Korean J. Math. 16 (2008), No. 1, pp. 51–55

## REPULSIVE FIXED-POINTS OF THE LAGUERRE-LIKE ITERATION FUNCTIONS

YOONMEE HAM AND SANG-GU LEE\*

ABSTRACT. Let f be an analytic function with a simple zero in the reals or the complex numbers. An extraneous fixed-point of an iteration function is a fixed-point different from a zero of f. We prove that all extraneous fixed-points of Laguerre-like iteration functions and general Laguerre-like functions are repulsive.

## 1. Introduction

Suppose that f(z) is analytic with a simple zero at  $\alpha$  in either the reals or the complex numbers. Let  $L_0(z) = 1$  and

(1.1) 
$$L_m(z) = \det \begin{pmatrix} f'(z) & f(z) & 0 & \cdots & 0\\ f''(z) & f'(z) & f(z) & \dots & 0\\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \\ \frac{f^{(m-1)}(z)}{(m-2)!} & \frac{f^{(m-2)}(z)}{(m-2)!} & \frac{f^{(m-3)}(z)}{(m-3)!} & \dots & f(z)\\ \frac{f^{(m)}(z)}{(m-1)!} & \frac{f^{(m-1)}(z)}{(m-1)!} & \frac{f^{(m-2)}(z)}{(m-2)!} & \dots & f'(z) \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $det(\cdot)$  denotes the determinant.  $L_m(z)$  is the determinant of a Toeplitz-like matrix (see [1, 6]). For each  $m \ge 2$ , recursively define

(1.2) 
$$K_m(z) = z - f(z) \frac{L_{m-1}(z)}{L_m(z)}$$

Received January 14, 2008.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 65H05, 65E05, 65H05, 65Y20, 30D05.

Key words and phrases: iteration function; Laguerre's iteration function; fixed-point; repulsive.

This is Supported by the SRC/ERC program of MOST/KOSEF R11-1999-054 & BK21.

\*Corresponding author.

and the general form of the iteration (1.2) by

(1.3) 
$$U_m(v,z) = z - f(z) \frac{(v-z)L_{m-1}(z) + f(z)L_{m-2}(z)}{(v-z)L_m(z) + f(z)L_{m-1}(z)}$$

for a complex constant v in [6]. The Laguerre case (see [2, 7]) can be obtained from (1.3) by taking a polynomial f with m = 2. We call  $K_m(z)$  a Laguerre-like iteration function.

We first give some relevant properties of the Laguerre-like iteration functions before proving the main theorem.

In [1], the recursion formula for  $L_m$  is obtained by

(1.4) 
$$L_m(z) = f'(z) L_{m-1}(z) - \frac{1}{m-1} f(z) L'_{m-1}(z), \ m \ge 2.$$

THEOREM 1.1. [1] Let f(z) be an analytic function with a simple zero at  $\alpha$ . Suppose  $L_m$  satisfies the identity (1.4) for each  $m \geq 2$  and define  $K_m(z)$  as in (1.2). Then the fixed-point iteration  $z_{n+1} = K_m(z_n)$ , n =1,2,... has mth-order of convergence.

From (1.3),  $\lim_{v\to z} U_m(v,z) = z - f(z) \frac{L_{m-2}(z)}{L_{m-1}(z)}$  and  $\lim_{v\to\infty} U_m(v,z) = z - f(z) \frac{L_{m-1}(z)}{L_m(z)}$  which have the order of convergence m-1 and m, respectively.

THEOREM 1.2. [1] Let f(z) be an analytic function with a simple zero at  $\alpha$ . Suppose v is a complex constant with  $v \neq \alpha$ . For each  $m \geq 2$ , define  $U_m(v, z)$  as in (1.3). Then the iterations

$$z_{n+1} = U_m(v, z_n), \ n = 1, 2, \dots$$

converge to  $\alpha$  and the order of convergence is m.

If  $\alpha$  is a zero of f, then it is necessarily to be a fixed-point of  $K_m$ , i.e.  $f(\alpha) = 0$  implies  $K_m(\alpha) = \alpha$ . The converse however may not be true.

DEFINITION 1.3. If  $K_m(\alpha) = \alpha$  but  $f(\alpha) \neq 0$ , then  $\alpha$  is said to be an extraneous fixed-point of  $K_m$ . An extraneous fixed-point is said to be repulsive if it satisfies the following property:

(1.5) 
$$|K'_m(\alpha)| > 1.$$

The definition of extraneous fixed-points and repulsive fixed-point applies to more general iteration functions for root-finding (see [8]) and the extraneous fixed-points of the basic family are repulsive in [5]. The basic family is a family of iteration functions consisting of the determinant of

52

a Toeplitz matrix for the normalized derivative for an analytic function with a simple zero ([3, 4]).

