

C-DUNFORD INTEGRAL AND C-PETTIS INTEGRAL

DAFANG ZHAO* AND XUOXIAO YOU**

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we give the Riemann-type extensions of Dunford integral and Pettis integral, C-Dunford integral and C-Pettis integral. We prove that a function f is C-Dunford integrable if and only if x^*f is C-integrable for each $x^* \in X^*$ and prove the controlled convergence theorem for the C-Pettis integral.

1. Introduction

In 1996 B. Bongiorno provided a new solution to the problem of recovering a function from its derivative by integration by introducing a constructive minimal integration process of Riemann type, called C-integral, which includes the Lebesgue integral and also integrates the derivatives of differentiable function. B.Bongiorno and L.Di Piazza [1, 2, 4] discussed some properties of the C-integral of real-valued functions. In [9, 10, 11], we studied the Banach-valued C-integral.

The Dunford integral and the Pettis integral are generalizations of Lebesgue integral to the Banach-valued functions. In this paper, we give the Riemann-type extensions of Dunford integral and Pettis integral, C-Dunford integral and C-Pettis integral. We prove that a function f is C-Dunford integrable if and only if x^*f is C-integrable for each $x^* \in X^*$, we also discuss the relationship between the C-Pettis integral and Pettis integral, if a function f is C-integrable on $[a, b]$ then f is C-Pettis integrable on $[a, b]$, but an example shows that the converse is not true. Finally, we prove the controlled convergence theorem for the C-Pettis integral.

Received November 13, 2007.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 28B05, 26A39; Secondary 46G10.

Key words and phrases: C-integral, C-Dunford integral, C-Pettis integral.

*Supported by Science Foundation of Hubei Normal University 2007D41.

2. Definitions and basic properties

Throughout this paper, $[a, b]$ is a compact interval in R . X will denote a real Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|$ and its dual X^* . A partition D is a finite collection of interval-point pairs $\{([u_i, v_i], \xi_i)\}_{i=1}^n$, where $\{[u_i, v_i]\}_{i=1}^n$ are non-overlapping subintervals of $[a, b]$. $\delta(\xi)$ is a positive function on $[a, b]$, i.e. $\delta(\xi) : [a, b] \rightarrow R^+$. We say that $D = \{([u_i, v_i], \xi_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ is

- (1) a partial partition of $[a, b]$ if $\bigcup_{i=1}^n [u_i, v_i] \subset [a, b]$,
- (2) a partition of $[a, b]$ if $\bigcup_{i=1}^n [u_i, v_i] = [a, b]$,
- (3) δ - fine *McShane partition* of $[a, b]$ if $[u_i, v_i] \subset B(\xi_i, \delta(\xi_i)) = (\xi_i - \delta(\xi_i), \xi_i + \delta(\xi_i))$ and $\xi_i \in [a, b]$ for all $i=1, 2, \dots, n$,
- (4) δ - fine *C-partition* of $[a, b]$ if it is a δ - fine *McShane partition* of $[a, b]$ and satisfying the condition

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \text{dist}(\xi_i, [u_i, v_i]) < \frac{1}{\varepsilon},$$

here $\text{dist}(\xi_i, [u_i, v_i]) = \inf\{|t_i - \xi_i| : t_i \in [u_i, v_i]\}$,

- (5) δ - fine *Henstock partition* of I_0 if $\xi_i \in I_i \subset B(\xi_i, \delta(\xi_i))$ for all $i=1, 2, \dots, n$.

Given a δ - fine *C-partition* $D = \{([u_i, v_i], \xi_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ we write

$$S(f, D) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(\xi_i)(v_i - u_i)$$

for integral sums over D , whenever $f : [a, b] \rightarrow X$.

DEFINITION 2.1. A function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow X$ is C-integrable (Henstock integrable) if there exists a vector $A \in X$ such that for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a positive function $\delta(\xi) : [a, b] \rightarrow R^+$ such that

$$\|S(f, D) - A\| < \varepsilon$$

for each δ - fine *C-partition* (*Henstock partition*) $D = \{([u_i, v_i], \xi_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ of $[a, b]$. A is called the *C-integral* (*Henstock integral*) of f on $[a, b]$, and we write $A = \int_a^b f$ or $A = (C) \int_a^b f$ ($A = (H) \int_a^b f$).

The function f is C-integrable on the set $E \subset [a, b]$ if the function $f\chi_E$ is C-integrable on $[a, b]$. We write $\int_E f = \int_a^b f\chi_E$.

The basic properties of the C-integral, for example, linearity and additivity with respect to intervals can be founded in [10]. We do not present them here. The reader is referred to [10] for the details.

