JOURNAL OF THE CHUNGCHEONG MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY Volume **21**, No. 2, June 2008

JOINT WEAK SUBNORMALITY OF OPERATORS

JUN IK LEE* AND SANG HOON LEE**

ABSTRACT. We introduce jointly weak subnormal operators. It is shown that if $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, T_2)$ is subnormal then \mathbf{T} is weakly subnormal and if f $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, T_2)$ is weakly subnormal then \mathbf{T} is hyponormal. We discuss the flatness of weak subnormal operators.

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{H} be a complex Hilbert space and let $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ denote the algebra of bounded linear operators on \mathcal{H} . An operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be normal if $T^*T = TT^*$, hyponormal if $T^*T \ge TT^*$ and subnormal if $T = N|_{\mathcal{H}}$, where N is normal on some Hilbert space $\mathcal{K} \supseteq \mathcal{H}$. Thus the operator T is subnormal if and only if there exist operators A and B such that $\widehat{T} := \begin{pmatrix} T & A \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$ is normal, i.e.,

(1.1)
$$\begin{cases} [T^*, T] := T^*T - TT^* = AA^* \\ A^*T = BA^* \\ [B^*, B] + A^*A = 0. \end{cases}$$

An operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be *weakly subnormal* ([5]) if there exist operators $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}')$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}')$ such that the first two conditions in (1.1) hold: $[T^*, T] := T^*T - TT^* = AA^*$ and $A^*T = BA^*$, or equivalently, there is an extension \hat{T} of T such that

(1.2)
$$\widehat{T}^*\widehat{T}f = \widehat{T}\widehat{T}^*f \quad \text{for all} \quad f \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Received May 07, 2008; Accepted May 23, 2008.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 47B20, 47B37, 47A13, 28A50; Secondary 44A60, 47-04, 47A20.

Key words and phrases: jointly hyponormal pairs, subnormal pairs, weak subnormal pair, flatness.

This work was supported by research fund of Chungnam National University in 2007.

Jun Ik Lee and Sang Hoon Lee

The operator \widehat{T} is said to be a partially normal extension (briefly, p.n.e.) of T. Note that the condition (1.2) implies $||\widehat{T}f|| = ||\widehat{T}^*f||$ for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$, and that if (1.2) holds for all $f \in \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}'$, then \widehat{T} becomes normal, so T is in that case subnormal. We also say that $\widehat{T} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ is a minimal partially normal extension (briefly, m.p.n.e.) of a weakly subnormal operator T if \mathcal{K} has no proper subspace containing \mathcal{H} to which the restriction of \widehat{T} is also a partially normal extension of T. It is known ([5, Lemma 2.5]) that if \widehat{T} is a partially normal extension of $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ on \mathcal{K} then \widehat{T} is minimal if and only if $\mathcal{K} = \bigvee \{\widehat{T}^{*k}h : h \in \mathcal{H}, \quad k = 0, 1\}$. Clearly, subnormal \Longrightarrow weakly subnormal \Longrightarrow hyponormal; however, the converses are not true in general (cf. [5]).

2. Weak subnormality

For $S, T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, let [S, T] := ST - TS. We say that an *n*-tuple $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ of operators on \mathcal{H} is (jointly) hyponormal if the operator matrix

$$[\mathbf{T}^*, \mathbf{T}] := \begin{pmatrix} [T_1^*, T_1] & [T_2^*, T_1] & \cdots & [T_n^*, T_1] \\ [T_1^*, T_2] & [T_2^*, T_2] & \cdots & [T_n^*, T_2] \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ [T_1^*, T_n] & [T_2^*, T_n] & \cdots & [T_n^*, T_n] \end{pmatrix}$$

is positive on the direct sum of n copies of \mathcal{H} (cf. [1], [6]). The *n*-tuple **T** is said to be *normal* if **T** is commuting and each T_i is normal, and **T** is *subnormal* if **T** is the restriction of a normal *n*-tuple to a common invariant subspace. Clearly, normal \Rightarrow subnormal \Rightarrow hyponormal. But the converses are not true in general. We now introduce:

DEFINITION 2.1. An *n*-tuple $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ of operators on \mathcal{H} is said to be *jointly weak subnormal* if each T_i is weakly subnormal and has a doubly commuting partially normal extension.

If $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ is weakly subnormal, then there exist $\widehat{\mathbf{T}} = (\widehat{T_1}, \dots, \widehat{T_n})$ such that (i) $\widehat{T_i}\widehat{T_j} = \widehat{T_j}\widehat{T_i}$, (ii) $\widehat{T_i}\widehat{T_j}^* = \widehat{T_j}^*\widehat{T_i}$, (iii) $\widehat{T_i} = p.n.e(T_i)$ for each i, j. We then have:

THEOREM 2.2. (i) If $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, T_2)$ is subnormal, then \mathbf{T} is weakly subnormal.

