

## Oscillation Criteria of Hyperbolic Equations with Continuous Deviating Arguments

ZHONG YUE HAN

*Department of Mathematics, Dezhou University, Dezhou 253023, P.R.China*  
*e-mail: hanzy699@sina.com*

YUAN HONG YU

*Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100080, P.R. China*  
*e-mail: yu84845366@126.com*

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we shall consider a class of hyperbolic nonlinear differential equations with continuous deviating arguments. Some new sufficient conditions for oscillation of all solutions with two kinds of boundary conditions are obtained.

### 1. Introduction

The study of oscillatory behavior of solutions of partial differential equations with deviating arguments, besides its theoretical interest, is important from the viewpoint of applications. Examples of applications can be found in [10]. But only a few results on the oscillatory behavior of hyperbolic equations with deviating arguments were recently obtained in [1]-[5] and the references cited therein. In this paper, we shall consider the nonlinear hyperbolic equation with continuous arguments

$$(E) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [p(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(x, t)] = \alpha(t) \Delta u(x, t) + \int_a^b \beta(t, \xi) \Delta u[x, h(t, \xi)] d\sigma(\xi) \\ - \int_a^b q(x, t, \xi) f(u[x, g(t, \xi)]) d\sigma(\xi), \quad (x, t) \in \Omega \times R_+ \equiv G,$$

where  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain in  $R^n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , with a piecewise smooth bounded  $\partial\Omega$ , and  $\Delta u$  is the Laplacian in  $R^n$ ,  $R_+ = (0, \infty)$ .

Throughout, we will assume that the following conditions hold:

- $(H_1)$   $\alpha, p \in C(R_+, R_+)$ ,  $\int_a^\infty \frac{1}{p(t)} dt = \infty$ ,  $\sigma \in ([a, b], R)$  is nondecreasing, the integrals of the equation (E) are stieljes integral.

---

Received March 18, 2006.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 34K11, 35L10.

Key words and phrases: oscillation, hyperbolic equation, continuous deviating arguments.

- $(H_2)$   $\beta \in C(R_+ \times [a, b], R_+)$ ,  $q \in C(\bar{G} \times [a, b], R_+)$ ,  $f \in C(R, R)$  is convex in  $R_+$ ,  $uf(u) > 0$  and  $\frac{f(u)}{u} \geq K > 0$  for  $u \neq 0$ .
- $(H_3)$   $g, h \in C(R_+ \times [a, b], R)$ ,  $\frac{d}{dt}g(t, a) \equiv g'(t, a)$  exists and  $g(t, \xi) \leq t$ ,  $h(t, \xi) \leq t$ , for  $\xi \in [a, b]$ ,  $g$  and  $h$  are nondecreasing with  $t$  and  $\xi$ , respectively, and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \min_{\xi \in [a, b]} g(t, \xi) = +\infty$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \min_{\xi \in [a, b]} h(t, \xi) = +\infty$

we consider two kinds of boundary conditions:

$$(B_1) \quad \frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial N} + \mu(x, t)u = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times R_+,$$

$$(B_2) \quad u(x, t) = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times R_+,$$

where  $N$  is the unit exterior normal vector to  $\partial\Omega$  and  $\mu$  is a nonnegative continuous function on  $\partial\Omega \times R_+$ .

**Definition 1.** A function  $u(x, t) \in C^2(\Omega \times [t_1, \infty), R) \cap C^1(\bar{\Omega} \times [t_{-1}, \infty), R)$  is called a solution of the problem  $(E)$ ,  $(B)$ , if it satisfies  $(E)$  in the domain  $G$  along with the corresponding boundary condition, where

$$t_{-1} = \min\left\{ \inf_{\xi \in [a, b]} \left\{ \inf_{t \geq 0} g(t, \xi) \right\}, \inf_{\xi \in [a, b]} \left\{ \inf_{t \geq 0} h(t, \xi) \right\} \right\}.$$

**Definition 2.** A solution  $u(x, t)$  of the problem  $(E)$ ,  $(B)$  is said to be oscillatory in the domain  $G$ , if for each positive number  $\gamma$  there exists a point  $(x_1, t_1) \in \Omega \times [\gamma, \infty)$ , where  $u(x_1, t_1) = 0$ .

