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Abstract. In this paper, oscillation and nonoscillation criteria are established for nonlinear neutral delay differential equations with several positive and negative coefficients

$$
[x(t)-R(t) x(t-r)]^{\prime}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} P_{i}(t) H_{i}\left(x\left(t-\tau_{i}\right)\right)-\sum_{j=1}^{n} Q_{j}(t) H_{j}\left(x\left(t-\sigma_{j}\right)\right)=0 .
$$

Our criteria improve and extend many results known in the literature. In addition we prove that under appropriate hypotheses, if every solution of the associated linear equation with constant coefficients,

$$
y^{\prime}(t)+\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(p_{i}-\sum_{k \in J_{i}} q_{k}\right) y\left(t-\tau_{i}\right)=0,
$$

oscillates, then every solution of the nonlinear equation also oscillates.

## 1. Introduction

In recent years there has been much research activity concerning the linearized oscillation theory of the nonlinear delay differential equations, which in some sense parallels the so called linearized stability theory for differential equations are important in applications in Physics, Ecology, Biology and many applications in epidemics and infectious diseases. For some contributions to this topic we refer to the articles by Kulenovic, Ladas and Meimardou [12], Kulenovic and Ladas ([13], [14], [15]), Gopalsamy, Kulenovic and Ladas [7], Ladas and Qian([16], [17]), Rodica [27], Wei Jun Jie [17], Gyori and Ladas [8], Hiroshi [10], Hua and Joinshe [11], Xiping, Jun and Sui Sun [28], Qirui [21] and Norio [20]. They are considered the case with several coefficients and several delays but with positive coefficients, the oscillation of linear neutral delay differential equation with positive and negative coefficients has been investigate by many authors. See, for example, [2], [5], [6], [8], [23], [24], [26], [32] and the references cited therein and Elabbasy and Saker [4] studied the oscillation of nonlinear delay differential equation.

[^0]Our aim in this paper is to investigate some oscillation results for the nonlinear, nonautonomous neutral delay differential equation with several positive and negative coefficients. our results partially solve an open problem posed by Gyori and Ladas [8] in Chapter 4.

Consider the nonlinear neutral delay differential equation with several positive and negative coefficients

$$
\begin{equation*}
[x(t)-R(t) x(t-r)]^{\prime}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} P_{i}(t) H_{i}\left(x\left(t-\tau_{i}\right)\right)-\sum_{j=1}^{n} Q_{j}(t) H_{j}\left(x\left(t-\sigma_{j}\right)\right)=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we will assume that the following hypotheses are satisfied:
$\left(A_{1}\right) P_{i}, Q_{j}, R \in C\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), R^{+}\right), H_{i}, H_{j} \in C[R, R], r \in(0, \infty)$ and $\tau_{i}, \sigma_{j} \in R^{+}$ for $i=1,2, \cdots, m$ and $j=1,2, \cdots, n$.
$\left(A_{2}\right)$ There exists a positive number $p \leq m$ and a partion of the set $(1,2, \cdots, n)$ into $p$ disjoint subsets $J_{1}, J_{2}, \cdots, J_{p}$ such that $k \in J_{i}$ implies that

$$
u H_{k}(u)>0 \quad \text { for } u \neq 0, \quad \lim _{u \rightarrow 0} \frac{H_{i}(u)}{u}=1, \quad H_{i} \geq \sum_{k \in J_{i}} H_{k}, \sigma_{k} \leq \tau_{i}
$$

and there exist a positive constant $\delta$ such that either

$$
H_{i}(u) \leq u \quad \text { for } u \in[0, \delta]
$$

or

$$
H_{i}(u) \geq u \text { for } u \in[-\delta, 0]
$$

$\left(A_{3}\right)$

$$
\begin{gather*}
F_{i}(t):=P_{i}(t)-\sum_{k \in J_{i}} Q_{k}\left(t-\tau_{i}+\sigma_{k}\right) \geq 0(\neq 0) \text { for } i=1,2, \cdots, p \\
F_{i}(t):=P_{i}(t) \text { for } i=p+1, \cdots, m \\
\varrho=\max \left\{r, \tau_{i}, \sigma_{j}: 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n\right\} \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\delta=\min \left\{r, \tau_{i}, \sigma_{j}: 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n\right\}
$$

$\left(A_{5}\right)$ There exist positive constants $M_{i}$ such that

$$
\frac{H_{i}(u)}{u} \leq M_{i} \text { and } 1-\sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{k \in J_{i}} M_{i} q_{k}\left(\sigma_{i}-\tau_{k}\right)>0 .
$$

A function $x(t) \in C\left(\left[t_{1}-\varrho, \infty\right), R\right)$ is said to be a solution of equation (1) for some $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$ if $x(t)-R(t) x(t-r)$ is continuously differentiable on $\left[t_{1}, \infty\right)$ and satisfies (1) for $t>t_{1}$.

As is customary, a solution of (1) is said to be nonoscillatory if it is eventually positive or eventually negative. Otherwise, it will be called oscillatory.

For convenience, we will assume that all inequalities concerning the values of functions are satisfied eventually for all large $t$.

## 2. Some lemmas

We need the following lemmas for the proofs of our main results.
Lemma 1 ([8]). Let $a \in(-\infty, 0), \tau \in(0, \infty), t_{0} \in R$ and suppose that $x(t) \in$ $C\left[\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), R\right]$ satisfies the inequality

$$
x(t) \leq a+\max _{t-\tau \leq s \leq t} x(s) \quad \text { for } t \geq t_{0}
$$

Then $x(t)$ cannot be non-negative function.
Lemma 2 ([8]). Let $v(t)$ be a positive and continuously differentiable function on some interval $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$. Assume that there exist positive numbers $A$ and $\alpha$ such that for $t$ sufficiently large $\dot{v}(t) \leq 0$ and $v(t-\tau)<A v(t)$ Set $\Lambda=$ $\{\lambda \geq 0: \dot{v}(t)+\lambda v(t) \leq 0 \quad$ for $t$ sufficiently large $\}$. Then $A>1, \lambda_{0}=\frac{\ln (A)}{\alpha} \notin \Lambda$.

