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Abstract. Let $f$ denote a mapping from an orthogonality space $(\mathcal{X}, \perp)$ into a real Banach space $\mathcal{Y}$. In this paper, we prove the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the orthogonally cubic functional equations $f(2 x+y)+f(2 x-y)=2 f(x+y)+2 f(x-y)+12 f(x)$ and $f(x+y+2 z)+f(x+y-2 z)+f(2 x)+f(2 y)=2 f(x+y)+4 f(x+z)+4 f(x-z)+4 f(y+$ $z)+4 f(y-z)$, where $x \perp y, y \perp z, x \perp z$.

## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

The stability problem of functional equations originated from the following question of Ulam [27]: Under what condition does there is an additive mapping near an approximately additive mapping? In 1941, Hyers [11] gave a partial affirmative answer to the question of Ulam in the context of Banach spaces. In 1978, Th. M. Rassias [22] extended the theorem of Hyers by considering the unbounded Cauchy difference $\|f(x+y)-f(x)-f(y)\| \leq \varepsilon\left(\|x\|^{p}+\|y\|^{p}\right),(\epsilon>0, p \in[0,1))$. The result of Rassias has provided a lot of influence in the development of what we now call Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of functional equations. During the last decades several stability problems of functional equations have been investigated in the spirit of Hyers-Ulam-Rassias. The reader is referred to [1], [2], [5], [12], [17], [23] and references therein for detailed information on stability of functional equations.

There are several concepts of orthogonality in an arbitrary real Banach space $\mathcal{X}$ which are generalizations of orthogonality in the inner product spaces. These are of intrinsic geometric interest and have been studied by many mathematicians. Among them we recall the following ones:

[^0](i) Trivial $\perp_{v}: x \perp_{v} 0,0 \perp_{v} x$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and for non-zero elements $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$, $x \perp_{v} y$ if and only if $x, y$ are linearly independent.
(ii) Birkhoff-James $\perp_{B}: x \perp_{B} y$ if $\|x\| \leq\|x+\alpha y\|$ for all scalars $\alpha$; cf. [3], [14].
(iii) Phythagorean $\perp_{P}: x \perp_{P} y$ if $\|x+y\|^{2}=\|x\|^{2}+\|y\|^{2}$ (see [13])
(vi) Isosceles $\perp_{I}: x \perp_{I} y$ if $\|x+y\|=\|x-y\|$ (see [13])
(v) Diminnie $\quad \perp_{D}: x \perp_{D} y$ if $\sup \left\{f(x) g(y)-f(y) g(x): f, g \in S^{*}\right\}=\|x\| \mid \| y$ where $S^{*}$ is the unit sphere of the dual space $\mathcal{X}^{*}$ of $\mathcal{X}$; cf. [6]
(vi) Carlsson $\perp_{C}: x \perp_{C} y$ if either $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_{i}\left\|\beta_{i} x+\gamma_{i} y\right\|^{2}=0$ where $m \geq 2$ and $\alpha_{i} \neq 0, \beta_{i}, \gamma_{i}$ are fixed real numbers such that $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_{i} \beta_{i}^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_{i} \gamma_{i}^{2}=$ $0, \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_{i} \beta_{i} \gamma_{i}=1$; cf. [4].
(vii) $T$-orthogonality $\perp_{T}$ : Given a linear mapping $T: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{*}, x \perp_{T} y$ if $T(x)(y)=0 ; \mathrm{cf} .[25]$

In 1975, Gudder and Strawther [10] defined an abstract orthogonality relation $\perp$ by a system consisting of five axioms and described the general semi-continuous real-valued solution of conditional Cauchy functional equation $f(x+y)=f(x)+$ $f(y), x \perp y$. In 1985, Rätz [24] introduced a new definition of orthogonality by using more restrictive axioms than those of Gudder and Strawther. A (normed) linear space $\mathcal{X}$ equipped with an orthogonal relation $\perp$ in any appropriate sense containing the conditions $x \perp 0,0 \perp x(x \in \mathcal{A})$ is called an orthogonality (normed) space. In 1995, Ger and Sikorska [9] investigated the so-called orthogonal stability of the Cauchy functional equation in the sense of Rätz. This result then generalized by Moslehian [19] in the framework of Banach modules.