**Definition 3.** A function  $v(t)$  is called eventually positive (negative) if there exists a number  $t_1 \geq t_0 > 0$  such that  $v(t) > 0 (< 0)$  holds for all  $t_1 \geq t_0$ .

It is easy to see that equation  $(E)$  includes the following delay hyperbolic differential equations:

$$(E_1) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[ p(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(x, t) \right] = \alpha(t) \Delta u(x, t) + \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j(t) \Delta u[x, h_j(t)] \\ - q(x, t) u(x, t) - \sum_{k=1}^s q_k(x, t) f(u[x, g_k(t)]), \quad (x, t) \in G.$$

and we can see that the hyperbolic equation in [1-5] are special cases of equations  $(E)$  and  $(E_1)$ . Our aim in this paper is to give some new oscillation criteria, Philos-type [6] oscillation criteria for equation  $(E)$  with the boundary conditions  $(B_1)$  and  $(B_2)$ . Our results in this paper extend and improve the results in [1]-[5].

## 2. Main results

In this section we will give some oscillation criteria of  $(E)$  with the boundary conditions  $(B_1)$  and  $(B_2)$ .

First, we consider the oscillation of the problem (E), (B<sub>1</sub>).

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose that the condition (H<sub>1</sub>) – (H<sub>3</sub>) hold. and let the differential inequality*

$$(1) \quad (p(t)v'(t))' + \int_a^b Q(t, \xi)f(v[g(t, \xi)])d\sigma(\xi) \leq 0.$$

*have no eventually positive solutions. Then each solution u(x, t) of problem (E), (B<sub>1</sub>) oscillates in the domain G, where*

$$(2) \quad Q(t, \xi) = \min\{q(x, t, \xi) : x \in \bar{\Omega}\},$$

$$(3) \quad v(t) = \frac{\int_{\Omega} u(x, t)dx}{\int_{\Omega} dx}.$$

*Proof.* Suppose to the contrary that there is a nonoscillatory solution u(x, t) of the problem (E), (B<sub>1</sub>). Without loss of generality, we assume that u(x, t) > 0, (x, t) ∈ Ω × [t<sub>0</sub>, ∞), t<sub>0</sub> > 0. By condition (H<sub>3</sub>) there exists t<sub>1</sub> ≥ t<sub>0</sub> such that g(t, ξ) ≥ t<sub>0</sub>, h(t, ξ) ≥ t<sub>0</sub> for (t, ξ) ∈ [t<sub>1</sub>, ∞) × [a, b]. then

$$(4) \quad u[x, h(t, \xi)] > 0 \text{ and } u[x, g(t, \xi)] > 0 \text{ for } (x, t, \xi) \in \Omega \times [t_1, \infty) \times [a, b].$$

Integrating equation (E) with respect to x over the domain Ω, we have

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt}[p(t) \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} u(x, t)dx] \\ &= \alpha(t) \int_{\Omega} \Delta u(x, t)dx + \int_{\Omega} \int_a^b \beta(t, \xi) \Delta u[x, h(t, \xi)]d\sigma(\xi)dx \\ & \quad - \int_{\Omega} \int_a^b q(x, t, \xi)f(u[x, g(t, \xi)])d\sigma(\xi)dx. \end{aligned}$$

Using Green's formula and (B<sub>1</sub>), we obtain

$$(6) \quad \int_{\Omega} \Delta u(x, t)dx = \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial N} ds = - \int_{\partial\Omega} \mu(x, t)u(x, t)ds \leq 0, \quad t \geq t_1,$$

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \Delta u[x, h(t, \xi)]dx = \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial u[x, h(t, \xi)]}{\partial N} ds \\ &= - \int_{\partial\Omega} \mu[x, h(t, \xi)]u[x, h(t, \xi)]ds \leq 0, \quad t \geq t_1, \end{aligned}$$

where ds is the surface element on ∂Ω, and

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \int_a^b \beta(t, \xi) \Delta u[x, h(t, \xi)]d\sigma(\xi)dx \\ &= \int_a^b \beta(t, \xi) \left( \int_{\Omega} \Delta u[x, h(t, \xi)]dx \right) d\sigma(\xi) \leq 0, \quad t \geq t_1. \end{aligned}$$