In this paper, we shall examine the property of repulsive for the Laguerre-like iteration functions (1.2) and (1.3).

## 2. Repulsive fixed points

THEOREM 2.1. For any  $m \ge 2$ , the extraneous fixed-points of  $K_m(z)$  are repulsive. More specifically, if  $\alpha$  is an extraneous fixed-point of  $K_m$ , then  $|K'_m(\alpha)| > 1$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $K_m(\alpha) = \alpha$ , but  $f(\alpha) \neq 0$ . From the equation (1.2), we have  $L_{m-1}(\alpha) = 0$  and thus (1.4) implies that

(2.1) 
$$L_m(\alpha) = -\frac{1}{m-1}f(\alpha)L'_{m-1}(\alpha).$$

Direct differentiation of  $K_m(z)$  yields (2.2)

$$K'_{m}(z) = 1 - f'(z) \frac{L_{m-1}(z)}{L_{m}(z)} - f(z) \frac{L'_{m-1}(z) L_{m}(z) - L_{m-1}(z) L'_{m}(z)}{L_{m}(z)^{2}}$$

Substituting  $L_{m-1}(\alpha) = 0$  and  $L_m(\alpha)$  from (2.1) into (2.2), and simplifying then we obtain

(2.3) 
$$K'_m(\alpha) = 1 + (m-1) = m.$$

The above proof assumes that  $L_m(\alpha)$  is non-zero which implies that  $\alpha$  is a simple root of  $L_{m-1}$ . Hence,  $\alpha$  is repulsive.

THEOREM 2.2. Suppose v is a complex constant with  $v \neq \alpha$ . Then for each  $m \geq 2$  the extraneous fixed-points of  $U_m(v, z)$  are repulsive. More specifically, if  $\alpha$  is an extraneous fixed-point of  $U_m$ , then  $|U'_m(\alpha)| > 1$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\alpha$  is an extraneous fixed-point of  $U_m(v, z)$ , i.e.,  $U_m(\alpha) = \alpha$ , but  $f(\alpha) \neq 0$ . Equation (1.3) is

(2.4) 
$$U_m(v,z) = z - f(z) \frac{P_{m-1}(z)}{P_m(z)},$$

where  $P_m(z) = (v - z)L_m(z) + f(z)L_{m-1}(z)$  for  $m \ge 2$ . Since  $\alpha$  is an extraneous fixed-point of  $U_m(v, z)$ , we have the following two cases in order to satisfy  $P_{m-1}(\alpha) = 0$ ;

YoonMee Ham and Sang-Gu Lee

(i) 
$$L_{m-1}(\alpha) = 0$$
 and  $L_{m-2}(\alpha) = 0$  but  $L_m(\alpha) \neq 0, m \geq 2$ 

(*ii*)  $v = K_{m-1}(\alpha)$  but  $v \neq K_m(\alpha), m \ge 2$ 

where  $K_m(\alpha)$  is defined as in (1.2). We note that  $L_{m-1}(\alpha) \neq 0$  and  $L_{m-2}(\alpha) \neq 0$  since  $v \neq \alpha$  in the case of (*ii*).

Differentiating  $U_m(v, z)$  with respect to z, we obtain

$$U'_{m}(v,z) = 1 - f'(z) \frac{P_{m-1}(z)}{P_{m}(z)} - f(z) \frac{P'_{m-1}(z)P_{m}(z) - P_{m-1}(z)P'_{m}(z)}{P_{m}(z)^{2}}$$

and then evaluating at  $\alpha$ , then

(2.5) 
$$U'_m(v,\alpha) = 1 - f(\alpha) \frac{P'_{m-1}(\alpha)}{P_m(\alpha)}.$$

In the case of (i), we have

(2.6) 
$$\begin{array}{l} P_{m-1}(\alpha) = 0, \ P_m(\alpha) = (v-\alpha)L_m(\alpha), \\ P'_{m-1}(\alpha) = (v-\alpha)L'_{m-1}(\alpha) + f(\alpha)L'_{m-2}(\alpha) = (v-\alpha)L'_{m-1}(\alpha) \end{array}$$

since  $f(\alpha)L'_{m-2}(\alpha) = (m-2)(f'(\alpha)L_{m-2}(\alpha) - L_{m-1}(\alpha)) = 0$  by (1.4). Substituting (2.6) into (2.5), and applying (2.1)

$$U'_{m}(\alpha) = 1 - f(\alpha) \frac{L'_{m-1}(\alpha)}{L_{m}(\alpha)} = 1 + (m-1) = m.$$