Lemma 3 ([8]). Let $p$ and $\tau$ be positive constants, let $z(t)$ be an eventually positive solution of the delay differential inequality $\dot{z}(t)+p z(t-\tau) \leq 0$. Then for $t$ sufficiently large $z(t-\tau)<\beta z(t)$, where $\beta=\frac{4}{(p \tau)^{2}}$.
Lemma 4. Assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(t)+\sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{k \in J_{i}} \int_{t-\tau_{i}+\sigma_{k}}^{t} Q_{k}(s) d s \leq 1 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $x(t)$ be an eventually positive solution of the differential inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
[x(t)-R(t) x(t-r)]^{\prime}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} P_{i}(t) H_{i}\left(x\left(t-\tau_{i}\right)\right)-\sum_{j=1}^{n} Q_{j}(t) H_{j}\left(x\left(t-\sigma_{j}\right)\right) \leq 0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and set

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(t)=x(t)-R(t) x(t-r)-\sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{k \in J_{i}} \int_{t-\tau_{i}+\sigma_{k}}^{t} Q_{k}(s) H_{k}\left(x\left(s-\sigma_{k}\right)\right) d s \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then
(5) $\quad z^{\prime}(t) \leq 0, z(t)>0$ and $z^{\prime}(t)+\sum_{i=1}^{m} F_{i}(t)\left(1-\varepsilon_{i}\right) z\left(t-\tau_{i}\right) \leq 0, \varepsilon_{i} \in(0,1)$.

Proof. Let $x(t)$ be a non-oscillatory solution of Eq.(1). We will assume that $x(t)$ is eventually positive (The case where $x(t)$ is eventually negative is similar and will be omitted). Assume that $t_{1} \geq t_{0}+\varrho$ is such that $x(t)>0$ for $t \geq t_{1}$. Then by (3) and (4), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
z^{\prime}(t)= & (x(t)-R(t) x(t-r))^{\prime}-\sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{k \in J_{i}} Q_{k}(t) H_{k}\left(x\left(t-\sigma_{k}\right)\right) \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{k \in J_{i}} Q_{k}\left(t-\tau_{i}+\sigma_{k}\right) H_{k}\left(x\left(t-\tau_{i}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
z^{\prime}(t)= & (x(t)-R(t) x(t-r))^{\prime}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} Q_{j}(t) H_{j}\left(x\left(t-\sigma_{j}\right)\right) \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{k \in J_{i}} Q_{k}\left(t-\tau_{i}+\sigma_{k}\right) H_{k}\left(x\left(t-\tau_{i}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

From (1) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
z^{\prime}(t)= & -\sum_{i=1}^{p} P_{i}(t) H_{i}\left(x\left(t-\tau_{i}\right)\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{k \in J_{i}} Q_{k}\left(t-\tau_{i}+\sigma_{k}\right) H_{k}\left(x\left(t-\tau_{i}\right)\right) \\
& -\sum_{i=p+1}^{m} P_{i}(t) H_{i}\left(x\left(t-\tau_{i}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence from $\left(A_{1}\right),\left(A_{2}\right)$ and $\left(A_{3}\right)$ we fined

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{\prime}(t)+\sum_{i=1}^{m} F_{i}(t) H_{i}\left(x\left(t-\tau_{i}\right)\right) \leq 0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then from $\left(A_{2}\right)$ and $\left(A_{3}\right)$ we have

$$
z^{\prime}(t) \leq 0 .
$$

Thus by $\left(A_{2}\right)$

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H_{i}\left(x\left(t-\tau_{i}\right)\right)}{x\left(t-\tau_{i}\right)}=1
$$

Let $\varepsilon_{i} \in(0,1)$ then there exists a $T_{\varepsilon_{i}}$ such that for $t \geq T_{\varepsilon_{i}}, x\left(t-\tau_{i}\right)>0$ and

$$
H_{i}\left(x\left(t-\tau_{i}\right)\right) \geq\left(1-\varepsilon_{i}\right) x\left(t-\tau_{i}\right) \quad \text { for } i=1,2, \cdots, m .
$$

From (6) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{\prime}(t)+\sum_{i=1}^{m} F_{i}(t)\left(1-\varepsilon_{i}\right) x\left(t-\tau_{i}\right) \leq 0 . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of $x(t) \geq z(t)$. Hence

$$
z^{\prime}(t)+\sum_{i=1}^{m} F_{i}(t)\left(1-\varepsilon_{i}\right) z\left(t-\tau_{i}\right) \leq 0
$$

Now we show that $z(t)$ is positive. For otherwise there exists a $t_{2} \geq t_{1}$ such that $z\left(t_{2}\right) \leq 0$. Because $z^{\prime}(t) \leq 0$ and so there exists $t_{3} \geq t_{2}$ and $\mu>0$ such that $z(t) \leq-\mu$ for $t \geq t_{3}$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
x(t) & =z(t)+R(t) x(t-r)+\sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{k \in J_{i}} \int_{t-\tau_{i}+\sigma_{k}}^{t} Q_{k}(s) H_{k}\left(x\left(s-\sigma_{k}\right)\right) d s \\
& \leq-\mu+R(t) x(t-r)+\sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{k \in J_{i}} \int_{t-\tau_{i}+\sigma_{k}}^{t} Q_{k}(s) H_{k}\left(x\left(s-\sigma_{k}\right)\right) d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

From $\left(A_{2}\right)$ we find

$$
x(t) \leq-\mu+R(t) x(t-r)+\sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{k \in J_{i}} \int_{t-\tau_{i}+\sigma_{k}}^{t} Q_{k}(s)\left(x\left(s-\sigma_{k}\right)\right) d s
$$

Hence

$$
x(t) \leq-\mu+\max _{t-\varrho \leq s \leq t} x(s)\left(R(t)+\sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{k \in J_{i}} \int_{t-\tau_{i}+\sigma_{k}}^{t} Q_{k}(s) d s\right) .
$$

From (2) we have

$$
x(t) \leq z\left(t_{3}\right)+\max _{t-\rho \leq s \leq t} x(s), \quad \text { for } t \geq t_{3} .
$$

It follows by Lemma 1 that $x(t)$ cannot be a nonnegative function on $\left[t_{3}, \infty\right)$, which contradicts to $x(t)>0$. The proof is complete.

Lemma 5. Assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(t)+\sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{k \in J_{i}} \int_{t-\tau_{i}+\sigma_{k}}^{t} Q_{k}(s)\left(1-\varepsilon_{k}\right) d s \geq 1 . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $x(t)$ be an eventually positive solution of (3) and let $z(t)$ be defined by (4). Then the oscillation of all solutions of the second order ordinary differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}(t)+\varrho^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} F_{i}(t) y(t)=0, \quad t \geq t_{0} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

implies that $z^{\prime}(t) \leq 0$ and $z(t)<0$ eventually.

