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#### Abstract

We prove the existence of infinitely many solutions of the nonlinear higher order elliptic equation with Dirichlet boundary condition $(-\Delta)^{m} u=q(x, u) \quad$ in $\Omega$, where $m \geq 1$ is an integer and $\Omega \subset R^{n}$ is a bounded domain with smooth boundary, when $\mathrm{q}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{u})$ satisfies some conditions.


## 1. Introduction and the main result

In this paper we investigate the multiplicity result for the solutions of the following nonlinear higher order elliptic equations with Dirichlet boundary condition

$$
\begin{gather*}
(-\Delta)^{m} u=q(x, u) \quad \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1.1}\\
u=0, \quad \Delta u=0, \quad \ldots \quad \Delta^{m-1} u=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega,
\end{gather*}
$$

where $m \geq 1$ is an integer and $\Omega \subset R^{n}$ is a bounded domain with smooth boundary. We assume that $q$ satisfies the following conditions:
( $q 1$ ) $q \in C(\Omega \times R)$ is nonnegative,
(q2) There is a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|q(x, s)| \leq C(1+|s|), \quad x \in \Omega \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

in particular, we assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(x, s)=\lambda_{1}^{m} s+p(x, s) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$
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where $\lambda_{1}$ is the smallest eigenvalue of the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta \phi=\lambda \phi,\left.\quad \phi\right|_{\partial \Omega}=0 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $p(x, s)$ is a fast oscillating function of an arbitrarily small amplitude; (q3) There are sequences $\sigma_{j}, \tau_{k}$ such that $\sigma_{j} \rightarrow \infty, \tau_{k} \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{P}\left(x, \sqrt{2 \sigma_{j}} \phi_{1}(x)\right) d x \rightarrow-\infty, \quad \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{P}\left(x,-\sqrt{2 \sigma_{j}} \phi_{1}(x)\right) d x \rightarrow-\infty \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and either

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{P}\left(x, \sqrt{2 \tau_{k}} \phi_{1}\right) d x \geq 0 \quad \text { or } \quad \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{P}\left(x,-\sqrt{2 \tau_{k}} \phi_{1}\right) d x \geq 0 \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi_{1}$ is the positive eigenfunction of the eigenvalue problem (1.4) corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}$ and $\mathcal{P}(x, s)=\int_{0}^{s} p(x, \sigma) d \sigma$.
$(q 4)$ the function $p$ is uniformly bounded on $\Omega \times R$.
Several authors were concerned with the multiple solutions of the nonlinear elliptic equation with Dirichlet boundary condition

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
-\Delta u=g(u) & \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{1.7}\\
u=0, & \text { on } \partial \Omega .
\end{array}
$$

Castro and Lazer ([3]) showed that if the interval $\left(g^{\prime}(0), g^{\prime}(\infty)\right) \cup\left(g^{\prime}(\infty), g^{\prime}(0)\right)$ contains the eigenvalues $\lambda_{k}, \ldots, \lambda_{j}$ and $g^{\prime}(t)<\lambda_{j+1}$ for all $t \in R$, then (1.7) has at least three solutions. The proofs in [4] are based on global Lyapunov-Schmidt arguments applied to variational problems. Castro and Cossio ([5]) proved that problem (1.2) has at least five solutions if $g$ is a differentiable function such that $g(0)=0, g^{\prime}(0)<\lambda_{1}$, $g^{\prime}(\infty) \in\left(\lambda_{k}, \lambda_{k+1}\right)$ with $k \geq 2$, and $g^{\prime}(t) \leq \gamma<\lambda_{k+1}$. They proved this by using Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction arguments, the mountain pass lemma, and characterizations of the local degree of critical points. Chang ([6]) also approached the same problems using Morse theory. For other results in the study of this type problems we refer [2], [7], [9].

Our main result is the following:
Theorem 1.1. Assume that $q$ satisfies ( $q 1$ )-( $q 4$ ). Then problem (1.1) has infinitely many solutions.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is organized as follows: In section 2 we introduce a Banach space $H$ spanned by eigenfunctions and a corresponding
functional of (1.1) whose critical points correspond to the weak solutions of problem (1.1), and study the Lagrange multipliers involved in such critical point equations. In Section 3 we prove Theorem 1.1.