The orthogonally quadratic equation $f(x+y)+f(x-y)=2 f(x)+2 f(y), x \perp y$ was first studied by Vajzović [28] when $\mathcal{X}$ is a Hilbert space, $\mathcal{Y}$ is the scalar field, $f$ is continuous and $\perp$ means the Hilbert space orthogonality. Later, Drljević [7], Fochi [8] and Szabó [26] generalized this result. An investigation of the orthogonal stability of the quadratic equation may be found in [20] (see also [18]). In [15], Jun and Kim considered the following cubic functional equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(2 x+y)+f(2 x-y)=2 f(x+y)+2 f(x-y)+12 f(x) . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In [16], Jung and Chang considered the following cubic functional equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(x+y+2 z)+f(x+y-2 z)+f(2 x)+f(2 y)  \tag{1.2}\\
= & 2 f(x+y)+4 f(x+z)+4 f(x-z)+4 f(y+z)+4 f(y-z) .
\end{align*}
$$

It is easy to show that the function $f(x)=x^{3}$ satisfies the functional equations (1.1) and (1.2), which are called cubic functional equations and every solution of the cubic functional equations is said to be a cubic mapping.

Let $\mathcal{X}$ be an orthogonality space and $\mathcal{Y}$ a real Banach space. A mapping $f$ : $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is called orthogonally cubic if it satisfies any one of the so-called orthogonally cubic functional equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(2 x+y)+f(2 x-y)=2 f(x+y)+2 f(x-y)+12 f(x) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(x+y+2 z)+f(x+y-2 z)+f(2 x)+f(2 y)  \tag{1.4}\\
= & 2 f(x+y)+4 f(x+z)+4 f(x-z)+4 f(y+z)+4 f(y-z)
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$ with $x \perp y, y \perp z, x \perp z$. Putting $y=0$ in (1.3) and $y=z=0$ in (1.4) we get $f(2 x)=8 f(x)$ whence $f\left(2^{n} x\right)=8^{n} f(x) \quad(x \in \mathcal{X}, n \in \mathbb{N})$ for all orthogonally cubic mapping $f$.

In this paper, we investigate the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the orthogonally cubic functional equations (1.3) and (1.4).

## 2. Stability of orthogonally cubic functional equations

Throughout this section, $(\mathcal{X}, \perp)$ denotes an orthogonality normed space with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{X}}$ and $\left(\mathcal{Y},\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{Y}}\right)$ is a Banach space. We aim to study the conditional stability problems for

$$
f(2 x+y)+f(2 x-y)=2 f(x+y)+2 f(x-y)+12 f(x)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(x+y+2 z)+f(x+y-2 z)+f(2 x)+f(2 y) \\
= & 2 f(x+y)+4 f(x+z)+4 f(x-z)+4 f(y+z)+4 f(y-z)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$ with $x \perp y, y \perp z, x \perp z$ and $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is a mapping.
Theorem 2.1. Let $\theta$ and $p \quad(p<3)$ be nonnegative real numbers. Suppose that $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is a mapping with $f(0)=0$ fulfilling

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|f(2 x+y)+f(2 x-y)-2 f(x+y)-2 f(x-y)-12 f(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}}  \tag{2.1}\\
\leq & \theta\left(\|x\|_{\mathcal{X}}^{p}+\|y\|_{\mathcal{X}}^{p}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ with $x \perp y$. Then there exists a unique orthogonally cubic mapping $T: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x)-T(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \frac{\theta}{16-2^{p+1}}\|x\|_{\mathcal{X}}^{p} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$.
Proof. Putting $y=0$ in (2.1), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|2 f(2 x)-16 f(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \theta\|x\|_{\mathcal{X}}^{p} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$, since $x \perp 0$. So

$$
\left\|f(x)-\frac{1}{8} f(2 x)\right\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \frac{\theta}{16}\|x\|_{\mathcal{X}}^{p}
$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{1}{8^{n}} f\left(2^{n} x\right)-\frac{1}{8^{m}} f\left(2^{m} x\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \frac{\theta}{16} \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} \frac{2^{p k}}{8^{k}}\|x\|_{\mathcal{X}}^{p} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all nonnegative integers $n, m$ with $n<m$. Thus $\left\{\frac{1}{8^{n}} f\left(2^{n} x\right)\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathcal{Y}$. Since $\mathcal{Y}$ is complete, there exists a mapping $T: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ defined by