From Jensen's inequality and  $(H_2)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (9) \quad & \int_{\Omega} \int_a^b q(x, t, \xi) f(u[x, g(t, \xi)]) d\sigma(\xi) dx \\
 &= \int_a^b \int_{\Omega} q(x, t, \xi) f(u[x, g(t, \xi)]) dx d\sigma(\xi) \\
 &\geq \int_a^b Q(t, \xi) \left[ \int_{\Omega} f(u[x, g(t, \xi)]) dx \right] d\sigma(\xi) \\
 &\geq \int_a^b Q(t, \xi) \left[ \int_{\Omega} dx f\left(\frac{\int_{\Omega} u[x, g(t, \xi)] dx}{\int_{\Omega} dx}\right) \right] d\sigma(\xi), \quad t \geq t_1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, combining (5)-(9), we obtain

$$(10) \quad (p(t)v'(t))' + \int_a^b Q(t, \xi) f(v[g(t, \xi)]) d\sigma(\xi) \leq 0, \quad t \geq t_1.$$

It is easy to see that  $v(t)$  is an eventually positive solution of (10), which contradicts the condition of the theorem.  $\square$

Next, we present some new oscillation criteria for  $(E)$  and  $(B_1)$  by using integral averages condition of Philos-type. Following Philos [6], we introduce a class of functions  $P$ . Let

$$(11) \quad D_0 = \{(t, s) : t > s \geq t_0\}, \quad \text{and} \quad D = \{(t, s) : t \geq s \geq t_0\}.$$

The function  $H \in C(D, R)$  is said to belong to the class  $P$  if

$$(T_1) \quad H(t, t) = 0 \text{ for } t \geq t_0, H(t, s) > 0 \text{ on } D_0;$$

$$(T_2) \quad H \text{ has a continuous and nonpositive partial derivative on } D_0 \text{ with respect to the second variable and there exist functions } h \in C(D_0, R) \text{ and } \rho \in C^1([t_0, \infty), R_+) \text{ such that}$$

$$(12) \quad -\frac{\partial H(t, s)}{\partial s} - \frac{\rho'(s)}{\rho(s)} H(t, s) = h(t, s) \sqrt{H(t, s)} \text{ for all } (t, s) \in D_0.$$

**Theorem 2.** Suppose that  $(H_1) - (H_3)$  hold. If there exists a function  $\rho \in C^1([t_0, \infty), R_+)$  and let  $H$  belong to the class  $P$  such that

$$(13) \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H(t, t_0)} \int_{t_0}^t [H(t, s) \rho(s) Q(s) - \frac{\rho(s) p[g(s, a)]}{4g'(s, a)} h^2(t, s)] ds = \infty,$$

where

$$(14) \quad Q(s) = \int_a^b K Q(s, \xi) d\sigma(\xi).$$

Then each solution of (E), (B<sub>1</sub>) is oscillatory in G.

*Proof.* Suppose to the contrary that there is a nonoscillatory solution  $u(x, t)$  of the problem (E), (B<sub>1</sub>). Without loss of generality, we assume that  $u(x, t) > 0$ ,  $(x, t) \in \Omega \times [t_0, \infty)$ . By condition (H<sub>3</sub>) there exists a  $t_1 \geq t_0$  such that (4) holds. From the proof of Theorem 1, we have the inequality (10), and by condition (H<sub>2</sub>), we obtain

$$(15) \quad (p(t)v'(t))' + \int_a^b KQ(t, \xi)v[g(t, \xi)]d\sigma(\xi) \leq 0, \quad t \geq t_1,$$

where  $Q(t, \xi)$  and  $v(t)$  are defined by (2) and (3). It is easy to know that  $v(t) > 0$ ,  $v'(t) > 0$  for  $t \geq t_1$ , and  $g(t, \xi)$  is nondecreasing in  $\xi$ , we have

$$(16) \quad (p(t)v'(t))' + Q(t)v[g(t, a)] \leq 0, \quad \text{for } t \geq t_1,$$

where

$$Q(t) = \int_a^b KQ(t, \xi)d\sigma(\xi).$$

Set

$$(17) \quad W(t) = \rho(t) \frac{p(t)v'(t)}{v[g(t, a)]} \quad \text{for } t \geq t_1,$$

then  $W(t) > 0$  for  $t \geq t_1$ . From (17), (16) and (H<sub>3</sub>), we obtain

$$(18) \quad W'(t) \leq \frac{\rho'(t)}{\rho(t)}W(t) - \rho(t)Q(t) - \frac{g'(t, a)}{\rho(t)p[g(t, a)]}W^2(t), \quad t \geq t_1.$$