The above proof assume that  $L_m(\alpha)$  is non-zero which implies that  $\alpha$  is a simple zero of  $L_{m-1}(z)$ . Hence in the case of (i), the simple zero at  $\alpha$ of  $L_{m-1}(z)$  is repulsive.

We now consider the case of (*ii*). Differentiating  $P_{m-1}(z)$  and then  $P'_{m-1}(\alpha) = (v - \alpha)L'_{m-1}(\alpha) - L_{m-1}(\alpha) + f'(\alpha)L_{m-2}(\alpha) + f(\alpha)L'_{m-2}(\alpha)$   $= -f(\alpha)L'_{m-1}(\alpha)\frac{L_{m-2}(\alpha)}{L_{m-1}(\alpha)} - L_{m-1}(\alpha) + f'(\alpha)L_{m-2}(\alpha) + f(\alpha)L'_{m-2}(\alpha)$   $= -(m-1)(f'(\alpha)L_{m-1}(\alpha) - L_m(\alpha))\frac{L_{m-2}(\alpha)}{L_{m-1}(\alpha)} - L_{m-1}(\alpha)$  $+f'(\alpha)L_{m-2}(\alpha) + (m-2)(f'(\alpha)L_{m-2}(\alpha) - L_{m-1}(\alpha))$ 

by the recursion formula (1.4). Therefore, we have (2.7)

$$P'_{m-1}(\alpha) = (m-1) \left( \frac{L_{m-2}(\alpha)}{L_{m-1}(\alpha)} L_m(\alpha) - L_{m-1}(\alpha) \right),$$
  

$$P_m(\alpha) = (v-\alpha) L_m(\alpha) + f(\alpha) L_{m-1}(\alpha) = -f(\alpha) \left( \frac{L_{m-2}(\alpha)}{L_{m-1}(\alpha)} L_m(\alpha) - L_{m-1}(\alpha) \right).$$

54

Since  $v \neq K_m(\alpha)$ , we have  $\frac{L_{m-2}(\alpha)}{L_{m-1}(\alpha)} \neq \frac{L_{m-1}(\alpha)}{L_m(\alpha)}$ . Plugging (2.7) into (2.5), then we obtain

$$U'_{m}(\alpha) = 1 - f(\alpha)\frac{P'_{m-1}(\alpha)}{P_{m}(\alpha)} = 1 + (m-1) = m.$$

From both cases of (i) and (ii), the extraneous fixed-point  $\alpha$  is always repulsive.

## References

- [1] Y.M. HAM, S-G. LEE AND J. RIDENHOUR, A generation of a determinantal family of iteration functions and its characterization, Preprint.
- [2] P. HENRICI, Applied and Computational Complex Analysis, Vol.I, Wiley, New York, 1974.
- [3] B. KALANTARI AND J. GERLACH, Newton's method and generation of a determinantal family of iteration functions, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 116, (2000), pp. 195–200.
- [4] B. KALANTARI, I. KALANTARI AND R. ZAARE-NAHANDI, A basic family of iteration functions for polynomial root finding and its characterizations, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 80, (1997), pp. 209–226.
- [5] B. KALANTARI AND Y. JIN, On extraneous fixed-points of the basic family of iteration functions, BIT 43, (2003), pp. 453–458.
- S. KULIK, On the Laguerre method for separating the roots of algebraic equations, Proceedings of AMS, 8, (1957), pp. 841–843.
- [7] E. N. LAGUERRE, *Oeuvres de Laguerre*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1, (1880), pp. 87–103.
- [8] E. R. VRSCAY AND W. J. GILBERT, Extraneous fixed points, basin boundaries and chaotic dynamics for Schröder and Königit eration functions, Numer. Math., 52, (1988), pp. 1–16.

Department of Mathematics Kyonggi University Suwon 443-760, Republic of Korea *E-mail*: ymham@kyonggi.ac.kr

Department of Mathematics Sungkyunkwan University Suwon 440-746, Republic of Korea *E-mail*: sglee@skku.edu