Proof. From (7) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{\prime}(t) \leq-\sum_{i=1}^{m} F_{i}(t)\left(1-\varepsilon_{i}\right) x\left(t-\tau_{i}\right) \leq 0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, if $z(t)<0$ does not hold eventually, then $z(t)>0$ eventually. Let $t_{1}>t_{0}+\varrho$ be such that $x(t-\varrho)>0, z(t)>0$ for $t \geq t_{1}$. Set

$$
N=2^{-1} \min \left\{x(t): t_{1}-\varrho \leq t \leq t_{1}\right\}
$$

Then $x(t)>N$ for $t_{1}-\varrho \leq t \leq t_{1}$. We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)>N, \quad t \geq t_{1} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

If (11) does not hold, then there exists a $t^{*}>t_{1}$ such that $x(t)>N$ for $t_{1}-\varrho \leq$ $t \leq t^{*}$ and $x\left(t^{*}\right)=N$. By (4) and (8) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
N & =x\left(t^{*}\right)=z\left(t^{*}\right)+R(t) x(t-r)+\sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{k \in J_{i}} \int_{t-\tau_{i}+\sigma_{k}}^{t} Q_{k}(s) H_{k}\left(x\left(s-\sigma_{k}\right)\right) d s \\
& >\left(R(t)+\sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{k \in J_{i}} \int_{t-\tau_{i}+\sigma_{k}}^{t} Q_{k}(s)\left(1-\varepsilon_{k}\right) d s\right) N \geq N
\end{aligned}
$$

This is a contradiction and so (11) holds. Let $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} z(t)=a$. There exist two possible cases:
Case I. $a=0$. There exists a $T_{1}>t_{1}$ such that $z(t)<\frac{N}{2}$ for $t \geq T_{1}$. Then for any $\bar{t}>T_{1}$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{\varrho} \int_{\bar{t}}^{t+\varrho} z(s) d s \leq N<x(t), t \in[\bar{t}, \bar{t}+\varrho]
$$

Case II. $a>0$. Then $z(t) \geq a$ for $t \geq t_{1}$. From (4) and (11) we get
$x(t)=a+R(t) x(t-r)+\sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{k \in J_{i}} \int_{t-\tau_{i}+\sigma_{k}}^{t} Q_{k}(s) H_{k}\left(x\left(s-\sigma_{k}\right)\right) d s \geq a+N, t \geq t_{1}$.
By induction, it is easy to see that $x(t) \geq k a+N$ for $t \geq t_{1}+(k-1) \varrho$ and so $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t)=\infty$, which implies that there exists a $T>T_{1}$ such that

$$
\frac{1}{\varrho} \int_{T}^{t+\varrho} z(s) d s \leq 2 z(T)<x(t), t \in[T, T+\varrho]
$$

Combining the cases I and II we see that

$$
x(t)>\frac{1}{\varrho} \int_{T}^{t+\varrho} z(s) d s \leq 2 z(T), t \in[T, T+\varrho] .
$$

Now we prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)>\frac{1}{\varrho} \int_{T}^{t+\varrho} z(s) d s, t \geq T+\varrho . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Otherwise, there would exists a $t^{*}>T+\varrho$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
x\left(t^{*}\right)=\frac{1}{\varrho} \int_{T}^{t^{*}+\varrho} z(s) d s \\
x(t)>\frac{1}{\varrho} \int_{T}^{t+\varrho} z(s) d s \leq 2 z(T) \quad \text { for } t \in\left(T+\varrho, t^{*}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Then, from (4) and (8), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\varrho} \int_{T}^{t^{*}+\varrho} z(s) d s \\
= & z\left(t^{*}\right)+R\left(t^{*}\right) x\left(t^{*}-r\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{k \in J_{i}} \int_{t^{*}-\tau_{i}+\sigma_{k}}^{t^{*}} Q_{k}(s) H_{k}\left(x\left(s-\sigma_{k}\right)\right) d s \\
> & \frac{1}{\varrho} \int_{t^{*}}^{t^{*}+\varrho} z(s) d s+\left(R\left(t^{*}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{k \in J_{i}} \int_{t^{*}-\tau_{i}+\sigma_{k}}^{t^{*}} Q_{k}(s)\left(1-\varepsilon_{k}\right) d s\right) \frac{1}{\varrho} \int_{T}^{t^{*}} z(s) d s \\
\geq & \frac{1}{\varrho} \int_{T}^{t^{*}+\varrho} z(s) d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

This is a contradiction and so (12) holds. Thus, for $t>T+\varrho$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
x\left(t-\tau_{i}\right)>\frac{1}{\varrho} \int_{T}^{t} z(s) d s \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (13) into (10) leads to

$$
z^{\prime}(t)+\sum_{i=1}^{m} F_{i}(t)\left(1-\varepsilon_{i}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\varrho} \int_{T}^{t} z(s) d s\right) \leq 0, \quad t>T+\varrho .
$$

Set

$$
y(t)=\int_{T}^{t} z(s) d s, t>T+\varrho
$$

Then $y^{\prime}(t)=z(t), y^{\prime \prime}(t)=z^{\prime}(t)$ and

$$
y^{\prime \prime}(t)+\frac{1}{\varrho} \sum_{i=1}^{m} F_{i}(t)\left(1-\varepsilon_{i}\right) y(t) \leq 0, \quad t>T+\varrho
$$

By Lemma 2.4 in [25], Eq. (9) has an eventually positive solution. This is a contradiction and the proof is complete.

Lemma 6. Assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(t)+\sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{k \in J_{i}} \int_{t-\tau_{i}+\sigma_{k}}^{t} Q_{k}(s)\left(1-\varepsilon_{k}\right) d s \equiv 1 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the fact that the inequality (3) has an eventually positive solution $x(t)$ implies that Eq. (1) has a solution $\bar{x}(t)$ which satisfies $0<\bar{x}(t) \leq x(t)$ eventually.
Proof. Let $z(t)$ be defined by (4). By Lemma 2.1 there exists a $t_{1}>t_{0}$ such that $x(t-\varrho)>0, z(t)>0$ and $z^{\prime}(t) \leq 0$ for $t \geq t_{1}$. Set

$$
M=2^{-1} \min \left\{x(t): t_{1}-\varrho \leq t \leq t_{1}\right\}
$$

Then $x(t)>M$ for $t \geq t_{1}-\varrho$. From (4) and (5) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
x(t) \geq & R(t) x(t-r)+\sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{k \in J_{i}} \int_{t-\tau_{i}+\sigma_{k}}^{t} Q_{k}(s) H_{k}\left(x\left(s-\sigma_{k}\right)\right) d s  \tag{15}\\
& +\int_{t}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{m} F_{i}(s) H_{i}\left(x\left(s-\tau_{i}\right)\right) d s, \quad t \geq t_{1}
\end{align*}
$$

Define a sequence of functions $\left\{x_{v}(t)\right\}$ by $x_{0}(t)=x(t)$ and for $v=1,2, \cdots$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
(16) x_{v}(t)= & R(t) x_{v-1}(t-r)+\sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{k \in J_{i}} \int_{t-\tau_{i}+\sigma_{k}}^{t} Q_{k}(s) H_{k}\left(x_{v-1}\left(s-\sigma_{k}\right)\right) d s \\
& +\int_{t}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{m} F_{i}(s) H_{i}\left(x_{v-1}\left(s-\tau_{i}\right)\right) d s, \quad t \geq t_{1}+\varrho
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
x_{v}(t)=M+\frac{x_{v}\left(t_{1}+\varrho\right)-M}{x\left(t_{1}+\varrho\right)-M}(x(t)-M), \quad t_{1} \leq t<t_{1}+\varrho .
$$