In order to simplify notations we denote by

$$R(t) = \frac{g'(t, a)}{\rho(t)p[g(t, a)]}.$$

Then from (18) for all  $t \geq t_1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} (19) \quad & \int_{t_1}^t H(t, s)\rho(s)Q(s)ds \\ & \leq \int_{t_1}^t H(t, s)\frac{\rho'(s)}{\rho(s)}W(s)ds - \int_{t_1}^t H(t, s)W'(s)ds - \int_{t_1}^t H(t, s)R(s)W^2(s)ds \\ & = H(t, t_1)W(t_1) + \int_{t_1}^t \left[ \frac{\partial H(t, s)}{\partial s} + \frac{\rho'(s)}{\rho(s)}H(t, s) \right] W(s)ds - \int_{t_1}^t H(t, s)R(s)W^2(s)ds \\ & = H(t, t_1)W(t_1) - \int_{t_1}^t h(t, s)\sqrt{H(t, s)}W(s)ds - \int_{t_1}^t H(t, s)R(s)W^2(s)ds \\ & = H(t, t_1)W(t_1) - \int_{t_1}^t \left[ \sqrt{H(t, s)R(s)}W(s) + \frac{h(t, s)}{2\sqrt{R(s)}} \right]^2 ds + \int_{t_1}^t \frac{h^2(t, s)}{4R(s)} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Thereby, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (20) \quad & \int_{t_1}^t [H(t, s)\rho(s)Q(s) - \frac{h^2(t, s)}{4R(s)}] ds \\
 & \leq H(t, t_1)W(t_1) - \int_{t_1}^t [\sqrt{H(t, s)R(s)}W(s) + \frac{h(t, s)}{2R(s)}]^2 ds \\
 & \leq H(t, t_1)|W(t_1)|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then by (21) and  $(T_2)$ , we have

$$(21) \quad \frac{1}{H(t, t_0)} \int_{t_0}^t [H(t, s)\rho(s)Q(s) - \frac{h^2(t, s)}{4R(s)}] ds \leq \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \rho(s)Q(s) ds + |W(t_1)|.$$

Inequality (21) yields

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H(t, t_0)} \int_{t_0}^t [H(t, s)\rho(s)Q(s) - \frac{h^2(t, s)}{4R(s)}] ds < \infty,$$

and the latter inequality contradicts assumption (13). If  $u(x, t) < 0$  for  $\Omega \times [t_0, \infty)$ , then  $-u(x, t)$  is a positive solution of (E),  $(B_1)$  and the proof is similar. This completes the proof.  $\square$

The following oscillation criterion treats the case when it is not possible to verify easily condition (13).

**Theorem 3.** *Suppose that  $(H_1) - (H_3)$  hold. Let the differentiable function  $\rho$  as in Theorem 2 and let  $H$  belong to the class  $P$  such that*

$$(22) \quad 0 < \inf_{s \geq t_0} [\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H(t, s)}{H(t, t_0)}] \leq \infty.$$

Let  $\varphi \in C([t_0, \infty), R)$  such that for  $t \geq t_1$

$$(23) \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H(t, t_0)} \int_{t_0}^t \frac{h^2(t, s)}{R(s)} ds < \infty,$$

$$(24) \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_0}^t \varphi_+^2(s)R(s) ds = \infty,$$

and

$$(25) \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H(t, t_0)} \int_{t_0}^t [H(t, s)\rho(s)Q(s) - \frac{h^2(t, s)}{4R(s)}] ds \geq \sup_{t \geq t_0} \varphi(t),$$

where  $Q(s)$  and  $R(s)$  as in Theorem 2,  $\varphi_+(t) = \max\{\varphi(t), 0\}$ , then each solution of (E),  $(B_1)$  is oscillatory in  $G$ .