$$
T(x):=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{8^{n}} f\left(2^{n} x\right)
$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$. Letting $n=0$ and $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.4), we get the inequality (2.2). It follows from (2.1) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|T(2 x+y)+T(2 x-y)-2 T(x+y)-2 T(x-y)-12 T(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \\
= & \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{8^{n}} \| f\left(2^{n}(2 x+y)\right)+f\left(2^{n}(2 x-y)\right)-2 f\left(2^{n}(x+y)\right) \\
& -2 f\left(2^{n}(x-y)\right)-12 f\left(2^{n} x\right) \|_{\mathcal{Y}} \\
\leq & \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^{p n} \theta}{8^{n}}\left(\|x\|_{\mathcal{X}}^{p}+\|y\|_{\mathcal{X}}^{p}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ with $x \perp y$. So

$$
T(2 x+y)+T(2 x-y)-2 T(x+y)-2 T(x-y)-12 T(x)=0
$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ with $x \perp y$. Hence $T: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is an orthogonally cubic mapping. Let $Q: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be another orthogonally cubic mapping satisfying (2.2). Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|T(x)-Q(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} & =\frac{1}{8^{n}}\left\|T\left(2^{n} x\right)-Q\left(2^{n} x\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{8^{n}}\left(\left\|f\left(2^{n} x\right)-T\left(2^{n} x\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{Y}}+\left\|f\left(2^{n} x\right)-Q\left(2^{n} x\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{Y}}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{\theta}{8-2^{p}} \cdot \frac{2^{p n}}{8^{n}}\|x\|_{\mathcal{X}}^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

which tends to zero for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$. So we have $T(x)=Q(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$. This proves the uniqueness of $T$.

Theorem 2.2. Let $\theta$ and $p \quad(p>3)$ be nonnegative real numbers. Suppose that $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is a mapping with $f(0)=0$ fulfilling

$$
\|f(2 x+y)+f(2 x-y)-2 f(x+y)-2 f(x-y)-12 f(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \theta\left(\|x\|_{\mathcal{X}}^{p}+\|y\|_{\mathcal{X}}^{p}\right)
$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ with $x \perp y$. Then there exists a unique orthogonally cubic mapping $T: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x)-T(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \frac{\theta}{2^{p+1}-16}\|x\|_{\mathcal{X}}^{p} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$.
Proof. It follows from (2.3) that

$$
\left\|f(x)-8 f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \frac{\theta}{2^{p+1}}\|x\|_{\mathcal{X}}^{p}
$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$. So

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|8^{n} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n}}\right)-8^{m} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{m}}\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \frac{\theta}{2^{p+1}} \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} \frac{8^{k}}{2^{p k}}\|x\|_{\mathcal{X}}^{p} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all nonnegative integers $n, m$ with $n<m$. Thus $\left\{8^{n} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n}}\right)\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathcal{Y}$. Since $\mathcal{Y}$ is complete, there exists a mapping $T: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ defined by

$$
T(x):=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} 8^{n} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n}}\right)
$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$. Letting $n=0$ and $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.6), we get the inequality (2.5).
The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1.
Theorem 2.3. Let $\theta$ and $p \quad(p<3)$ be nonnegative real numbers. Suppose that $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is a mapping with $f(0)=0$ fulfilling

$$
\begin{align*}
& \| f(x+y+2 z)+f(x+y-2 z)+f(2 x)+f(2 y)-2 f(x+y)  \tag{2.7}\\
& -4 f(x+z)-4 f(x-z)-4 f(y+z)-4 f(y-z) \|_{\mathcal{Y}} \\
\leq \quad & \theta\left(\|x\|_{\mathcal{X}}^{p}+\|y\|_{\mathcal{X}}^{p}+\|z\|_{\mathcal{X}}^{p}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$ with $x \perp y, y \perp z$ and $x \perp z$. Then there exists a unique orthogonally cubic mapping $T: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x)-T(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \frac{\theta}{8-2^{p}}\|x\|_{\mathcal{X}}^{p} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$.
Proof. Putting $y=z=0$ in (2.7), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(2 x)-8 f(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \theta\|x\|_{\mathcal{X}}^{p} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$, since $x \perp 0$ and $0 \perp 0$. So