## 2. The functional on the Banach space

Let $\Omega \subset R^{n}$ be a bounded domain with smooth boundary. We define a complete normed inner product subspace $H$ of $L^{2}(\Omega)$ as follows: Let $\lambda_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots$ denote the eigenvalues and $\phi_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots$ the corresponding orthogonal eigenfunctions in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ with respect to $L^{2}(\Omega)$ inner product, of the eigenvalue problem

$$
\Delta u+\lambda u=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega, \quad u=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega,
$$

where each eigenvalue $\lambda$ is repeated as often as its multiplicity. We recall that $0<\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2} \leq \lambda_{3} \leq \ldots, \lambda_{i} \rightarrow+\infty$, and that $\phi_{1}(x)>0$ for $x \in \Omega$. For any integer $m$ the eigenvalue problem

$$
(-\Delta)^{m} u=\mu u \quad \text { in } \Omega, \quad u=0, \Delta u=0, \ldots \Delta^{m-1} u=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega,
$$

has infinitely many eigenvalues

$$
\mu_{k}=\lambda_{k}^{m}, \quad k \geq 1,
$$

and corresponding eigenfunctions $\phi_{k}(x)$. The set of functions $\left\{\phi_{k}\right\}$ is an orthogonal base for $L^{2}(\Omega)$. Let us denote an element $u$ in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ as

$$
u=\sum h_{k} \phi_{k}, \quad \sum h_{k}^{2}<\infty .
$$

We define a subspace $H$ of $L^{2}(\Omega)$ as follows

$$
H=\left\{u \in L^{2}(\Omega) \mid \sum \lambda_{k}^{m} h_{k}^{2}<\infty, \quad m: \text { integer }\right\}
$$

Now, we define an inner product $(,)_{H}$ by

$$
(u, v)_{H}=\left((-\Delta)^{m} u, v\right)_{L^{2}(\Omega)}
$$

and a norm $\|\cdot\|$ in $H$ by

$$
\|u\|^{2}=(u, u)_{H}=\left((-\Delta)^{m} u, u\right)_{L^{2}(\Omega)} .
$$

Then $H$ is a complete normed inner product space with a norm $\|\cdot\|$. Since $\lambda_{k}^{m} \rightarrow+\infty$ and $c$ is fixed, we have the following simple properties.

Proposition 2.1. (i) $(-\Delta)^{m} u \in H$ implies $u \in H$.
(ii) $\|u\| \geq C\|u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}$ for some $C>0$.

Moreover $\|u\| \geq C_{r}\|u\|_{L}^{r}(\Omega)$ for $r \geq 2, C_{r}>0$.
(iii) $\|u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}=0$ if and only if $\|u\|=0$.

Proof. (i) Let $(-\Delta)^{m} u=\sum \lambda_{k}^{m} h_{k} \phi_{k} \in H$. Then

$$
\sum \lambda_{k}^{m} \lambda_{k}^{2 m} h_{k}^{2}<\infty
$$

Since

$$
\infty>\sum \lambda_{k}^{m} \lambda_{k}^{2 m} h_{k}^{2} \geq C \sum \lambda_{k}^{m} h_{k}^{2}
$$

for some $C>0$, it follows that

$$
\sum \lambda_{k}^{m} h_{k}^{2}<\infty
$$

Thus $u \in H$.
To prove (ii) we compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|u\| & =(u, u)_{H} \\
& =\left((-\Delta)^{m} u, u\right)_{L^{2}(\Omega)}=\sum \int_{\Omega}\left[\lambda_{k}^{m} h_{k}^{2} \phi_{k}^{2}\right] d x \\
& \geq C \sum \int_{\Omega} h_{k}^{2} \phi_{k}^{2} d x=C\|u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $C>0$. Next, we will prove the second statement. Let

$$
\|u\|_{L^{r}(\Omega)}=\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}, \quad r \geq 1, \quad u=\sum h_{k} \phi_{k} .
$$

By a theorem of Riesz [5, p.525] we have

$$
\|u\|_{L^{r}(\Omega)} \leq C^{\prime}\left(\sum_{k}\left|h_{k}\right|^{r^{\prime}}\right)^{\frac{1}{r^{\prime}}}, \quad r \geq 2, \quad \frac{1}{r}+\frac{1}{r^{\prime}}=1
$$