*Proof.* Suppose to the contrary that there is a nonoscillatory solution  $u(x, t)$  of the problem  $(E)$ ,  $(B_1)$ . Without loss of generality, we assume that  $u(x, t) > 0$ ,  $(x, t) \in \Omega \times [t_0, \infty)$ . By condition  $(H_3)$  there exists a  $t_1 \geq t_0$  such that the inequalities (4) hold. By Theorem 2 we have (20). The inequality (20) yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{H(t, t_1)} \int_{t_1}^t [H(t, s)\rho(s)Q(s) - \frac{h^2(t, s)}{4R(s)}] ds \\ \leq & W(t_1) - \frac{1}{H(t, t_1)} \int_{t_1}^t [\sqrt{H(t, s)R(s)}W(s) + \frac{h(t, s)}{4R(s)}]^2 ds, \quad t \geq t_1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for  $t \geq t_1$

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H(t, t_1)} \int_{t_1}^t [H(t, s)\rho(s)Q(s) - \frac{h^2(t, s)}{4R(s)}] ds \\ \leq & W(t_1) - \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H(t, t_1)} \int_{t_1}^t [\sqrt{H(t, s)R(s)}W(s) + \frac{h(t, s)}{2\sqrt{R(s)}}]^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

By (25) and the last inequality, we obtain for  $t \geq t_1$

$$(26) \quad W(t_1) \geq \varphi(t_1) + \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H(t, t_1)} \int_{t_1}^t [\sqrt{H(t, s)R(s)}W(s) + \frac{h(t, s)}{2\sqrt{R(s)}}]^2 ds,$$

and hence

$$(27) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 & \leq \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H(t, t_1)} \int_{t_1}^t [\sqrt{H(t, s)R(s)}W(s) + \frac{h(t, s)}{2\sqrt{R(s)}}]^2 ds \\ & \leq W(t_1) - \varphi(t_1) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Define the functions  $M(t)$  and  $N(t)$  as follows

$$\begin{aligned} M(t) &= \frac{1}{H(t, t_1)} \int_{t_1}^t H(t, s)R(s)W^2(s) ds, \\ N(t) &= \frac{1}{H(t, t_1)} \int_{t_1}^t [\sqrt{H(t, s)h(t, s)}W(s)] ds. \end{aligned}$$

The remainder of the proof is similar to that the proof of Theorem 2.6 in [7] and hence is omitted. □

Now, we consider the oscillation of the problem  $(E)$ ,  $(B_2)$ . consider the Dirichlet Problem in the domain  $\Omega$

$$(28) \quad \Delta u + \lambda u = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad (x, t) \in \Omega \times R_+,$$

$$(29) \quad u = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times R_+,$$

in which  $\lambda$  is a constant. It is well known [8] that the smallest eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$  of problem (28)-(29) is positive and the corresponding eigenfunction  $\Psi(x)$  is also positive for  $x \in \Omega$ .

With each solution  $u(x, t)$  of the problem (E), (B<sub>2</sub>), we associate a function  $U(t)$  defined by

$$(30) \quad U(t) = \frac{\int_{\Omega} u(x, t)\Psi(x)dx}{\int_{\Omega} \Psi(x)dx}, \quad t \geq t_1.$$

**Theorem 4.** *If all conditions of Theorem 2 hold, then each solution of the problem (E), (B<sub>2</sub>) is oscillatory in G.*

*Proof.* Suppose to the contrary that there is a nonoscillatory solution  $u(x, t)$  of the problem (E), (B<sub>2</sub>). Without loss of generality, we assume that  $u(x, t) > 0$  for  $(x, t) \in \Omega \times [t_0, \infty)$ . By the condition (H<sub>3</sub>) there exists a  $t_1 \geq t_0$  such that (4) holds. Multiplying both sides of equation (E) by  $\Psi(x)$ , and integrating equation (E) with respect to  $x$  over the domain  $\Omega$ , we have

$$(31) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} [p(t) \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} u(x, t)\Psi(x)dx] \\ &= \alpha(t) \int_{\Omega} \Delta u(x, t)\Psi(x)dx + \int_{\Omega} \int_a^b \beta(t, \xi) \Delta u[x, h(t, \xi)]\Psi(x)d\sigma(\xi)dx \\ & \quad - \int_{\Omega} \int_a^b q(x, t, \xi) f(u[x, g(t, \xi)])\Psi(x)d\sigma(\xi)dx. \end{aligned}$$

