실시간 원격 강의에서 영상 인코딩 기법을 적용하기 위한 요소

이부권*, 서영건**, 박순화***, 김호용****, 김형준***

요약

원격 실시간 강의에서 사용될 수 있는 정지 영상 압축은 관심객체 코딩을 미리 코딩하고 배경을 전 송하는 방법을 쓸 수 있다. 관심객체의 기능은 영상의 특정 부분이 다른 영역보다 더 중요한 의미를 갖 도록 하는 응용에서 중요하다. 이런 경우에, 그 영역은 배경보다 더 높은 품질로 압축되어야 한다. JPEG2000은 다양한 관심객체 코딩 기법을 제공하며, 많은 연구자들이 이런 우선 처리를 할 수 있도록 다양한 연구를 해 왔다. 그러나 모든 응용에 적용 가능한 관심객체 코딩 기법은 존재하지 않는다. 그래 서 본 연구는 원격 실시간 강의를 위한 JPEG2000에서 가장 좋은 관심객체 코딩 기법을 적용하기 위하 여 요구사항에 맞는 선택 사항들을 보인다. 또한, 선택된 방법들이 가장 좋은 파라미터를 결정하는 실험 적 결과도 보인다.

Parameters to Select the Image Encoding in Real Time Remote Lecture

Bu Kweon Lee*, Yeong Geon Seo**, Sun Hwa Park***, Ho Yong Kim****, Hyung Jun Kim***

Abstract

One of the most significant characteristics of remote real time lecture, the emerging still image standard, is the OOI (Object of Interest) coding. The functionality of OOI is important in applications where certain parts of the image are more important than others. In such cases, these objects need to be encoded at higher quality than the background. JPEG2000 provides a number of OOI coding mechanisms. Many researchers have actively studied the preferred processing from the OOI coding methods to the new methods complementing them. But, there do not exist OOI coding methods suitable for all applications. Therefore, this study shows a criterion to select according to the application requirements for applying the best OOI coding method in JPEG2000 applications, and also shows the experimental results deciding the best parameters in the selected methods.

Keywords : Object-Of-Interest, Maxshift

1. Introduction

Because the visual information of the image that people get is a larger amount of data tha n that of the simple texts or graphics, the tra nsmission effect of the information using it is excellent. Then, the image data is used in me dical diagnosis, web browsing, image database s and computer communications, and different applications[1].

As it needs a large amount of memories an d high bandwidths to store and transmit the i mages, it needs to reduce an amount of data using data redundancy. Currently, a new imag e compression standard JPEG2000(Joint Photog raphic Experts Group 2000) has been develope d to cope with the problem within the scope t hat does not decline the quality of the source

 [※] 제일저자(First Author) : 이부권
 접수일:2008년 10월 16일, 완료일:2008년 12월 05일
 * 경상대학교 컴퓨터과학과 young@gsnu.ac.kr
 ** 경상대학교 컴퓨터교육과, 컴. 정보통신연구소원(교 신저자)
 *** 경상대학교 컴퓨터과학과
 **** 영진전문대학 컴퓨터정보기술계열
 본 연구는 2008년도 경상대학교 광대역연구개발망 활성화를 위한 연구과제 지원비를 받아 수행되었음

image[2]. Especially, JPEG2000 offers the OOI coding method not offered in existing compres sion standards. This method is made use of in the applications that should firstly transfer the specific object of image or user-centered OOI before the overall image is presented. The sta ndard OOI coding methods are Maxshift[3], Im plicit[4] and General scaling[3]. The non stand ard OOI coding methods are Maxshift-like[3], (G)BbBShift[5,6], PSBShift[7] and HBShift[8] which supplement Maxshift and General scalin g, and Modified implicit[4], flexible and dynam ic[9], fast OOI transcoding[10] and prioritized[1] which supplement Implicit method.

The new studies about OOI coding have be en actively progressing like them. But, the stu dies which can apply the coding to an applicat ion are bounded to apply to the standard OOI coding methods using the default parameters. Therefore, our studies propose the methods w hich can apply the best OOI coding method to a specific application in consideration of a clas sification table of OOI coding methods by the requirements, effects of parameters by the exp eriments and several key points.

