

## COINCIDENCE POINTS OF WEAKLY COMPATIBLE MAPPINGS

YISHENG SONG

ABSTRACT. We present coincidence points and common fixed point results for  $(f, g)$ -contractive mapping and  $(f, g)$ -nonexpansive mappings. Our results generalize and complement various known results existing in the literature.

### 1. Introduction and preliminaries

Let  $K$  be a nonempty subset of a metric space  $E$  and  $f, g$ , and  $T$  three selfmaps of  $K$ , and  $C(f, g, T)$  the set of coincidence points of  $f, g$ , and  $T$  (i.e.,  $C(f, g, T) = \{x \in K; fx = Tx = gx\}$ ), and  $F(T)$  the set of fixed points of  $T$ ,  $F(T) = \{x \in K; x = Tx\}$ . We shall denote the closure of  $K$  by  $\bar{K}$ , the boundary of  $K$  by  $\partial K$  and all positive integer by  $\mathbb{N}$ . When  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $E$ , then  $x_n \rightarrow x$  (respectively,  $x_n \rightharpoonup x$ ) will denote strong (respectively, weak) convergence of the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  to  $x$ .

The set  $K$  is called (1) *q-starshaped* with  $q \in K$  if  $kx + (1 - k)q \in K$  for all  $x \in K$  and all  $k \in [0, 1]$ ; (2) *convex* if  $kx + (1 - k)y \in K$  for all  $x, y \in K$  and all  $k \in [0, 1]$ .

The selfmap  $f$  on  $K$  is called (3) *affine* if  $K$  is convex and  $f(kx + (1 - k)y) = kfx + (1 - k)fy$  for all  $x, y \in K$  and all  $k \in [0, 1]$ ; (4) *q-affine* if  $K$  is  $q$ -starshaped and  $f(kx + (1 - k)q) = kfx + (1 - k)q$  for all  $x \in K$  and all  $k \in [0, 1]$ . Note that  $f q = q$  whenever  $f$  is a  $q$ -affine selfmap of a  $q$ -starshaped set  $K$  [1].

(5) The selfmap  $T$  on  $K$  is called  $(f, g)$ -*contraction* if, there exists  $0 \leq k < 1$  such that  $d(Tx, Ty) \leq kd(fx, gy)$  for any  $x, y \in K$ . If  $k = 1$ , then  $T$  is called  $(f, g)$ -*nonexpansive*. If  $f = g$ , then  $T$  is called  $f$ -*contraction* (or  $f$ -*nonexpansive*). If  $f = g = I$ , an identity operator, then  $T$  is called *contraction* (or *nonexpansive*).

A mapping  $T : K \rightarrow K$  is called (6) *continuous* if for all  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $\{x_n\}$  converges to  $x$  implies that  $\{Tx_n\}$  converges strongly to  $Tx$ ; *strongly continuous* if for all  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $\{x_n\}$  converges weakly to  $x$  implies that

---

Received October 26, 2006.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 41A50, 47H10, 54H25.

*Key words and phrases*. coincidence points, invariant approximations,  $(f, g)$ -nonexpansive mappings, weakly compatible mappings, common fixed points.

This work is supported by the Chinese National Tianyuan Foundation(10726073).

$\{Tx_n\}$  converges strongly to  $Tx$ ; *weakly continuous* if for all  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $\{x_n\}$  converges weakly to  $x$  implies that  $\{Tx_n\}$  converges weakly to  $Tx$ . Clearly the strong continuity of  $T$  implies both continuity and weakly continuity of  $T$  but not conversely [11]; (7) *demiclosed at 0* if for every sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset K$  such that  $\{x_n\}$  converges weakly to  $x$  and  $\{Tx_n\}$  converges strongly to 0, then  $Tx = 0$ .

The map pair  $(T, f)$  is called (8) *commuting* if  $Tfx = fTx$  for all  $x \in K$ ; (9) *R-weakly commuting* [6] if for all  $x \in K$  there exists  $R > 0$  such that  $d(fTx, Tfx) \leq Rd(fx, Tx)$ . If  $R = 1$ , then the map pair are called *weakly commuting*; (10) *compatible* [2] if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(Tfx_n, fTx_n) = 0$  whenever  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = t$  for some  $t$  in  $K$ ; (11) *weakly compatible* [1] if they commute at their coincidence points, i.e.,  $fTx = Tfx$  whenever  $fx = Tx$ . Suppose that  $E$  is compact metric space and both  $T$  and  $f$  are continuous, then  $(f, T)$  compatible equivalent to  $(f, T)$  weakly compatible [2, Theorem 2.2, Corollary 2.3].

