### J. KSIAM Vol.12, No.3, 133-137, 2008

# ON THE ASYMPTOTIC CONVERGENCE OF ORTHONORMAL CARDINAL REFINABLE FUNCTIONS

#### RAE YOUNG KIM

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, YEUNGNAM UNIVERSITY, 214-1, DAE-DONG, GYEONGSAN-SI, GYEONGSANGBUK-DO, 712-749, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

*E-mail address*: rykim@ynu.ac.kr

ABSTRACT. We prove an extended version of asymptotic behavior of the orthonormal cardinal refinable functions from Blaschke products introduced by Contronei et al [2]. In fact, we show the orthonormal cardinal refinable function  $\varphi_{k,q}$  converges in  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$  ( $2 \le p \le \infty$ ) to the Shannon refinable function as  $k \to \infty$  uniformly on a class  $Q_{A,B}$  of real symmetric polynomials determined by positive constants  $A \le B$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Recently, Contronei et al. [2] constructed an interesting class of orthonormal cardinal refinable functions with rational symbols using Blaschke products. The rational symbols are of the form

$$P_{k,q}(z) := \frac{(1+z)^{2k+1}q(z)}{(1+z)^{2k+1}q(z) - (1-z)^{2k+1}q(-z)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C},$$
(1.1)

where

$$q(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{N/2} \alpha_{2j} (1+z)^{N-2j} (1-z)^{2j}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C},$$
(1.2)

is a real symmetric polynomial of degree N := 2n - 2k, and the corresponding orthonormal cardinal refinable functions  $\varphi_{k,q}$  are defined by the Fourier transform:

$$\widehat{\varphi}_{k,q}(w) := \prod_{l=1}^{\infty} P_{k,q}(e^{-iw/2^l}).$$
(1.3)

The study of refinable functions which are both orthonormal, and cardinal was addressed in [3, 6].

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 42C15, 42C40.

Key words and phrases. Orthonormal function, Cardinal function, Refinable function, Shannon refinable function.

This work was supported by the Korea Research Foundation Grant funded by the Korean Government (MOEHRD, Basic Research Promotion Fund) (KRF-2006-331-C00014).

#### RAE YOUNG KIM

We consider the class  $Q_{A,B}$   $(0 < A \le B < \infty)$  of all real symmetric polynomials q of (1.2) such that

$$A \leq |Q(w)| \leq B$$
 for all  $w$ ,

where Q is defined for  $w \in \mathbb{R}$  as

$$Q(w) := \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} (-1)^j \alpha_{2j} \left( \cos^2 \frac{w}{2} \right)^{n-k-j} \left( \sin^2 \frac{w}{2} \right)^j.$$

Note that  $|q(e^{-iw})| = 2^{2(n-k)} |Q(w)|$ . We show that the refinable function  $\varphi_{k,q}$  converges in  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$   $(2 \leq p \leq \infty)$  to the Shannon refinable function  $\varphi_{SH}$  uniformly on  $q \in Q_{A,B}$  as  $k \to \infty$ , where

$$\hat{\varphi}_{SH}(w) := \chi_{[-\pi,\pi]}(w).$$

The main result here is an extended version of [2, Thoerem 4.3]. We mention that the analogous asymptotic behaviors for other families of refinable functions are treated in [2, 4, 5] with similar proofs.

## 2. MAIN RESULT

For a real symmetric polynomial q(z) with

$$0 < A \le 2^{-2(n-k)} |q(e^{-iw})| = |Q(w)| \le B < \infty,$$
(2.1)

we can easily check that as  $k \to \infty$  the symbol

$$P_{k,q}(e^{-iw}) = \frac{1}{1 - i^{2k+1}(\tan\frac{w}{2})^{2k+1}q(-e^{-iw})/q(e^{-iw})}$$

converges pointwise to the symbol

$$m_{SH}(w) = \begin{cases} 1, & |w| < \pi/2, \\ 0, & \pi/2 < |w| < \pi \end{cases}$$

of the Shannon refinable function  $\varphi_{SH}$  as  $k \to \infty$ . We also note that for a fixed w with  $|w| < \pi/2$  or  $\pi/2 < |w| < \pi$  the convergence is uniform on the class  $Q_{A,B}$  of all real symmetric polynomial q satisfying (2.1).

Before the statement and proof of the main result, we need some technical lemmas. Fix a positive integer K, we define an auxiliary symbol

$$m_K(w) = \begin{cases} 1, & |w| \le \frac{\pi}{2}; \\ \frac{B}{A} \left( \frac{\cos^{2(2K+1)}(w/2)}{\cos^{2(2K+1)}(w/2) + \sin^{2(2K+1)}(w/2)} \right)^{1/2}, & \frac{\pi}{2} \le |w| \le \pi \end{cases}$$

for the domination of  $P_{k,q}(e^{-iw})$ .