Then, from (15) and (16), we have for $t \geq t_{1}+\varrho$

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{0}(t)= & x(t) \geq x_{1}(t) \\
= & R(t) x(t-r)+\sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{k \in J_{i}} \int_{t-\tau_{i}+\sigma_{k}}^{t} Q_{k}(s) H_{k}\left(x\left(s-\sigma_{k}\right)\right) d s \\
& \quad+\int_{t}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{m} F_{i}(s) H_{i}\left(x\left(s-\tau_{i}\right)\right) d s \\
\geq & \left(R(t)+\sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{k \in J_{i}} \int_{t-\tau_{i}+\sigma_{k}}^{t} Q_{k}(s)\left(1-\varepsilon_{k}\right) d s\right) M=M .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $t_{1} \leq t<t_{1}+\varrho$ we have

$$
x_{0}(t)=x(t) \geq M+\frac{x_{1}\left(t_{1}+\varrho\right)-M}{x\left(t_{1}+\varrho\right)-M}(x(t)-M)=x_{1}(t) \geq M
$$

Thus, $x_{0}(t) \geq x_{1}(t) \geq M$ for $t \geq t_{1}$. By induction, one can easily prove that

$$
x_{v}(t) \geq x_{v+1}(t) \geq M, \quad t \geq t_{1}, v=1,2, \cdots
$$

Therefore, $\left\{x_{v}(t)\right\}$ has a positive limit function $\bar{x}(t)$ with

$$
0<M \leq \lim _{v \rightarrow \infty} x_{v}(t)=\bar{x}(t) \leq x(t) \quad \text { for } \quad t \geq t_{1}
$$

By the Monotone Convergence Theorem we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{x}(t)= & R(t) \bar{x}(t-r)+\sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{k \in J_{i}} \int_{t-\tau_{i}+\sigma_{k}}^{t} Q_{k}(s) H_{k}\left(\bar{x}\left(s-\sigma_{k}\right)\right) d s \\
& +\int_{t}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{m} F_{i}(s) H_{i}\left(\bar{x}\left(s-\tau_{i}\right)\right) d s, \quad t \geq t_{1}+\varrho
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that for $t \geq t_{1}+\varrho$

$$
[\bar{x}(t)-R(t) \bar{x}(t-r)]^{\prime}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} P_{i}(t) H_{i}\left(\bar{x}\left(t-\tau_{i}\right)\right)-\sum_{j=1}^{n} Q_{j}(t) H_{j}\left(\bar{x}\left(t-\sigma_{j}\right)\right)=0
$$

The proof is complete.
Lemma 7. Assume that (14) holds with $\delta>0$. Then Eq. (1) has an eventually positive solution if the second order ordinary differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}(t)+\delta^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} H_{i}(t) y(t)=0, t \geq t_{0} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

has an eventually positive solution.
Proof. Let $y(t)$ be an eventually positive solution of (17). Then there exists a $t_{1}>t_{0}$ such that $y(t)>0, y^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq 0$ and $y^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \geq t_{1}$. Define a function $x(t)$ by

$$
\begin{gathered}
x(t)=\delta^{-1} y(t), \quad t_{1} \leq t \leq t_{1}+\varrho-\delta \\
x(t)=\delta^{-1}\left\{y(t)+\left(t-t_{1}-\varrho+\delta\right) y^{\prime}(t+\varrho)\right\}, t_{1}+\varrho-\delta \leq t \leq t_{1}+\varrho
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
x(t)= & y^{\prime}(t)+R(t) x(t-r)+\sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{k \in J_{i}} \int_{t-\tau_{i}+\sigma_{k}}^{t} Q_{k}(s) H_{k}\left(x\left(s-\sigma_{k}\right)\right) d s, \\
& t_{1}+\varrho+l \delta<t \leq t_{1}+\varrho+(l+1) \delta, \quad l=0,1, \cdots .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $x(t)$ is continuous and positive for $t \geq t_{1}$, and (18)

$$
y^{\prime}(t)=x(t)-R(t) x(t-r)-\sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{k \in J_{i}} \int_{t-\tau_{i}+\sigma_{k}}^{t} Q_{k}(s) H_{k}\left(x\left(s-\sigma_{k}\right)\right) d s, \quad t \geq t_{1}
$$

Since $y^{\prime}(t)>0$ and $y^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq 0$, we have for $t_{1}+\varrho-\delta \leq t \leq t_{1}+\varrho$

$$
y(t)-y\left(t_{1}\right)=y^{\prime}(\zeta)\left(t-t_{1}\right) \geq y^{\prime}\left(t_{1}+\varrho\right)\left(t-t_{1}\right) \geq\left(t-t_{1}-\varrho+\delta\right) y^{\prime}\left(t_{1}+\varrho\right)
$$

and so

$$
x(t) \leq \frac{1}{\delta} y(t), \quad t_{1} \leq t \leq t_{1}+\varrho
$$

For $t_{1}+\varrho \leq t \leq t_{1}+\varrho+\delta$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
x(t) & =y^{\prime}(t)+R(t) x(t-r)+\sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{k \in J_{i}} \int_{t-\tau_{i}+\sigma_{k}}^{t} Q_{k}(s) H_{k}\left(x\left(s-\sigma_{k}\right)\right) d s \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\delta}(y(t)-y(t-\delta))+\left(R(t)+\sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{k \in J_{i}} \int_{t-\tau_{i}+\sigma_{k}}^{t} Q_{k}(s)\left(1-\varepsilon_{k}\right) d s\right) \frac{1}{\delta} y(t-\delta) \\
& =\frac{1}{\delta} y(t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By induction, one can prove in general that for $l=0,1, \cdots$

$$
x(t) \leq \frac{1}{\delta} y(t), \quad t_{1}+\varrho+l \delta<t \leq t_{1}+\varrho+(l+1) \delta .
$$

Therefore

$$
x(t) \leq \frac{1}{\delta} y(t), \quad t \geq t_{1}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
x\left(t-\tau_{i}\right) \leq \frac{1}{\delta} y\left(t-\tau_{i}\right)<\frac{1}{\delta} y(t), \quad t \geq t_{1}+\varrho, i=1,2, \cdots, m \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (18) and (19) into (17) we obtain

$$
[x(t)-R(t) x(t-r)]^{\prime}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} P_{i}(t) H_{i}\left(x\left(t-\tau_{i}\right)\right)-\sum_{j=1}^{n} Q_{j}(t) H_{j}\left(x\left(t-\sigma_{j}\right)\right) \leq 0
$$

By Lemma 6, Eq. (1) has an eventually positive solution. The proof is complete. $\square$
Lemma 8 ([1], [9]). Consider the ordinary differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}(t)+p(t) y(t)=0, \quad t \geq t_{0} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p(t) \in C\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), R^{+}\right)$. Then
(i) All solutions of (20) oscillate if

$$
\lim \inf _{t \rightarrow \infty} t \int_{t}^{\infty} p(s) d s>\frac{1}{4}
$$

(ii) Eq. (20) has an eventually positive solution if

$$
t \int_{t}^{\infty} p(s) d s \leq \frac{1}{4} \quad \text { for large } t
$$

## 3. Oscillation of equation (1)

In the following section we establish some sufficient conditions for all solutions of Eq. (1) to be oscillatory, and give a linearized oscillation results.