Suppose that  $K$  is  $q$ -starshaped with  $q \in F(f)$  and is both  $T$ - and  $f$ -invariant. Then  $(T, f)$  are called (12) *R-subweakly commuting* on  $K$  (see [1, 8, 9]) if for all  $x \in K$ , there exists a real number  $R > 0$  such that  $d(fTx, Tfx) \leq R\delta(fx, [Tx, q])$ , where  $[Tx, q] = \{kTx + (1 - k)q; x \in K, k \in (0, 1)\}$  and  $\delta(p, K) = \inf_{z \in K} d(z, p)$  for  $p \in E$ ; (13) *R-subcommuting* [10] on  $K$  if for all  $x \in K$ , there exists a real number  $R > 0$  such that  $d(fTx, Tfx) \leq \frac{R}{k}d(kTx + (1 - k)q, fx)$  for all  $k \in (0, 1]$ ; (14)  *$C_q$ -commuting* [1] if  $fTx = Tfx$  for all  $x \in C_q(f, T)$ , where  $C_q(f, T) = \bigcup\{C(f, T_k); 0 \leq k \leq 1\}$  and  $T_kx = (1 - k)q + kTx$ . Clearly,  $C_q$ -commuting maps are weakly compatible but not conversely in general.  $R$ -subcommuting and  $R$ -subweakly commuting maps are  $C_q$ -commuting but the converse does not hold in general [1]. (15) A normed space  $E$  is said to be satisfy *Opial's condition* if for any sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $E$ ,  $x_n \rightharpoonup x$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) implies

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x\| < \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - y\|, \quad \forall y \in E \text{ with } x \neq y.$$

Hilbert space and the space  $l_p$  ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ ) satisfy Opial's condition.

During the last decades, the hybrid mapping results have been obtained by many mathematicians (for example, see reference [1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10]).

The aim of this paper is to prove results extending the above mentioned invariant approximation results. In particular, we establish general common fixed point theorems for  $(f, g)$ -nonexpansive weakly compatible mappings. Our results, on the one hand, extend and unify the work of Al-Thagafi and Shahzad [1], Jungck [3], and on the other hand, provide generalizations and complementarities of the recent work of Jungck and Sessa [4] and Shahzad [7, 8, 9, 10].

## 2. Coincidence and common fixed point theorems

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $K$  be a subset of a metric space  $(E, d)$ , and  $T, f, g : K \rightarrow K$  be three mappings and  $T$  be a  $(f, g)$ -contraction with a constant  $k \in (0, 1)$  which*

satisfies  $\overline{T(K)} \subset f(K) \cap g(K)$ . Suppose that either  $\overline{T(K)}$  or  $f(K)$  or  $g(K)$  is complete, then

(i) there exist  $z, u, v \in K$  such that  $fu = Tu = z = Tv = gv$ , that is,  $u \in C(T, f)$  and  $v \in C(T, g)$ ;

If, in addition,  $(T, f)$  and  $(T, g)$  are weakly compatible, then

(ii)  $F(T) \cap F(f) \cap F(g)$  is singleton.

*Proof.* Take  $x_0 \in K$ . As  $\overline{T(K)} \subset f(K) \cap g(K)$ , we can choose a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $K$  such that  $Tx_{2n} = fx_{2n+1}$  and  $Tx_{2n+1} = gx_{2n+2}$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . It follows that

$$d(Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n}) \leq kd(fx_{2n+1}, gx_{2n}) = kd(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n-1}).$$

Similarly, we also have that

$$d(Tx_{2n-1}, Tx_{2n}) \leq kd(fx_{2n-1}, gx_{2n}) = kd(Tx_{2n-2}, Tx_{2n-1}).$$

Therefore, for all  $n \geq 0$ ,

$$d(Tx_{n+1}, Tx_n) \leq kd(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) \leq k^n d(Tx_1, Tx_0).$$

Thus,

$$d(Tx_{n+p}, Tx_n) \leq \sum_{i=0}^p d(Tx_{n+i}, Tx_{n+i+1}) \leq \sum_{i=0}^p k^{n+i} d(Tx_1, Tx_0).$$

Hence,  $\{Tx_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. By the definition of  $\{Tx_n\}$ , then the sequence  $\{fx_{2n+1}\}$  and  $\{gx_{2n+2}\}$  are also Cauchy sequences.