DEFINITION 2.2. A function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow X$ is C-Dunford integrable (Henstock-Dunford integrable) on $[a, b]$ if x^*f is C-integrable (Henstock integrable) on $[a, b]$ for each $x^* \in X^*$ and if for every subinterval $[c, d] \subset [a, b]$ there exists an element $x_{[c,d]}^{**} \in X^{**}$ such that $\int_c^d x^*f = x_{[c,d]}^{**}(x^*)$ for each $x^* \in X^*$. We write

$$(CD) \int_c^d f = x_{[c,d]}^{**} \in X^{**}$$

$$((HD) \int_c^d f = x_{[c,d]}^{**} \in X^{**})$$

DEFINITION 2.3. A function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow X$ is C-Pettis integrable (Henstock-Pettis integrable) on $[a, b]$ if f is C-Dunford integrable (Henstock-Dunford integrable) on $[a, b]$ and $(CD) \int_c^d f \in X$ ($(HD) \int_c^d f \in X$) for every interval $[c, d] \subset [a, b]$. We write

$$(CP) \int_c^d f = (CD) \int_c^d f \in X$$

$$((HP) \int_c^d f = (HD) \int_c^d f \in X.)$$

The function f is C-Pettis integrable on the set $E \subset [a, b]$ if the function $f\chi_E$ is C-Pettis integrable on $[a, b]$. We write $(CP) \int_E f = (CP) \int_a^b f\chi_E$.

THEOREM 2.4. $f : [a, b] \rightarrow X$ is C-Dunford integrable on $[a, b]$ if and only if x^*f is C-integrable on $[a, b]$ for each $x^* \in X^*$.

Proof. If f is C-Dunford integrable on $[a, b]$ for each $x^* \in X^*$, then x^*f is C-integrable on $[a, b]$.

Now we prove the “only if” part.

From [10, Theorem 3.3], x^*f is C-integrable on $[a, b]$ for each $x^* \in X^*$, then x^*f is Henstock integrable on $[a, b]$ and $(H) \int_a^b x^*f = (C) \int_a^b x^*f$. Consequently, we have that f is Henstock-Dunford integrable on $[a, b]$ and for every subinterval $[c, d] \subset [a, b]$ there exists an element $x_{[c,d]}^{**} \in X^{**}$ such that $(H) \int_c^d x^*f = x_{[c,d]}^{**}(x^*)$ for each $x^* \in X^*$ from [6, Theorem 8.2.26].

Since x^*f is C-integrable on $[c, d]$ and

$$(H) \int_c^d x^*f = (C) \int_c^d x^*f = x_{[c,d]}^{**}(x^*)$$

for each $x^* \in X^*$. Hence f is C-Dunford integrable on $[a, b]$. \square

Similar to the case for the Henstock-Dunford and Henstock-Pettis integrable functions, we can get the following two Theorems.

THEOREM 2.5. *If the function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow X$ is C-Dunford integrable on $[a, b]$, then each perfect set in $[a, b]$ contains a portion on which f is Dunford integrable.*

THEOREM 2.6. *Suppose that X contains no copy of c_0 . If the function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow X$ is C-Pettis integrable on $[a, b]$, then each perfect set in $[a, b]$ contains a portion on which f is Pettis integrable.*

From the definitions of Pettis integral and C-Pettis integral, we can easily get the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.7. *If a function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow X$ is Pettis integrable on $[a, b]$ then f is C-Pettis integrable on $[a, b]$.*

THEOREM 2.8. *If a function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow X$ is C-integrable on $[a, b]$ then f is C-Pettis integrable on $[a, b]$.*

Proof. f is C-integrable on $[a, b]$, then x^*f is C-integrable on $[a, b]$ for each $x^* \in X^*$ and $(C) \int_a^b x^*f = x^*((C) \int_a^b f)$ from [10, Theorem 2.7].

For each subinterval $[c, d] \subset [a, b]$, we have $(C) \int_c^d f \in X$. Then f is C-Pettis integrable on $[a, b]$ and

$$(CP) \int_a^b f = (C) \int_a^b f.$$

□

REMARK 2.9. The following example show that the converse of Theorem 2.5 is not true. In other words, there exists a function which is C-Pettis integrable but is not C-integrable.

EXAMPLE 2.10. (a) Define a function $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow l_\infty(\omega_1)$ by

$$(2.1) \quad f(t)(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t \in N_\alpha \setminus C_\alpha, \\ 0 & \text{if Otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

where ω_1 is the first uncountable ordinal. $\{N_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \omega_1}$ and $\{C_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \omega_1}$ be two collection of subsets of $[0, 1]$ satisfying the following properties:

- (1) for each $\alpha \in \omega_1$, N_α is a set of zero Lebesgue measure,
- (2) $N_\alpha \subset N_\beta$, if $\alpha < \beta$,
- (3) every subset of $[0, 1]$ of zero Lebesgue measure is contained in some set N_α ,
- (4) for each $\alpha \in \omega_1$, C_α is a countable set,

(5) $C_\alpha \subset C_\beta$, if $\alpha < \beta$,

(6) every countable subset of $[0, 1]$ is contained in some set C_α .