Theorem 1. Assume that (2) holds, $\tau_{p}=\max \left\{\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}, \cdots, \tau_{m}\right\}$ and

$$
\lim \sup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t}^{t+\tau_{p}} F_{p}(s) d s>0
$$

If

$$
\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(1-\varepsilon_{i}\right) F_{i}(t) \ln \left[\begin{array}{c}
e\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(1-\varepsilon_{i}\right) \int_{t}^{t+\tau_{i}} F_{i}(s) d s\right)  \tag{21}\\
+1-\operatorname{sgn}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(1-\varepsilon_{i}\right) \int_{t}^{t+\tau_{i}} F_{i}(s) d s\right)
\end{array}\right]=\infty
$$

where $\varepsilon_{i} \in(0,1)$, then all solutions of (1) oscillate.
Proof. On the contrary, assume that (1) has an eventually positive solution $x(t)$ and let $z(t)$ be defined by (4). It follows from Lemma 4 that (5) holds. From Corollary 3.2 .2 in [8], we have that the delay differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}(t)+\sum_{i=1}^{m} F_{i}(t)\left(1-\varepsilon_{i}\right) y\left(t-\tau_{i}\right)=0 \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

has an eventually positive solution $y(t)$. Let $\lambda(t)=\frac{-y^{\prime}(t)}{y(t)}$. Then $\lambda(t) \geq 0$ and it satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(t)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} F_{i}(t)\left(1-\varepsilon_{i}\right) \exp \left(\int_{t-\tau_{i}}^{t} \lambda(s) d s\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda(t) \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(1-\varepsilon_{i}\right) \int_{t}^{t+\tau_{i}} F_{i}(s) d s= & \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(1-\varepsilon_{i}\right) F_{i}(t)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(1-\varepsilon_{i}\right) \int_{t}^{t+\tau_{i}} F_{i}(s) d s\right) \\
& \cdot \exp \left(\int_{t-\tau_{i}}^{t} \lambda(s) d s\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

One can easily show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(u) u e^{x} \geq \varphi(u) x+\varphi(u) \ln (e u+1-\operatorname{sgn} u) \text { for } u \geq 0 \text { and } x \in R \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi(0)=0$ and $\varphi(u) \geq 0$ for $u>0$. Employing inequality (24) on the righthand side of (23) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda(t) \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(1-\varepsilon_{i}\right) \int_{t}^{t+\tau_{i}} F_{i}(s) d s \geq \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(1-\varepsilon_{i}\right) F_{i}(t) \int_{t-\tau_{i}}^{t} \lambda(s) d s+\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(1-\varepsilon_{i}\right) F_{i}(t) \\
& \cdot \ln \left[e\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(1-\varepsilon_{i}\right) \int_{t}^{t+\tau_{i}} F_{i}(s) d s\right)+1-\operatorname{sgn}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(1-\varepsilon_{i}\right) \int_{t}^{t+\tau_{i}} F_{i}(s) d s\right)\right] d t
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda(t) \sum_{i=1}^{m} \int_{t}^{t+\tau_{i}}\left(1-\varepsilon_{i}\right) F_{i}(s) d s-\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(1-\varepsilon_{i}\right) F_{i}(t) \int_{t-\tau_{i}}^{t} \lambda(s) d s  \tag{25}\\
\geq & \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(1-\varepsilon_{i}\right) F_{i}(t) \ln \left[\begin{array}{c}
e\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(1-\varepsilon_{i}\right) \int_{t}^{t+\tau_{i}} F_{i}(s) d s\right) \\
+1-\operatorname{sgn}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(1-\varepsilon_{i}\right) \int_{t}^{t+\tau_{i}} F_{i}(s) d s\right)
\end{array}\right] d t .
\end{align*}
$$

Then for $N>T$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { 6) } \quad \int_{T}^{N} \lambda(t) \sum_{i=1}^{m} \int_{t}^{t+\tau_{i}}\left(1-\varepsilon_{i}\right) F_{i}(s) d s d t-\int_{T}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(1-\varepsilon_{i}\right) F_{i}(t) \int_{t-\tau_{i}}^{t} \lambda(s) d s d t  \tag{26}\\
& \geq \quad \int_{T}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(1-\varepsilon_{i}\right) F_{i}(t) \ln \left[\begin{array}{c}
e\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(1-\varepsilon_{i}\right) \int_{t}^{t+\tau_{i}} F_{i}(s) d s\right) \\
+1-\operatorname{sgn}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(1-\varepsilon_{i}\right) \int_{t}^{t+\tau_{i}} F_{i}(s) d s\right)
\end{array}\right] d t .
\end{align*}
$$

By interchanging the order of integration, we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{T}^{N} F_{i}(t) \int_{t-\tau_{i}}^{t} \lambda(s) d s d t & \geq \int_{T}^{N-\tau_{i}} \int_{s}^{s+\tau_{i}} F_{i}(t) \lambda(s) d t d s  \tag{27}\\
& =\int_{T}^{N-\tau_{i}} \lambda(t) \int_{t}^{t+\tau_{i}} F_{i}(s) d s d t
\end{align*}
$$