Since for every $\varepsilon>0$

$$
\sum_{k} \frac{1}{\left|\lambda_{k}^{m}\right|^{1+\varepsilon}}<\infty
$$

it follows that for every $r \in[2,+\infty)$ there is $C^{\prime \prime}>0$ such that

$$
\|u\|_{L^{r}(\Omega)} \geq C^{\prime \prime}\|u\| .
$$

This proves the second statement of (ii).
To prove (iii) we have:

$$
\|u\|=0 \Longleftrightarrow \int_{\Omega}\left[\sum \lambda_{k}^{m} h_{k}^{2} \phi_{k}^{2}\right] d x=0 \Longleftrightarrow h_{k}=0 \Longleftrightarrow\|u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} .
$$

We define the functional $f$ in $H$

$$
f(u)=\int_{\Omega}\left[\frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^{2 m}+\frac{1}{2} \lambda^{m}|u|^{2}+\mathcal{P}(x, u)\right] d x
$$

where $\mathcal{P}(x, s)=\int_{0}^{s} p(x, \sigma) d \sigma$. Then the critical points of $f$ coincide with the solutions of equation (1.1). Now we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.2. The functional $f(u)$ is continuous and Fréchet differentiable in $H$ with Fréchet derivative $f^{\prime}$

$$
\left(f^{\prime}(u), v\right)_{H}=\int_{\Omega}\left[(-\Delta)^{m} u+\lambda_{1}^{m} u+p(x, u)\right] v d x
$$

for all $v \in H$.
Proof. Let $u \in H$. To prove the continuity of $f(u)$, we consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(u+v)-f(u)= & \int_{\Omega}\left[u \cdot\left((-\Delta)^{m} v\right)+\frac{1}{2} v \cdot\left((-\Delta)^{m} v\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\lambda_{1}^{m} u v+\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{1}^{m} v^{2}+\mathcal{P}(x, u+v)-\mathcal{P}(x, u)\right] d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $u=\sum h_{k} \phi_{k}, v=\sum \tilde{h_{k}} \phi_{k}$. By Hölder inequality we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\int_{\Omega} u \cdot\left((-\Delta)^{m} v\right) d x\right|=\left|\int_{\Omega} \sum \lambda_{k}^{m} h_{k} \tilde{h}_{k} \phi_{k}^{2} d x\right| \leq\|u\| \cdot\|v\|, \\
\left|\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} v \cdot\left((-\Delta)^{m} v\right)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}\|v\|^{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

By the Mean Value Theorem we get

$$
\mathcal{P}(x, \xi+\eta)-\mathcal{P}(x, \xi)=p(x, \xi+\theta \eta) \eta,
$$

where $\theta \in[0,1]$. Therefore by (q2) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} & |\mathcal{P}(x, u+v)-\mathcal{P}(x, u)| d x=\int_{\Omega}|p(x, u+\theta v)| \cdot|v| d x \\
& \leq C \int_{\Omega}(1+|u|+|v|)|v| d x \\
& \leq C \int_{\Omega}(1+|u|+|v|)|v| d x \\
& \leq C\|v\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+C\|u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}\|v\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+C\|v\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \\
& \leq C_{1}\|v\|+C_{2}\|u\| \cdot\|v\|+C_{3}\|v\|^{2} \\
& =\left(C_{1}+C_{2}\|u\|+C_{3}\|v\|\right)\|v\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

for some constants $C, C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}$. With the above results, we see that $f(u)$ is continuous at $u$. To prove that $f(u)$ is Fréchet differentiable at $u \in H$, it is enough to compute the following :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|f(u+v)-f(u)-\int_{\Omega}\left[(-\Delta)^{m} u+\lambda_{1}^{m} u+p(x, u)\right] v d x\right| \\
& =\left|\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left[v \cdot\left((-\Delta)^{m} v\right)+\lambda_{1}^{m} v^{2}\right] d x \\
\quad+\int_{\Omega}[\mathcal{P}(x, u+v)-\mathcal{P}(x, u)-p(x, u) v] d x
\end{array}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|v \cdot\left((-\Delta)^{m} v\right)+\lambda_{1}^{m} v^{2}\right| d x \\
& +\int_{\Omega}|\mathcal{P}(x, u+v)-\mathcal{P}(x, u)-p(x, u) v| d x \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|v \cdot\left((-\Delta)^{m} v\right)\right|+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\lambda_{1}^{m} v\right|^{2} d x \\
& +\int_{\Omega}|\mathcal{P}(x, u+v)-\mathcal{P}(x, u)-p(x, u) v| d x \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\|v\|^{2}+C_{4}\|v\|^{2}+\int_{\Omega}|\mathcal{P}(x, u+v)-\mathcal{P}(x, u)-p(x, u) v| d x
\end{aligned}
$$