2. Related studies

2.1 JPEG2000 image coding standards

(Fig. 1) shows the coding overview of JPE G2000. A new image coding standard, JPEG20 00 that uses state-of-the-art compression tech niques based on wavelet technology. This is i ntended to provide low bit rates operation with h rate-distortion and subjective image quality performance superior to existing standards, wit hout sacrificing performance at other points in the rate-distortion spectrum. Early results sho w a 20-30% compression efficiency improveme nt over JPEG. JPEG2000 addresses areas wher e JPEG fails to produce the best quality of pe rformance, such as:

. Low bit rates compression performance (ra tes below 0.25 bpp for highly-detailed gray-le vel images)



(Fig. 1) Coding overview

. Lossless and lossy compression in a single codestream

. Seamless quality and resolution scalability, without having to download the entire file. Th e major benefit is the conservation of bandwid th

. Large images: JPEG is restricted to 64k X 64k images (without tiling). JPEG2000 will han dle image size up to (232 - 1)

. Single decompression architecture

. Error resilience for transmission in noisy e nvironments, such as wireless and Internet

. OOI coding

. Improved compression techniques to accom odate richer content and higher resolutions

2.2 OOI coding methods

2.2.1 Necessities of OOI

OOI coding can be used in the applications that a specific object of image has higher imp ortance than the other objects of the image. T o support OOI coding, OOI must be encoded with higher quality than BG(background) and sent to a receiver with higher priority. This c an be achieved by progressive image coding b asis using multi-resolution analysis. The merit s of OOI image are not only to reduce the co mpression ratio and the transmission time but also to satisfy the different requirements of us ers and the efficient memory managements.)F ig. 2) shows Lena image reconstructed by pro gressive image and OOI image in 0.125bpp. O OI coding method is divided into tile-based, co efficient scaling-based and EBCOT(Embedded Block Coding with Optimized Truncation Algor ithm)-based. (Fig. 3) shows a scaling- based OOI coding method.



(a) (b) (Fig. 2)(a) Progressive image (b) OOI image

2.2.2 OOI coding methods.

Tiling is to separate a large image into non overlapped rectangular blocks and these blocks are encoded to reduce memory consumption. T hese separated blocks are called tiles. Becaus e the compression is independently processed on each tile, OOI can be coded on unit of eac h tile instead of an overall image. This means that OOI tiles can be coded and decoded with higher quality than BG tiles. This is a simple OOI coding method which can be used in appl ications with the constraints of memory and h ardware.



(Fig. 3) Coefficient scaling-based OOI coding

Coefficient scaling-based method classifies OOI WC(Wavelet Coefficients) and BG WC of quantized WC and makes BP(Bit-Plane) of OO I WC shift by the importance of OOI. This be longs to static OOI coding method defining O OI in encoding time. The process of general c oefficient scaling-based OOI coding is in (fig. 3) and the decoding process is the reverse ord er of the step. Maxshift[3], scaling-based[3], Maxshift-like[3], (G)BbBShift[5,6] and PSBShift t[7] belong to this method.

The method defining OOI in decoder is calle d by dynamic OOI coding. And the specific on e, EBCOT-based coding belongs to dynamic c oding method. In EBCOT, each quality layer i ncludes an arbitrary contribution degree from t he embedded bitstream of CB(Code Blocks), pa ckets or precincts. So, emphasis of OOI is ach ieved by including the relatively high contribut ion degree about the quality layer of CB, pack ets or precincts that should be reconstructed. Here are Implicit[4], Modified implicit[4], flexib le and dynamic[9], fast OOI transcoding[10] an d Prioritized[11].

2.3 Necessities of the best OOI coding method

Because the OOI coding supplies a good tra deoff between the image quality and compressi on ratio, it can make satisfy the user requirem ents in different applications. But there does n ot exist the best OOI coding method applicable in all applications. The reason is that the requ irements of each application are different, OOI coding methods have their merits and demerits in their own ways and there exists a number of OOI parameters influencing OOI coding perf ormance. In order to offer the best service, it needs to select and apply OOI coding methods and OOI parameters suitable for a specific app lication.

