} + \frac{m-1}{m}(d_G(x) + \frac{m-1}{m}d_G(y) + \frac{1}{m}d_{G-T_1}(S) \\ &+ r_{T_0}(E_2) \\ &\geq \frac{2r}{m} + \frac{m-1}{m}(2mr - m - r + 2) \\ &+ \frac{m-1}{m}(2mr - m - r + 2) \\ &+ \frac{1}{m}r_S(E_1) + r_{T_0}(E_2) \\ &\geq \frac{2r}{m} + \frac{2(m-1)}{m}(2mr - m - r + 2) \\ &+ \frac{1}{m}r_S(E_1) + r_{T_0}(E_2) \\ &= \frac{1}{m}r_S(E_1) + r_{T_0}(E_2) \\ &= \frac{1}{m}r_S(E_1) + r_{T_0}(E_2) + \frac{2r(m-1)}{m} + 2(m-1)r \\ &+ \frac{2(m-1)}{m}(mr - m - 2r + 2) + \frac{2r}{m} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{m}r_S(E_1) + r_{T_0}(E_2) + \frac{m-1}{m}r_S(E_1) + r_{T_1}(E_2) \\ &+ \frac{2(m-1)}{m}((m-2)r - m + 2) + \frac{2r}{m} \\ &\geq r_S(E_1) + r_T(E_2) + \frac{2(m-1)}{m}(2(m-2) - m + 2) + \frac{2r}{m} \\ &\geq r_S(E_1) + r_T(E_2) + \frac{2(m-1)}{m}(m-2) + \frac{2r}{m} \end{aligned}$$

For any two disjoint subsets S and T of V(G), we have

$$\delta_G(S,T;g,f) \ge r_S(E_1) + r_T(E_2).$$

By Lemma 2.2, G admits a (g, f)-factor F_1 such that $E_1 \subseteq E(F_1)$ and $E_2 \cap E(F_1) = \emptyset$. This completes the proof. \Box

Now we are ready to prove the main theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1. According to Lemma 2.3, the theorem is trivial for r = 1. In the following, we consider $r \geq 2$. Let $\{A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_m\}$ be any partition of E(H) with $|A_i| = r, 1 \leq i \leq m$. We prove that there is a (0, f)-factorization $F = \{F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_m\}$ of G such that $A_i \subseteq E(F_i)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$. We apply induction on m. The assertion is trivial for m = 1. Supposing the statement holds for m - 1, let us proceed to the induction step.

Let $E_2 = E(H) \setminus A_1$. By Lemma 3.1, G has a (g, f)-factor F_1 such that $A_1 \subseteq E(F_1)$ and $E_2 \cap E(F_1) = \emptyset$. According to the definition of g(x), obviously, F_1 is also a (0, f)-factor of G. Set $G' = G - E(F_1)$. It follows from the definition of g(x) that

$$0 \le d_{G'}(x) = d_G(x) - d_{F_1}(x) \le d_G(x) - g(x)$$

$$\le d_G(x) - [d_G(x) - ((m-1)f(x) - (m-2)r)]$$

$$= (m-1)f(x) - (m-2)r.$$

Hence G' is a (0, (m-1)f - (m-2)r)-graph. Let $H' = G[E_2]$. Then the induction hypothesis guarantees the existence of a (0, f)-factorization $F' = \{F_2, \ldots, F_m\}$ in G' which satisfies $A_i \subseteq E(F_i), 2 \leq i \leq m$. Hence G has a (0, f)-factorization which is randomly r-orthogonal to H. This completes the proof.

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to express their gratitude to the referees for their very helpful and detailed comments in improving this paper.

References

- J. A. Bondy and U. S. R. Murty, Graph Theory with Applications, American Elsevier Publishing Co., Inc., New York, 1976.
- [2] H. Feng, On orthogonal (0, f)-factorizations, Acta Math. Sci. (English Ed.) 19 (1999), no. 3, 332–336.
- [3] M. Kano, [a, b]-factorization of a graph, J. Graph Theory 9 (1985), no. 1, 129–146.
- [4] G. Li and G. Liu, (g, f)-factorization orthogonal to any subgraph, Sci. China Ser.A 27 (1997), no. 12, 1083–1088.
- [5] G. Liu, (g, f)-factorizations orthogonal to a star in graphs, Sci. China Ser. A 38 (1995), no. 7, 805–812.
- [6] _____, Orthogonal (g, f)-factorizations in graphs, Discrete Math. 143 (1995), no. 1-3, 153–158.
- [7] G. Liu and B. Zhu, Some problems on factorizations with constraints in bipartite graphs, Discrete Appl. Math. 128 (2003), no. 2-3, 421–434.
- [8] L. Lovász, Subgraphs with prescribed valencies, J. Combinatorial Theory 8 (1970), 391– 416.

SIZHONG ZHOU AND MINGGANG ZONG

- T. Tokuda, Connected [a, b]-factors in K_{1,n}-free graphs containing an [a, b]-factor, Discrete Math. 207 (1999), no. 1-3, 293–298.
- [10] J. Yuan and J. Yu, Random (m, r)-orthogonal (g, f)-factorizable graphs, Appl. Math. A J. Chinese Univ. (Ser. A) 13 (1998), no. 3, 311–318.

SIZHONG ZHOU SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS JIANGSU UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY MENGXI ROAD 2, ZHENJIANG, JIANGSU 212003, P. R. CHINA *E-mail address*: zsz.cumt0163.com

Minggang Zong Faculty of Sciences Jiangsu University Xuefu Road 301, Zhejiang, Jiangsu 212013, P. R. China