for $C_{4}>0$. On the other hand, by the Mean Value Theorem, we have

$$
\int_{\Omega}|\mathcal{P}(x, u+v)-\mathcal{P}(x, u)-p(x, u) v| d x=\int_{\Omega}|p(x, u+\theta v) v--p(x, u) v| d x .
$$

Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Omega_{1} \equiv\{x \in \bar{\Omega}:|u(x)| \geq \beta\} \\
& \Omega_{2} \equiv\{x \in \bar{\Omega}:|v(x)| \geq \gamma\} \\
& \Omega_{3}=\{x \in \bar{\Omega}:|u(x)|<\beta \text { and }|v(x)|<\gamma\}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\beta$ and $\gamma$ free for the moment. By the Mean Value Theorem, (q2), and the Hölder inequality we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega_{1}}|\mathcal{P}(x, u+v)-\mathcal{P}(x, u)| d x=\int_{\Omega_{1}} p(x, u+\theta v) v d x \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega_{1}} C(1+|u+\theta v|)|v| d x \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega_{1}} C(1+|u|+|v|)|v| d x \\
& \leq C \int_{\Omega_{1}}|v| d x+C \int_{\Omega_{1}}|u||v| d x+C \int_{\Omega_{1}}|v|^{2} d x \\
& \leq C\left|\Omega_{1}\right|^{\frac{n+2}{2 n}}\|v\|_{L^{\frac{2 n}{n-2}}}(\Omega)+C\left|\Omega_{1}\right|^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}\left(\|u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+\|v\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}\right)\|v\|_{L^{\frac{2 n}{n-2}}(\Omega)},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\frac{1}{\sigma}+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{n-2}{2 n}=1$. Observe that $\frac{1}{2}+\frac{n-2}{2 n}<1$ and hence there exists a $\sigma \geq 1$ satisfying $\frac{1}{\sigma}+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{n-2}{2 n}=1$. Combining Propostion 2.1 (ii) and the above inequality, we have

$$
\int_{\Omega_{1}}|\mathcal{P}(x, u+v)-\mathcal{P}(x, u)| d x \leq C_{2}\|v\|\left[|\Omega|^{\frac{n+2}{2 n}}+\left|\Omega_{1}\right|^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}(\|u\|+\|v\|)\right] .
$$

Similarly

$$
\int_{\Omega_{1}}|p(x, u) v| d x \leq C_{3}\|v\|\left[\left|\Omega_{1}\right|^{\frac{n+2}{2 n}}+\left|\Omega_{1}\right|^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}\|u\|\right] .
$$

By Proposition 2.1 (ii) and the Hölder inequality,

$$
\|u\| \geq C_{4}\|u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \geq C_{4}\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)} \geq C_{4} \beta\left|\Omega_{1}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\Omega_{1}\right|^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} \leq\left(\frac{\|u\|}{C_{4} \beta}\right)^{\frac{2}{\sigma}} \equiv M_{1} \\
& \left|\Omega_{1}\right|^{\frac{n+2}{2 n}} \leq\left(\frac{\|u\|}{C_{4} \beta}\right)^{\frac{n+2}{n}} \equiv M_{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $M_{1}, M_{2} \rightarrow 0$ as $\beta \rightarrow \infty$. Thus we have
$\int_{\Omega_{1}}|\mathcal{P}(x, u+v)-\mathcal{P}(x, v)-p(x, u) v| d x \leq C_{5}\|v\|\left[M_{2}+M_{1}(\|u\|+\|v\|)\right]$.
We may assume $\|v\| \leq 1$. Further choose $\beta$ so large that $C_{5}\left[M_{2}+\right.$ $\left.M_{1}(\|u\|+1)\right] \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$. Hence

$$
\int_{\Omega_{1}}|\mathcal{P}(x, u+v)-\mathcal{P}(x, v)-p(x, u) v| d x \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3}\|v\| .
$$