From (26) and (27) it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i=1}^{m} \int_{N-\tau_{i}}^{N} \lambda(t) \int_{t}^{t+\tau_{i}}\left(1-\varepsilon_{i}\right) F_{i}(s) d s d t  \tag{28}\\
\geq & \int_{T}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(1-\varepsilon_{i}\right) F_{i}(t) \ln \left[e\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(1-\varepsilon_{i}\right) \int_{t}^{t+\tau_{i}} F_{i}(s) d s\right)\right. \\
& \left.+1-\operatorname{sgn}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(1-\varepsilon_{i}\right) \int_{t}^{t+\tau_{i}} F_{i}(s) d s\right)\right] d t
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, since (22) has an eventually positive solution, by Lemma 2 in [18] we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t}^{t+\tau_{i}} F_{i}(s) d s<\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon_{i}}, \quad i=1,2, \cdots, m \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

eventually. Then by (28) and (29) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{m} \int_{N-\tau_{i}}^{N} \lambda(t) d t \geq \int_{T}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(1-\varepsilon_{i}\right) F_{i}(t) \\
& \ln \left[e\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(1-\varepsilon_{i}\right) \int_{t}^{t+\tau_{i}} F_{i}(s) d s\right)+1-\operatorname{sgn}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(1-\varepsilon_{i}\right) \int_{t}^{t+\tau_{i}} F_{i}(s) d s\right)\right] d t
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{m} \ln \frac{y\left(N-\tau_{i}\right)}{y(N)} \geq \int_{T}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(1-\varepsilon_{i}\right) F_{i}(t) \\
& \ln \left[e\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(1-\varepsilon_{i}\right) \int_{t}^{t+\tau_{i}} F_{i}(s) d s\right)+1-\operatorname{sgn}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(1-\varepsilon_{i}\right) \int_{t}^{t+\tau_{i}} F_{i}(s) d s\right)\right] d t
\end{aligned}
$$

By the assumption

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{y\left(t-\tau_{i}\right)}{y(t)}=\infty
$$

This implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{y\left(t-\tau_{p}\right)}{y(t)}=\infty \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, by Lemma 1 in [18] we have

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \inf \frac{y\left(t-\tau_{p}\right)}{y(t)}<\infty
$$

This contradicts (30) and completes the proof.
Corollary 1. Assume that $\left(A_{1}\right)-\left(A_{5}\right)$ above are satisfied and the delay differential equation

$$
z^{\prime}(t)+\sum_{i=1}^{m} F_{i}(t)\left(1-\varepsilon_{i}\right) z\left(t-\tau_{i}\right)=0
$$

has no eventually positive solutions. Then every solution of equation (1) oscillates, where $\varepsilon_{i} \in(0,1)$.

Corollary 2. In addition to the assumptions $\left(A_{1}\right)-\left(A_{5}\right)$ assume that

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t-\varrho}^{t} \sum_{i=1}^{m} F_{i}(s)\left(1-\varepsilon_{i}\right) d s>\frac{1}{e} .
$$

Then every solution of equation (1) oscillates.
The following theorem is the main result for the oscillation of all solutions of the nonlinear delay differential equation (1).

Theorem 2. Assume that $\left(A_{1}\right)-\left(A_{4}\right)$ are satisfied,
$\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} P_{i}(t)=p_{i}, Q_{j}(t) \leq q_{j}$ and $\sum_{k \in J_{i}} q_{k}<p_{i}$ for $i=1,2, \cdots, m$ and $j=1,2, \cdots, n$ and every solution of equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}(t)+\sum_{i=1}^{m} f_{i} y\left(t-\tau_{i}\right)=0 \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{i}=p_{i}-\sum_{k \in J_{i}} q_{k}$ oscillates, then every solution of equation (1) also oscillates.
Proof. On the contrary, assume that (1) has an eventually positive solution $x(t)$. Since every solution of equation (31) oscillates, the characteristic equation

$$
G(\lambda)=\lambda+\sum_{i=1}^{m} f_{i} e^{-\lambda \tau_{i}}=0,
$$

has no real roots. As $G(\infty)=\infty$ it follows that $G(\lambda)>0$ for $\lambda \in R$. In particular $G(0)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} f_{i}>0$. Then $G(-\infty)=\infty$ and so $l=\min _{\lambda \in R} G(\lambda)$ exists and positive. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{m} f_{i} e^{\lambda \tau_{i}} \geq \lambda+l, \lambda \in R \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

let $z(t)$ be defined by (4). It follows from Lemma 4 that (5) holds and $x(t) \geq$ $z(t), z(t)$ is eventually positive and decreasing. Then

$$
z^{\prime}(t)+F_{i_{0}}(t)\left(1-\varepsilon_{i_{0}}\right) z\left(t-\tau_{i_{0}}\right) \leq 0 .
$$

Where the index $i_{0}$ is chosen in such a way that

$$
F_{i_{0}}(t)>0 \text { and } \tau_{i_{0}}>0 .
$$

Clearly for $t$ sufficiently large

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{\prime}(t)+\frac{1}{2} f_{i_{0}}(t)\left(1-\varepsilon_{i_{0}}\right) z\left(t-\tau_{i_{0}}\right) \leq 0 . \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $L=\frac{1}{2} f_{i_{0}}(t)\left(1-\varepsilon_{i_{0}}\right)$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{\prime}(t)+L z\left(t-\tau_{i_{0}}\right) \leq 0 . \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the set $\Lambda=\left\{\lambda \geq 0: z^{\prime}(t)+\lambda z(t) \leq 0\right.$ for $t$ sufficiently large $\}$. Clearly from (34) $\Lambda$ is a non-empty subinterval of $R^{+}$. The proof that every solution of equation (1) oscillates will be completed by showing that $\Lambda$ has the following contradictory properties :
$\left(p_{1}\right) \Lambda$ is bounded above,
$\left(p_{2}\right) \lambda \in \Lambda \Rightarrow \lambda+\frac{l}{2} \in \Lambda$. where $l$ is positive and satisfy (32).
From (34) since $z^{\prime}(t) \leq 0$ Lemma (3) yields $z\left(t-\tau_{i_{0}}\right) \leq \beta z(t)$ with $\beta=\frac{4}{\left(L \tau_{i_{0}}\right)^{2}}$.
So Lemma (2) yields a

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{0}=\frac{\ln (\beta)}{\varrho} \notin \Lambda . \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

this completes the proof of $\left(p_{1}\right)$. In order to establish $\left(p_{2}\right)$. Let $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and set

$$
\phi(t)=e^{\lambda t} z(t) .
$$

Then

$$
\phi^{\prime}(t)=e^{\lambda t}\left(z^{\prime}(t)+\lambda z(t)\right) \leq 0,
$$

which shows that $\phi(t)$ is decreasing. Thus $\phi\left(t-\tau_{i}\right) \geq \phi(t)$ for $i=1,2, \cdots, m$. Now choose $\delta_{i}$ and $\varepsilon_{i}>0$ such that for $t$ sufficiently large $P_{i}(t) \geq p_{i}-\delta_{i}$ for $i=1,2, \cdots, m$ and $\left|x\left(t-\tau_{i}\right)\right|<\delta$. Hence

$$
H_{i}\left(x\left(t-\tau_{i}\right)\right) \geq\left(1-\varepsilon_{i}\right) x\left(t-\tau_{i}\right) .
$$

For $t$ sufficiently large we choose $\delta_{i}, \tau_{i}$ such that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(\delta_{i}+\sum_{k \in J_{i}} q_{k}\right) e^{\lambda \tau_{i}}\left(1-\varepsilon_{i}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i} \varepsilon_{i} e^{\lambda \tau_{i}} \leq \frac{l}{2} .
$$