In [5, Example(CH)], L. Di Piazza and D. Preiss proved that f is Pettis integrable but is not McShane integrable on $[0, 1]$. It is easy to know that f is C-Pettis integrable on $[0, 1]$ from Theorem 2.4. In [10, Theorem 3.4], we proved that f is McShane integrable if and only if f is C-integrable and Pettis integrable. Suppose that f is C-integrable on $[0, 1]$, then f is McShane integrable on $[0, 1]$. This is a contradiction, so f is not C-integrable on $[0, 1]$.

3. Convergence theorem for the C-Pettis integral

DEFINITION 3.1. Let $F_n, F : [a, b] \rightarrow R$ and let E be a subset of $[a, b]$.

(a) F is said to be AC_c on E if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a constant $\eta > 0$ and a positive function $\delta(\xi) : E \rightarrow R^+$ such that $\sum_i |F([u_i, v_i])| < \varepsilon$ for each δ -fine partial C-partition $D = \{([u_i, v_i], \xi_i)\}$ of $[a, b]$ satisfying $\xi_i \in E$ for each i and $\sum_i (v_i - u_i) < \eta$.

(b) F_n is said to be UAC_c on E if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a constant $\eta > 0$ and a positive function $\delta(\xi) : E \rightarrow R^+$ such that $\sum_i |F_n([u_i, v_i])| < \varepsilon$ for all n and for each δ -fine partial C-partition $D = \{([u_i, v_i], \xi_i)\}$ of $[a, b]$ satisfying $\xi_i \in E$ for each i and $\sum_i (v_i - u_i) < \eta$.

(c) F is said to be ACG_c on E if F is continuous on E and E can be expressed as a countable union of sets on each of which F is AC_c .

(d) F is said to be $UACG_c$ on E if F is continuous on E and E can be expressed as a countable union of sets on each of which F is UAC_c .

THEOREM 3.2. Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow X$ and assume that $\{f_n\}$ be a sequence of C-integrable functions. Assume that the following conditions are satisfied:

(1) $f_n \rightarrow f$ almost everywhere on $[a, b]$.

(2) F_n are $UACG_c$ on $[a, b]$.

Then f is C-integrable on $[a, b]$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (C) \int_a^b f_n = (C) \int_a^b f.$$

Proof. The proof is standard and similar to [7, Theorem 5.5.2]. \square

THEOREM 3.3. (Controlled Convergence Theorem) Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow X$ and assume that $\{f_n\}$ be a sequence of C-Pettis integrable functions. Assume that the following conditions are satisfied:

(1) for each $x^* \in X^*$, $x^* f_n \rightarrow x^* f$ almost everywhere on $[a, b]$.

(2) the family $\{x^*F_n : x^* \in X^*, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is $UACG_c$ on $[a, b]$.

Then f is C -Pettis integrable on $[a, b]$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (CP) \int_a^b f_n = (CP) \int_a^b f \quad (\text{weakly}).$$

Proof. We will prove the Theorem in two steps.

Step 1. The sequence $\{f_n\}$ is C -Pettis integrable on $[a, b]$, then for each $x^* \in X^*$, x^*f_n is C -integrable on $[a, b]$. From Theorem 3.1 we have that x^*f is C -integrable on $[a, b]$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (C) \int_a^b x^*f_n = (C) \int_a^b x^*f.$$

Step 2. Assume $[c, d]$ is an arbitrary subinterval of $[a, b]$. Let \mathcal{C} denote the weak closure of $\{(CP) \int_c^d f_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. It is easy to see that \mathcal{C} is bounded and that $\mathcal{C} \setminus \{(CP) \int_c^d f_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ contains at most one point. We claim that \mathcal{C} is weakly compact.

Suppose \mathcal{C} is not weakly compact, then there exists a bounded sequence $(x_k^*) \subset X^*$, a sequence $(x_n) \subset \mathcal{C}$ and $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} x_k^*(x_n) = 0 & \text{if } k > n, \\ x_k^*(x_n) > \epsilon & \text{if } k \leq n. \end{cases}$$

We can take subsequence $(g_n) \subset (f_n)$ and a sequence $(y_k^*) \subset x_k^*$ such that

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{cases} (C) \int_c^d y_k^* g_n = 0 & \text{if } k > n, \\ (C) \int_c^d y_k^* g_n > \epsilon & \text{if } k \leq n, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (C) \int_c^d x^* g_n = (C) \int_c^d x^* f, & \text{for each } x^* \in X^*. \end{cases}$$