Similar estimate show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega_{2}}|\mathcal{P}(x, u+v)-\mathcal{P}(x, v)-p(x, u) v| d x \\
& \leq C_{6} \int_{\Omega_{2}}(1+|u|+|v|)|v| d x \\
& \leq C_{7}\left[\int_{\Omega_{2}}(1+|u|+|v|)^{2} d x\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\|v\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \\
& \leq C_{8}(1+\|u\|+\|v\|)\left(\int_{\Omega_{2}}|v|^{2}\left(\frac{|v|}{\gamma}\right)^{\frac{2 n}{n-2}-2} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore we have
$\int_{\Omega_{2}}|\mathcal{P}(x, u+v)-\mathcal{P}(x, v)-p(x, u) v| d x \leq C_{9} \gamma^{\frac{2-\frac{2 n}{n-2}}{2}}(1+\|u\|+\|v\|)\|v\|^{\frac{n}{n-2}}$.
Next since $P \in C^{1}(\bar{\Omega} \times R, R)$, given any $\hat{\epsilon}, \hat{\beta}>0$ there exists a $\hat{\gamma}=\hat{\gamma}(\hat{\varepsilon}, \hat{\beta})$ such that

$$
|\mathcal{P}(x, \xi+h)-\mathcal{P}(x, \xi)-p(x, \xi) h| \leq \hat{\epsilon}|h|
$$

whenever $x \in \bar{\Omega},|\xi| \leq \hat{\beta}$, and $|h| \leq \hat{\gamma}$. In particular if $\hat{\beta}=\beta$ and $\gamma \leq \hat{\gamma}$,

$$
\int_{\Omega_{3}}|\mathcal{P}(x, u+v)-\mathcal{P}(x, v)-p(x, u) v| d x \leq \hat{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega_{3}}|v| d x \leq C_{10} \hat{\varepsilon}\|v\| .
$$

Choose $\hat{\varepsilon}$ so that $3 C_{10} \hat{\epsilon} \leq \epsilon$. This determines $\hat{\gamma}$. Choose $\gamma=\hat{\gamma}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega}|\mathcal{P}(x, u+v)-\mathcal{P}(x, v)-p(x, u) v| d x \\
& \leq \frac{2 \varepsilon}{3}\|v\|+C_{9} \gamma^{\frac{2-\frac{2 n}{n-2}}{2-2}}(1+\|u\|+\|v\|)\|v\|^{\frac{n}{n-2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally choose $\delta$ so small that

$$
C_{9} \gamma^{1-\frac{n}{n-2}}(2+\|u\|) \delta^{\frac{n}{n-2}-1} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3}
$$

Thus we prove that $f(u)$ is Fréchet differentiable in $H$.
Proposition 2.3. Let

$$
g(u)=\int_{\Omega}\left[\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{1}^{m} u^{2}(x)+\mathcal{P}(x, u(x))\right] d x .
$$

Then $g^{\prime}$ is continuous with respect to weak convergence and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(g^{\prime}(u), v\right)_{H}=\int_{\Omega}\left[\lambda_{1}^{m} u v+p(x, u) v\right] d x \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $v \in H$. This implies that $g$ itself is weakly continuous.
To prove Proposition 2.3, we need the following lemma.
Lemma 2.1. If $p$ satisfies (q1)-(q2), the map $u(x) \rightarrow p(x, u(x))$ belongs $C\left(L^{2}(\Omega), L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$.