(ii) If $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, T_2)$ is weakly subnormal, then \mathbf{T} is hyponormal.

288

Proof. (i) If \mathbf{T} is subnormal, then there is a commuting normal extension of \mathbf{T} . By Fuglede's Theorem, it clearly is a double commuting extension. For (ii), observe that

$$[\mathbf{T}^*, \mathbf{T}] = \begin{pmatrix} [T_1^*, T_1] & [T_2^*, T_1] \\ [T_1^*, T_2] & [T_2^*, T_2] \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 A_1^* & A_1 A_2^* \\ A_2 A_1^* & A_2 A_2^* \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\widehat{T}_i := \begin{pmatrix} T_i & A_i \\ 0 & B_i \end{pmatrix}$ is a p.n.e of T_i for i = 1, 2. By [5], we can take $A_1 := [T_1^*, T_1]^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Using Smul'jan's theorem ([9]) which stats that if $A \ge 0$ and $B = A^{\frac{1}{2}}V$, then $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & C \end{pmatrix} \ge 0 \Leftrightarrow C \ge V^*V$, we can see that $[\mathbf{T}^*, \mathbf{T}] \ge 0$.

A tuple $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ is said to be *jointly quasinormal* if T_i commutes with $T_j^*T_j$ for all i, j ([7]), which is equivalent to requiring that the different parts of the polar decompositions of the individual operators to all commute. Observe that $[\mathbf{T}^*, \mathbf{T}]\mathbf{T} = 0$ for quasinormal operator \mathbf{T} , and hence Ker $[\mathbf{T}^*, \mathbf{T}]$ is invariant for \mathbf{T} . For a single weakly subnormal operator, the same property hold ([5]).

3. Flatness

If $A = A^* \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1)$, then an operator matrix (whose entries have possibly infinite-matrix representations)

$$\widetilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & C \end{pmatrix} : \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2$$

is called an *extension* of A. If A is of finite rank, we refer to a rankpreserving extension \widetilde{A} of A as a flat extension of A. It is known ([CF2]) that if A is of finite rank and $A \ge 0$, then \widetilde{A} is a flat extension of A if and only if \widetilde{A} is of the form

$$\widetilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} A & AV \\ V^*A & V^*AV \end{pmatrix}$$

for an operator $V : \mathcal{H}_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_1$. Moreover A is positive whenever A is positive. We shall introduce the notion of flatness for a pair of operators.

DEFINITION 3.1. Let $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, T_2)$ be a pair of operators on \mathcal{H} . Then we shall say that \mathbf{T} is a *flat pair* if $[\mathbf{T}^*, \mathbf{T}]$ is flat relative to $[T_1^*, T_1]$ or $[T_2^*, T_2]$.

REMARK 3.2. ([4]) The following facts are evident from the definition.

Jun Ik Lee and Sang Hoon Lee

- (i) Flatness of (T_1, T_2) is not affected by permuting the operators T_i .
- (ii) If (T_1, T_2) is flat, then so is $(\lambda_1 T_1, \lambda_2 T_2)$ for every $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C}$.
- (iii) If (T_1, T_2) is flat, then so is $(T_1 \lambda_1 I, T_2 \lambda_2 I)$ for every $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C}$.
- (iv) If $S \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is hyponormal with finite-rank self-commutator then $(\mu_1 S \mu_2 I, \lambda_1 S \lambda_2 I)$ is flat for every $\mu_1, \mu_2, \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C}$.
- (v) If T_1 or T_2 is hyponormal and if (T_1, T_2) is flat, then (T_1, T_2) is hyponormal.

PROPOSITION 3.3. (cf. [4]) Let $A \ge 0$ be of finite rank. Then $\widetilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & C \end{pmatrix}$ is flat if and only if $R(B) \subseteq R(A)$ and $C = B^*A^\#B$, where $A^\#$ is the Moore-Penrose inverse of A, in the sense that $AA^\#A = A$, $A^\#AA^\# = A^\#$, $(A^\#A)^\# = A^\#A$, and $(AA^\#)^\# = AA^\#$.