So

$$
\begin{aligned}
z^{\prime}(t)+\left(\lambda+\frac{l}{2}\right) z(t) \leq & -\sum_{i=1}^{m} F_{i}(t) H_{i}\left(x\left(t-\tau_{i}\right)\right)+\left(\lambda+\frac{l}{2}\right) z(t) \\
= & \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(-P_{i}(t)+\sum_{k \in J_{i}} Q_{k}\left(t-\tau_{i}+\sigma_{k}\right)\right) H_{i}\left(x\left(t-\tau_{i}\right)\right) \\
& +\left(\lambda+\frac{l}{2}\right) z(t) \\
\leq & \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(-P_{i}(t)+\sum_{k \in J_{i}} q_{k}\right) H_{i}\left(x\left(t-\tau_{i}\right)\right)+\left(\lambda+\frac{l}{2}\right) z(t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Where $Q_{k}(t) \leq q_{k}$ for $k \in J_{i}$. Hence

$$
z^{\prime}(t)+\left(\lambda+\frac{l}{2}\right) z(t) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m}-\left(\left(p_{i}-\delta_{i}\right)-\sum_{k \in J_{i}} q_{k}\right)\left(1-\varepsilon_{i}\right) x\left(t-\tau_{i}\right)+\left(\lambda+\frac{l}{2}\right) z(t) .
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
z^{\prime}(t)+\left(\lambda+\frac{l}{2}\right) z(t) \leq & e^{-\lambda t}\left[-\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(p_{i}-\sum_{k \in J_{i}} q_{k}\right) e^{\lambda \tau_{i}} \phi\left(t-\tau_{i}\right)\right. \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(\delta_{i}+\sum_{k \in J_{i}} q_{k}\right)\left(1-\varepsilon_{i}\right) e^{\lambda \tau_{i}} \phi\left(t-\tau_{i}\right) \\
& \left.+\sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i} \varepsilon_{i} e^{\lambda \tau_{i}} \phi\left(t-\tau_{i}\right)+\left(\lambda+\frac{l}{2}\right) \phi(t)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

As $\phi\left(t-\tau_{i}\right) \geq \phi(t)$ then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
z^{\prime}(t)+\left(\lambda+\frac{l}{2}\right) z(t) \leq & e^{-\lambda t}\left[-\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(p_{i}-\sum_{k \in J_{i}} q_{k}\right) e^{\lambda \tau_{i}}\right. \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(\delta_{i}+\sum_{k \in J_{i}} q_{k}\right)\left(1-\varepsilon_{i}\right) e^{\lambda \tau_{i}} \\
& \left.+\sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i} \varepsilon_{i} e^{\lambda \tau_{i}} \phi\left(t-\tau_{i}\right)+\left(\lambda+\frac{l}{2}\right)\right] \phi(t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

As

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(\delta_{i}+\sum_{k \in J_{i}} q_{k}\right) e^{\lambda \tau_{i}}\left(1-\varepsilon_{i}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i} \varepsilon_{i} e^{\lambda \tau_{i}} \leq \frac{l}{2} .
$$

Then from (32) we have

$$
z^{\prime}(t)+\left(\lambda+\frac{l}{2}\right) z(t) \leq e^{-\lambda t}\left[-(\lambda+l)+\frac{l}{2}+\left(\lambda+\frac{l}{2}\right)\right] \phi(t)=0 .
$$

Hence

$$
z^{\prime}(t)+\left(\lambda+\frac{l}{2}\right) z(t) \leq 0
$$

Then $\lambda+\frac{l}{2} \in \Lambda$. Thus $\left(p_{2}\right)$ is proved. Then every solution of equation (1) oscillates.

Theorem 3. Assume that (14) holds and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \inf _{t \rightarrow \infty} t \int_{t}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(1-\varepsilon_{i}\right) F_{i}(t) d s>\frac{\varrho}{4} . \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then all solutions of (1) oscillate.
Proof. Suppose that Eq. (1) has an eventually positive solution $x(t)$. Let $z(t)$ be defined by (4). Then by Lemma 4 we have $z(t)>0$ eventually. On the other hand, by Lemma 6, (36) implies that all solutions of Eq. (9) oscillate. By Lemma 5, it follows that $z(t)<0$. This contradiction completes the proof.
Theorem 4. Assume that (8) and (36) hold and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R\left(t-\tau_{i}\right) H_{i}(t) \leq h H_{i}(t-r), \quad i=1,2, \cdots, m \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also suppose that $\frac{H_{i}(t)}{Q_{j}\left(t-\tau_{i}+\sigma_{j}\right)}$ is nonincreasing and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{i}(t) Q_{j}\left(t-\tau_{i}\right) \leq h_{j} H_{i}\left(t-\sigma_{j}\right), \quad i=1,2, \cdots, m, j=1,2, \cdots, n \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h, h_{j}(j=1,2, \cdots, n)$ are nonnegative constants satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
h+\sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{k \in J_{i}} h_{k}\left(\tau_{i}-\sigma_{k}\right)=1 \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then every solution of (1) oscillates.
Proof. Assume the contrary. Eq. (1) has an eventually positive solution $x(t)$. Let $z(t)$ be defined by (4). Then by Lemma 5 we have $z(t)<0$ eventually. From (10), (37), (38) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
z^{\prime}(t) \leq & -\sum_{i=1}^{m} F_{i}(t) x\left(t-\tau_{i}\right) \\
= & -\sum_{i=1}^{m} F_{i}(t)\left[z\left(t-\tau_{i}\right)+R\left(t-\tau_{i}\right) x\left(t-r-\tau_{i}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{k \in J_{i}} \int_{t-\tau_{i}+\sigma_{k}}^{t} Q_{k}\left(s-\tau_{i}\right) x\left(s-\tau_{i}-\sigma_{k}\right) d s\right] \\
\geq & -\sum_{i=1}^{m} F_{i}(t) z\left(t-\tau_{i}\right)-h \sum_{i=1}^{m} F_{i}(t-r) x\left(t-r-\tau_{i}\right) \\
& -\sum_{l=1}^{p} \sum_{k \in J_{l}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} h_{k} \frac{H_{i}\left(t-\sigma_{k}\right)}{Q_{k}\left(t-\tau_{i}\right)} \int_{t-\tau_{l}+\sigma_{k}}^{t} Q_{k}\left(s-\tau_{i}\right) x\left(s-\tau_{i}-\sigma_{k}\right) d s \\
\geq & -\sum_{i=1}^{m} F_{i}(t) z\left(t-\tau_{i}\right)+h z^{\prime}(t-r) \\
& -\sum_{l=1}^{p} \sum_{k \in J_{l}} h_{k} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \int_{t-\tau_{l}+\sigma_{k}}^{t} F_{i}\left(s-\sigma_{k}\right) x\left(s-\tau_{i}-\sigma_{k}\right) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =-\sum_{i=1}^{m} F_{i}(t) z\left(t-\tau_{i}\right)+h z^{\prime}(t-r)+\sum_{l=1}^{p} \sum_{k \in J_{l}} h_{k} \int_{t-\tau_{l}+\sigma_{k}}^{t} z^{\prime}\left(s-\sigma_{k}\right) d s \\
& =-\sum_{i=1}^{m} F_{i}(t) z\left(t-\tau_{i}\right)+h z^{\prime}(t-r)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{j} z\left(t-\sigma_{j}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{k \in J_{i}} h_{k} z\left(t-\tau_{l}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Define $\bar{P}_{i}(t)$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{P}_{i}(t)=F_{i}(t)+\sum_{k \in J_{i}} h_{k}, \quad i=1,2, \cdots, p, \\
& \bar{P}_{i}(t)=F_{i}(t), \quad i=p+1, p+2, \cdots, m .
\end{aligned}
$$