$$
\left\|f(x)-\frac{1}{8} f(2 x)\right\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \frac{\theta}{8}\|x\|_{\mathcal{X}}^{p}
$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{1}{8^{n}} f\left(2^{n} x\right)-\frac{1}{8^{m}} f\left(2^{m} x\right)\right\| \mathcal{Y} \leq \frac{\theta}{8} \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} \frac{2^{p k}}{8^{k}}\|x\|_{\mathcal{X}}^{p} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all nonnegative integers $n, m$ with $n<m$. Thus $\left\{\frac{1}{8^{n}} f\left(2^{n} x\right)\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathcal{Y}$. Since $\mathcal{Y}$ is complete, there exists a mapping $T: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ defined by

$$
T(x):=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{8^{n}} f\left(2^{n} x\right)
$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$. Letting $n=0$ and $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.10), we get the inequality (2.8). It follows from (2.7) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \| T(x+y+2 z)+T(x+y-2 z)+T(2 x)+T(2 y)-2 T(x+y) \\
& -4 T(x+z)-4 T(x-z)-4 T(y+z)-4 T(y-z) \|_{\mathcal{Y}} \\
= & \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{8^{n}} \| f\left(2^{n}(x+y+2 z)\right)+f\left(2^{n}(x+y-2 z)\right)+f\left(2^{n+1} x\right)+f\left(2^{n+1} y\right) \\
& -2 f\left(2^{n}(x+y)\right)-4 f\left(2^{n}(x+z)\right)-4 f\left(2^{n}(x-z)\right)-4 f\left(2^{n}(y+z)\right)-4 f\left(2^{n}(y-z)\right) \|_{\mathcal{Y}} \\
\leq & \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^{p n} \theta}{8^{n}}\left(\|x\|_{\mathcal{X}}^{p}+\|y\|_{\mathcal{X}}^{p}+\|z\|_{\mathcal{X}}^{p}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$ with $x \perp y, y \perp z$ and $x \perp z$. So

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T(x+y+2 z)+T(x+y-2 z)+T(2 x)+T(2 y)-2 T(x+y) \\
& \quad-4 T(x+z)-4 T(x-z)-4 T(y+z)-4 T(y-z)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$ with $x \perp y, y \perp z$ and $x \perp z$. Hence $T: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is an orthogonally cubic mapping. Let $Q: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be another orthogonally cubic mapping satisfying (2.8). Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|T(x)-Q(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} & =\frac{1}{8^{n}}\left\|T\left(2^{n} x\right)-Q\left(2^{n} x\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{8^{n}}\left(\left\|f\left(2^{n} x\right)-T\left(2^{n} x\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{Y}}+\left\|f\left(2^{n} x\right)-Q\left(2^{n} x\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{Y}}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{2 \theta}{8-2^{p}} \cdot \frac{2^{p n}}{8^{n}}\|x\|_{\mathcal{X}}^{p},
\end{aligned}
$$

which tends to zero for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$. So we have $T(x)=Q(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$. This proves the uniqueness of $T$.
Theorem 2.4. Let $\theta$ and $p(p>3)$ be nonnegative real numbers. Suppose that $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is a mapping with $f(0)=0$ fulfilling

$$
\begin{aligned}
\| f(x+y+2 z) & +f(x+y-2 z)+f(2 x)+f(2 y)-2 f(x+y) \\
& -4 f(x+z)-4 f(x-z)-4 f(y+z)-4 f(y-z) \| \mathcal{Y} \\
& \leq \theta\left(\|x\|_{\mathcal{X}}^{p}+\|y\|_{\mathcal{X}}^{p}+\|z\|_{\mathcal{X}}^{p}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$ with $x \perp y, y \perp z$ and $x \perp z$. Then there exists a unique orthogonally cubic mapping $T: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x)-T(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \frac{\theta}{2^{p}-8}\|x\|_{\mathcal{X}}^{p} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$.
Proof. It follows from (2.9) that

$$
\left\|f(x)-8 f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \frac{\theta}{2^{p}}\|x\|_{\mathcal{X}}^{p}
$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$. So

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|8^{n} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n}}\right)-8^{m} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{m}}\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \frac{\theta}{2^{p}} \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} \frac{8^{k}}{2^{p k}}\|x\|_{\mathcal{X}}^{p} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all nonnegative integers $n, m$ with $n<m$. Thus $\left\{8^{n} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n}}\right)\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathcal{Y}$. Since $\mathcal{Y}$ is complete, there exists a mapping $T: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ defined by

$$
T(x):=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} 8^{n} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n}}\right)
$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$. Letting $n=0$ and $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.12), we get the inequality (2.11). The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.3.
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