| | OOI coding met hods | Compatib ility | Dynamic/ St atic mode | 00I-shape | OOI codin g unit | Degrees of O OI importanc e | Lossy to lossles s reconstructio n | Control of O OI/BG import arice |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| Based on Coefficient Scaling | ①Maxshift | Part1 | Static | Arbitrary | WC | Same | Yes | No |
| | ②Scaling base d | Part2 | Static | Rectangle a nd Ellipse | WC | Different | Yes | Yes |
| | ③Maxshift-like | Part1 | Static | Arbitrary | WC | Same | No | Yes |
| | BbB/GBbB S hift | No | Static | Arbitrary | WC | Same | Yes | Yes |
| | ⑤PSBShift | No | Static | Arbitrary | WC | Different | Yes | Yes |
| | ©HB Shift | No | Static | Arbitrary | WC | Different | Yes | Yes |
| Based on EBCOT | ⑦Implicit 00I | Part1 | Dynamic | Regular Pol ygon | СВ | Different | Yes | Yes |
| | Improved imp licit OOI | Part1 | Dynamic | Arbitrary | CB/WC | Different | No | Yes |
| | ③Flexible layer insertion | Part1 | Dynamic | Regular Pol ygon | Precinct | Same | Yes | No |
| | ®Fast OOI Tra nscoding | Part1 | Dynamic | Regular Pol ygon | Packet | Different | No | Yes |
| | <pre> @Prioritized O OI coding </pre> | Part1 | Dynamic | Regular Pol ygon | Packet | Different | Yes | No* |

(Table 1) Comparisons of the OOI coding methods

3. The comparisons of OOI coding methods

In this chapter, we classify OOI coding met hods suitable for several requirements through the comparison of them and introduce some pa rameters influencing OOI performance.

3.1 Comparisons of coding methods

<Table 1> shows OOI coding methods. In s tandard field of the table, ①, ② and ⑦ are th e standard method of JPEG2000, ④, ⑤ and ⑥ are not compatible with the standard, and the others are compatible with it. Part1 of compatibility item means to be compatible with part1 standard of JPEG2000. Part2 is part2 of JPEG2 000. No of that means to be not compatible wi th the standard. Lossy/lossless reconstruction means that on decoding with OOI whether dec oder offers lossless decoding or not. Control of OOI/BG importance is if it is possible to adjust the importance between OOI and BG or not

The shape of OOI is closely related to the p

rocessing unit of it. Coefficient scaling-based coding methods support the arbitrary shape be cause of processing the OOI by the unit of W C. However, ② supports only rectangular and elliptical shapes because of the overhead of O OI shape coding. EBCOT-based methods supp ort polygonal shapes because of processing it by the unit of CB(or precinct or packet). How ever, ⑧ supports arbitrary shape. OOI importa nce is an important degree of OOI comparing to BG.

3.2 Factors to select OOI coding method

This problem is the same as how well a sel ected OOI coding method satisfies the require ments of the application. In this paper, we ma de a classification table of OOI coding method s by the requirements. The classifications are static OOI or dynamic OOI, the OOI importanc e, the real time application and the multiple O OIs, and these are the typical requirements of the OOI application.

| Parameters | Block Boundary Artefacts | OOI Performance | OOI Periphery Performance | Background Performance |
|---|-----------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Tile Size | 0 | 0 | | |
| DWT Filter Type | | 0 | 0 | |
| Code-Block Size | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Number of DWT Decomposition level | | 0 | 0 | |
| Number of Quality layers | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| OOI Size | | 0 | | |
| OOI Shape and Location | | 0 | | |
| Number of OOIs | | 0 | | |
| OOI Importance Score | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Low Resolution Sub-band Importance Score | | 0 | | 0 |

| <table< th=""><th>2></th><th>Effects</th><th>of</th><th>coding</th><th>parameters</th></table<> | 2> | Effects | of | coding | parameters |
|--|----------|---------|----|--------|------------|
| < I UDIC | <u> </u> | DITCOUD | O1 | counts | parameters |

Most applications need only static OOI codi ng, but in case of being not aware of OOI in encoding it needs a dynamic OOI coding that i s useful in an interactive application. In real ti me of static OOI, ③ which deletes BPs is the most excellent and 2 is the most inferior bec ause of OOI shape coding. In that of the dyna mic OOI, (9) and (10) is the most excellent beca use of processing it by the unit of packet or p recinct based on reconstruction, the next is ⑦ and (8) which processes by the unit of CB, an d lastly (1) is the most inferior owing to proce ssing the control of the OOI importance. In m ultiple OOIs of static OOI, 2 5 and 6 offer with the diverse importance degrees and ① ③ and ④ do with a same degree. In those of the dynamic OOI, only 9 offers with a same degr ee and the others do with the diverse degrees.

3.3 OOI parameters

The OOI parameters influencing the OOI co ding performance are tile size, wavelet filter ty pe, count of quality layers, CB size, count of OOI, OOI size, shape and position of OOI and importance of OOI. Of these parameters, we u se tile size, numer of layers and OOI size sinc e they are the most important parameters in J PEG2000.