Proof. If $u \in L^{2}(\Omega)$, then we have

$$
\int_{\Omega}|p(x, u(x))|^{2} d x \leq C \int_{\Omega}(1+|u|)^{2} d x \leq C \int_{\Omega}\left(1+|u|^{2}\right) d x
$$

which shows that $p: L^{2}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{2}(\Omega)$. To prove the continuity of this map, observe that it is continuous at $v$ if and only if $f(x, z)=p(x, z(x)+$ $v(x))-p(x, v(x))$ is continuous at $z=0$. We show that $f(x, u)$ is continuous at $u=0$. Let $\varepsilon>0$ be given. We claim there is a $\delta>0$ such that $\|u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq \delta$ implies $\|f(\cdot, u)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq \varepsilon$. By (q1) and $f(x, 0)=0$, given any $\hat{\varepsilon}>0$, there is a $\hat{\delta}>0$ such that $|f(x, \xi)| \leq \hat{\varepsilon}$ if $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ and $|\xi| \leq \hat{\delta}$. Let $u \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ with $\|u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq \delta, \delta$ being free from now, and set

$$
\Omega_{1} \equiv\{x \in \bar{\Omega}:|u(x)| \leq \hat{\delta}\}
$$

Therefore

$$
\int_{\Omega_{1}}|f(x, u(x))|^{2} d x \leq \hat{\varepsilon}^{2}\left|\Omega_{1}\right| \leq \hat{\varepsilon}^{2}|\Omega|,
$$

where $\left|\Omega_{1}\right|$ denotes the measure of $\Omega_{1}$. Choose $\hat{\varepsilon}^{2}$ so that $\hat{\varepsilon}^{2}|\Omega|<\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)^{2}$. This determines $\hat{\varepsilon}$. Let $\Omega_{2}=\bar{\Omega} \backslash \Omega_{1}$. Then

$$
\int_{\Omega_{2}} \mid f\left(x,\left.u(x)\right|^{2} d x \leq C_{1}\left(\left|\Omega_{2}\right|+\delta^{2}\right) .\right.
$$

Moreover

$$
\delta^{2} \geq \int_{\Omega_{2}}|u|^{2} d x \geq \hat{\delta}^{2}\left|\Omega_{2}\right|
$$

or $\left|\Omega_{2}\right| \leq\left(\delta \hat{\delta}^{-1}\right)^{2}$. Thus we have

$$
\int_{\Omega_{2}} \mid f\left(x,\left.u(x)\right|^{2} d x \leq C_{1}\left(1+\hat{\delta}^{-2}\right) \delta^{2} .\right.
$$

Choose $\delta$ so that $C_{1}\left(1+\hat{\delta}^{-2}\right) \delta^{2} \leq\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)^{2}$. Thus

$$
\int_{\Omega} \left\lvert\, f\left(x,\left.u(x)\right|^{2} d x=\int_{\Omega_{1}} \left\lvert\, f\left(x,\left.u(x)\right|^{2} d x+\int_{\Omega_{2}} \left\lvert\, f\left(x,\left.u(x)\right|^{2} d x \leq\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)^{2},\right.\right.\right.\right.\right.\right.
$$

which implies

$$
\|f(\cdot, u)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq \varepsilon \quad \text { if } \quad\|u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq \delta
$$

and the proof is complete.

## Proof of Proposition 2.3

Let $u_{m} \rightarrow u$ in $H$. Then $u_{m} \rightarrow u$ in $L^{2}(\Omega)$. By Hölder inequality we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|g^{\prime}\left(u_{m}\right)-g^{\prime}(u)\right\| \\
& =\sup _{\|v\| \leq 1}\left|\int_{\Omega}\left[\lambda_{1}^{m}\left(u_{m}-u\right)+\left(p\left(x, u_{m}(x)\right)-p(x, u(x))\right)\right] v(x) d x\right| \\
& \leq \sup _{\|v\| \leq 1}\left[\int_{\Omega}\left|\lambda_{1}^{m}\right|\left|u_{m}-u\left\|v(x)\left|d x+\int_{\Omega}\right| p\left(x, u_{m}(x)\right)-p(x, u(x))\right\| v(x)\right| d x\right] \\
& \leq \lambda_{1}^{m}\left\|u_{m}-u\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+\left\|p\left(x, u_{m}\right)-p(x, u)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemmma 2.1, the right-hand side of the above inequality tends to 0 as $m \rightarrow \infty$ and hence $g^{\prime}$ is continuous. Finally to prove that $g$ is weakly continuous, let $u_{m}$ converge weakly to $u$ in $H$. Then by Proposition 2.1 (ii), $u_{m}$ converges to $u$ in $L^{2}(\Omega)$. Consequently Lemma 2.1 implies $g\left(u_{m}\right) \rightarrow g(u)$.