We obtain

$$
[z(t)-h z(t-r)]^{\prime}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \bar{P}_{i}(t) z\left(t-\tau_{i}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{m} h_{j} z\left(t-\sigma_{j}\right) \geq 0 .
$$

This implies that $-z(t)$ is a positive solution solution of the inequality

$$
[y(t)-h y(t-r)]^{\prime}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \bar{P}_{i}(t) y\left(t-\tau_{i}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{m} h_{j} y\left(t-\sigma_{j}\right) \leq 0,
$$

which yields a contradiction by Lemma 4 and 5 . The proof is complete.

## References

[1] T. A. Chanturia, Integral criteria for the oscillation of higher order differential equations, Differencialnye Uravnenija, 16(1980), 470-482.
[2] Q. Chuanxi and G. Ladas, Oscillation in differential equations with positive and negative coefficients, Canad. Math. Bull., 33(1990), 442-450.
[3] E. M. Elabbasy, A. S. Hegazi and S. H. Saker, Oscillation of solution to delay differential equations with positive and negative coefficients, Electron. J. Differential Equations, 13(2000), 1-13.
[4] E. M. Elabbasy and S. H. Saker, Oscillation of nonlinear delay differential equations with several positive and negative coefficients, Kyung. Math. J., 39(1999), 367-377.
[5] L. H. Erbe, Q. Kong and B. C. Zhang, Oscillation theory for functional differential equations, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1995.
[6] K. Farrell, E. A. Grove and G. Ladas, Neutral delay differential equations with positive and negative coefficients, Appl. Anal., 27(1988), 181-197.
[7] K. Gopalsamy, S. R. M. Kulenovic and G. Ladas, Oscillations and global attractivity in respiratory dynamics Dynamics and Satability of Systems, 4(2)(1989), 131-139.
[8] I. Gyori and G. Ladas, Oscillation theory of delay differential equations, Oxford Univ. Press, New York, MR93m: 34109, 1991.
[9] E. Hile, Nonoscillation theorems, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 64(1948), 181-197.
[10] O. Hiroshi, Oscillatory properties of the first order nonlinear functional differential equations, Proceeding of Dynamic systems and applications, 2(1995), (Atlanta, GA, ), 443-449.
[11] J. C. Hua and J. Joinshe, Oscillation of solutions of a class of first order nonlinear differential equations with time lag, Acta Math. Sci. (Chinese), 15(4)(1995), 368-375.
[12] S. R. M. Kulenovic, G. Ladas and A. Meimaridou, On oscillation of nonlinear delay differential equations, Qurt. appl. Math., 45(1987), 155-164.
[13] S. R. M. Kulenovic and G. Ladas, Linearized oscillations in population dynamics, Bull. Math. Biol., 44(1987), 615-627.
[14] S. R. M. Kulenovic and G. Ladas, Linearized oscillation theory for second order delay differential equations, Canadian Mathematical Society Conference Proceeding, 8(1987), 261-267.
[15] S. R. M. Kulenovic and G. Ladas, Oscillations of sunflower equations, Qurt. appl. Math., 46(1980), 23-38.
[16] G. Ladas and C. Qian, Linearized oscillations for odd-order neutral delay differential equations, Journal of Differential Equations, 8(2)(1990), 238-247.
[17] G. Ladas and C. Qian, Oscillation and global stability in a delay logistic equation, Dynamics and Stability of systems, 9 (1991), 153-162.
[18] B. Li, Oscillation of first order delay differential equations, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 124(1996), 3729-3737.
[19] Z. Luo and J. Shen, Oscillation and nonoscillation of neutral differential equations with positive and negative coefficients, Czechoslovak Math. J., 54(129)(2004), 79-93.
[20] Y. Norio, Nonlinear oscillation of first order delay differential equations, Rocky Mountain J. Math., 26(1)(1996), 361-373.
[21] W. Qirui, Oscillations of first order nonlinear delay differential equations, Ann. Differential Equations, 12(1)(1996), 99-104.
[22] L. Rodica, Oscillatory solutions for equations with deviating arguments, Bull. Inst. Politehn. Iasi. Sect., 36;40(1990), 1-4;41-46.
[23] G. S. Ruan, Oscillation for first order neutral differential equations with positive and negative coefficients, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., 43(1996), 147-152.
[24] J. H. Shen and Z. C. Wang, Oscillation and nonoscillation for a class of nonlinear neutral differential equations, Differential Equations Dynam. Systems, 4(1994), 347360.
[25] X. H. Tang and J. H. Shen, Oscillation and existence of positive solution in a class of higher order neutral differential equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 213(1997), 662-680.
[26] X. H. Tang and J. S. Yu, On the positive solutions of a kind of neutral differential equations with positive and negative coefficients, Acta Math. Sinica, 42(1999), 795802.
[27] Wei Jun Jie, Oscillation of first order sublinear differential equations with deviating arguments, Dongbei Shida Xuebao, 3(1991), 5-9 (Chinese).
[28] G. Xiping, Y. Jun. and C. Sui Sun,.Linearized comparison criteria for a nonlinear neutral differential equations, Ann. Polon. Math., 64(2)(1996), 161-173.
[29] J. S. Yu. and Z. C. Wang, Some further results on oscillation of neutral differential equations, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., 46(1992), 149-157.
[30] J. S. Yu and J. R. Yan, Oscillation in first order neutral differential equations with (integrally small) coefficients, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 187(1994), 361-370.
[31] B. G. Zhang and B. Yang, New approach of studying the oscillation of neutral differential equations, Funkcial. Ekvac., 41(1998), 79-89.
[32] B. G. Zhang and J. S. Yu, Oscillation and nonoscillation for neutral differential equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 172(1993), 11-23.


[^0]:    Received September 16, 2005.
    2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 34K15, 34C10.
    Key words and phrases: oscillation, nonoscillation, neutral delay of differential equations.