The smaller the tile size the fewer the coun t of DWT decomposition levels and CB size a nd the less the coding performance of OOI, si nce the blocking artifacts occur. For OOI codi ng, multiple quality layers have to be used. If an image is encoded with one layer, the merit s of OOI coding do not exist. The overhead o ccurred by using multiple layers(to 50 layers) in lossless coding might be ignored. But the o verhead is increased in low bit rates[1]. If CB size is small, the count of CB increases and t hen there is a demerit which is to code more CB. But, there is a merit which the spacial loc ality is excellent. This phenomenon will appear more clearly on EBCOT-based method which manages the OOI by the unit of CB.



(Fig. 4) Performance with tile size

4. Experiments and evaluations

In this chapter, we experiment empirically t o define the effects for OOI parameters using the standard OOI coding methods. The experi mental result is an average of values got from 6 images. All images are represented with gra y image(8 bpp), and if the image is a layered progressive, the bit rates are set from 0.03125 bpp to 2bpp. This compression rate means fro m 256:1 to 4:1. Other parameters are that the decomposition is 5-level DWT, the lowest leve l is included in OOI, the layer is 20, code bloc k size is 64X64 with no ROI and wavelet filte r is 9/7 filter.

(Fig. 4) shows the performance evaluation a coording to the change of tile size. The smalle r the tile size, the lower the compression efficiency. Especially in low bit rates, compared to the image compressed on one tile, it decreased by about 5dB on 128 X 128 tile and about 10d B on 64 X 64 tile.

<Table 3> Comparison of average lossless bit rates for different numbers of layers

| Layer number | With OOI | Without OOI |
|--------------|----------|-------------|
| Layer 1 | 3.197 | 3.056 |
| Layer 10 | 3.214 | 3.072 |
| Layer 20 | 3.226 | 3.080 |
| Layer 30 | 3.234 | 3.085 |
| Layer 40 | 3.237 | 3.087 |
| Layer 50 | 3.241 | 3.090 |

<Table 3> compares the effect of multiple 1 ayers on the lossless coding efficiency with O OI and no OOI. As mentioned in chapter 2, in order to facilitate bit stream truncation, it is d esirable to construct as many layers as possib le. However, the number of packets increases l inearly with the number of layers, which also increase the overhead associated with the pack et headers. Whereas, increasing the number of layers from 1 to 50 does not linearly increase the lossless bit rates. It can be shown that th e overall coding overhead using multiple layer s (even up to 50 layers) is negligible compare d to a single layered code stream. (Fig. 5) sho ws that there is no gain in OOI coding if a si ngle layer is used to encode the image at full quality.



(Fig. 5) Coding image with the increasing number of layer

In (fig. 6) we use Maxshift method and the OOI centered on the image, and the OOI sizes are 1/4, 1/8 and 1/16 rectangular shapes of th e whole image with CB size 32 X 32. The sm aller OOI size, the lower the bit rates receivin g the whole OOI. PSNR of OOI in low bit rat

es(<0.125bpp) is lower than that of BG when the OOI size is big(>1/8), because of the over head for OOI coding. If the count of subband of the decomposition levels regarded as OOI in creases, the quality of BG to be reconstructed is higher. But the performance of OOI is influ enced by high data rates. Extremely, if all res olution levels are included in OOI, that is the same as not encoding OOI.

Finally, the bigger the tile size is, the more effective. The bigger the number of quality la yers, the more effective. When the compressio n rate is high and the OOI size is small, it's relatively uneffective. In coding methods, when OOI is already known in encoding time and th e OOI shape is arbitrary, if the user simply us es an OOI method, the user may use Maxshift method.

5. Conclusion

Since there does not exist the best OOI cod ing method suitable for all applications, it is n eeded to select parameters and coding methods satisfying the requirements of a specific applic ation. In order to do this, we proposed the me thods selecting the parameters to apply an OO I coding method in JPEG2000 by the experime nts about OOI parameters and the classificatio n table of OOI coding methods according to th e requirements. In our future study, we will e xperiment one or more complicated parameters, and study an automatic OOI detection and sim pler OOI coding algorithm.