134

135

Lemma 2.1. (a) 
$$|P_{k,q}(e^{-iw})| \le m_K(w), \ k \ge K, \ q \in Q_{A,B}.$$
  
(b)  $\widehat{\varphi}_K(w) := \prod_{l \in \mathbb{N}} m_K(w/2^l)$  has the decay  $|\widehat{\varphi}_K(w)| \le C(1+|w|)^{-K-1/2+\log_2 B/A}$   
(c)  $|P_{k,q}(e^{-iw}) - 1| \le \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for all } w, \\ \frac{2B}{\pi A}|w|, & |w| \le \pi/2, \end{cases} q \in Q_{A,B}.$ 

*Proof.* (a) It is obtained by direct computation that

$$|P_{k,q}(e^{-iw})| = \left(\frac{(\cos^2 \frac{w}{2})^{2k+1}Q(w)^2}{(\cos^2 \frac{w}{2})^{2k+1}Q(w)^2 + (\sin^2 \frac{w}{2})^{2k+1}Q(w+\pi)^2}\right)^{1/2} \le 1, \text{ all } w.$$

For  $\pi/2 \le |w| \le \pi$ ,  $|P_{k,q}(e^{-iw})| \le m_K(w)$  by (2.1) if  $k \ge K$  since  $|P_{k,q}(e^{-iw})|$  is decreasing as k increases.

(b) We note that  $m_K(w) = \cos^{2K+1}(w/2)S_K(w)$ , where

$$S_K(w) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\cos^{2K+1}(w/2)}, & |w| \le \frac{\pi}{2} \\ \frac{B}{A} \left(\frac{1}{\cos^{2(2K+1)}(w/2) + \sin^{2(2K+1)}(w/2)}\right)^{1/2}, & \frac{\pi}{2} \le |w| \le \pi, \end{cases}$$

and note that  $\sup_{w} |S_K(w)| = 2^K \max\{B/A, \sqrt{2}\} \le 2^{K+1/2}B/A$ . Therefore, the decay of  $\widehat{\varphi}_K(w)$  follows, for example, from [1, Theorem 5.5]. (c) We note that

$$|P(e^{-iw}) - 1|^2 = \frac{(\sin^2 \frac{w}{2})^{2k+1}Q(w+\pi)^2}{(\cos^2 \frac{w}{2})^{2k+1}Q(w)^2 + (\sin^2 \frac{w}{2})^{2k+1}Q(w+\pi)^2}.$$

The first estimate of (c) is obvious. For the second estimate of (c), we let  $|w| \le \pi/2$  and note

$$|P(e^{-iw}) - 1|^{2} \leq \frac{(\sin^{2} \frac{w}{2})^{2k+1} Q(w+\pi)^{2}}{(\cos^{2} \frac{w}{2})^{2k+1} Q(w)^{2}}$$
$$\leq \left(\frac{B}{A}\right)^{2} (\tan^{2} \frac{w}{2})^{2k+1} \leq \left(\frac{B}{A}\right)^{2} \tan^{2} \frac{w}{2} \leq \left(\frac{B}{A}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{2}{\pi}|w|\right)^{2}.$$

**Lemma 2.2.** (a) For each fixed w,  $\widehat{\varphi}_{k,q}(w) = \prod_{l=1}^{\infty} P_{k,q}(e^{-iw/2^l})$  converges uniformly on kand on  $q \in \mathcal{Q}_{A,B}$ . (b) For a.e. w,  $\widehat{\varphi}_{k,q}(w) \to \widehat{\varphi}_{SH}(w)$  uniformly on  $q \in \mathcal{Q}_{A,B}$  as  $k \to \infty$ . RAE YOUNG KIM

*Proof.* (a) Fix w and choose  $l_0$  so that  $|w/2^{l_0}| \le \pi/2$ . By Lemma 2.1 (c),

$$\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} |P_{k,q}(e^{-iw/2^l}) - 1| = \sum_{l=1}^{l_0} |P_{k,q}(e^{-iw/2^l}) - 1| + \sum_{l=l_0+1}^{\infty} |P_{k,q}(e^{-iw/2^l}) - 1|$$
$$\leq l_0 + \sum_{l=l_0+1}^{\infty} \frac{2B}{\pi A} \frac{|w|}{2^l} = l_0 + \frac{2B}{\pi A} \frac{|w|}{2^{l_0}},$$

uniformly on k and on  $q \in Q_{A,B}$ . Therefore, the product  $\widehat{\varphi}_{k,q}(w)$  converges uniformly on k and on  $q \in Q_{A,B}$  for a fixed w.