Since either  $\overline{T(K)}$  or  $f(K)$  or  $g(K)$  is complete, suppose  $f(K)$  is complete. Then  $fx_{2n+1} \rightarrow z \in K$ , and by the definition of  $\{Tx_n\}$ , we obtain that

$$gx_{2n}, fx_{2n+1}, Tx_n \rightarrow z \in \overline{T(K)} \subset f(K) \cap g(K).$$

Hence there exist  $u, v \in K$  such that  $fu = z = gv$ . Then as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$d(Tx_{2n+1}Tv) \leq kd(fx_{2n+1}, gv) = kd(fx_{2n+1}, z) \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus  $Tx_n \rightarrow Tv = z = gv$ . Similarly, we also can show that  $Tu = z = fu$ . (i) is proved.

Finally we prove (ii). As  $(T, f)$  and  $(T, g)$  are weakly compatible and  $gv = Tv = z = Tu = fu$ , then

$$gz = gTv = Tgv = Tz = Tfu = fTu = fz.$$

We claim that  $z$  is a common fixed point of  $T, f, g$ . Since

$$d(z, Tz) = d(Tu, Tz) \leq kd(fu, gz) = kd(z, Tz),$$

then  $z = Tz$ , i.e.,  $z \in F(T) \cap F(f) \cap F(g)$ . If there exists another point  $v \in K$  such that  $v = Tv = gv = fv$ , then

$$d(z, v) = d(Tz, Tv) \leq kd(fz, gv) = kd(z, v).$$

Hence  $z = v$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

Theorem 2.1 contains the Banach Contraction Principle as a special case ( $f = g = I$ ). It generalizes Al-Thagafi and Shahzad [1, Theorem 2.1]. It also extends Shahzad [8, Lemma 2.1] and Pant [6, Theorem 1].

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $K$  be a nonempty  $q$ -starshaped subset of a normed space  $E$ , and  $T, f, g : K \rightarrow K$  three mappings. Assumed that  $T$  is a  $(f, g)$ -nonexpansive mapping, and  $f$  and  $g$  are  $q$ -affine and  $\overline{T(K)} \subset f(K) \cap g(K)$ . Suppose that either  $\overline{T(K)}$  or  $f(K)$  or  $g(K)$  is compact, then*

(i) *there exist  $z, u, v \in K$  such that  $fu = Tu = z = Tv = gv$ ;*

*If, in addition,  $(T, f)$  and  $(T, g)$  are weakly compatible and  $ffx = fx$  for all  $x \in C(T, f)$ , then*

(ii)  $F(T) \cap F(f) \cap F(g) \neq \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* Choose a sequence  $\{k_n\} \subset (0, 1)$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_n = 1$ . For each  $n$ , define  $T_n$  by

$$T_n x = (1 - k_n)q + k_n T x, \quad \forall x \in K.$$

Then, for each  $n$ ,  $\overline{T_n(K)} \subset f(K) \cap g(K)$  by  $q$ -starshapedness of  $K$  and  $q$ -affiness of  $f$  and  $g$ . And also for all  $x, y \in K$ ,

$$\|T_n x - T_n y\| = k_n \|Tx - Ty\| \leq k_n \|fx - gy\|,$$

so  $T_n$  is  $(f, g)$ -contractive mapping with contractive coefficient  $k_n \in (0, 1)$ . As either  $\overline{T(K)}$  or  $f(K)$  or  $g(K)$  is compact, then  $\overline{T(K)}$  or  $f(K)$  or  $g(K)$  is complete [11]. It follows from Theorem 2.1(i) that for each  $n$ , there exist  $x_{m(n)}, x_{t(n)} \in K$  such that

$$(2.1) \quad fx_{m(n)} = k_n T x_{m(n)} + (1 - k_n)q = y_n = gx_{t(n)} = k_n T x_{t(n)} + (1 - k_n)q.$$

It follows from the compactness of either  $\overline{T(K)}$  or  $f(K)$  or  $g(K)$  that there exist  $\{y_{n_i}\} \subset \{y_n\}$  and  $z \in K$  such that

$$y_{n_i} = fx_{m(n_i)} = gx_{t(n_i)} \rightarrow z \quad (i \rightarrow \infty),$$

$$Tx_{m(n_i)} = Tx_{t(n_i)} = \frac{y_{n_i} - (1 - k_{n_i})q}{k_{n_i}} \rightarrow z \in \overline{T(K)}.$$