From [3, Lemma 1], we can find a subsequence $(y_{k_j}^*) \subset (y_k^*)$ such that $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} y_{k_j}^* f$ exists almost everywhere. Assume y_0^* is a *weak** cluster point of $(y_{k_j}^*) \subset (y_k^*)$, then we have

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} y_{k_j}^* f = y_0^* f$$

almost everywhere on $[a, b]$. It is not difficult to get that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} (C) \int_c^d y_{k_j}^* f = (C) \int_c^d y_0^* f.$$

To force a contradiction, note that for each j , we have that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (C) \int_c^d y_{k_j}^* g_n = (C) \int_c^d y_{k_j}^* f.$$

When $n \geq k_j$, from (3) we have that $(C) \int_c^d y_{k_j}^* g_n > \epsilon$ and $(C) \int_c^d y_{k_j}^* f \geq \epsilon$. Therefore

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} (C) \int_c^d y_{k_j}^* f = (C) \int_c^d y_0^* f \geq \epsilon.$$

On the other hand, g_n is C-Pettis integrable for each n , the functional $x^* \rightarrow (C) \int_c^d x^* g_n$ is *weak**-continuous. Then if (y_α^*) is a subset of $(y_{k_j}^*)$ *weak** converging to y_0^* , by (3) and passing to the limit with $n \rightarrow \infty$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\alpha} (C) \int_c^d y_\alpha^* g_n &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\alpha} y_\alpha^* (CP) \int_c^d g_n \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_0^* (CP) \int_c^d g_n \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (C) \int_c^d y_0^* g_n \\ &= (C) \int_c^d y_0^* f = 0 \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts the inequality $(C) \int_c^d y_0^* f \geq \epsilon$. Therefore the set \mathcal{C} is weakly compact.

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (C) \int_c^d x^* f_n = (C) \int_c^d x^* f$, the sequence $\{(CP) \int_c^d f_n\}$ is weak Cauchy. It follows from the weak compactness of \mathcal{C} that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (CP) \int_c^d f_n$$

exists weakly in X . Moreover by $[c, d]$ is an arbitrary subinterval of $[a, b]$, then f is C-Pettis integrable on $[a, b]$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (CP) \int_a^b f_n = (CP) \int_a^b f \text{ (weakly).}$$

□

Acknowledgement. The authors are grateful to the referee for his or her careful reading of the manuscript and for valuable and helpful suggestions.

References

- [1] B. Bongiorno, *On the Minimal Solution of the Problem of Primitives*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **251** (2000), no.2, 479-487.

- [2] B. Bongiorno, L. Di Piazza, and D. Preiss, *A constructive minimal integral which includes Lebesgue integrable functions and derivatives*, J. London Math. Soc. **(2)** **62** (2000), no. 1, 117-126.
- [3] R. F. Geitz, *Pettis integration*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **82** (1981), 81-86.
- [4] L. Di Piazza, *A Riemann-type minimal integral for the classical problem of primitives*, Rend. Istit. Mat. Univ. Trieste Vol. XXXIV, (2002), 143-153.
- [5] L. Di Piazza, D. Preiss, *When do McShane and Pettis integrals coincide?*, Illinois J. of Math. **47** (2003), 1177-1187.
- [6] S. Schwabik and Guoju Ye, *Topics in Banach space integration*, World Scientific, 2005.
- [7] Lee Peng Yee and Rudolf Vyborny *The integral, An Easy Approach after Kurzweil and Henstock*, Australian Mathematical Society Lecture Series 14, Cambridge University Press 2000.
- [8] Dafang Zhao, Guoju Ye, *On AP-Henstock-Stieltjes integral*, J. Chungcheong Math. Soc. **19** (2006), no. 2, 177-188.
- [9] Dafang Zhao, Guoju Ye, *On strong C-integral of Banach-valued functions*, J. Chungcheong Math. Soc. **20** (2007), no. 1, 1-10.
- [10] Dafang Zhao, Guoju Ye, *C-integral and Denjoy-C integral*, Comm. Korean. Math. Soc. **22** (2007), no. 1, 27-39.
- [11] Dafang Zhao, Guoju Ye, *On C-integral of Banach-valued functions*, Kangweon-Kyungki J. Math. **14** (2006), no. 2, 169-183.
- [12] Ye Guoju, An Tianqing, *On Henstock-Dunford and Henstock-Pettis Integrals*, International J. of Math. and Math. Sci. **25** (2001), 467-478.

*

Department of Mathematics
Hubei Normal University
Huangshi, 435002, People's Republic of China
E-mail: dafangzhao@hhu.edu.cn

**

Department of Mathematics
Hubei Normal University
Huangshi, 435002, People's Republic of China
E-mail: yxuexiao80@163.com