For every $t \geq 0$, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{t}=\left\{u \in H:\|u\|^{2}=2 t\right\} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.1. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma(t)=\sup _{u \in S_{t}} g(u) . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\gamma(t)$ is a continuous, nondecreasing function in $[0, \infty)$. For every $t>0, \gamma(t)$ has left and right hand derivatives $\gamma_{ \pm}^{\prime}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\gamma_{-}^{\prime}(t) \leq \gamma_{+}^{\prime}(t), \quad t>0 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\gamma_{+}^{\prime}(t) \neq 0$, then there is $u \in \sum_{t}=\left\{u \in S_{t}: g(u)=\gamma(t)\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\prime}(u)=\gamma_{+}^{\prime}(t) u \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\gamma_{-}^{\prime}(t) \neq 0$, then there is a $u \in \sum_{t}=\left\{u \in S_{t}: g(u)=\gamma(t)\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\prime}(u)=\gamma_{-}^{\prime}(t) u . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof is found in $[7,8]$.

## 3. Proof of theorem 1.1

From the confinuity of $\gamma$ and (2.6) we have
Lemma 3.1. If $0<a<c<b$ and $\gamma(a) \leq a, \gamma(b) \leq b, \gamma(c) \geq c$, then there exists a point $d \in[a, b]$ such that $\gamma^{\prime}(d)$ exists and equals to 1 .

Corollary 1. If there are sequences $\theta_{j}, \tau_{k}$ such that $\theta_{j} \rightarrow \infty, \tau_{k} \rightarrow$ $\infty, \gamma\left(\theta_{j}\right) \leq \theta_{j}$ and $\gamma\left(\tau_{k}\right) \geq \tau_{k}$, then there are infinitely many solutions of

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=g^{\prime}(u) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Proof of Theorem 1.1

In order to apply Corollary 3.1, we note that by Proposition $2.2,\left(g^{\prime}(u), v\right)_{H}$ is weakly continuous. We shall show that under hypothesis $(q 2)-(q 3)$, there are sequences $\left\{\sigma_{j}\right\},\left\{\tau_{k}\right\}$ satisfying the hypotheses of Corollary 3.1. This will produce an infinite number of solutions of

$$
\begin{equation*}
(u, v)_{H}=\left(g^{\prime}(u), v\right)_{H}, \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, given smooth $\Omega$, translates into the solutions of (1.1). Suppose that the first inequality of (1.5) holds. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{t}=\sqrt{2 t} \phi_{1} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then
$p h i_{t}\left\|^{2}=2 t=\lambda_{1}^{m}\right\| \phi_{t} \|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma(t) \geq g\left(\phi_{t}\right)=t+\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{P}\left(x, \phi_{t}(x)\right) d x . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus by the first inequality in (1.5),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma\left(\tau_{k}\right) \geq \tau_{k}, \quad k \geq 1 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the second inequality in (1.5) holds, the above argument can be repeated with

$$
\phi_{t}=-\sqrt{2 t} \phi_{1} .
$$

Let $\epsilon>0$ be given. For arbitary $t>0$ there is a $u_{t} \in S_{t}$ such that $\gamma\left(u_{t}\right) \leq g\left(u_{t}\right)+\epsilon$. We can represent this function as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=\sqrt{2 t}\left( \pm \cos \theta_{t} \cdot \phi_{1}+\sin \theta_{t} \cdot w_{t}\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\theta_{t}$ and $w_{t}$ with $w_{t} \perp \phi_{1},\left\|w_{t}\right\|=1$. Representation (3.6) holds with $\cos \theta_{t} \geq 0$ and an appropriate choice of the sign $\pm$. Thus we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma(t) \leq t\left(\cos ^{2} \theta_{t}+\frac{\lambda_{1}^{m}}{\lambda} \sin ^{2} \theta_{t}\right)+\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{P}\left(x, u_{t}(x)\right) d x+\epsilon \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\lambda>\lambda_{1}^{m}$. Let