And also  $z \in f(K) \cap g(K)$  by  $\overline{T(K)} \subset f(K) \cap g(K)$ . Hence there exist  $u, v \in K$  such that  $z = fu = gv$ . As  $i \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\|Tu - Tx_{t(n_i)}\| \leq \|fu - gx_{t(n_i)}\| = \|z - gx_{t(n_i)}\| \rightarrow 0,$$

therefore,  $Tx_{t(n_i)} \rightarrow Tu = z$ , i.e.,  $z = Tu = fu$ . Similarly, we also can show that  $Tv = z = gv$ . (i) is proved.

Subsequently, we show (ii). It follows from (i) that there exist  $z, u, v \in K$  such that  $fu = Tu = z = gv = Tv$ . Since  $(T, f)$  and  $(T, g)$  are weakly compatible and  $ffx = fx$  for all  $x \in C(T, f)$ , then

$$fz = fTu = Tfu = Tz = Tgv = gTv = gz$$

and

$$fz = ffu = fu = z.$$

Thus  $z = fz = gz = Tz$ , which proves (ii). □

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $K$  be a nonempty  $q$ -starshaped subset of a Banach space  $E$ , and  $T, f, g : K \rightarrow K$  three mappings. Assumed that  $T$  is a  $(f, g)$ -nonexpansive mapping, and  $f$  and  $g$  are  $q$ -affine and  $\overline{T(K)} \subset f(K) \cap g(K)$ . Suppose that one of the following conditions is satisfied:*

- (a)  $T$  is strongly continuous and  $K$  is weakly compact;
- (b)  $f$  or  $g$  is strongly continuous and  $K$  is weakly compact;
- (c)  $\overline{T(K)}$  is weakly compact and  $E$  satisfies Opial's condition.

Then (i)  $C(T, f, g) \neq \emptyset$ ;

If, in addition,  $(T, f)$  and  $(T, g)$  are weakly compatible and  $ffx = fx$  for all  $x \in C(T, f)$ , then

- (ii)  $F(T) \cap F(f) \cap F(g) \neq \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\{k_n\}$  and  $\{T_n\}$  be defined as in Theorem 2.2. Then a similar argument shows that there exist  $x_{m(n)}, x_{t(n)} \in K$  such that

$$fx_{m(n)} = k_nTx_{m(n)} + (1 - k_n)q = y_n = gx_{t(n)} = k_nTx_{t(n)} + (1 - k_n)q.$$

Suppose the condition (a) holds. Since  $\{x_{m(n)}\} \subset K$  together with the weak compactness of  $K$ , then there exists  $y \in K$  and  $\{x_{m(n_i)}\} \subset \{x_{m(n)}\}$  such that  $x_{m(n_i)} \rightarrow y$  ( $i \rightarrow \infty$ ). It follows from the strong continuity of  $T$  that

$$Tx_{m(n_i)} \rightarrow Ty \in \overline{T(K)} \subset f(K) \cap g(K).$$

Thus there exist  $u, v \in K$  such that  $Ty = fu = gv$ , and noticing  $k_n \rightarrow 1$ ,

$$fx_{m(n_i)} = gx_{t(n_i)} = y_{n_i} = k_{n_i}Tx_{m(n_i)} + (1 - k_{n_i})q \rightarrow Ty.$$

We claim that  $Tu = Ty = fu$ . Indeed, since as  $i \rightarrow \infty$

$$\|Tu - Tx_{t(n_i)}\| \leq \|fu - gx_{t(n_i)}\| = \|Ty - gx_{t(n_i)}\| \rightarrow 0,$$

then  $Tx_{t(n_i)} \rightarrow Tu = Ty$ . Similarly, we also can show that  $Tv = Ty = gv$ . (i) is proved.

Suppose the condition (b) holds. Assumed that  $f$  is strongly continuous, then  $gx_{t(n_i)} = fx_{m(n_i)} \rightarrow fy$ . Since as  $i \rightarrow \infty$

$$\|Ty - Tx_{t(n_i)}\| \leq \|fy - gx_{t(n_i)}\| = \|fy - fx_{m(n_i)}\| \rightarrow 0,$$

then  $Tx_{t(n_i)} \rightarrow Ty$ , that is,  $T$  is strongly continuous at  $y$ . It follows from (a) that we also reach our objective.