(Fig. 6) Rate-distortion performance of OOI 1/4, 1/8 and 1/16 of image size

References

- M.Rabbani and R.Joshi, "An overview of the JPEG20 00 still image compression standard", Signal Proces sing, vol.17, pp.3–48, 2002.
- [2] C.Christopoulos, A.Skodras and T.Ebrahimi, "The JP EG2000 still image coding system: An overview", IEEE Transactions on Consumer Electronics, vol.46, pp.1103–1127, Nov. 2000.
- [3] R.Grosbois, D.Santa-Crus and T.Ebrahimi, "New ap proach to JPEG2000 compliant ROI coding", Proc.

of the SPIE 46th, Aug. 2001.

- [4] H. Yang, M. Long, and H. M. Tai, "Region-of- Intere st Image Coding Based on EBCOT", IEE Proceeding s-Vision, Image, and Signal Processing, Vol.152, Is s. 5, pp.590-596, Oct. 2005.
- [5] Zhou Wang, Alan C.Bovik and Fellow, "Bitplane-by-Bitplane Shift(BbBShift) – A Suggestion for JPEG 2000 Region of Interest Image coding", IEEE Signal Processing Letters, VOL.9, NO.5, May 2002.
- [6] Z. Wang, S. Banerjee and A. C.Bovik, "Generalized Bitplane-by-Bitplane Shift method for JPEG2000 R OI Coding", IEEE ICIP, 2002.
- [7] Lijie Liu, Guoliang Fan, "A New JPEG2000 Region-o f-Interest Image Coding Method: Partial Significant Bitplanes Shift", IEEE Signal Processing Letters, V ol.10, No.2, Feb. 2003.
- [8] Li Bao Zhang and Ke Wang, "New Approach for JPE G2000 Region of Interest Image Coding : Hybrid Bit plane Shift", Proceedings of the Third Int'l Conferen ce on Machine Learning and Cybernetics, Shanghai, Aug. 2004.
- [9] Rene Rosenbaum, Heidrun Schumanm, "Flexible, Dy namic and Compliant Region of Interest coding in JPEG2000", IEEE ICIP, Sep. 2002.
- [10] Kong H-S and Kuwahara N., "Fast ROI Transcodi ng for JPEG2000 Images", Mitsubishi Electric Resea rch Laboratories, 2005.
- [11] V. Sanchez, A. Basu, and M. K. Mandal, "Prioritized ROI coding in JPEG2000", IEEE Transactions on Cir cuits and Systems for Video Technology, Vol.14, N o.9, Sep. 2004.
- [12] George K. Anastassopoulos and Athanassios N.Sko dras, "JPEG2000 ROI Coding in Medical Imaging Ap plications", http://www.upatras.gr/ieee /skodras/pu bs/ans-c50. pdf.
- [13] D.Santa Crus, T.Ebrahimi, M.Larsson, J.Askelof an d C.Cristopoulos, "Region of Interest Coding in JPE G2000 for Interactive Client/Server Application", IE EE MMSP, pp.389–394, Sep. 1999.



이 부 권

1972년 : 경상대학교 농경제학 학 사

1978년 : 미시건주립대학 대학원 시스템 공학전공 석사 2001년 : 경남대학교 대학원 컴퓨 터공학과 박사

1980년~현 재 : 경상대학교 컴퓨터과학과 교수 관심분야: : 시뮬레이션, 멀티미디어, 원격교육



서 영 건

1987년 : 경상대학교 전산과 학사 1997년 : 숭실대학교 전산과 박사 1989년~1992년 : 삼보컴퓨터

1997년~현 재 : 경상대학교 컴퓨터교육과 부교수 2001년~현 재 : 경상대학교 컴퓨터정보통신연구소원 관심분야 : 멀티미디어통신, 영상인식, 원격교육



박순화 1996년 : 진주산업대학교 전산 학 사 2000년 : 경상대학교 컴퓨터과학 석사 2005년 : 경상대학교 컴퓨터과학과

관심분야 : 데이터베이스, 데이터 압축, 원격교육

김 호 용

박사 수료

1985년 : 울산대학교 전산과 1987년 : 숭실대학교 전산과 석사 1990년~1991년 : 한국과학기술원 1991년~1995년 : 현대자동차(주)

1996년~현 재 : 영진전문대학 컴퓨터정보기술계열 조교수

관심분야 : 데이터베이스, 데이터 압축, 원격교육

김 형 준



1987년 : 부산대학교 계산통계학과 1989년 : 숭실대학교 전산과 석사 1989년~현 재 : 국방과학연구소

관심분야 : JPEG2000, CIM, MPEG21, 원격교육