Proof. Write $A = \begin{pmatrix} A_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$: $R(A) \oplus N(A) \longrightarrow R(A) \oplus N(A)$, where A_0 is invertible. Then $A^{\#} = \begin{pmatrix} A_0^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. If \widetilde{A} is flat, it follows from [Smu] that there exists $V : \mathcal{H}_2 \longrightarrow R(A)$ such that B = AV. Since $R(V) \subseteq R(A), V$ is uniquely determined by $V = A^{\#}B$, so $C = V^*AV = B^*A^{\#^*}AA^{\#}B = B^*A^{\#}B$. The converse is trivial.(cf. [4, Lemma1.2]).

COROLLARY 3.4. If $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, T_2)$ is a hyponormal pair and if $[T_1^*, T_1]$ is of finite rank, then \mathbf{T} is flat if and only if $[T_2^*, T_2] = [T_1^*, T_2][T_1^*, T_1]^{\#}[T_2^*, T_1]$.

Proof. This follows from Proposition 3.3.

THEOREM 3.5. Every weakly subnormal pair $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, T_2)$ satisfying the inclusion $R([T_2^*, T_2]) \subseteq R([T_1^*, T_1])$ and rank $[T_1^*, T_1] < \infty$ is flat.

Proof. Let
$$\widehat{T}_i := \begin{pmatrix} T_i & A_i \\ 0 & B_i \end{pmatrix}$$
 be a p.n.e of T_i for $i = 1, 2$. Then
$$[\mathbf{T}^*, \mathbf{T}] = \begin{pmatrix} [T_1^*, T_1] & [T_2^*, T_1] \\ [T_1^*, T_2] & [T_2^*, T_2] \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 A_1^* & A_1 A_2^* \\ A_2 A_1^* & A_2 A_2^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $R([T_2^*, T_2]) \subseteq R([T_1^*, T_1])$, it follows that $R(A_2) \subseteq R(A_1)$ and $rank(A_2A_2^*) \leq rank(A_1A_1^*) < \infty$. Since $A_1A_1^*$ is of finite rank, $A_1A_1^*$ has Moore-Penrose inverse $(A_1A_1^*)^{\#}$, and hence so have both A_1 and A_1^* . Moreover, $(A_1A_1^*)^{\#} = (A_1^{\#})^*A_1^{\#}$. Since $(A_1^{\#}A_1)^* = A_1^{\#}A_1$, it follows

290

that

$$(A_2A_1^*)(A_1A_1^*)^{\#}(A_1A_2^*) = A_2(A_1^*A_1^{\#*})(A_1^{\#}A_1)A_2^*$$

= $A_2(A_1^{\#}A_1A_1^{\#}A_1)A_2^*$
= $A_2(A_1^{\#}A_1)A_2^*.$

Since we can take $A_1 := [T_1^*, T_1]^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $A_2 := [T_2^*, T_2]^{\frac{1}{2}}$ by [5], we have $R(A_2^*) \subseteq R(A_1^*)$. Since $A_1^{\#}A_1$ is the projection onto $R(A_1^*)$, it follows that $A_2(A_1^{\#}A_1)A_2^* = A_2A_2^*$, which implies that

$$[T_2^*, T_2] = [T_1^*, T_2][T_1^*, T_1]^{\#}[T_2^*, T_1].$$

Therefore by Corollary 3.4, $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, T_2)$ is flat.

References

- A. Athavale, On joint hyponormality of operators, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 103 (1988), 417-423.
- [2] J. Bram, Subnormal operators, Duke Math. J. 22 (1955), 75-94. MR 16:835a
- [3] J. Conway, The Theory of Subnormal Operators, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 36, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1991.
- [4] R. Curto and W.Y. Lee, Joint hyponormality of Toeplitz pairs, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 2001.
- [5] R. Curto and W.Y. Lee, Towards a model theory for 2 -hyponormal operators, Integral Equations Operator Theory 44 (2002), 290-315.
- [6] R. Curto, P. Muhly and J. Xia, Hyponormal pairs of commuting operators, Operator Theory: Adv. Appl. 35 (1988), 1-22.
- [7] A.R. Lubin, Weighted shifts and commuting normal extension, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A 27 (1979), 17-26.
- [8] V. Paulsen, Completely bounded maps and dilations, Pitmam Research Notes in Mathematics Series 146, Longman Sci. Tech., New York, 1986. MR 88h:46111
- Ju. L. Smul'jan, An operator Hellinger integral, Mat. Sb. (N.S.) 49 (1959), 381–430 (in Russian).

Jun Ik Lee and Sang Hoon Lee

292 *

> Department of Mathematics Education Sangmyung University Seoul 110-743, Republic of Korea *E-mail*: jilee@smu.ac.kr

**

Department of Mathematics Chungnam National University Daejeon 305-764, Republic of Korea *E-mail*: shlee@math.cnu.ac.kr