Suppose the condition (c) holds. By the weak compactness of  $\overline{T(K)}$ , there exists  $y \in K$  and  $\{Tx_{m(n_i)}\} \subset \{Tx_m(n)\}$  such that  $Tx_{m(n_i)} \rightarrow z$  ( $i \rightarrow \infty$ ). Therefore by  $k_n \rightarrow 1$ , we have

$$fx_{m(n_i)} = gx_{t(n_i)} = k_{n_i}Tx_{m(n_i)} + (1 - k_{n_i})q \rightarrow z.$$

Since weak closedness of subset of  $E$  implies closedness in Banach space  $E$  [11, 5], then  $z \in \overline{T(K)} \subset f(K) \cap g(K)$ . Thus  $\exists u, v \in K$  such that  $z = fu = gv$ . As  $\{Tx_n\}$  is bounded by the weak compactness of  $\overline{T(K)}$ , then

$$\|fx_m(n) - Tx_m(n)\| = (1 - k_n)\|Tx_m(n) - q\| \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

We claim that  $Tv = z$ . Suppose not, By  $E$  satisfying Opial's condition, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|Tx_{m(n_i)} - z\| &< \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|Tx_{m(n_i)} - Tv\| \\ &\leq \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|fx_{m(n_i)} - gv\| = \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|fx_{m(n_i)} - z\| \\ &\leq \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|fx_{m(n_i)} - Tx_{m(n_i)}\| + \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|Tx_{m(n_i)} - z\| \\ &= \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|Tx_{m(n_i)} - z\|. \end{aligned}$$

Which is a contradiction. Hence,  $z = Tv = gv$ . Similarly, we also can show that  $z = Tu = fu$ . (i) is proved.

It follows from the similar argumentation of Theorem 2.3(ii) that  $Tu \in F(T) \cap F(f) \cap F(g)$ . Which finishes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $K$  be a nonempty  $q$ -starshaped subset of a normed space  $E$ , and  $T, f, g : K \rightarrow K$  three mappings which satisfy that  $T$  is a  $(f, g)$ -nonexpansive mapping and  $\overline{T(K)} \subset f(K) \cap g(K)$ . Suppose that  $(T, f)$  and  $(T, g)$  are  $C_q$ -commuting, and  $f$  and  $g$  are  $q$ -affine, and one of the three mappings  $T, f, g$  is continuous. If either  $\overline{T(K)}$  or  $f(K)$  or  $g(K)$  is compact, then  $F(T) \cap F(f) \cap F(g) \neq \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\{k_n\} \subset (0, 1)$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_n = 1$ . For each  $n$ , define  $T_n$  by

$$T_n x = (1 - k_n)q + k_n T x, \quad \forall x \in K.$$

It follows from the similar argumentation of Theorem 2.2 that  $\overline{T_n(K)} \subset f(K) \cap g(K)$  for each  $n$  and  $T_n$  is  $(f, g)$ -contractive mapping with contractive coefficient  $k_n \in (0, 1)$ . Since  $(T, f)$  and  $(T, g)$  are  $C_q$ -commuting, and  $f$  and  $g$  are  $q$ -affine, then  $q \in F(f) \cap F(g)$  [1], and furthermore, for all  $T_n x = fx = gx$ , we have

$$T_n f x = (1 - k_n)q + k_n T f x = (1 - k_n)q + k_n f T x = f((1 - k_n)q + k_n T x) = f T_n x.$$

Namely,  $(T_n, f)$  is weakly compatible. Similarly,  $(T_n, g)$  is weakly compatible also. It follows from Theorem 2.1(ii) that for each  $n$ , there exists unique  $x_n \in K$  such that

$$(2.2) \quad x_n = f x_n = g x_n = k_n T x_n + (1 - k_n)q.$$

The alike argument as Theorem 2.2(i) implies that there exist  $z, u, v \in K$  and  $\{x_{n_i}\} \subset \{x_n\}$  such that  $Tu = fu = z = Tv = gv$ , and  $x_{n_i} = f x_{n_i} = g x_{n_i} \rightarrow z$  and  $T x_{n_i} \rightarrow z$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . As  $C_q$ -commuting of  $(T, f)$  and  $(T, g)$  implies weakly compatible [1], then

$$fz = fTu = Tfu = Tz = Tgv = gTv = gz.$$

It follows from continuity of either  $T$  or  $f$  or  $g$  that either  $T x_{n_i} \rightarrow Tz$  or  $f x_{n_i} \rightarrow fz$  or  $g x_{n_i} \rightarrow gz$ . Hence

$$z = Tz = fz = gz.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.5.** *Let  $K$  be a nonempty  $q$ -starshaped subset of a normed space  $E$ , and  $T : K \rightarrow K$  a nonexpansive mapping and  $\overline{T(K)} \subset K$ . If  $\overline{T(K)}$  is a compact subset of  $E$ , then  $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ .*