$$
\phi_{t}= \pm \sqrt{2 t} \phi_{1}
$$

with the same choice of sign as in (3.6). From (3.7), by (3.4) and with $v_{t}$ being some convex combination of $u_{t}$ and $\phi_{t}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t\left(1-\frac{\lambda_{1}^{m}}{\lambda}\right) \sin ^{2} \theta_{t}-\epsilon \leq \int_{\Omega}\left[\mathcal{P}\left(x, u_{t}\right)-\mathcal{P}\left(x, \phi_{t}\right)\right] d x \\
& =\int_{\Omega} p\left(x, v_{t}\right)\left(u_{t}-\phi_{t}\right) d x \leq C \sqrt{t} \int_{\Omega}\left[\left(1-\cos \theta_{t}\right)\left|\phi_{1}\right|+\left|\sin \theta_{t}\right|\left|w_{t}\right|\right] d x \\
& \leq C \sqrt{t}\left|\sin \theta_{t}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin ^{2} \theta_{t} \leq \frac{C}{t} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, we have $\theta_{t} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. We see from (3.7), (3.8) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left[\mathcal{P}\left(x, u_{t}\right)-\mathcal{P}\left(x, \phi_{t}\right)\right] \leq C . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, by (3.7),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma(t)-t & \leq \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{P}\left(x, u_{t}\right) d x-t\left(1-\frac{\lambda_{1}^{m}}{\lambda}\right) \sin ^{2} \theta_{t}+\varepsilon \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega}\left[\mathcal{P}\left(x, u_{t}\right)-\mathcal{P}\left(x, \phi_{t}\right)\right] d x+\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{P}\left(x, \phi_{t}\right) d x+\varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, by (3.9) and since $\varepsilon$ is arbitrary,

$$
\gamma(t)-t \leq C+\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{P}\left(x, \phi_{t}(x)\right) d x .
$$

We see that (1.4), even though the sign of $\phi_{t}$ is not determined, implies $\gamma\left(\sigma_{k}\right)-\sigma_{k} \rightarrow-\infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. The theorem follows from Corollary 3.1.

## References

[1] H.Amann Saddle points and multiple solutions of defferential equation, Math. Z. (1979), 127-166.
[2] A.Ambrosetti and P.H. RabinowitzP.H. Rabinowitz, Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications, J. Funct. Analysis 14 (1973), 349-381.
[3] V. Bence and P.H. RabinowitzP.H. Rabinowitz, Critical point theorems for indefinite functionals, Invent. Math. 52 (1979), 336-352.
[4] A. Castro and A. C. LazerA. C. Lazer, Critical point theory and the number of solutions of a nonlinear Dirichlet problem , Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., (4) 120, 113-137 (1979).
[5] A. Castro and J. CossioJ. Cossio, Multiple solutions for a nonlinear Dirichlet problem, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 25, No. 6, 1554-1561 (1994).
[6] K. C. Chang, Infinite dimensional Morse theory and multiple solution problems, Birkhäuser, (1993).
[7] Q.H. Choi and T. JungT. Jung, Multiplicity results for a semilinear biharmonic equation, Nonlinear Analysis 30 (1997), 5083-5092.
[8] N. Dunford and J.T. SchwartzJ.T. Schwartz, Linear operators Vol. 1, New York, Interscience (1964).
[9] P.H. Rabinowitz, Minimax methods in critical points with applications to differential equations, CBMS. Regional Conf. Ser. Math. Vol 65, Providence, Rhode Island (1986).
[10] M. Schechter and K. TintarevK. Tintarev, Spherical maxima in Hilbert space and semilinear elliptic eigenvalue problems, Differential and Integral Equations 3 (1990), 889-899.
[11] M. Schechter and K. TintarevK. Tintarev, Eigenvalues for semilinear boundary value problems, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. 113 (1991), 197-208.
[12] J.T. Schwartz, Nonlinear functional analysis, Gordon and Breach, New York, (1969).
[13] K. Tintarev, Level set maxima and quasiliner elliptic problems, Pacific J. Math. 153 (1992), 185-200.
[14] K. Tintarev, Mountain impasse therorem and spectrum of semilinear elliptic problems, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 336 (1993), 621-629.

Department of Mathematics
Kunsan National University
Kunsan 573-701 Korea
E-mail: tsjung@kunsan.ac.kr
Department of Mathematics Education
Inha University
Incheon 402-751 Korea
E-mail: qheung@inha.ac.kr