Using Green's formula and (B<sub>2</sub>), we obtain

$$(32) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \Delta u(x, t)\Psi(x)dx &= \int_{\partial\Omega} (\Psi(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial N} - u \frac{\partial \Psi(x)}{\partial N}) ds + \int_{\Omega} u \Delta \Psi(x) dx \\ &= -\lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} u(x, t)\Psi(x)dx, \quad t \geq t_1, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(33) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \int_a^b \beta(t, \xi) \Delta u[x, h(t, \xi)]\Psi(x)d\sigma(\xi)dx \\ &= \int_a^b \beta(t, \xi) \int_{\Omega} \Delta u[x, h(t, \xi)]\Psi(x)dx d\sigma(\xi) \\ &= -\lambda_1 \int_a^b \beta(t, \xi) \int_{\Omega} u[x, h(t, \xi)]\Psi(x)dx d\sigma(\xi), \quad t \geq t_1, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\lambda_1$  is the smallest eigenvalue of problem (28)-(29). Using Jensen's inequality



and  $(H_2)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (34) \quad & \int_{\Omega} \int_a^b q(x, t, \xi) f(u[x, g(t, \xi)]) \Psi(x) d\sigma(\xi) dx \\
 &= \int_a^b \int_{\Omega} q(x, t, \xi) f(u[x, g(t, \xi)]) \Psi(x) dx d\sigma(\xi) \\
 &\geq \int_a^b Q(t, \xi) \int_{\Omega} f(u[x, g(t, \xi)]) \Psi(x) dx d\sigma(\xi) \\
 &\geq \int_a^b Q(t, \xi) \left[ \int_{\Omega} \Psi(x) dx \cdot f\left(\frac{\int_{\Omega} u[x, g(t, \xi)] \Psi(x) dx}{\int_{\Omega} \Psi(x) dx}\right) \right] d\sigma(\xi), \quad t \geq t_1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from (31)-(34), we obtain for  $t \geq t_1$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (35) \quad & (p(t)U'(t))' + \lambda_1 \alpha(t)U(t) + \lambda_1 \int_a^b \beta(t, \xi)U[h(t, \xi)]d\sigma(\xi) \\
 &+ \int_a^b Q(t, \xi)f(U[g(t, \xi)])d\sigma(\xi) \leq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

In view of  $(H_2)$  and (4), inequality (35) yields

$$(10) \quad (p(t)U'(t))' + \int_a^b Q(t, \xi)f(U[g(t, \xi)])d\sigma(\xi) \leq 0.$$

The remainder of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.  $\square$

The following theorem is immediate from Theorem 3 and 4.

**Theorem 5.** *If all conditions of Theorem 3 hold, then every solution of the problem (E),  $(B_2)$  is oscillatory in  $G$ .*

## References

- [1] B. S. Lalli, Y. H. Yu and B. T. Cui, *Oscillation of hyperbolic equations with functional arguments*, Appl. Math. Comput., **53**(1993), 97-110.
- [2] Baotong Cui, *Oscillation theorems for nonlinear hyperbolic equations with deviating arguments*, Acta Sci. Math. (szeged), **58**(1993), 159-168.
- [3] B. T. Cui, Y. H. Yu and S. Z. Lin, *Oscillation of solutions of delay hyperbolic differential equations*, Acta Math. Appl. Sinica, **19**(1996), 80-88. (in chinese).
- [4] Weinian Li and Baotong Cui, *Oscillation of solutions of partial differential equations with functional arguments*, Nihonkai Math. J., **9**(1998), 205-212.
- [5] Baotong Cui and Weinian Li, *Oscillation of nonlinear hyperbolic equations with functional arguments*, Soochow J. Math., **27**(2001), 363-374.

- [6] Ch. G. Philos, *Oscillation theorems for linear differential equations of second order*, Arch. Math., **53**(1989), 483-492.
- [7] H. J. Li, *Oscillation criteria for second order linear differential equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **194**(1995), 217-234.
- [8] V. S. vladimirov, *Equation of Mathematical Physics*, Nauka, Moscow, 1981.
- [9] P. G. Wang and Y. H. Yu, *Oscillation criteria for a nonlinear hyperbolic equation boundary value problem*, Appl. Math. Letters, **12**(1999), 91-98.
- [10] J. H. Wu, *Theory and Applications of Partial Functional Differential Equations*, Springer New York, 1996.