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $K$  be a nonempty  $q$ -starshaped subset of a Banach space  $E$ , and  $T, f, g : K \rightarrow K$  three mappings which satisfy that  $T$  is a  $(f, g)$ -nonexpansive mapping and  $\overline{T(K)} \subset f(K) \cap g(K)$ . Suppose that  $(T, f)$  and  $(T, g)$  are  $C_q$ -commuting and  $f$  and  $g$  are  $q$ -affine, and  $T$  is strongly continuous, and either  $K$  or  $\overline{T(K)}$  or  $f(K)$  or  $g(K)$  is weakly compact. Then  $F(T) \cap F(f) \cap F(g) \neq \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\{k_n\}$  and  $\{T_n\}$  be defined as in Theorem 2.4. Then a similar argument shows that for each  $n$ , there exists unique  $x_n \in K$  such that

$$x_n = fx_n = gx_n = k_nTx_n + (1 - k_n)q.$$

The alike argument as Theorem 2.3(i) implies that there exist  $z, u, v \in K$  and  $\{x_{n_i}\} \subset \{x_n\}$  such that  $Tu = fu = z = Tv = gv$ , and  $x_{n_i} = fx_{n_i} = gx_{n_i} \rightarrow z$  and  $Tx_{n_i} \rightarrow z$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $C_q$ -commuting of  $(T, f)$  and  $(T, g)$  implies weakly compatible [1], then

$$fz = fTu = Tfu = Tz = Tgv = gTv = gz.$$

As  $T$  is strongly continuous together with  $x_{n_i} \rightarrow z$ , then  $Tx_{n_i} \rightarrow Tz$ . By  $Tx_{n_i} \rightarrow z$ , we have  $z = Tz = fz = gz$ . The proof is completed.  $\square$

*Remark.* 1. Both Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.4 generalize Al-Thagafi and Shahzad [1, Theorem 2.2], Jungck [3, Theorem 3.1] and Shahzad [8, Lemma 2.2].

2. Both Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 2.6 extend and improve Al-Thagafi and Shahzad [1, Theorem 2.4] and Shahzad [7, Theorem 3].

**Acknowledgments.** The author would like to thank editors and the anonymous referee for their valuable suggestions which helps to improve this manuscript.

## References

- [1] M. A. Al-Thagafi and N. Shahzad, *Noncommuting selfmaps and invariant approximations*, *Nonlinear Anal.* **64** (2006), no. 12, 2778–2786.
- [2] G. Jungck, *Common fixed points for commuting and compatible maps on compacta*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **103** (1988), no. 3, 977–983.
- [3] ———, *Coincidence and fixed points for compatible and relatively nonexpansive maps*, *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **16** (1993), no. 1, 95–100.
- [4] G. Jungck and S. Sessa, *Fixed point theorems in best approximation theory*, *Math. Japon.* **42** (1995), no. 2, 249–252.
- [5] R. E. Megginson, *An Introduction to Banach Space Theory*, *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, 183. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.
- [6] R. P. Pant, *Common fixed points of noncommuting mappings*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **188** (1994), no. 2, 436–440.
- [7] N. Shahzad, *On  $R$ -subcommuting maps and best approximations in Banach spaces*, *Tamkang J. Math.* **32** (2001), no. 1, 51–53.

- [8] ———, *Invariant approximations and  $R$ -subweakly commuting maps*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **257** (2001), no. 1, 39–45.
- [9] ———, *Invariant approximations, generalized  $l$ -contractions, and  $R$ -subweakly commuting maps*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. (2005), no. 1, 79–86.
- [10] ———, *Noncommuting maps and best approximations*, Rad. Mat. **10** (2000/01), no. 1, 77–83.
- [11] S. P. Singh, B. Watson, and P. Srivastava, *Fixed Point Theory and Best Approximation: The KKM-map Principle*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1997.

COLLEGE OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATION SCIENCE  
HENAN NORMAL UNIVERSITY  
453007, P. R. CHINA  
*E-mail address:* songyisheng123@yahoo.com.cn