(b) Fix  $w \notin \bigcup_{l=1}^{\infty} 2^l (\pm \pi/2 + 2\pi\mathbb{Z})$  and let  $\epsilon > 0$ . By (a) we can choose  $l_1$  (independent of k and  $q \in \mathcal{Q}_{A,B}$ ) so that

$$|\widehat{\varphi}_{k,q}(w) - \prod_{l=1}^{l_1} P_{k,q}(e^{-iw/2^l})| < \epsilon$$

and

$$|\widehat{\varphi}_{SH}(w) - \prod_{l=1}^{l_1} m_{SH}(w/2^l)| < \epsilon.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\widehat{\varphi}_{k,q}(w) - \widehat{\varphi}_{SH}(w)| &\leq \left| \widehat{\varphi}_{k,q}(w) - \prod_{l=1}^{l_1} P_{k,q}(e^{-iw/2^l}) \right| \\ &+ \left| \prod_{l=1}^{l_1} P_{k,q}(e^{-iw/2^l}) - \prod_{l=1}^{l_1} m_{SH}(w/2^l) \right| \\ &+ \left| \prod_{l=1}^{l_1} m_{SH}(w/2^l) - \widehat{\varphi}_{SH}(w) \right| \\ &< 2\epsilon + \left| \prod_{l=1}^{l_1} P_{k,q}(e^{-iw/2^l}) - \prod_{l=1}^{l_1} m_{SH}(w/2^l) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $w/2^l \notin \pm \pi/2 + 2\pi\mathbb{Z}$  for any  $l \ge 1$ . Since  $P_{k,q}(e^{-iw/2^l}) \to m_{SH}(w/2^l)$  as  $k \to \infty$  for  $l = 1, 2, \dots, l_1$ , we can choose  $k_0$  so that

$$\left|\prod_{l=1}^{l_1} P_{k,q}(e^{-iw/2^l}) - \prod_{l=1}^{l_1} m_{SH}(w/2^l)\right| < \epsilon, \ k \ge k_0.$$

Therefore, for *a.e.* w,  $\widehat{\varphi}_{k,q}(w) \to \widehat{\varphi}_{SH}(w)$  uniformly on  $q \in \mathcal{Q}_{A,B}$  as  $k \to \infty$ .

Now, we state and prove our main result. The case A = B = 1 reduces to Theorem 4.3 in [2].

136

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let*  $0 < A < B < \infty$ *.* 

- (a) For 1 ≤ p < ∞, ||φ̂<sub>k,q</sub> φ̂<sub>SH</sub>||<sub>L<sup>p</sup>(ℝ)</sub> → 0 (k → ∞) uniformly on q ∈ Q<sub>A,B</sub>.
  (b) For 2 ≤ p' ≤ ∞, ||φ<sub>k,q</sub> φ<sub>SH</sub>||<sub>L<sup>p'</sup>(ℝ)</sub> → 0 (k → ∞) uniformly on q ∈ Q<sub>A,B</sub>. In particular, φ<sub>k,q</sub> → φ<sub>SH</sub> uniformly on ℝ and on q ∈ Q<sub>A,B</sub>

*Proof.* Choose K so large that  $K + 1/2 - \log_2 B/A > 1$ . We estimate the decay of  $\widehat{\varphi}_{k,q}$  for  $k \ge K$ :

$$\begin{aligned} |\widehat{\varphi}_{k,q}(w)| &= \prod_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \left| P_{k,q}(e^{-iw/2^l}) \right| \le \prod_{l \in \mathbb{N}} m_K(w/2^l) \\ &= |\widehat{\varphi}_K(w)| \le C(1+|w|)^{-K-1/2+\log_2 B/A} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}), \end{aligned}$$

where we used Lemma 2.1. We now apply the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem to  $\sup_{q \in Q_{A,B}} |\widehat{\varphi}_{k,q} - \widehat{\varphi}_{SH}|^p$  to get

$$\sup_{q \in Q_{A,B}} ||\widehat{\varphi}_{k,q} - \widehat{\varphi}_{SH}||_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R})} \leq ||\sup_{q \in Q_{A,B}} |\widehat{\varphi}_{k,q} - \widehat{\varphi}_{SH}|||_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R})} \to 0$$

as  $k \to \infty$ . Therefore,  $\widehat{\varphi}_{k,q} \to \widehat{\varphi}_{SH}$  in  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$  uniformly on  $q \in \mathcal{Q}_{A,B}$ . The claim (b) follows from (a) by the Hausdorff-Young inequality:

$$||f||_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R})} \le ||f||_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R})}, \text{ for } 1 \le p \le 2,$$

where p' is the exponent conjugate to p.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] C.K. Chui, An Introduction to Wavelets, Academic Press, San Diego, 1992.
- [2] M. Cotronei, M.L. Lo Cascio, H.O. Kim, C.A. Micchelli and T. Sauer, Refinable functions from Blaschke products, Rend. Mat. Appl. (7) 26 (2006), 267-290.
- [3] T.N.T. Goodman, C.A. Micchelli, Orthonormal cardinal functions, In Wavelets : Theory, Algorithms and Applications, Chui, C. K., Montefusco, L. and Puccio, L., (eds.), Academic Press, (1994) 53-88.
- [4] H.O. Kim and R.Y. Kim, On asymptotic behavior of Battle-Lemarié scaling functions and wavelets, Appl. Math. Lett. 20 (2007), 376-381.
- [5] H.O. Kim, R.Y. Kim and J.S. Ku, Wavelet frames from Butterworth filters, Sampl. Theory Signal Image Process. 4 (2005), 231-250.
- [6] R.M. Lewis, Cardinal interpolating multiresolution, J. Approx. Theory, 76 (1994), 177-202.